

National Energy
Board



Office national
de l'énergie

OUTGOING FACSIMILE - MESSAGE À EXPÉDIER

**Message To/
Destinataire :**

See distribution and copy lists second page.

**Facsimile Number/
Numéro de télécopieur :**

See distribution and copy lists second page.

**Message From/
Expéditeur :**

Laura Van Ham, Environmental Specialist

**Number of pages (including cover page)/
Nombre de pages (incluant la page couverture) :** 16

Remarks/Commentaires :

Re: Paramount Resources Ltd. Cameron Hills Extension Project

Please review the enclosed documents. If you do not receive these documents clearly, please contact Laura Van Ham (403-292-4931).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "L. Van Ham".

If you do not receive this message clearly please call operator at

Communiquez avec auteur au si le message reçu n'est pas clair

444 Seventh Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 0X8

444, Septième Avenue S.-O.
Calgary (Alberta) T2P 0X8

Canada

Telephone/Téléphone : (403) 292-4800
Facsimile/Télécopieur : (403) 292-5503
<http://www.neb-one.gc.ca>

National Energy
Board



Office national
de l'énergie

Distribute to:

Paramount Resources Ltd.
Ms. Shirley Maaskant
Regulatory and Community Affairs Coordinator
Email: Shirley.maaskant@paramountres.com

Deh Cho First Nation
Mr. Herb Norwegian
Grand Chief
Fax: 867-695-2038

K'atlodeeche First Nation
Mr. Roy Fabian
Chief
Fax: 867-874-3229

West Point First Nations
Ms. Karen Felker
Chief
Fax: 867-874-2486

Fort Providence Metis Local 57
Mr. Albert Lafferty
President
Fax: 867-699-4319

Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation
Mr. Lloyd Chicot
Chief
Fax: 867-825-2002

Deh Gah Got'ie First Nation
Mr. Greg Nyuli
Fax: 867-699-3210

Fort Providence Resource Management Board
Mr. Rick Sanderson
Fax: 867-699-3133

Northwest Territories Metis Nation
Mr. Rob Tordiff
President
Fax: 867-872-2772

Government of Northwest Territories
Resources, Wildlife and Economic Development
Mr. Gavin More
Environmental Assessment Analyst
Email: gavin_more@gov.nt.ca

Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Mr. Bruce Hanna
Habitat Biologist
Email: HannaB@DFO-MPO.GC.CA

Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
Mr. Fraser Fairman
Environmental Scientist
Email: fairmanf@inac-ainc.gc.ca

Environment Canada
Mr. Wade Romanko
Emergencies and Assessment Officer
Email: wade.romanko@ec.gc.ca

Copy to:

Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact
Review Board
Ms. Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips
Environmental Assessment Officer
Email: Kimberley@mveirb.nt.ca

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board
Mr. Stephen Mathyk
Regulatory Officer
Fax: 867-873-6610

If you do not receive this message clearly please call operator at

Communiquez avec auteur au si le message reçu n'est pas clair

444 Seventh Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 0X8

444, Septième Avenue S.-O.
Calgary (Alberta) T2P 0X8

Canada

Telephone/Téléphone : (403) 292-4800
Facsimile/Télocopieur : (403) 292-5503
<http://www.neb-one.gc.ca>



NEB File: 2620-D-4-7
Review Board File: EA03-005

13 September 2004

DISTRIBUTION LIST (ATTACHMENT I)

**Paramount Resources Ltd. Cameron Hills Extension Project
Request for Comment on the National Energy Board Draft Proposed Conditions and
Proposed Modifications to the Recommendation**

The National Energy Board (NEB) has received and reviewed the Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision on the Paramount Resources Ltd. (Paramount) Cameron Hills Extension Project (Report) dated 1 June 2004 prepared by the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board (Review Board). The Report contains a recommendation (Recommendation) that approval of the Cameron Hills Extension Project (Development) be made subject to the imposition of the measures contained in the Report.

Paramount will be required by the *Canada Oil and Gas Operations Act* (COGOA) to obtain authorizations from the NEB for the various activities and facilities necessary for the Development. Paramount has not yet applied for any of these authorizations. In anticipation of the receipt of applications, the NEB is considering modifying the Recommendation.

