February 2016 - V 2.0 - GNWT DOT Tłjcho All Season Road

#### Project Name: Tłįchǫ All Season Road

Water body Types(s): Freshwater, large rivers, small creeks, permanent intermittent and ephemeral, and braided water courses.

Course of Action:
1] Risk management decision is low risk
$\rightarrow$ Proceed without review by DFO 🛛
2] Risk management decision is NOT low risk
$ ightarrow$ Further review options to try and achieve low risk $\Box$
$\rightarrow$ Request for review to be submitted to DFO $\Box$
Next Steps:
The following mitigation measures must be a component of the works to mitigate liability under the Fisheries Act:
<ul> <li>Any in-water works must be done between July 16<sup>th</sup> and September 14<sup>th</sup> of any given year or when frozen solid or dry.</li> <li>Ensure fish passage by using the DFO guidance on culvert velocities and invert. Bridge major crossings with full spans and bank abutments above the ordinary high water mark.</li> <li>Using best management practices and through the implementation of the GNWT Department of Transportation - Erosion and Sediment Control Manual, ensure the following:         <ul> <li>Install appropriate and effective sediment control measures before beginning the work. Ensure sediment control measures are inspected regularly during the course of the work and all necessary repairs are made if damage is discovered (i.e. you see silt or sediment entering the water outside of the work area).</li> <li>Stabilize any waste materials removed from the work site to prevent them from entering any waterbody.</li> <li>All disturbed areas should be stabilized and/or re-vegetated upon completion of work and restored to a pre-disturbed state or better.</li> <li>Maintain effective sediment and erosion control measures until the site has stabilized.</li> <li>Materials installed for stabilization (e.g. rock) should be clean and free of fine particulates, and are not to be taken from below the high water mark or shoreline of any waterbody.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>abutments above the ordinary high water mark.</li> <li>Using best management practices and through the implementation of the GNWT Department of Transportation - Erosion and Sediment Control Manual, ensure the following:         <ul> <li>Install appropriate and effective sediment control measures before beginning the work. Ensure sediment control measures are inspected regularly during the course of the work and all necessary repairs are made if damage is discovered (i.e. you see silt or sediment entering the water outside of the work area).</li> <li>Stabilize any waste materials removed from the work site to prevent them from entering any waterbody.</li> <li>All disturbed areas should be stabilized and/or re-vegetated upon completion of work and restored to a pre-disturbed state or better.</li> <li>Maintain effective sediment and erosion control measures until the site has stabilized.</li> <li>Materials installed for stabilization (e.g. rock) should be clean and free of fine particulates, and are not to be taken from below the high water mark or shoreline of any waterbody.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Assessor: Stu Niven – DOT Environmental Affairs - GNWT</li> <li>Date: February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2016</li> </ul>

February 2016 - V 2.0 - GNWT DOT Tłįchę All Season Road

**Project Location:** The location of the 94 km all-season road begins at KM 196 along Highway 3 and continues in a northwesterly direction to the municipal boundary of What). The alignment is situated within the geographic coordinates 62°28′54″ to 63°10′37″ N latitude and 116°29′07″ to 117°00′05″ W longitude.

The proposed corridor is entirely contained within the Wek'èezhìi area and begins approximately 40 km southwest of Behchokò off Highway 3. Approximately 17 km of the road is located on Tłįcho private lands.

There are 16 tributaries along the alignment, which include four major water crossings. The names and locations of the main water crossings are listed in Table 1 while Table 2 provides a comprehensive listing of the crossings (includes culverts).

#### Table 1Main Water Crossings

Name/Location	River Width	Total Bridge Length (m)	Approx. KM Location (Station)
Duport River 62º43'48" N 116º50'21" W (Crossing 8)	1.2 m + 8.3 m offline floodplain pond + 50-75 m floodplain	48 (24m + 24m; 2 span pre-cast concrete girder)	40.40
Unnamed Tributary 62º46'13" N 116º48'51" W (Crossing 9)	8.3 m +	24 (1 span, pre-cast concrete girder)	45.18
James River 62°58'26" N 116°54'43" W (Crossing 14)	12.2 m +	80 (20m +40m +20m; 3 span steel girder type)	68.37
La Martre River 63º06'34" N 116º58'33" W (Crossing 15)	26.6 m +	100 (30m+40m +30m; 3 spans steel girder type)	85.40

