	T	T	T	T	T
			GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EXCEL TEMPLATE:		
			Do not leave blank rows above or between comments.		
			2. Do not modify the instructions or the column headings (i.e. the top three rows).		
			3. Each comment must have a response.		
			4. All formatting will be lost when this file is uploaded to the Online Comment Table.		
			5. If necessary, adjust the cell width and height in order to view all text.		
ID	Reviewer	Topic	Comment	Recommendation	Proponent Response
					Responses should be as specific as possible, referring
					directly to the Comment/Recommendation.
					No response required.
			DDEC has proposed this Draft Terms of Reference to the MVEIRB for consideration.		
			At this time, AANDC will not provide detailed comments on DDEC's proposed Draft		
			Terms of Reference. AANDC will monitor the MVEIRB's public issue scoping sessions		
		AANDC -1	and will provide feedback on the MVEIRB's official Draft Terms of Reference that		
1	CanNor NWT Region: Marie Adams		includes consideration of the issues identified at those sessions.	NA	
		DFO-1			DDEC acknowledges that Lac du Sauvage should be
		Page 5, Section 2.3 - Geographic	Item #3 in the list of minimum geographic scope does not specificially include Lac du		included.
		Scope	Sauvage proper or Duchess Lake. The area draining into Lac du Sauvage is included,		
2	CanNor NWT Region: Marie Adams		and the area downstream, but de-watering will have impacts on the lake itself.	Include Lac du Sauvage proper.	
			Itom #6 (the econd of two items numbered C) as sending a second to be true to a discount		DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
		DFO-2	Item #6 (the second of two items numbered 6) regarding aquatic habitat and aquatic	Add "unotroom" to the contense "Include	
1		_	organisms in the environmental assessment study area does not include waterbodies	Add "upstream" to the sentence "Include	
2	CanNor NWT Region: Marie Adams		upstream of the site. With a large portion of Lac du Sauvage being de-watered, fish access to lakes connected to (i.e. upstream of) Lac du Sauvage may be lost.	water bodies on the site, and downstream to the extent of predicted impacts."	
3	Carrinor invol Region, Marie Adams	DFO-3	access to takes confidence to (i.e. upstream of) Lac ou Sauvage may be lost.	μπιμανιδ.	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
1		Page - 18 KLI-1 Impacts to water	As there is water flow manipulation on this site, "upstream" should be included not just	Add "upstream" so the sentence says "For the locally impacted watershed	DDEO has no objection to this danification.
4	CanNor NWT Region: Marie Adams	, ,	downstream as there may be upstream impacts.	and impacted upstream/downstream water bodies"	
-	Carritor 1444 1 Region: Marie 7 dams	DFO-4	downstream as there may be apstream impacts.	Change heading of "Department of Fisheries and Oceans" to the proper	DDEC acknowledges that the appropriate name for Fisheries
		Page 30 - Appendix B: Guidelines	Fisheries and Oceans Canada has developed a new tool, "Measures to Avoid Causing	format of "Fisheries and Oceans Canada".	and Oceans Canada and the apropriate document reference
		for Monitoring and Management	Harm to Fish and Fish Habitat", available online at: http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-	Add "Measures to Avoid Causing Harm to Fish and Fish Habitat" to the list	
5	CanNor NWT Region: Marie Adams		ppe/measures-mesures/index-eng.html.	of reference documents under Fisheries and Oceans Canada.	
		TC-1		Describe any change in the environment which may in turn impact	DDEC acknowledges that such changes should be
		3.3.2 Impacts on the human		navigation on navigable waterways.	considered.
6	CanNor NWT Region: Marie Adams	environment	Does not address Transport Canada's mandate		
					The Record of Engagement will describe the results of all
					Aboriginal engagement, including any concerns regarding
					navigation on navigable waterways in the project area. The
					holistic nature of community engagement discussions on a
		TC-2			Project such as Jay-Cardinal support the development of a
_	0 1 110/7 5 . 14 . 1	3.3.2 Impacts on the human		Describe the results of Aboriginal consultation specifically related to	single Record of Engagement that serves a number of topic
/	CanNor NWT Region: Marie Adams	environment	Does not address Transport Canada's mandate	navigation on navigable waterways in the project area.	areas.
		Section 3.2.5 Development		The developer should provide information on the management and	DDEC acknowledges that information on the Project's use of
	 GNWT - Environment and Natural	Description, Existing infrastructure	The winter road is a contributer to cumulative effects and needs to be assessed.	operations of the existing winter road and how operations may change in	the Tibbett-Contwoyto Winter Road is relevant to the Project Assessment. The TCWR is managed by a Joint Venture, and
1	Resources: Joel Holder	(page 14)	Winter road contributes to access issues associated with caribou hunting	providing support to the development of the Jay-cardinal Project.	not by DDEC.
