

Name

Name of Organization

Fax #

GRAHAM VEALE	RWED - ENV. PROTECT. SERVICE	873-0221
JANE HOWE	BHP BILLITON	669-9293
Fraser Fairman	DIAND - ECL	669-2301
SEAN Bohnet	DIAND - WRD	9-2696
Buddy Williams	DIAND - Land Admin	669-2713
KARL LAUTEN	MVLWB	873-6610
Joe Acorn	Freelagent	766-2455
SHERRY LADLEY	GNWT N+SI	920-8965
Deborah Archibald	GNWT-RWED (Industrial Initiatives)	920-3343
Clay Buchanan	GNWT-RWED "	873-7315
Colleen English	De Beers	766-7347
Denny Thomas	Fraser Milner Casgrain LLP	(780) 4237300
Timm Rochon	ton De Beers	
Rick Schryer	IER / De Beers	905 660-1060
Pat Tones	GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD.	1-306-665-3342
Stephen HARBIGHT	Golder Assoc. Ltd.	1-403-299-5600
Heslie Green	Environment Canada EPB	(867) 669-4733
STEVE MATTHEWS	Golder Associates	873-6319
GLENDIA FRATTON	RWED, GNWT	873-0293
Michael Thoms	GARTNER LEE LIMITED	(403) 250-5330
Dore Balint	NSMA	(867) 873-9176
Julie Dahl	DFO	(867) 669-4940
Francis JACKSON	DFO	669-4940
YVONNE MACNEILL	INAC - Water Resources	867 669-2666
Kevin O'Reilly	DOJ	873-0625
	CARC	421-2685

Name

Name of Organization

FAX #

TIM BYERS

Yellowknives Dene First Nation

(204) 886-6462

Tamara Hamilton

Indian & Northern Affairs

(867) 669-2701

MARK DAML

Environment Canada

(867) 662-4734

Marc Langa

Fisheries & Oceans Canada

867 669 4912

Scott Clausen

Natural Resources Canada

(613) 992-8263

Robin Johnstone

De Beers Canada Mining Inc.

(416) 291-1111

GAVIN MORE

RWSD

920-6392

Florence Catholique

Hutselk'e Dene 1st Nation

867-370-3010

Bob TURNER

North Slave Metis Alliance

867-669-7442

Janet L. Hutchison

Chamberlain Hutchison,

Counsel to the NSMA

700-423-3661


Steve Wilbur Dog Treaty 11 Council

Glenda Fratton - Gartner Lee
John Donhee online

10:10 Vern calls to order

Vern made Debut
from INAC water

2:45 Break



1

Nov Tech Session - new steps / new process

opening
Remarks

- evidence filed to date
- no Brd members present
- advance anything info without preedj
- dates for workshops to be confirmed
- purpose is to plan for workshop
 - 1 topic per workshop
 - pick topics today
 - want to know what topics warrant discussion & how arranged
 - parties pls. forward topics

NOV. 25-29

Dec 2-6
Tech
Workshop
in VR

Don't - conf. procedures via conf.

- ① time for parties/individ for quest. re procedures
- ② issues only of unit to agency they represent.

Lowie content of TS

project descrip. from Debeers → Terms of Ref →
EA Report → Conformity & IR period →
plan for tech. sessions → Tech. Reports
(key tech. findings)

IR Reports → plan for
public hearing process is separate →
hearing itself → Brd's Report of EA

(2)

Q: DeBeers - review of format for pre Leary conf

↳ ~~not~~ today need to move 1st to specific topics

↳ discussion of tech issues → Gartner Lee has provided breakdown
group topics together
group comments

A: Kern - not to specifically discuss tech issues but to determine if list is complete

JD: - particp can state position on an issue without arguing the merits of it.
↳ don't want to preclude issues

GNWT - feels broad issues defined today only
↳ then parties provide their lists after meeting

Glenda: no expertise in all areas

Janet: Rationale document → adequate time needed to review & assess this doc.

CARC - concerns with Gartner Lee issues
↳ will DeBeers want to take them off the table.

DeBeers - NOT OUR INTENTION

JDouglas - Glenda to review her document
- use Topics to organize discussion

(3)

Lutzel Ké

GSWT → issues missing from BL doc of 'Rationale'

CARE

Nov. 1 letter from Gartner Lee to RB

re lack of expertise

→ what areas are they experts in?