February 2016 - V 2.0 - GNWT DOT Tłįchę All Season Road

Crossing No.	Station	Crossing Description	Specifications
1	2+032 2+377	1x1200 CSP at 2+032 1x1200 CSP at 2+377	1200 CSP; 1200 CSP
2	3+206 3+216	2x1400 CSP	CSP
3	7+839 7+859	2x1400 CSP	CSP
4	13+228 13+233 13+238	3x1400 CSP	CSP
5	16+532	1x2430 SPCSP, 10% embedment	SPCSP
6	19+427 19+432.5	2x2430 SPCSP, 10% embedment	SPCSP
7	23+584.4 23+594.4	2x1400 CSP	CSP
8 - Duport River	40+400	24 + 24 = 48 meter 40+374.1 to 40+422.1	2 spans, precast concrete girder
9	45+175	24 meter clear span 45+163.7 to 45+187.7	1 span, precast concrete girder
10a	48+208.8	3660x1910 Arch culvert	Arch Culvert; corrugation profile and thickness to be determined
10	48+275.5	1x1200 CSP	CSP
11	54+480.6 54+522.6	2x1400 CSP	CSP
12	56+556.4	1x1000 CSP	CSP
13	62+692.3 62+702.3 62+712.3	3x1400 CSP	CSP
14 - James River	69+666	20 + 40 + 20 = 80 meter 69+626.5 to 69+706.5	3 spans, steel girder type
15 - La Martre	85+397	30 + 40 + 30 = 100 meter 85+347.1 to 85+447.1	3 spans, steel girder type

Table 2	All Water Crossings (	values mav change s	lightly during	detailed design phase
	All Water Crossings (	values may change s	inginuy uuring	uetaneu uesign phas

All Corrugate Steep Pipe culverts are embedded 10%

February 2016 - V 2.0 – GNWT DOT

#### Tłįchǫ All Season Road

Summary of the relevant information considered and documentation of DOT's analysis when making a risk based determination of "serious harm to fish" as per the legislative obligations under the *Fisheries Act*.

**Project Description** – provide a brief description of the Project and the component works, undertakings or activities (w/u/a) that **have the potential to impact fish and fish habitat**.

Project: New Build - 16 water crossings, four having bridges, one arched culvert, the rest with SPCSP/CSP culverts no more than 25 meters in length.

Component W/U/A:

Pathways of Effects identified: Vegetation clearing, excavation, industrial equipment use, grading, explosives, water extraction, water flow, structure removal, placement of material, dredging, debris management, fish passage.

- 1. Erosion and sediment controls
- 2. Temporary access over watercourses
- 3. New crossings built.

**CRA Fishery** – Identify if the fish potentially impacted by the proposed w/u/a are part of or support a fishery.

 $\boxtimes$  Commercial  $\boxtimes$  Recreational  $\boxtimes$  Aboriginal  $\boxtimes$  Fish that support

Of the four major crossings, one watercourse is un-named and the other three watercourses are the Duport, James and La Martre Rivers. These watercourses would support a fishery. It is assumed Arctic Grayling, Northern Pike, and Burbot may utilize the watercourses.

**Habitat Description** – Provide a brief description of the existing fish habitat in the Project area for the fish species identified above, potentially impacted by the w/u/a (include type and quantity).

The habitat on some rivers are permanent flowing cold water and will have spanning bridges, although some will have piers in the floodplain. The smaller crossings will have culverts sized as per the DFO guidance on 10% embedded invert and velocities to allow for fish passage that may be needed. This is the first permanent road in this area following an existing recently un-used seasonal transportation corridor. This means that up until recently, the watercourses were snow-filled and vehicles crossed them. The habitat type is common except for the three named rivers, which will have bridges. The habitat types for all culvert crossings are not rare or sensitive to disturbance. This assessment assumes full access from any and all fish typical in this area. The watersheds involved are pristine to near pristine.

February 2016 - V 2.0 – GNWT DOT

QUESTIONS	DESCRIBE YOUR ASSESSMENT		
1. Measures to avoid and mitigate	Identify measures used to avoid and mitigate impacts on fish or habitat for specific project		
impacts	component w/u/a		
Has DOT designed and located the project, and included standard or site-specific measures to avoid and mitigate impacts to fish and fish habitat?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>The four major water crossings will have bridges, some piers within the floodplain, but with the abutments a distance back from the shoreline edge. To mitigate any potential fish passage issues, DFO guidance will be incorporated into the design so that the culverts will be embedded 10% below the invert so as to negate any perching of the culverts, and will be sized as follows: <ul> <li>Culverts less than 25 m long, velocities should not exceed 1.0 m/s at 3DQ10</li> <li>Culverts greater than 25 m long, velocities should not exceed 0.8 m/s at the 3DQ10</li> <li>Culverts greater than 40 m long, velocities may be limited to 0.6 m/s at the 3DQ10</li> <li>Culverts greater than 40 m long, velocities may be limited to 0.6 m/s at the 3DQ10</li> </ul> </li> <li>The work areas will be isolated from the active channels. Standard northern mitigations such as working when the watercourse is dry or frozen solid, or using silt curtains and erosion fencing so as to provide erosion and sediment controls until the site has stabilized. Clean local fill will be used and the slopes will be stabilized to prevent erosion into the water body.</li> <li>Road alignment has been altered to allow for right angle crossings, along a straight section of watercourse, and at a narrow section of the watercourse. Road alignment has been adjusted to minimize the number of crossings.</li> <li>Use temporary crossing structures or other practices to cross streams or waterbodies with steep and highly erodible (e.g., dominated by organic materials and silts) banks and beds. For fording equipment without a temporary crossing structure, use stream bank and bed protection methods (e.g., swamp mats, pads) if minor rutting is likely to occur during fording. Cross when frozen solid.</li> </ul>		
Are there additional design/location or site-specific mitigation measures that can be applied to avoid and mitigate impacts to fish and fish habitat?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>In-water works to be restricted between July 16<sup>th</sup> to September 14<sup>th</sup> of any given year or when the crossing location is frozen solid or dry to protect all potential fish that may use this stream during their sensitive periods of their life cycle.</li> </ul>		