1.	resources. oder riolder	(page 14)	Wither road contributes to access issues associated with earlied fruiting	providing support to the development of the day cardinal Froject.	DDEC acknowledges that information on emissions from
			•The proponent discuss incineration emissions and the accumulation of emissions		these sources relative to potential environmental effects, and
1			(dioxans, furans, metals etc.) temporally over the project's life span. Because Dioxans		information on management actions to reduce emissions is
			and furans are a persistant contaminant of concern (which have been shown to be		relevant to the Project Assessment.
1			occurring at the EKATI site due to incineration), an additional 20 years of deposition		'
			may cause additional loading on the environment if managed improperly.		
1			Emissions from use of heavy equipment, vehicle, and stationary combustion		
			equipment highlighting how these emissions will be minimized.		
1			Emissions from increased temporally due to the extended activities at existing	1) Proponent quantify emissions (incinerator, heavy equipment etc.) and	
			facilities, including: process emissions, electrical generation emissions,	the accumulation of those emissions in the environment (Dioxins Furans,	
	GNWT - Environment and Natural	The state of the s	heating/cooking/incineration emissions affiliated with additional persons in camp how	metals, etc), and demonstrate the manner in which the Proponent will	
2	Resources: Joel Holder	quality from project components	will this be minimized over time.	minimize these emissions and their impacts to the environment.	
					The missing text is as follows "describe any potential impacts,
1		Continuo 2 2 2 2 2 Cabl Class and the			any measures taken to minimize disturbance, and how any
1	GNWT - Environment and Natural	Section 3.3.2.2 SoN-6 Impacts to			remaining sensory changes will affect the traditional users'
		cultural aspects from project	"For vicual and audible changes:" Sentance is missing the anding often color	Provide completed contence	experience within the potentially affected land use areas."
3	Resources: Joel Holder	components, Final Bullet (page 23)	"For visual and audible changes:" Sentence is missing the ending after colon. Proponent should provide better definition of who NWT aboriginal residents are and	Provide completed sentence. Add the bold underlined words. "An assessment of the likely percentage of	DDEC has no objection to this election. Projections of
1		Section 3.3.2.2 SoN-7 Impacts to	what they consider affected communities. This section should also explain not only	, ,	future hiring possibilities is dependent on many factors, many
	 GNWT - Environment and Natural	employment and business	employment percentage for aboriginal residents but other non-aboriginal residents as	NWT residents at the project for the extent of the life of the mine and for	of which are outside of the Company's direct control.
I	Resources: Joel Holder	opportunities, 2nd bullet (page 24)	well.	each phase."	of milest are edicade of the company's direct control.
4	rresources, Joer Folger			1000. p. 000	•

<u> </u>					
					DDEC sees no need for this additional wording as local and
					regional employment commitments are fully captured in the
					other bullets immediately above this item in Sec 3.3.2.2.
					Economic capacity building in northern communities includes
					1
					all of business, contracting and employment opportunities
					with appropriate training and support. DDEC cautions against
					a specific focus on employment at the expense of other
					aspects of economic capacity building and the bullets as
					currently written are intended to provide appropriate
					1 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
					information on all these aspects as part of the EA.
				Add the bold underlined words. "The developer's future commitments for	
		Section 3.3.2.2 SoN-7 Impacts to		any training, education, or other improvements necessary to maximize	
	GNWT - Environment and Natural	employment and business	The focus should not only be on maximizing local and regional business capacity but	local and regional employment and business capacity to benefit from the	
5	Resources: Joel Holder	opportunities, final bullet (page 24)	also local and regional employment.	project."	
					DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
	GNWT - Environment and Natural	Section 3.3.2.3 Human environment	The developer should also provide information on the success of recruiting local and		
6	Resources: Joel Holder	monitoring and management plans	regional residents and Aboriginal people.	Include the bullet, "employee recruitment"	
		Appendix B: Guidelines for			DDEC acknowledges that the appropriate name for AANDC
	GNWT - Environment and Natural	Monitoring and Management		This should be changed to "Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development	should be used in the TOR.
7	Resources: Joel Holder	Programs (page 30)	Indian and Northern Affairs Canada	Canada"	
					TOR issued by the MVRB for other recent northern mining
					developments (e.g., Fortune Minerals Ltd. NICO Cobalt-Gold-
					Bismuth-Copper Project, Avalon Rare Metals Incorporated's
					Thor Lake Rare Earth Element Project, DeBeers Gahcho Kué
					Project) were reviewed and used as a template for the Jay-
					Cardinal Project. DDEC provided the Draft TOR with the intent
					of further explaining its approach to the Jay-Cardinal Project
					and, possibly, as a helpful aid for the MVRB in issuing the
					TOR.