Because the NEB will be required to carry out any Recommendation that it adopts, likely through conditions on the COGOA authorization, the NEB has decided to provide an opportunity to Paramount and the parties to the Environmental Assessment (EA) to comment on the draft proposed conditions and the proposed modification. The details of the proposed modification, the reasons for it and the draft conditions that could address the Recommendation as modified are contained in Attachment II to this letter. Any comments received will be considered in deciding on any modification to the Recommendation and the conditions.

If parties wish to provide comments on the proposed modification to the Recommendation or on the draft conditions, those comments must be in writing and be received in accordance with the following schedule:

1. Paramount's comments are to be provided to the NEB, the Review Board and the other parties to the EA by 4:00 pm mountain standard time on 22 September 2004.
2. The comments of parties to the EA other than Paramount are to be provided to the NEB, the Review Board and to Paramount by 4:00 pm mountain standard time on 1 October 2004.
3. Paramount's reply comments are to be provided to the NEB, to the Review Board and to all other parties to the EA by 4:00 pm mountain standard time on 8 October 2004.

.../2.

13 September 2004

Please send your comments to Mr. T.M. Baker, Chief Conservation Officer of the NEB at the fax number provided on the previous page. The NEB will then consider the information that it has received, make any alterations to the proposed modification and will then consult with the Review Board as required by sections 131 and 3 of the *Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act*.

If you have any questions with respect to the process described above, please contact Andrew Hudson, Legal Counsel for the NEB at (403) 299-2708. If you have any questions with respect to the information contained in Attachment II to this letter, please contact Laura Van Ham, Environmental Specialist for the NEB at (403) 292-4931.

Yours truly,



T.M. Baker
Chief Conservation Officer

Attachments (2)

c.c. Ms. Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips, Environmental Assessment Officer, Mackenzie Valley
Environmental Impact Review Board, Email: Kimberley@mveirb.nt.ca

Mr. Stephen Mathyk, Regulatory Officer, Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board, fax: 867-
873-6610

Distribution List – Parties to the Environmental Assessment

Paramount Resources Ltd.

Ms. Shirley Maaskant
Regulatory and Community Affairs
Coordinator
Email: Shirley.maaskant@paramountres.com

Deh Cho First Nation

Mr. Herb Norwegian
Grand Chief
Fax: 867-695-2038

K'atlodeeche First Nation

Mr. Roy Fabian
Chief
Fax: 867-874-3229

West Point First Nations

Ms. Karen Felker
Chief
Fax: 867-874-2486

Fort Providence Metis Local 57

Mr. Albert Lafferty
President
Fax: 867-699-4319

Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation

Mr. Lloyd Chicot
Chief
Fax: 867-825-2002

Deh Gah Got'ie First Nation

Mr. Greg Nyuli
Fax: 867-699-3210

Fort Providence Resource Management Board

Mr. Rick Sanderson
Fax: 867-699-3133

Northwest Territories Metis Nation

Mr. Rob Tordiff
President
Fax: 867-872-2772

**Government of Northwest Territories
Resources, Wildlife and Economic
Development**

Mr. Gavin More
Environmental Assessment Analyst
Email: gavin_more@gov.nt.ca

Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Mr. Bruce Hanna
Habitat Biologist
Email: HannaB@DFO-MPO.GC.CA

Indian and Northern Affairs Canada

Mr. Fraser Fairman
Environmental Scientist
Email: fairmanf@inac-ainc.gc.ca

Environment Canada

Mr. Wade Romanko
Emergencies and Assessment Officer
Email: wade.romanko@ec.gc.ca

**National Energy Board Draft Proposed Conditions and Modifications to the
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board Recommended Measures (EA03-005)
for the Paramount Resources Ltd. Cameron Hills Extension Project**

Prepared by the National Energy Board¹

The Proposal for the Development:

The National Energy Board (NEB) considers the proposal for the development to be that included in the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board's (MVLWB) referral to the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board (Review Board) for environmental assessment (EA), specifically:

The application by Paramount Resources Ltd. to the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board for amendments to a Type 'A' Land Use Permit (MV2002A0046) and Type 'B' Water Licence (MV2002L1-007) for a 5 Well Drilling and Tie-in Project.