February 2016 - V 2.0 – GNWT DOT

2. Residual Project Impacts	Describe the residual impacts anticipated for each w/u/a	
<ul> <li>a) Following avoidance and mitigation, will there be residual impacts to CRA fish or their habitat?</li> <li>□ death of fish?</li> <li>⊠ permanent alteration to fish habitat?</li> <li>□ destruction of fish habitat?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No death of fish.</li> <li>No fish passage issues.</li> <li>No infilling of the watercourse other than fill around the new culverts.</li> <li>No channel realignments.</li> <li>No destruction of fish habitat as spanning bridges and culverts embedded enabling native substrate continuity.</li> <li>Permanent alteration of habitat at the culvert locations, but not harmful as fish passage will be maintained where required (2007 DFO Water Crossing Position Statement; DFO fish passage velocity criteria)</li> <li>Permanent infill at the bridge pier locations. None are located in any watercourse, but some will be in the floodplain.</li> <li>Stream dynamics are not destabilized due to the crossing infrastructure. Culverts are sized to accommodate fish passage and are embedded to allow native substrate to fill the culvert bottom. Bridges will span the ordinary high water mark.</li> <li>Alterations at these locations are not harmful in terms of serious harm to an</li> </ul>	
3. Considerations for Serious Harm	<b>Summary</b> – analysis used to consider whether a project is likely to cause serious harm to fish requiring an authorization.	
Is the unavoidable residual serious harm to fish likely to result in "a localized effect to fish populations or fish habitat in the vicinity of the project"?	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>There is no residual serious harm to fish.</li> <li>This type of habitat is abundant throughout that entire area and fish are likely to be minimally impacted. All watersheds involved are pristine to near pristine and habitat is not limiting in any way.</li> <li>Major water course crossings will utilize bridges.</li> </ul>	

February 2016 - V 2.0 – GNWT DOT

Assessment of Sensitivity of Fish and Fish Habitat			
Attribute	Sensitivity	Examples/Measure	Rationale for Scale Ranking
Species Sensitivity Sensitivity of species to short term change (alteration or disruption) in environmental conditions, such as suspended sediments, bottom substrate, aquatic or riparian vegetation, or water	Low	No use by fish or species present are resilient to change and perturbation (e.g. most cyprinid species);	Northern Pike and cyprinids are expected to be in many of the crossings. Arctic Gravling are expected in
	Moderate	Species present are moderately resilient to change and perturbation (e.g. bass, pike, walleye and some cyprinids)	any of the major crossings. Arctic Grayling have high sensitivity. All others moderate.
temperature.	High	Species present are highly sensitive to perturbations, temperature, etc. (e.g. many salmonidae, COSEWIC species, END / THR ESA species)	
<b>Species' Dependence on Habitat</b> Use of habitat by fish species. Some species may be able to spawn in a wide range of habitats, while others may have very specific habitat requirements (e.g. over- wintering habitat, nursery, rearing habitat).	Low	No direct use by fish; habitat has the potential to support only single-use life-cycle function (e.g. marginal spawning, migration, rearing, feeding, or over-wintering) non-specialized habitat; or Indirect / contributing habitat	Areas could be spawning or nursery habitat for Northern Pike and migratory fish such as Arctic Grayling, Northern Pike, and Burbot may require continued fish passage as it relates to existing
	Moderate	Habitat has the potential to support multiple life-cycle functions (e.g. spawning, migration, rearing, feeding, and over-wintering)	conditions.
	High	Important (e.g., site specific spawning such as upwellings) or specialized habitat (e.g., over-wintering) that is essential to the survival of species or populations. Critical Habitat for END/ THR Schedule 1 SAR. Habitat for Schedule 1 Special Concern Species	
Rarity The relative strength of a fish	Low	Habitat/species is/are prevalent and are widely distributed in the province/territory or water body where the work is being undertaken (e.g. rock bass, white sucker)	Cumulative effects are not an issue as there is very little development in this otherwise pristine area.
type of habitat. Consideration should be given to cumulative effects of all existing developments in a	Moderate	Habitat/species has/have moderate distribution confined to small areas in the province/territory or water body where the work is being undertaken	Apart from the major crossings, with this habitat type being very prevalent in the region, the fish and
water body. * Where the scale for species or habitat is different select the most appropriate scale	High	Species/habitat is rare/limiting (e.g., SC, THR and END SARA Schedule 1 species, and critical habitat under SARA COSEWIC listed species, END/THR ESA, other fish/habitat identified in Fish Management Plans);	nabitat is not considered fare.
Habitat ResiliencyHabitat resiliency refers to the ability of an aquaticecosystem to recover from changes inenvironmental conditions.Consideration of the physical characteristics of thestabilization design is important in predicting theresiliency of the affected freshwater ecosystem (i.e.preserving its function).Consider residual impacts such as the stability of theimmediate and adjacent fish habitats as a result ofthe stabilization design.	Low	Thermal regime, physical characteristics, unsuitable for fish species or warmwater baitfish systems that are stable and resilient to change – typically ephemeral and some intermittent systems where habitat is non-specialized	The watercourses range from permanently flowing to intermittent to ephemeral or braided. Most of these crossing sites would be suitable for fish. The Le Martre, Duport and James Rivers can be considered
	Moderate	Warmwater (more sensitive fish species) and coolwater systems; system is unstable, but resilient to change and perturbation. Intermittent systems with habitat that is specialized, permanent flowing warmwater systems and coldwater systems without specialized habitat	cold water but the habitat type at all the crossing locations are not specialized.
	High	Coldwater systems that cannot buffer temperature changes with specialized habitat (e.g., spawning and nursery).	

