

From: Alan Ehrlich
Sent: August-13-12 11:05 AM
To: Shannon Hayden (shayden@reviewboard.ca)
Subject: FW: YKDFN recommendations for Giant

Technical report section please.

From: Todd Slack [mailto:tslack@ykdene.com]
Sent: August-13-12 9:14 AM
To: Adrian Paradis
Cc: kevin o'reilly; Alan Ehrlich
Subject: RE: YKDFN recommendations for Giant

The one in section 3 – My guess is that an edit made there just didn't flow down.
Apologies (There's probably more typos).

From: Adrian Paradis [mailto:Adrian.Paradis@aandc-aadnc.gc.ca]
Sent: August-09-12 11:48 PM
To: Todd Slack
Subject: YKDFN recommendations for Giant

Todd, the YKDFN recommendations differ slightly in section 4.0 from the text in section 3.0.

Example:

Section 3, Recommendation 3.11a

The project should identify community perception as a primary remediation objective with the same value as stabilizing the arsenic trioxide.

Section 4, Recommendation 3.11 a

The project should identify this as a primary objective with the same value as stabilizing the arsenic trioxide.

I get the intent for each recommendation but I want to make sure I am quoting the right recommendation when I respond.

Which should I quote?

Ap