* → supplementary letter? would like copy

Louie we

- prioritized issues with judgement

- list of issues not on list - re areas of expertise

Janet

Brd & Brd staff

→ will Brd determine final issues for
Tech Session?

JD:

yes.

* → What will Brd base decision on and will
all parties be
able to see
this info

Yes → parties can see this info
but timelines very tight

Louie Brd trying to open
open up the process

Item 2 Agenda Louie

① Management of Sessions

- held in PK

- DeBeers wants in last week of Nov addressed to

Lutzel Ké - are tech. sessions going to be translated?

- can we exchange info at Tech Session

- TK ~~needs~~ needs more input


(4)

S Janet Hutchison TK Can be contributed at TechSession

NSMA Bob Turner - ^{Aborig. people} supported Diavik process
- when DeBeers first started this process, NSMA did request a more balanced forum that included Aborig.

NSMA Michael Thoms * - if want to make process more transparent → must move ~~to~~ TechSess. out to communities
- TK input needs to properly interpreted (Peter Usher) to be of value

DeBeers



Welcomes TK issues and agrees current paradigm is lacking this → however too late in process

Janet Hutch - Brd's STOR requires TK to be included in EA process now not next EA

J Donlee - comanagement Brd's → 1/2 are aboriginal
* workshops will be translated.

⑤

Janet Hunt ① ^{if receive} Bed expert advice, also have a TK expert identified

② dispute resolution method be put in place prior to T Sess

John D.

- Bed has a TK expert
- why need for dispute resolut. at a workshop format? Product most important.
- ↳ workshop will allow all positions to be put forth

Janet Hunt

- Bed staff to provide Bed. a Comprehensive report/transcript of T Sess workshop?

JD

yes

- tech report will not be product of workshop format
- hearing is venue for disputes.

Stere Wilbur

- purpose of Tech Session is to enable parties to submit their indiv. tech reports?

JD

yes & Hopefully some issues will be eliminated here / focussed.

NSMA

Mike Thoms Broad → Specific Issues.

- no rep. of Metis TK.
- Bed staff report to RB → won't capture TK info
- Scientist need to meet TK people

Mike
Thoms

requests

(6)

appropriate dialogue between TK & Tech. Scientists

Louie at end, Summary of Tech. agreements

* CARE summaries need to be Draft first?
for circulation

JD agrees to this

Steve Wilbur - what will RB do with these Tech. Summary agreements? Use?
from ~~summaries~~ workshops

JD
Louie

* equal weight to opposing opinion?

* - use neutral facilitator

Steve Harbicht

facilitator must have appropriate background

* requests suggestions
Louie

Peter Usher

is disqualified
due to work
with Gantner
Lee

~~CARE~~

ENTW

is there a need for
more precise questions?

Louie

timelines do not permit this

NSMA

mike - what are time limitations?

Louie workplan is set

(DeBeers timeline ^{for develop-} has not S'ed
and DeBeers

(7)

NSMA Turriplan?
as facilitator

Louie not as conflict

Louie
- unique needs?

~~Yellowknife~~
Tim Buyers

TK

Translation is essential
but difficult for translators to understand
on the spot, understand the tech issues
concepts of

Mike Thoms

- translators need to identify culture
they are speaking for

* NSMA

wants Louie to advise of consultants in
conflict.

~~Letselki~~

Strong need for workshop for
Technical Translators

DFO mark provision of definitions by each
party to translators with
simple terms

DeBeers

Issue Statement must be key/brief
* Framework for Tech Sessions

⑧

NSMA

- simple diagrams will assist translators
- translators stand next to each other

Janet Hutch What info will be put out before
Tech Sessions Nov 24?

Lowie agenda
facilitator
structure

→ Ruling by Bd on
Bd staff reports
→ release of Bd's
reasons for
refusj IR's
prior to
Tech Sessions

JD

will try to get out

will also circulate

- meeting notes from today
- info Bd. (package) re tech sessions

CARC

agenda for Tech Sess. ① → will they list
experts/parties that
wish to make
presentation.

JD

not
looking
for a lot of
new info to
be put forward
at Tech Session

② do the parties
wishing to make pres.
have to circulate a
summary prior to
Tech Session

(9) X

* Forest Hatch

- Value of Tech Sess, will be limited due to lack of consult with aboriginal communities
but having summaries prior to event would be helpful

INAC

- in advance of NOV 26? date for summaries to be distributed.