February 2016 - V 2.0 - GNWT DOT Tłįchǫ All Season Road

Assessment of Scale of Negative Effects			
Attribute	Scale	Examples/Measure	Rationale for Scale Ranking
Extent (size) Refers to the direct "footprint" of the proposal in fish habitat, including riparian areas, as well as adjacent areas that may be indirectly affected. The ecological unit where the work is being completed should be considered when assessing the extent of the project and determining the footprint size.	Low 🛛	Site or segment, localized effect (e.g. no greater than one meander wavelength); or small portion of ecological unit.	For the culvert installations, within the pristine ecological unit or eco-reach, the area in question being affected is relatively small. For the bridges at the major crossings, the extent is even smaller as they are full soan or with piers in
	Medium	Ecological unit moderately reduced in size, length of watercourse impacted – greater than one meander wavelength (e.g. channel reach or lake region)	the floodplain only.
	High	Majority of ecological unit impacted, (e.g. stream channel length reduced more than one meander wavelength) would include impacts to an entire watershed or lake	
<b>Duration</b> The amount of time that a residual effect will persist. Includes construction, re- stabilization and long term impacts (use of natural stabilization approaches will often reduce duration).	Low	Short term (days – a few weeks).	The road works are expected to be permanent.
	Medium	Medium term (months - year).	
	High	Long term (multiple years – permanent).	
Intensity The expected amount of change from the baseline condition. Intensity is a way of describing the degree of change, such as changes in shoreline processes, groundwater flow, suspended sediment, bottom substrate, aquatic and riparian vegetation, etc.	Low 🛛	Altered habitat still suitable but not as productive; or Changes to habitat productivity are acceptable as per FMP	Relative to the ecological unit or eco-reach, the intensity of change is low, as the habitat in the location of the crossings will not be affected on the major crossings, but may be marginally reduced at the smaller crossings.
	Medium	Habitat quality significantly reduced; or Changes to habitat productivity are acceptable as per FMP	
	High	Altered habitat not suitable; significant change to habitat productivity that may compromise FMP; no value compared to existing, or has been permanently removed (e.g. infilled)	

February 2016 - V 2.0 - GNWT DOT



February 2016 - V 2.0 - GNWT DOT Tł**jcho All Season Road** 

References:

1] Tłįchǫ All-season Road Project Description Report

2] DFO Fisheries Protection Program website <u>www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-ppe/fpp-ppp/index-eng.html</u>

3] DFO Water Crossing Position Statement dated April 10, 2007

4] DFO Risk Management Framework Worksheet, version January 2012

5] DFO Fisheries Protection Program Serious Harm/SAR Impacts Determination Record, November 2014, version 1.0

6] Peake, S.J. 2008. Swimming performance and behaviour of fish species endemic to Newfoundland and Labrador: A literature review for the

purpose of establishing design and water velocity criteria for fishways and culverts. Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2843: v + 52p.

7] Fish Passage Rules e-mail from Tara Schweitzer, DFO Transportation C&A office (Regina), January 29, 2015.