From: Alan Ehrlich

**Sent:** August-13-12 11:05 AM

**To:** Shannon Hayden (shayden@reviewboard.ca)

**Subject:** FW: Giant Mine Public Registry Filing--Effectiveness of Independent

Oversight

Attachments: IEMA External Review Mar 2000.pdf; IEMA External Review Mar

2009.pdf; GoodNeighborAgreementsEvaluationReport.pdf

"Other".

From: kevin o'reilly [mailto:kor@theedge.ca]

**Sent:** August-13-12 8:29 AM

To: Alan Ehrlich

Subject: re: Giant Mine Public Registry Filing--Effectiveness of Independent Oversight

Alan

Please find attached two external reviews of the Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency. These two documents are found on the Agency's website as follows:

http://www.monitoringagency.net/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=CL5N6RVpZ10%3d&tabid=110

http://www.monitoringagency.net/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=Rz3PbUYO2e4%3d&tabid=110

During recent discussions on oversight in the context of Giant Mine, some have questioned the effectiveness of such bodies. The attached two reports prove the effectiveness of such a body in a local context.

I have also attached another report that evaluates the effectivenss of 'good neighbour' agreements in the US that are a form of independent oversight. Please file all of these documents on the public registry for the Giant Mine environmental assessment. Thanks.

Kevin O'Reilly Alternatives North