* Lutsel K'e

- lack of community resources, to meet deadlines
↳ lack of Brd in duty to ensure that proponent has done his duty by adeq. inform communities well in advance to allow for prep and full understanding of issues.

* NBMA

- duty belongs to Brd that adequate consultation be undertaken

CARC

- re: govt & provide an update on their IR response re consultation?

JP

their response is already on Public Record thru IR responses

(98)

1:30 pm Ven called to order

Louie - summary agreements doc. to be prepared
& transcripts at end of tech session
for circulation

open discussion but neutral facilitators

names to be submitted of ^{knowledge} facilitators

dis. resolution mechanism included

location in YK?

1 tech per day →

translation - knowledgeable & aware
of scientific language

DeBeers Submitted
or favorable
for T Sess

- present with presenter
- meet prior to Tech
Session, with Science
people

* audio visual - white board
- overhead proj.
- video & slide projects

TK incorporation very important
→ comm. capacity

* RUED Steve Mathews

- Tech Sess. → some should be in the
communities (i.e. wildlife)

NSMA

- socio ec
TK aquatic > in communities

(10)

NSMA Mike Thoms

Agenda #7

Louie after amended work plan → retained Gartner Lee

Glenda / Gartner Lee

- Bsd. asked for assist. in identifying ^{key} issues
- all IR were reviewed by ^{approp} team members ^{from} IR's & response

as sanctioned by the Bsd.

- summarized by workshop w mid Oct. Calgary
- draft list of issues circulated
- Issue Rationale Doc.

DeBeers - North Report: was it consider in takes at 5 deliberations / issues? list?

Glenda Yes, at IR stage

GNWT - Some IR's weren't passed thru from
- Bsd. were IR's compared to ToR?

Steve Wilbur when we will receive justif. for IR's that were declined?

Louie Soon

Tim Bayles

- each topic per day? Restrictions? by topic crossover? (inner Pisk & Caribbean)

- DeBeers
- all experts at all tech sess
 - ↳ efficient facilitation & management
 - * ^{issue topic} ~~not~~ ^{on} public info
 - with a cross over issue, DeBeers will followup after Tech Sess if req'd.

3:15

Janet not enough time before Tech Sess?

DeBeers if we ^{understand} ^{the} general issues to be at Tech Sess, and Issue Statements are submitted in timely fashion,

Glenda re: Rationale Doc

↳ Headings may be misleading, not exclusive of issues.

Mike Thoms re Sect 3 of Rationale Doc.

↳ needs rigorous discussion re linking comments together

* NRC ^{additional} geotech issue

- back fill technology → acid generated rock in base of NPile

SARC

- veg
- air quality
- energy sources

- TRS

} these don't appear to be reviewed by experts

Louie

Govt experts have looked at everything
w/ To R

~~CARC~~

wants opp. to comment on whatever
RB staff is giving to Bd. re
o/s issues TK vegetation
prior to air quality
tension- energy sources
etc.

NSMA

totally agreed.

Steve

~~Sequencing~~ of topics on Tech Sess
Agenda
is important

~~NSMA~~

NSMA Bob

Some
- will have be flexible after
leave Tech Sess, if we can
set up joint workshops with Abor.
communities.

Louie Azzolini

From: Glenda Fratton [gfratton@gartnerlee.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2002 5:21 PM
To: Louie Azzolini
Subject: Re: FW: list of consultants - GLL

Louie:

Here is the list of team members according to their discipline expertise, which may not necessarily match the topics of the Issues Rationale document exactly (see below for these matches).

Surface Water Quality - Neil Hutchinson, GLL
Groundwater/Hydrogeology - Rob Dickin, GLL
Reclamation and Mine Engineering - Tony Keen, AJ Keen Mining Consultants
Acid Rock Drainage - Eric Denhom, GLL
Aquatics - Dave Osmond, GLL
Terrain and Geology - Steve Morison, GLL
ELC and Biodiversity - Glenda Fratton, GLL
Wildlife - Patty Hogg, GLL
Cumulative Effects - Heidi Klein, GLL
Socioeconomics - Ed Weick, Consilium
Economics - Roy Ellis, independent
TK/Traditional Land Use - Peter Usher, Consilium
Geotechnical - Mark Watson, EBA Engineering

Here is the list according to the issue "topics". This list is not specific for each specific issue however (see above for company names).