	Independent Environmental	Origins of the Draft Terms of	It would be helpful to know whether DDEC used other Terms of Reference as a model	DDEC is requested to provide information on how it drafted the Terms of	
1	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Reference	in drafting the version presented in its Project Description.	Reference and whether any specific models were used.	
					DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
			In some cases it may be more appropriate to compare project-related changes or		
			impacts to expected conditions without the project rather than compared to baseline		
	Independent Environmental	s. 1.2 Referral to Environmental	conditions. For example, changes in caribou populations where the numbers increase	Add "or expected conditions without the project, as may be appropriate to	
2	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Assessment, footnote 1	and could be attributed to the project rather than natural trends.	the context" to the end of the footnote."	
					DDEC disagrees with the recommended addition to the TOR.
					For example, wolverine will be affected, and their range is the
					entire NWT, encompassing several populations. DDEC
					suggests adding the following sentence at the end of item 6:
					"For the purposes of a cumulative effects assessment, the
					local population of any potentially affected species should be
			This section sets the minimum geographic scope for the EA. For greater certainty the	Add the following sentence at the end of item 6, "For the purposes of a	considered."
	Independent Environmental		geographic scope for cumulative effects on migratory species and species-at-risk	cumulative effects assessment (s. 3.3.3), the range of any potentially	
3	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 2.3 Geographic Scope, item 6	should be set as their home range.	affected species should be considered."	
					The EA is scoped based on the currently established
					community linkages to the Ekati Mine. The communities of
				The DAR should explain why Bathurst Inlet and Umingmaktok (formerly	Umingmaktok and Bathurst Inlet are both considered
				Bay Chimo) were excluded or the second last sentence should be changed	
	Independent Environmental	s. 2.3 Geographic Scope, second	This paragraph discusses the communities to be considered during the EA, but	to read "This also included the communities of Kugluktuk, Bathurst Inlet	(2011), which indicates the population of both is zero.
	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	paragraph from end	Bathurst Inlet and Umingmaktok, which use Bathurst caribou, are not included.	and Umingmaktok, Nunavut."	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		DDEC disagrees with this additional wording; this section is
				The following words should be added at the end of the first sentence "and	for the Impact of the Environment on the Development. The
				for the alternative means of carrying out the project as shown in s. 3.5 [or	environmental assessment should focus on the selected
			This section sets out what impacts on the environment are to be assessed from the	s. 3.3.1.1 Key Lines of Inquiry if the Alternative Means of Carrying Out the	alternative. A robust alternatives assessment is provided for
			development. Given the central role that alternative means of carrying out the project	Project is move to this part of the ToR as recommended by the Agency	as per Section 3.5 of the draft TOR.
	Independent Environmental	s. 3.1.3 Assessing the Impacts of the	should play in this EA, there should be specific reference to assessing the impacts from		
5	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Environment on the Development	different alternatives.	Out the Project.	
٢	The state of the s				The Weledeh dialect can be included if requested.
	Independent Environmental				vereaen ararest sun be included it requested.
	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.2.1 Summary Materials, item 1	The Weledeh dialect should be included in the languages for plain language materials.	Add Weledeh to the list of languages for plain language materials.	
			While the AEMP and WEMP are referenced, there is no mention of the Air Quality		DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
I	1	La O O A December of the Coletina	Manitoring Dragram which is an important part of site wide manitoring for environmental	Add in "and the Air Quality Monitoring Program" to the end of the third	
	Independent Environmental	s. 3.2.4 Description of the Existing	Information and an important part of site-wide monitoring for environmental	Add in and the All Quality Monitoring Frogram to the end of the tillid	
7	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Environment	impacts.	sentence.	

				Add the following part at the end of the first section:	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
				The developer should provide the following assessment of its baseline	
				information in the describing the existing environment:	
				(1) an assessment of the adequacy of the existing baseline dataset in	
				terms of geographic coverage, certainty, how recently it was collected,	
			There is no mention of the need to properly state or qualify baseline data and	whether there are any trends apparent, veracity of techniques, QA/QC and	
			information about the existing environment. It would be helpful for the Review Board	any other relevant matter; and,	
			and other parties to have a good understanding of the adequacy of baseline	(2) a plan to supplement the baseline information before construction if	
	Independent Environmental	s. 3.2.4 Description of the Existing	information to evaluate confidence intervals, uncertainties and related matter when	necessary.	
8	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Environment	making significance determinations.		
		s. 3.2.4 Description of the Existing			Total Suspended Solids are already on the list (see Sec 3.2.4,
	Independent Environmental	Environment, Biophysical			pg 10, point #5, bullet #10).
9	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Environment, item 5	Total Suspended Solids should be included.	Add Total Suspended Solids to the list.	