Currently, there is no application before the NEB for proposed development in the Paramount Cameron Hills field². However, Paramount would be required to apply to the NEB for an authorization in order to carry out the development proposed in the application to the MVLWB. The NEB is responsible for carrying out, to the extent of its authority, the adopted recommended measures referred to in the Review Board's recommendation on EA03-005 in any NEB authorization issued for the proposal for the development described above. The NEB would accomplish this through a future authorization or as a component of environmental protection for the existing oil and gas activity in the Cameron Hills field.

Subject to modification, the recommended measures would have relevance to all potential development activity in the Cameron Hills field. Accordingly, the NEB would examine the suitability of implementing the intent of the recommended measures should additional application(s) be received.

General Comment on the Recommended Measures:

In general, the NEB agrees with the intent of the Review Board's recommended measures. However, detail within each measure is sometimes incompatible with the NEB's standard operating procedures and/or jurisdiction. Given the scope of the EA, some of the recommended measures have been modified to allow for incorporating changes to industry and regulator best practice that will occur over the life of the Cameron Hills field.

The NEB's proposed modifications to the recommended measures are based on a goal oriented approach involving mechanisms to:

- track responsible field development (i.e., non-compliance, environmental protection, monitoring and remediation);
- ensure reporting to appropriate government agencies and members of the public, and opportunity for other public and government agencies to access reports; and,
- incorporate change to industry and regulator best practice over the life of the field development.

¹ Incorporates comments from the Federal and/or Responsible Ministers with jurisdiction or expertise related to the recommended measure.

² The Paramount Cameron Hills field includes all of Paramount's Significant Discovery Licence (SDL) and Production Licence (PL) areas in that field.

Highly prescriptive conditions should be avoided unless they are technically justified and essential for environmental protection. NEB, and other agency, standard operating procedures during inspection, audits and appropriate enforcement actions would identify issues and corrective action in Paramount's commitment compliance, environmental protection, monitoring, remediation and reporting systems.

Purpose of the Request for Comment Process

If the NEB adopts, with or without modification, the recommended measures presented by the MVEIRB, the NEB is then responsible, to the extent of its authority, for ensuring these measures are carried out. These measures will become the basis for conditions the NEB will issue on existing or applied for oil and gas activity in the Cameron Hills field. After consideration of the recommended measures, and the NEB's proposed modifications to them, the NEB has found that a number of non-standard conditions are likely required to carry out the recommended measures. The nature of the conditions and the modifications to the recommended measures has led to the NEB's decision to request comment from those directly affected parties identified during the EA process. The NEB will consider comments received on both the draft proposed conditions and the modifications to the recommended measures, then finalize the proposed modifications document and consult with the MVEIRB. The proposed conditions will be finalized after completion of the consultation with the MVEIRB and be issued to Paramount through future authorizations or as requirements under the NEB's regulations.

Discussion Outline:

The discussion for each recommended measure is divided into three sections:

Review Board Recommended Measure – provides original wording, with problematic segments underlined and numbered.

Proposed Modification – the NEB's proposed modification. The proposed modifications incorporate comments from the Federal and/or Responsible Ministers with jurisdiction or expertise related to the recommended measure.

Rationale for the Proposed Modification – provides rationale for modification correlated with number segments of the Review Board recommended measure.

The NEB draft proposed conditions are presented following the recommended measures discussion. Each proposed condition is correlated to the numbered recommended measure(s) that it is intended to address. Proposed conditions will either be issued with a future authorization or as a component of environmental protection planning and environmental reporting required under the NEB's Production and Conservation Regulations.

RECOMMENDED MEASURE 2

Review Board Recommended Measure:

The Review Board recommends that Paramount prepare a report within 12 months and thereafter, annually, until the developments on the SDL¹ are abandoned and restored, for distribution in plain language to the parties in this EA.² This report will outline the implementation status³ of each commitment made during the course of this EA, as set out in Appendix A.⁴

Proposed Modification:

The Review Board recommends that Paramount prepare a report in plain language within 12 months and thereafter, annually, until the developments on the Cameron Hills SDL(s) and PL(s) are abandoned and restored that outlines the status of compliance with commitments Paramount made during the course of this EA. In the event of non-compliance, the report will provide a plan for achieving compliance or detail as to why compliance cannot be achieved. Paramount will submit the annual report to appropriate regulatory agencies and make it readily available through an approved distribution medium to other members of government and the public.