1.1 Water Quality/Quantity - Rob Dickin, Neil Hutchinson, Tony Keen
1.2 Aquatic Habitat - Dave Osmond
1.3 Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat - Patty Hogg
2.0 Geotechnical - Mark Watson
3.1 Cultural Resources/Land and Resource Use - Ed Weick
3.2 Economy - Roy Ellis
3.3 Government - Ed Weick
3.4 Community - Ed Weick
4.0 Scope of Development - Tony Keen
5.0 Abandonment and Restoration - Tony Keen, Glenda Fratton
6.0 Cumulative Effects - Heidi Klein
7.0 Biodiversity - Glenda Fratton
I trust that this is what De Beers is requesting.

Glenda

Glenda Fratton, M.Sc., P.Biol.
Gartner Lee Limited
840 7th Avenue SW, Suite 1605
Calgary, Alberta T2P 3G2
Tel: (403) 262-4299 ext. 121
Fax: (403) 250-5330
e-mail: gfratton@gartnerlee.com

>>> "Louie Azzolini" <lazzolini@mveirb.nt.ca> 11/05/02 04:54PM >>>

Glenda can you please send Colleen a list of the people and their respective areas of contribution.

11/8/2002

1.1.2 Water Treatment Plant - Water Management Pond

DFO ✓

1.1.1 Water Treat Plant - Oper/Capacity

DeBeers 1.1.1/1.1.2 - ^{should be deferred} water licencing phase → details
- DeBeers will comply as per → Regulatory Issues

Steve Harbicht - need clear understanding of ^{water} capacity

~~NSMA m. & - TK concern →~~

CARC - Bld. needs to be satisfied/assured that proponent will be able to achieve?

INAC/Water resources -

DFO ✓

- don't stop of concerns as a "Reg Issue" what they said they will?

1.1.3 Groundwater - Impacts on Lakes (quantity/quality)

- DeBeers - issues are not warranted for Tech Sessi.
 - ↳ already addressed North Lake Tech report
 - requests succinct Issue Statements
- * - phosphorous issue → ^{should be} split out into
Separate
Issue
Statement
with 1.1.7

Steve Wilbur ^{DeBeers} Tech session about North Lake
↳ but no detail
* groundwater needs to be better addressed at Tech Session

Janet Hut [Issues they want to include
- baseline ground water quality levels
monitoring programs being adequate]

NRC Scott - to what extent can I provide this info
now as issue for Tech Session?

Dfo ✓

1.1.4 Groundwater - Inflows to Mine and Pumping System

DeBeers part of 1.1.1. & 1.1.2
↳ info required to substantiate require-
ment of water licence

Steve Wilbur

Janet Hunt - also want on Tech Sess

INAC - yes keep this on Tech Sess
↳ groundwater inflows

NRC also wants on

DFO ✓

1.1.5 Increase in Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) in Snap Lake

- DeBeers - ^{TDS} max levels are below legal limits → no need for Tech Sess

INAC - concerns with predictions of ground water quality

NSMA

CARC

also wants this
also wants this as never fully addressed
in Diawik project
(20km downstream)

Ran Lahter
MVHNB

agrees needs pursuing = implications
for food chain dynamics

Steve Wilbur water quality prediction or just TDS

DeBeers What's O/S → specific

Janet Hutch - aborig's needs fully understood

↓ submitted
to IRB
specifically
re: water
modelling

~~ARC~~

DFO

- want this ~ Tech Sess → settling out or deep water trout

1.1.6 Treatment of Drinking Water

*

DeBeers

- agrees it is an issue

- filtration & chlorination
will treat

↳ water treated to NWT drinky
Standards.

CARC

will DeBeers put this in writing
to the Bd

YES says Robin

1.1.7 Accuracy of Phosphorus Model

DeBeers

* part is one to provide comments to Bred so that one Tech Session can be held.

→ Strongly disagrees that their model is inaccurate in predictions
↳ but willing to discuss further at Tech Session
perdy Issue Statement

EC - clarity wanted at tech sess
INAC ✓

CARC

✓ is there a concern about effects (i.e. dissolved oxygen) on other water quality parameters?

~~Stewart Wilson~~

CARC

* Govt's should have submitted tech. expertise summaries for participants prior to tech sess

* Janet Hutch issues not put into Tech Session will still be considered in the EA

* Bred. may have to be more flexible in workplan approach in future

1.1.8 Water Quality - Impacts on North and Northeast lake

DeBeers Issue statement lacks detail

↳ feels dealt with in NLakes report
but seq. o/s ???

1.1.3 and 1.1.8
1.2.4 aquatic

✓ NRC
wants this

✱ recommend an overall NLakes
Session be held.