				Amend the wording of the first and second sentences of this item to read:	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
				" 8. Wildlife (including resident and migratory bird species), wildlife habitat,	DDEO Hao no objection to time daminoation.
				and movement/migration corridors. Special emphasis will be placed on	
			The movements of wildlife in this area should be documented, not just seasonal	key harvested species including caribou and furbearers, and grizzly bears."	
		s. 3.2.4 Description of the Existing	migrations. The scale of migration is larger than the scale of localized movements;	Similarly, amend the wording of 8c to read: "c. movement and migration	
	Independent Environmental	Environment, Biophysical	both are important. Also, special emphasis should be placed on grizzly bears, since	routes, patterns, and timing including typical patterns and the range of	
10	·	Environment, item 8, 8c.	they have the ability to negatively interact with development.	known variation".	
10	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	, ,	they have the ability to negatively interact with development.	KHOWH Variation .	The more hands and he was
	Indonondant Environmental	s. 3.2.4 Description of the Existing			The number should be #8.
	Independent Environmental	Environment, Biophysical	There is an even with the WACU at a conditable to the	Correct the accorded	
11	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Environment, item 9(c)	There is an error with the "#10" shown in this item.	Correct the number.	BBEOL II II II II II II II
		s. 3.2.4 Description of the Existing			DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
	Independent Environmental	Environment, Biophysical	Eskers are critical habitat for wildlife denning, migration and insect refuge, and should		
12	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Environment, item 11(h)	be clearly identified and discussed in the DAR.	Add the words: "including eskers" at the end of this item.	
		s. 3.2.4 Description of the Existing	It is important to document and understand past economic activities in the study area		DDEC has no objection to this clarification with the addition of
	Independent Environmental	Environment, Human Environment,	such as wildlife outfitting camps, fishing camps, mineral exploration sites and other	Amend the wording of this item to read: "24. Other past and current	the words "as appropriate" after "area".
13	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	item 24	possible disturbance to properly assess cumulative effects.	economic activities in the environmental assessment study area; and,"	
		s. 3.2.5 Development Description,			DDEC has no objection to this clarification with the addition of
		New Infrastructure, Facilities, and			the words "as publicly available" after "instruments".
	Independent Environmental	Management Plans Proposed as	It would be helpful to know the status of other regulatory applications a the time of the	At the end of this item, add the following words: "and the status of such	, ,
14	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Part of the Project, item 3	filing of the DAR to better understand timelines into the future.	instruments at the time of the DAR filing."	
		•	The Project Description notes that approximately 30% of the Jay waste rock will be	Ü	DDEC has no objection to this clarification with the addition of
			PAG, and that this rock will be encapsulated with non-PAG granite, including a 5 m		the word "conceptually" between "that" and "shows".
		s. 3.2.5 Development Description,	thick cover. While the volumes of available non-PAG seem adequate, the DAR should		Operational scheduling is at a conceptual stage and
		New Infrastructure, Facilities, and	provide a schedule of annual waste rock production by waste rock type, such that it is	Add the following wording at the end of item 10: "including a schedule that	
	Independent Environmental	Management Plans Proposed as	demonstrated that sufficient non-PAG will be available in the final years of production to		β μ
15	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Part of the Project, item 10	construct the appropriate cover.	ensuring the availability of clean granite when needed".	
	inclined in grageries. Emilitees	rantorato roject, tem re	ochourest the appropriate covern	eneuming the availability of clean granite union heeded i	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
				Amend Item 11 to read as follows: 11. The proposed new site access	BBE o had no objection to time dialineation.
				roads, including construction (width of right-of-way, road bed type, and any	
				specific features to facilitate wildlife movements) and maintenance	
		s. 3.2.5 Development Description,		schedule, required construction material, techniques to minimize erosion	
		New Infrastructure, Facilities, and	It is important to know whether the developer intends to construct roads with any	and bank instability and the expected number of trips on the road	
	Independent Environmental	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
40	•	Management Plans Proposed as	specific mitigation design features for wildlife, and to have more specific information on	(including number and types of vehicles), water crossings, as well as the	
16	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Part of the Project, item 11	the anticipated vehicle use of the proposed roads.	type and weight of loads, any related storage, transfer and handling, etc;	DDEOL LI C C AL LEC C MA LEC C
					DDEC has no objection to this clarification with the addition of
		a 2.2.5 Dayolonment Description			the word "conceptual" preceding the word "schedules".
		s. 3.2.5 Development Description,			Operational scheduling, including FPK management, is at a
	Indonesia Co. Sec. 1	New Infrastructure, Facilities, and	It is impossible to an element of the many the many training to the second of the seco	Add the fellowing manuals to the send of this form 11 to 1 and 1	conceptual stage and necessarily evolves as detailed
1	Independent Environmental	Management Plans Proposed as	It is important to understand where the processed kimberlite will be deposited to	Add the following words to the end of this item: "with locations and	planning and mining proceed.