Rationale for the Proposed Modification:

1. Location reference – Stating SDL excludes portions of the Cameron Hills field that have been converted to PL. Proposed modification is more inclusive.
2. Reporting – It is a given that throughout the life of the development, report requirements, distribution mediums and recipients will undergo substantial change. The proposed modifications to statements regarding reports are intended to ensure that the right people receive copies of the appropriate information reported on a suitable schedule, and that all interested persons have reasonable ease of access to this information. The modifications also allow regulators the necessary liberty to adapt authorization conditions with respect to reporting. Regulators would decide and/or approve reporting and distribution requirements on an issue by issue basis, and these requirements would evolve as technology changes and the field development proceeds.
3. Implementation status – The NEB attaches standard conditions to authorizations/approvals that require companies to comply with all commitments made during the course of the environmental review and a senior official of the company to report to the NEB that the development is in compliance or provide detail on the non-compliances. The desired end result of the conditions is to ensure that:
 - no hazardous non-compliances occur, as a result of not implementing proposed mitigation, that would cause potential adverse environmental effects (measured by inspection, audit and receipt of company compliance letter);
 - accountability of condition compliance is with a senior official of the company; and
 - the NEB receives notification of and reasons for non-compliance to conditions.

Non-compliance is either reported by the company or discovered through the NEB's standard operating procedures, including condition compliance tracking, construction and operation inspections and audits during the life of development. Evolved through experience, the combination of the standard conditions and operating procedures enable the NEB to focus on the issue (i.e., non-compliance) and provides an efficient system for bringing the company back into compliance.

4. List of commitments – The list of commitments in Appendix A does not include all the commitments made by Paramount during the course of the EA. The proposed modification is more inclusive.

RECOMMENDED MEASURE 3

Review Board Recommended Measure:

The Review Board recommends that prior to the issuance of any further licenses or permits¹ Paramount install a meteorological station (at minimum must monitor wind speed, wind direction and temperature)² in the Cameron Hills SDL to gather baseline data related to its development. Meteorological data will be provided annually to air quality staff of GNWT-RWED and Environment Canada along with a detailed re-modeling of Paramount's various development scenarios to ensure onsite meteorological conditions are reflected in the modeled outputs.^{3, 4, 5}

Proposed Modification:

The Review Board recommends that Paramount install meteorological monitoring equipment in the Cameron Hills SDL and PL over the winter of 2004/2005 (at minimum must monitor and record wind speed, wind direction, standard deviation of wind direction and temperature on an instantaneous, continuous basis). The purpose of the monitoring equipment is to provide on-site meteorological information for inclusion in subsequent re-assessments (dispersion modeling) of facility emissions as well as on-going tracking and assessment of air pollution episodes should they occur. Following collection of sufficient meteorological data, Paramount will undertake a detailed re-modeling of the various development scenarios to ensure on-site meteorological conditions are reflected in the modeled outputs. Meteorological data and re-modeled development scenarios will be provided to appropriate government agencies, and made readily available through an approved distribution medium to other members of government and the public. The requirement to maintain and report on-site meteorological monitoring will be reviewed on a regular basis by the appropriate government agencies.

Rationale for the Proposed Modification:

1. Timing - The NEB suggests that the issue is for Paramount to accurately model its development scenarios and track ongoing emissions in order to ensure, at a minimum, that relevant air quality standards are met or operational changes are implemented to reduce emissions to meet aforementioned standards. The NEB's proposed modification ensures this is achieved in a reasonable timeframe without unnecessary delay in the application process and even without additional application for field development. The NEB could carry out this recommended measure either through an authorization or as a component of environmental protection planning required under its Production and Conservation Regulations. This requirement would remain on the PL until such time that the NEB, in consultation with appropriate federal and/or territorial government air quality staff, decides that current and expected future emissions in the Cameron Hills field are well within the acceptable limits of relevant air quality standards.
2. Meteorological requirements – More detail added to better reflect the data collection processes necessary to provide information for dispersion modeling.
3. Purpose – Describes the intent of the recommendation.
4. Reporting – It is a given that throughout the life of the development, report requirements, distribution mediums and recipients will undergo substantial change. The proposed modifications to statements regarding reports are intended to ensure that the right people receive copies of the appropriate information reported on a suitable schedule, and that all interested persons have reasonable ease of access to this information. The modifications also allow regulators the necessary liberty to adapt authorization conditions with respect to reporting. Regulators would decide and/or approve reporting and distribution requirements on an issue by issue basis, and these requirements would evolve as technology changes and the field development proceeds.

