From: Alan Ehrlich

Sent: August-10-12 4:34 PM

To: Shannon Hayden (shayden@reviewboard.ca)

Subject: FW: Re: Follow up Questions for FCSAP on Perpetual Care

Attachments: FCSAP Perpetual Care Questions.docx

For the Giant PR, of course.

From: kevin o'reilly [mailto:kor@theedge.ca]

Sent: August-09-12 8:48 AM

To: Alan Ehrlich

Subject: Fwd: Re: Follow up Questions for FCSAP on Perpetual Care

Alan

I think it would be wise to file the e-mail and attachment I sent below on the Giant Mine public registry. Thanks.

Kevin

```
---- Original Message -----
```

From: kevin o'reilly <<u>kor@theedge.ca</u>>
Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2012 22:37

Subject: Re: Follow up Questions for FCSAP on Perpetual Care

To: "Sparks,Amy [Yel]" < My.Sparks@EC.gc.ca

Cc: Alan Ehrlich aehrlich@reviewboard.ca altnorth-plan-list altnorth-plan@povnet.org

Thanks Amy. Your suggested process sounds like a good one. I have attached the questions that we would anticipate asking at the public hearing but welcome a written response ahead of the filing of presentations on August 22. Should you require any clarification, feel free to contact me by e-mail.

Kevin O'Reilly

---- Original Message -----

From: "Sparks,Amy [Yel]" < Amy.Sparks@EC.gc.ca

Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2012 13:35

Subject: Follow up
To: kor@theedge.ca

Hi Kevin,

I understand from the pre-hearing conference that you would like to question Environment Canada with regard to the policy context for perpetual care of Giant under the Federal Contaminated Sites Action Plan and would like to ensure there is someone at the public hearing to answer your questions. It is actually the Treasury Board secretariat that provides the funding for the program and therefore it would be better to perhaps have the questions answered by the FCSAP secretariat and the Treasury Board secretariat.

Since this would be potentially new information that would be heard by the parties I think it would be beneficial to have the questions answered beforehand in a document and placed on the registry before the hearings.

Can you please provide a list of your specific questions and I will get the answers. I will send them to you and provide them to the registry to be posted. From that point we can determine whether someone does indeed still need to be present and who that would be if it is needed.

Thank you.

Amy Sparks

Contaminated Sites Officer
Contaminated Sites
Environmental Protection Operations Division
Environmental Stewardship Branch
Environment Canada
Nova Coast Plaza, 4th Floor - 5019 52 Street
P.O. Box 2310
Yellowknife (Northwest Territories) X1A 2P7
amy.sparks@ec.gc.ca
Telephone 867-669-4720
Cellular 867-444-0872
Facsimile 867-873-8185
Government of Canada
Website www.ec.gc.ca

Amy Sparks

Agente des Sites Contaminés
Sites Contaminés
Division des activités de protection de l'environnement
Direction générale de l'intendance environnementale
Environnement Canada
Place de Nova Coast, 4éme Étage - 5019 52 Rue
BP 2310
Yellowknife (Territoires Nord-ouest) X1A 2P7
amy.sparks@ec.gc.ca
Téléphone 867-669-4720
Portable 867-444-0872
Télécopieur 867-873-8185
Gouvernement du Canada
Site Web www.ec.gc.ca

QUESTIONS FOR THE FEDERAL CONTAMINATED SITES ACTION PLAN and TREASURY BOARD SECRETARIATS ON PERPETUAL CARE

FROM ALTERNATIVES NORTH

Giant Mine Remediation Project - EA0809-001

- 1. Please provide any definitions of long-term care and maintenance or perpetual care as developed and applied by the FCSAP and/or Treasury Board Secretariats.
- 2. Please indicate what proportion and number of remediated federal contaminated sites require long-term care and maintenance or perpetual care, including the need for regular inspections of engineered structures, water treatment, freeze systems, and maintenance of fencing or berms.
- 3. Please describe the legal and policy framework in place to plan for and manage federal contaminated sites that require long-term care and maintenance or perpetual care.
- 4. Please describe the lessons learned and best practices for the following aspects of perpetual care for remediated federal sites, including any consideration of examples from nuclear waste management:
 - records management and preservation;
 - site designation and land use controls;
 - long-term funding;
 - communicating with future generations (including site markers, monuments or symbols left at the site);
 - scenario building;
 - transition planning;
 - community involvement;
 - examples of or guidance for actual long-term care and maintenance plans.
- 5. Please describe how the FCSAP Secretariat and the Treasury Board Secretariat reviews proposals or plans for the remediation of federal contaminated sites that require perpetual care.
- 6. Please describe details of how the perpetual care aspects of the Giant Mine Remediation Project have been reviewed and assessed under FCSAP.
- 7. Please provide details on whether the lessons learned from other perpetual care sites have been applied to the Giant Mine Remediation Project by the FCSAP and Treasury Board Secretariats.