17	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Part of the Project, item 12	properly assess alternatives and trade-offs during the EA.	schedules for its management and disposal".	
					DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
1			The Project Description for the Jay-Cardinal Project discusses the need for pipelines		
		s. 3.2.5 Development Description,	and pumping stations and water management structuresadditional detail on these		
	l	New Infrastructure, Facilities, and	should be presented in the DAR. A water treatment plant may be needed for the North		
	Independent Environmental	Management Plans Proposed as	Inlet or LLCF as a result of this developmentthe economic and technical feasbiity	Add the following words at the end of this item: "pipelines, pumping	
18	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Part of the Project, item 13	issues and should be discussed in more detail in the DAR.	stations and potential contingency measures such as water treatment."	
		s. 3.2.5 Development Description,			DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
		New Infrastructure, Facilities, and			
	Independent Environmental	Management Plans Proposed as	lit is important to know the decommissioning and reclamation plans for construction	Add the following words at the end of this item: "and ultimate removal or	
19	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Part of the Project, item 15	materials brought to the site.	disposal plans of same".	
		s. 3.2.5 Development Description,		• •	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
		New Infrastructure, Facilities, and			<u> </u>
	Independent Environmental	Management Plans Proposed as	The routing and and general details of the proposed transmission line to the work site	Add the following words at the end of this item: "including any	
20	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Part of the Project, item 20	should be described.	transmission lines and substations".	
			in the state of th	The state of the s	<u> </u>

21	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross		The developer states in its Project Description that existing facilities at the Ekati Mine will be used to the extent possible but it is necessary to know whether these facilities have the capacity to handle any additional workloads or materials that may come from Jay-Cardinal.	Amend the preamble to read as follows: "For previously assessed, existing, and approved facilities that are to be used as part of the Project, DDEC must provide a full description of the project component, how it will be used in the context of the proposed Project, capacity of existing facilities to handle the proposed Project, and any changes to the existing infrastructure or facilities that will occur as a result of the proposed development."	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
22	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.2.6 Public Engagement, last bullet	Traditional Knowledge holders should be engaged in the design of monitoring programs, not just assessing baseline conditions and potential impacts.	Amend this last bullet to read: "How DDEC has engaged or intends to engage, traditional knowledge holders in order to collect relevant information for establishing baseline conditions and, assessing the effects of potential impacts and the design of monitoring programs, as well as a summary table indicating where and how in subsequent sections (3.3 to 3.7) traditional knowledge was incorporated, and who was consulted (see Review Board's Guidelines for incorporating Traditional Knowledge in Environmental Impact Assessment)."	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
23	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.3 Impact assessment steps and significance determination factors, fifth bullet in the first list	In some cases it may be more appropriate to compare project-related changes or impacts to expected conditions without the project rather than baseline conditions. For example, changes in caribou populations where the numbers increase and could be attributed to the project rather than natural trends.	Amend the first sentence, fifth bullet in the first list, to read: "Compare the predicted impacts to pre-development conditions or conditions without the project, as appropriate."	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
24	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.3 Impact assessment steps and significance determination factors	While this section references the application of mitigation measures to the predicted impacts for purposes of evaluation, it does not explicitly identify assessment of the likely effectiveness of applied mitigation to reduce the impacts. Analysis is required in the DAR for assessors to determine how effective the proposed mitigation and management measures are to be, and identify any limitations or uncertainties about the proponent's ability to effectively implement the proposed measures.	follows: "Identify and evaluate any proposed mitigation measures as to their technical and economic feasibility to reduce the predicted impacts,	DDEC agrees that the effectiveness of mitigation is an important consideration in evaluating the potential for residual effects. This is provided for in the Draft TOR in Sec 3.3. For example, bullet #4 indicates that the DAR will "Predict the likelihood of each impact occurring after mitigation measures are implemented, providing a rationale for the confidence held in the prediction." (emphasis added). In bullet #5 the draft TOR further states "Include a description of any plans, strategies or commitments to avoid, reduce or otherwise manage and mitigate the identified potential adverse impacts, with consideration of best management practices in relation to the valued component or development component in question" (emphasis added). Bullets #6, 7, and 8 also all indicate other aspects of uncertainty that may exist in impact predictions and that should be addressed in the assessment. A unique aspect for determining appropriate mitigation that is available for the Jay-Cardinal Project is the inclusion of currently proven methods already in place at the Ekati Mine. The effectiveness of many mitigation measures has been established on a site-specific basis at the Ekati Mine through the extensive monitoring programs that have been in place for over 15 years. In the assessment of effets, it is assumed that mitigation is effective and implemented as appropriate; therefore, it can be considered to break linkages and reduce effects. Details about mitigation (i.e., how a silt curtain works and is installed, how a culvert should be properly installed, etc.) are not necessary to the assessment.