For this particular recommended measure, re-modeling of the development scenarios is not necessary on an annual basis. Rather, the development scenarios can be re-modeled once sufficient on-site meteorological data has been collected. The need to conduct further re-modeling of the development scenarios would be determined by appropriate government agencies as development of the field progresses.

5. Timeframe for monitoring - The NEB will, in consultation with appropriate federal and/or territorial government air quality staff, periodically over the life of the field evaluate the justification for this recommended measure based on current and expected future operations, the evaluation of re-modeled development scenarios and results from ambient gas monitoring.

DRAFT

RECOMMENDED MEASURE 4

Review Board Recommended Measure:

The Review Board recommends that Paramount install a continuous¹ gas analysis monitoring system to track ambient air quality (at minimum 1 hour SO₂ and NO₂)¹ and provide the data to the general public via website, to be updated no less than monthly if a live connection is not available.² Annual reports on the status of the air quality at Cameron Hills will be provided by Paramount to all potentially affected communities² and government in a plain language document throughout the life³ of the Paramount operations at Cameron Hills.

Proposed Modification:

The Review Board recommends that Paramount install instantaneous, continuous gas analysis monitoring over the winter of 2004/2005 to track ambient air quality (at minimum 1 hour average SO₂, NO_x and H₂S concentrations should be calculated and recorded). Data and plain language annual reports on the status of the air quality at Cameron Hills will be provided by Paramount to appropriate government agencies and made readily available through an approved distribution medium to other members of government and the public. The requirement to maintain ambient air quality monitoring will be reviewed on a regular basis by the appropriate government agencies.

Rationale for the Proposed Modification:

1. Detail added to clarify components of an ambient air quality monitoring program that were determined to be appropriate for the Cameron Hills field (based on government discussions and public interest) and timing for installation of the equipment.
2. Reporting – It is a given that throughout the life of the development, report requirements, distribution mediums and recipients will undergo substantial change. The proposed modifications to statements regarding reports are intended to ensure that the right people receive copies of the appropriate information reported on a suitable schedule, and that all interested persons have reasonable ease of access to this information. The modifications also allow regulators the necessary liberty to adapt authorization conditions with respect to reporting. Regulators would decide and/or approve reporting and distribution requirements on an issue by issue basis, and these requirements would evolve as technology changes and the field development proceeds.
3. Timeframe for monitoring – The NEB can not find justification to condition this throughout the life of the field (i.e., if it is demonstrated that operations are well within the acceptable limits of relevant air quality standards, and no further operational changes are anticipated that would change this, monitoring may no longer be required). The NEB will, in consultation with appropriate federal and/or territorial government air quality staff, periodically over the life of the field evaluate the justification for this recommended measure based on current and expected future operations, the evaluation of re-modeled development scenarios and results from ambient gas monitoring.

RECOMMENDED MEASURES 5 and 6

Review Board Recommended Measure 5:

The Review Board recommends that Paramount install an amine fuel sweetening unit at the Central Battery (H-03) location prior to bringing any further wells online or pipe in sweet fuel from outside Cameron Hills, as per Paramount's original development plan.¹

Review Board Recommended Measure 6:

The Review Board recommends that any further combustion engines being installed for line heaters and pumpjacks at the Cameron Hills operation must use the sweetened fuel or an alternate source of no sulphur fuel.¹

Proposed Modification:

The Review Board recommends that prior to any new production from the Cameron Hills field, Paramount submit to regulatory agencies, for approval, and appropriate federal and/or territorial government air quality staff an emission mitigation plan for the Cameron Hills field. The emission mitigation plan will detail:

- a strategy for demonstrating that current and future SO₂, NO_x and H₂S emissions in the Cameron Hills field will not result in exceedences of relevant air quality standards;
- a statement describing Paramount's commitment to minimizing emissions from facilities in the Cameron Hills field;
- a contingency plan that includes a comprehensive listing and a decision tree for selection of all possible mitigation options to be implemented in the event that SO₂, NO_x and H₂S emissions in the Cameron Hills field result in measured or predicted exceedences of relevant air quality standards, the contingency plan will outline:
 - the mitigation options (e.g., pollution prevention planning, best management/environmental practices, best available technology, etc.) currently employed and proposed future options, along with triggers and/or timelines for implementation, and
 - the mitigation options considered and rejected, along with rationale for rejection.

Paramount should be required to review and update the plan on a regular basis throughout the life of the Cameron Hills field.

Rationale for the Proposed Modification:

1. The NEB suggests that the issue is to ensure, at a minimum, that in the Cameron Hills field, relevant air quality standards are met or operational changes are implemented to reduce emissions to meet aforementioned standards. The NEB does not find technical justification for applying conditions to meet recommended measures 5 and 6 at this stage of the Cameron Hills field development. Recommended measures 5 and 6 are prescriptive and do not reflect the need for an air quality strategy throughout the life of the field development. The proposed modification captures what the NEB believes to be the intent of recommended measures 5 and 6 by ensuring that field emissions are maintained well within the limits of relevant air quality standards. The proposed modification also requires advance planning for implementing operational changes and allows for appropriately timed and innovative modifications to future developments within the field.

In the past, the NEB has imposed conditions that require applicants to provide evidence that emissions are maintained within relevant air quality standards. If not within relevant standards, the NEB has the option to shut-down portions of an operation until evidence is provided to show

otherwise. Where warranted, the NEB intends to impose similar conditions on future authorizations/approvals.

DRAFT

RECOMMENDED MEASURE 9

Review Board Recommended Measure:

The Review Board recommends that Paramount continue to monitor all work sites for erosion, and take appropriate measures in advance to avoid such problems. The Review Board recommends appropriate erosion mitigation measures be identified in advance¹ and authorized by the NEB and INAC inspectors, and that any remediation of sites be documented and reported to regulators and the Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation on a quarterly basis.³

Proposed Modification:

The Review Board recommends continued monitoring for erosion by Paramount of all work sites, and the implementation of appropriate mitigation and remediation measures. Regulators should include appropriate permit conditions to prevent and remediate erosion. Paramount should be required to submit to regulatory agencies, and make readily available to the public and other government agencies, annual reports detailing remediation measures taken by Paramount in response to sediment deposits in water bodies and erosion. Any deposit of sediment into a water body / watercourse should require Paramount to immediately implement remediation measures and notify the appropriate government agencies and affected First Nations.

Rationale for the Proposed Modification:

1. Proposed modification clarifies responsibility for identification of mitigation measures.
2. Distribution of data and reports – The proposed modifications to statements regarding distribution of reports throughout the recommended measures are intended to ensure that the right people receive copies of the appropriate reports. It is a given that throughout the life of the development, reporting requirements, distribution mediums and report recipients will undergo substantial change. As such, the intent of the proposed modification is to ensure that interested parties are provided with reasonable ease of access to reports through an appropriate medium (e.g., website, hardcopy mail-out, or equivalent contemporary medium) while allowing regulators the necessary liberty to adapt authorization conditions with respect to reporting. That being said, deposits into a waterbody or watercourse should require immediate reporting to Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Environment Canada and the affected First Nations.