✓ X DFO - (4.1.9 IL) NO LONGER AN ISSUE
- 1.1.8. not an issue but maybe

1.1.3

as
focused
only on
Snap
Lake

✓ Steve Wilkin

doesn't want NLakes all
rolled into one

↳ wants aquatics separately

✓ Tim Buzers after DeBeers NLakes workshop →

→ is NEast lake only one to be monitored?

Extra Water Issues

- Tim Buzers → Sediment quality
DeBeers to provide directly

have baseline sample sites been
affected by winter access rd?
Baseline valid
cadmium levels in Snap Lake
are ↑ near winter access
rd.

INAC ① wants
- adequacy of baseline data
in Tech Session (see letter Land)
in today

* both INAC / EC & NRC / DFO
to provide clear Issue Statements
by ~~Tuesday~~ end of Week.

INAC ② _____ ?

- ③ Selection of Impact Ass criteria
- ④ impacts of effluent discharge on Snop Lake
- ⑤ phosphorous model
- ⑥ ammonia into Snop Lake

EC - treatment options selected re metals &
ammonia
- min. mixing of diffuser

NRC acidic precip. → needs more info re water levels
Be Beers - analysis was

NSMHA Joint - monitoring programs
- I.I.S. lack of chemical treatment
- impact on lakes in vicinity

DFO 3 extra issues

- ① discharge of effluents ↑ guidelines into Snop Lake
- ② impact of effluents
- ③ mixing zone / zone of dilution
- ④ biomass nutrient
- ⑤ treatment
- ⑥ metals in water food chain
- ⑦ groundwater

DeBeers to submit comments to 'Rationale' Doc by Tues.

1.2 Topic - Aquatic Habitat

INAC - Lake Sediments → dissolved metals. of effluent discharge
↳ how does this affect Sediment

BFO - complete ID of all fish habitat not just spawning

NSMA - monitoring of fish habitat? info lacking
- disconnected between baseline's predictions
- TR involved in monitoring?
- (4.11.12 IR from NSMA)
- NLS NLG baseline data?
- sampling methodology
 ↳ spawning areas
- lake level fluctuations
- Admin of Snap Lake
- wetlands SE of Service Complex

Steve Wilbur re: 3.4.7(a) IR response

- 20% threshold
↳ more robust analysis pertinent to North rather than South
↳ ecosystem function of aquatic habitat

1.2.1 Impact on Lake Sediments

1.2.2 Lake Level Fluctuations

1.2.3 Inadequate Baseline Information on Lake in Headwaters of S-27

1.2.4 Pore Water Quality/Northeast Lake Habitat

1.3 Topic - Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat

GNWT Gavin → at Tech Session

— section re org "species by species"

(Caribou), (grizzly), polar bear, (Wolverines), (raptors),

Steve Wilby

agrees re sections but
want Raptors kept / blasting

DeBeers

~~has~~ needs Statement
Plan

↓
no longer
need
discussion

→ can provide Steve raptor info
rather than Tech Session

Tim Buyers also concerned re raptors & game birds
during nesting.

CARC

— Gartner Lee does not have any of issues
on game birds?

does GNWT have any of issues?

- migrat water fowl
- ~~game~~ ^{song} birds
- upland birds

Can Wildy
Serve
via EC
is satisfied

GNWT
Gavin will call
CARC

DeBeers wants specific re species

NSMA absence of adequate monitoring plans including
TK in design

1.3.1 Lack of Wildlife Baseline Information

1.3.2 Wildlife Study Design

1.3.3 On-site Wildlife Management

1.3.4 Waste Management

SNWT Gavin → put waste manag under
a diff category
↳ waste manag combined with
contain, for examples
pollution
control

1.3.5 Wildlife Training

2.0 Section - Geotechnical

NRC - Thermal condition North pile
- climate data re Δ in air temp. $\rightarrow$ many trends
-

INAC - thermal modelling & properties
- Cop's issues discharge of pile
- ditch design, slopes stability.

DeBeers Sub issue under North Pile?

Steve - contain berms $\rightarrow$ made of
- waste mang. contig. plan.

NRC - permafrost
- Ground thermal regime
- water mang pond.
- Contig. to winter rd & other winter rd. impacts

Clar. in Issue Statement.