25	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.3 Impact assessment steps and	Determinations of significance depend on the subjective informed judgement of decision-makers. Hence including such considerations as societal values at the EA stage will be helpful.	Add the following sentence at the end of the second last paragraph in this section, as follows: "The above will be used by the developer as a basis for its justification of significance for potential impacts from this Project. Where the developer is aware of differential impacts on various parties or differences in views of the significance for potential impacts from this Project, the developer should describe these differences."	DDEC does not object to this additional wording; however the Record of Engagement already provides for this information.
20	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross		The Agency disagrees with the specific list of KLI topics as identified in the draft TOR: i.e., impacts to water quality; impacts to water quantity; and impacts to caribou. First, we agree that impacts to water should be a KLI. However, the category does not explicitly address aquatic resources (such as fish and fish habitat) which will be significantly affected if certain project designs are implemented. Impacts to aquatic life should be a priority subject for assessment and, therefore, a KLI for the DAR. Second, our view would be that water quantity is a lesser area of potential concern than 'water quality', and could justifiably be subsumed under 'water quality' as a KLI.	We recommend that the draft list of KLI topics be changed to: KLI#1 - Water Quality (and Quantity); KLI#2 - Aquatic Life and, KLI#3 - Caribou	DDEC agrees that aquatic life, specifically fish and the trophic chain they depend on are of high importance. Water quality is clearly of importance regarding aquatic life. Either the KLI topics in the Draft TOR or the recommended changes would address this issue.

27	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross		The Agency is of the view that the discussion on alternative means to carry out the project (as currently identified in Sec.3.5), for the explicit purpose of managing the effects of the project, is a critical issue for this assessment. It is also a specific requirement under Sec.117(2) of the MVRMA, which states that 'every environmental assessment and environmental impact review of a proposal for a development shall include a consideration of(e) any other matter, such as the need for the development and any available alternatives to it, that the Review Board determines to be relevant.' The topic should be moved into s.3.3.1.1 as an added KLI.	[1] The DAR should address the topic 'Alternative Means of Carrying Out the Project' as a KLI.[2] The DAR should also provide an assessment of the following additional alternatives to various components of the project:	DDEC agrees that consideratiom of alternative means of undertaking the project is important and needs to be fully documented in the DAR; this is provided for in the Draft TOR. DDEC disagrees with IEMA's recomendation (1) that "alternative means of carrying out the project" is appropriate as a KLI, because it is more appropriately addressed elsewhere in the DAR as per the Draft TOR. DDEC does not object to IEMA's second recommendation (2) as a clarification.
		c. c.c r.c.y Emico of miquity			DDEC's Project Description Report (S.4.4.1.1) describes the
28	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross		Given the extensive environmentall footprint of the Project and the potential for significant adverse impacts to fish, water quality and caribou, a key area of concern (definition of a KLI) must be project design. Consequently, the DAR should include a comprehensive and rigorous review of alternative means of carrying out the project, including relative impacts, and the economic and technical feasibility of each identified alternative. This analysis is central to ensuring that differential footprints and impacts are understood, evaluated with explicit explanation of any trade-offs and within limits of acceptable change. The three reports by different engineering firms on alternatives provided with the Project Description use different methodologies and do not provide data or information that readily lend themselves to comparative evaluation. Multiple Accounts Analysis is an industry best practice that can provide a systematic, consistent and comprehensive comparison of alternatives and their trade-offs. * * for guidance see, http://technology.infomine.com/enviromine/issues/cls_maa.html	[1] The DAR should employ a multiple accounts analysis (MAA) of the four alternative means of carrying out the project as currently identified in s. 3.5. [2] The consideration of alternatives (i.e., MAA) should include an	"No Project" option and rejects this option outright as it would result in substantive negative socio-economic impacts through closure in 2019 of the Ekati Mine. Thus, DDEC sees no value to the Project EA from further review of the "No Project" approach. Additionally, the Project Description Report (S.4.4.1.2) indicates that a full "Underground Mining" approach to the Jay-Cardinal Project could not likely be made economically feasible; therefore, further assessment of this approach is also of no value to the Project EA. DDEC does not object to providing an MAA assessment that is appropriaely scoped to address reviewer concerns and items that are of value to the Project EA. Reviewers have asked specifically for more information on the approach of Open Pit Mining Within Ring Dikes. It would not be unreasonable for the TOR to include a requirement for additional documentation, through MAA or another appropriate procedure, of the assessment of the "Ring Dikes" and the "Diversion and Drawdown" approaches.