**National Energy Board Draft Proposed Conditions to address
 Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board Recommended Measures for the
 Paramount Resources Ltd. Cameron Hills Extension Project – EA03-005**

Measure	NEB Draft Proposed Conditions
1, 8, 10	<p>1. Unless the Chief Conservation Officer otherwise directs, the applicant shall implement or cause to be implemented all of the policies, practices, mitigative measures, recommendations and procedures for the protection of the environment referred to in the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board Environmental Assessment process (EA 03-005), its application and any subsequent filings made in support thereof, and its undertakings to other regulatory agencies.</p>
3, 4, 5, 6, 9	<p>2. For the time period that the Chief Conservation Officer, in consultation with appropriate federal and/or territorial government air quality staff, determines is appropriate, the applicant shall as a component of environmental protection planning required under section 60. of the Production and Conservation Regulations ensure that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. a qualified air quality specialist install over the winter of 2004/2005 and maintain in the Cameron Hills SDL(s) and PL(s), <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. meteorological monitoring equipment to monitor and record wind speed, wind direction, standard deviation of wind direction and temperature on an instantaneous continuous basis, ii. instantaneous, continuous gas analysis monitoring to track ambient air quality, including, at a minimum, calculation and recording of 1 hour average SO₂, NO₂ and H₂S concentrations; b. following Chief Conservation Officer approval of sufficient collection of meteorological data as per condition 2.a.i., detailed re-modeling of the various development scenarios for the Cameron Hills field is undertaken by a qualified air quality specialist; c. plain language annual reports on that status of air quality at Cameron Hills, incorporating the data collected as per condition 2.a.ii., are prepared by a qualified air quality specialist; d. data, re-modeling and reports collected or prepared as per conditions 2.a., b., and c. are made readily available to the public and other government agencies in a manner approved by the Chief Conservation Officer; e. an environmental protection plan is submitted to the Chief Conservation Officer, that outlines, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. current mitigation, monitoring and remediation commitments with respect to environmental protection for all construction and operation activities to be carried out in the Cameron Hills field, ii. a plan for notification of government agencies and other affected parties in the event of an unforeseen construction or operational incident that affects components of the environment, and, iii. a contemporary Cameron Hills field emission mitigation plan that details, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a strategy for demonstrating that current and future SO₂, NO_x and H₂S emissions in the Cameron Hills field will not result in exceedences of relevant air quality standards,

Measure	NEB Draft Proposed Conditions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a statement describing Paramount's commitment to minimizing emissions from facilities in the Cameron Hills field, • a contingency plan that includes a comprehensive listing and a decision tree for selection of all possible mitigation options to be implemented in the event that SO₂, NO_x and H₂S emissions in the Cameron Hills field result in measured or predicted exceedences of relevant air quality standards, the contingency plan will outline, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⇒ the mitigation options (e.g., pollution prevention planning, best management/environmental practices, best available technology, etc.) currently employed and proposed future options, along with triggers and/or timelines for implementation, and ⇒ the mitigation options considered and rejected, along with rationale for rejection; f. Data, re-modeling, reports or plans collected or prepared as per conditions 2.a., b., c. and e.iii. are submitted to the Chief Conservation Officer and air quality staff at Environment Canada and the Government of Northwest Territories in a manner approved by the Chief Conservation Officer; and, g. in the event of any deposit of sediment into a water body / watercourse, it immediately implement remediation measures and notify the Chief Conservation Officer, appropriate government agencies and affected First Nations.
3, 4, 5, 6	<p>3. For a time period that the Chief Conservation Officer, in consultation with appropriate federal and/or territorial government air quality staff, determines is appropriate, the applicant shall by 1 June of each year provide evidence to the Chief Conservation Officer that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. current SO₂, NO_x and H₂S emissions in the Cameron Hills field do not result in exceedences of relevant air quality standards; and, b. cumulative sulphur emissions from all sources in the Cameron Hills field do not exceed 1.0 tonne/day.
2, 9	<p>4. The applicant shall include in the annual environment report submitted to the Chief Conservation Officer for the Cameron Hills field (section 88. of the Production and Conservation Regulations):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. a confirmation, by an officer of the company, that the approved facilities were completed and constructed in compliance with all applicable conditions in this [authorization (or approval)]. If compliance with any of these conditions cannot be confirmed, the officer of the company shall file with the Chief Conservation Officer details as to why compliance cannot be confirmed; and, b. a description of all environmental remediation measures taken by Paramount in the Cameron Hills field during the course of the previous year.
2, 9	<p>5. Unless otherwise directed by the Chief Conservation Officer, the applicant shall ensure that the annual environmental report prepared as per condition 4. is made readily available to the public and other government agencies in a manner approved by the Chief Conservation Officer.</p>