2.1 Topic - North Pile - Residual Fe/ARD

2.2 Topic - North Pile - Slope Stability, Rate of Freeze Back/Seepage Pressures

3.0 Section - Social, Economic and Cultural Components

Cultural Resources / Land Use

3.1 Topic - Cultural Resources/Land and Resource Use

De Beers general concern re Issues vs. IR. 6/5

GNWT Gavin (1) benefits of Tech reports as a wrap up

(2) benefit ec/social ec → working Relationships for future

NSMA Land & Resource use & pressures.

- cumulative effects
- ↑ in workers bring ↑ comm. pressures.

↑ pressures on fisheries, recreation, caribou
 ↳ not considered in EA's of these large projects
 * Spatial Scoping

Should be included in Tech Session

Mike Thom - Sustain ec develop } ↑ than 31 km Radius
 cumulative effects

Wants this resolved prior to approval of project

- (6) Infrastructure
- (7) Wage vs. trade ec.

- (1) adequacy of baseline data re Socio ~~economic~~ impacts on communities
- (2) concern public consultation process "triangulation methodology" & aborig. input
- (3) Heritage Resources

(5) sustained heart of EA

↳ must after De Beers Snap Lake Diamond Project Pre-technical Session Conference

De Beers will discuss

↳ met's left out of heritage on-site archaeological assessment

3.1.1 Accommodating Cultural Practices

General 3.0

* ENWT Gavin many more topics that could be discussed under Social, Ec & Cultural

Janet To R important but not only criteria ^{that is} important relevant to aborig communities.

CARC does not feel that Gartner Lee did adeq. assess of Socio ec. issues

- Pres. may need to learn from ENWT about how they intend to deal with Socio/ec issues

3.1.2 Adequacy of Mitigation

3.2 Topic - Economy

3.2.1 Prediction of and Commitment to Northern Benefits

3.2.2 Adequacy of Economic Data (Statistical Information)

3.2.2.1 Other Operating Surplus

3.2.2.2 Inconsistent Labour/Employment Data

3.2.2.3 Tax Benefits

3.3 Topic - Government (Municipal/Territorial/Federal/First Nations)

CARC What's govt going to do with revenue from this project?

Janet examination of obligations being met?

3.3.1 Adequacy of Regional (highways, education, hospitals) and Community Infrastructure

3.4 Topic - Community

DeBeers 'fly in fly out':

↳ Gartner Lee's summary is incorrect.

- commitment from DeBeers is there
↳ mitigation has been adopted
resolved.

CARC

- IR → ^{does} ~~is~~ Rae Edzo airport opening & anything

~~is~~ change in unit to Bed

DeBeers

YES

GNWT ^{does} ~~feel~~ it is an issue 'fly in fly out' women?
Catchment community def. is not included in DeBeers mitigation

3.4.1 Adequacy of Mitigation Measures - Continued Consultation/Liaison

3.4.2 Adequacy of Mitigation Measures - Fly-in/fly-out, Directly to Communities

4.0 Section - Scope of Development

DeBeers - 3,000 tons per day

4.1 Topic - Confirmation of Production Rates

5.0 Section - Abandonment and Restoration

Tim Buyers - re-vegetation is part of reclamation
- 'Yellowknives' would like to see
how DeBeers will measure success
of re-vegetation

NSMA - re-vegetation

CARC - re-veg. approach ^{see} & an example of
a successful mine reed. re-veg

[Steve Wilbur - ^{no interest in} air quality? Did IR's handle
this sufficiently?
GNWT Gavin - will be in an issue statement

5.1 Topic - Reclamation/Closure Planning

5.2 Topic - Revegetation

6.0 Section - Cumulative Impacts

Janet - add a Sub Leading for monitoring
- TK Sub Leading under all categories.

✓ HAND kept monitoring on agenda

✓ EC

CARC broader issues
- vegetation
- TK

Steve Wilbur - 6.1 needs to be dealt with in depth

- cum. impacts as a broad topic
↳ or under each specific Category.

6.1 Topic - Methodology

6.1.1 General CEA Methodology

6.1.2 Consideration of Other Developments

6.2 Topic - COSEWIC Wildlife Species

6.3 Topic - Employment Predictions

7.0 Section - Biodiversity

DeBeers no requirement ToR for
us to consider this → so we
stand by what info we did
provide in good faith.

Janet - feel this is an issue

Steve - cumulative impacts on regional scale.

NSMA to give DeBeers an Issue Statement.
Janet

CARC this has to be an issue as endangered
species already involved

7.1 Topic - Methodology/Scope