	Independent Environmental	s. 3.3.1.1 Key Lines of Inquiry,	The current text does not cover reflooding of any areas that are temporarily drawn down	Add a bullet to this section that reads: "the management of reflooding any	DDEC has no objection to this clarification but suggests changing the word "reflooding" to "rewatering".
29	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross		in terms of flow and recharge.	areas that were temporarily drained for mining operations".	
	Independent Environmental	s. 3.3.1.1 Key Lines of Inquiry,	The text as drafted does not cover reflooding of any areas that are temporarily drawn		DDEC has no objection to this clarification but suggests
30	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross		down in terms of erosion and resubmergence of vegetation.		changing the word "reflooding" to "rewatering".
			Although DDEC identified habitat disruptions, exposure to contaminants and possible		DDEC has no objection to this clarification with the word
	1		changes in predator-prey relationships, there is no reference to disruptions to caribou	Add a new second bullet as follows: "DDEC must describe the potential	"determine" replacing the word "quantify".
	Independent Environmental		• • •	for disruption of caribou movements and migration patterns through the	
31	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross		associated with the Jay-Cardinal Project.	proposed project area and quantify possible effects on this species.C30"	DDF01
22	Independent Environmental	·	The listed items do not include emissions from blasting during construction or mining	Add a new fourth bullet as follows: "the emissions from construction and	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
32	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Impacts to air quality	operations. The impacts to aquatic life from a 10-20 year potential drawdown of major portions of	operations activities, including blasting;"	Soo rooponoo to IEMA itam 26 Inst 201 ahaya
	Independent Environmental	3.3.1.2 Subjects of Note, SoN-3		Identify and evaluate 'aquatic life' as a Key Line of Inquiry (see item #29	See response to IEMA item 26 [not 29], above.
33	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	•	Key Line of Inquiry.	above).	
55	INSTITUTING AGENCY. DIII NOSS	impacto to aquatic ilie		[1] Amend the last sentence in the first paragraph to read: "Further, the developer will describe the framework for proposed monitoring programs and management plans or amendments to existing programs and plans that will guide their evaluation of and adaptive management for impacts to water quality." [2] Amend the first sentence in the paragraph before the second set of bullets to read: "For all other valued components, describe the framework	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
	Independent Environmental	e 3 3 1 3 Rionhysical Environmental		for proposed monitoring programs and management plans or amendments	
34	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	• •	The current terminology for environmental matters at Ekati uses "monitoring programs" and "management plans" so it is preferable to stick with this wording.	to existing programs and plans that will guide DDEC's evaluation of and adaptive management for impacts to valued components. "	
34	Widnitioning Agency. Dill Noss	Instituting and management Fidils	Jana management plans so it is preferable to stick with this wording.	adaptive management for impacts to valued components.	The missing text is as follows "describe potential impacts,
					measures taken to minimize disturbance, and how remaining
					sensory changes will affect the traditional users' experience
Ī					within the potentially affected land use areas."
35	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	3.3.2.2 Subjects of Note, SoN-6 Impacts to cultural aspects	The last bullet ends without a complete sentence.	Please provide the missing text.	within the potentially directed falla ase areas.

EA1314-01 Jay-Cardinal Project

Dominion Diamonds draft Terms of Reference - Summary table with reviewer comments and Dominin Diamond responses

	1	1	The assessment of cumulative effects on water quantity and quality should include Lac	[1] At the end of the first and second bullets, add the words: "including	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
			de Gras.	any impacts on Lac de Gras".	DDEC has no objection to this damication.
	Independent Environmental		The requirement for cumulative effects assessment for wildlife should include other	[2] At the end of the lost bullet add the words, "welverings grizzly beers	
36	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.3.3 Cumulative Effects	species besides caribou.	[2] At the end of the last bullet, add the words: "wolverines, grizzly bears and any species-at-risk".	
37	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.3.3 Cumulative Effects	The DAR should provide a broader context on DDEC's current cumulative effects assessment and management efforts, and more specifically, how it contributes to such efforts.	Add a final sentence to this section as follows: "Current efforts towards cumulative effects assessment and management should be described, including DDEC's efforts to coordinate its monitoring and management to contribute towards a regional approach."	DDEC disagrees that the proposed wording would be a valuable addition to the TOR as it is not a Project-specific consideration. The cumulative effects assessment for the Jay-Cardinal Project will necessarily build on previous work at the Ekati Mine and elsewhere (as available).
			The coverage of accidents and malfunctions should include the use of Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) as an industry best practice in assessing risks and consequences of failure, including the use of scenarios to describe reasonably conceivable future outcomes. *	[1] Amend the 3rd sentence in s.3.4 to read: "This analysis will be conducted as a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis, and will include"	The use of FMEA is more appropriate to detailed design following the EA. A construction risk assessment will be conducted as part of the EA. DDEC disagrees with wording additions to points 1 and 2 where they refer to FMEA. However, DDEC has no objection to the additional wording in
	Independent Environmental	s. 3.4 Accidents and Malfunctions.	The FMEA should include the failure of water containment systems as a one of the accidents or malfunctions considered.	[2] Amend the wording of item 1 to read: "Develop and describe reasonably conceivable scenarios for the FMEA to describe likelihood and consequences of accidents, malfunctions, or "impacts of the environment on the development" that may affect water quantity and quality and the ability of the water management system to function."	point 3.
38	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	item 1	* See, for guidance http://www.infomine.com/library/publications/docs/Robertson2012b.pdf	[3] Add a new item 1(c) as follows: "failure of water containment facilities and pumping systems."	
	Independent Environmental	s. 3.5 Alternative Means to Carrying	Traps, Transmitte Commerci y publications adds/Trapellson2012b.pul	and paniping dystems.	See response to IEMA items 27 and 28 [not 29], above.
39	Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Out the Project	See comments for item #29.	See recommendation #29 above.	
40	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.6 Closure and Reclamation	The reference at the end of the first paragraph has been superseded with the release of the new MVLW-AANDC closure guidelines.	Amend the second sentence in the first paragraph to read: "The developer will be guided by existing guidance, in particular the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board-AANDC Guidelines for the Reclamation of Advanced Minteral Exploration and Mine Sites in the NWT when developing its reclamation plan for the Jay-Cardinal Project, (http://mvlwb.com/sites/default/files/documents/wg/WLWB_5363_Guidelines Closure Reclamation WR.pdf)."	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
140	Werntering Agency. Bill 1000	3. 0.0 Glosure and recommunion	There may be aspects of the Jay-Cardinal Project that differ markedly from the rest of	CO_CIOCATO_INCOIATIALION_VVIN.pary.	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
41	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s. 3.6 Closure and Reclamation	the Ekati mining operationsfor example, reflooding a lakebed that has been exposed for 10-20 years. These novel aspects may require special closure planning and entail new uncertainties that do not lend themselves easily to the existing ICRP. The DAR should identify any such special aspects of the Jay-Cardinal Project, describe the closure planning and any uncertainties that may be associated with these special aspects.	Add a new bullet 4 that reads as follows: "Identify and describe any unique, novel or experimental aspects of the development that are distinct from the rest of the Ekati project components or conventional industry experience with respect to reclamation, and discuss any uncertainties posed and how these will be resolved in the closure planning process."	
42	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	s.4 Conclusion	The paragraph provided is significantly limited in describing the scope of the information to be provided in the DARit focuses only on impact prediction and the Board's ability to evaluate the predictions. In addition to impact prediction, the Board also has to evaluate the proponent's mitigation measures and management plans in order to determine that these will be effective, as well as economically and technically achievable, in mitigating the predicted impacts to an acceptable level. If this is the correct expectation, then it is worth capturing it in the concluding section.	Replace the provided sentence with"The Review Board expects that the requirements described in this document will result in a Developer's Assessment Report that clearly describes DDEC's predictions of impacts from the Jay-Cardinal Project, and the likely effectiveness of proposed mitigation and management plans that are demonstrably viable both economically and technically, while providing sufficient detailed information and analysis for the Review Board and parties to analyze and evaluate the environmental acceptability of the proposed development.	
					DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
43	Independent Environmental Monitoring Agency: Bill Ross	Appendix A - Scope of Development	Underground mining is an element of the project, but is not explicitly identified in the scope of the development.	To the construction section of the table in Appendix A add a new line item: construction of underground mining and associated infrastructure.	

1	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	2.1 Scope of Development	The project must scope in the impacts from existing infrastructure – it is not sensible to pretend that because the currently exist they are not part of the project. Simply limiting the scoping to new activities or impacts as a consequence of the change will not allow a proper assessment of impacts. This applies to both operations and closure.		The Baseline Case of the EA is proposed to consider existing conditions (i.e., current physical, biological, and social conditions); therefore, this will consider existing disturbances and infrastructure. The Application Case of the EA is proposed to consider the cumulative effects of the Baseline Case, plus the Jay-Cardinal Project. The Reasonably Foreseeable Development Case would represent the cumulative effects of the Application Case, plus other reasonably foreseeable developments that have been publicly considered. Therefore, the initial concern is addressed. With respect to exploration and drilling programs, a Land Use Permit has been issued by the WLWB that requires, among other conditions, an annual plan submitted for each year that exploration work is to be undertaken. The "Exploration LUP" is for the entire Ekati Mine mineral lease block and, therefore, contemplates exploration work in areas other than the Jay-Cardinal Project. Exploration activities conducted under the LUP are not part of the assessment of the Jay-Cardinal Project.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:			To this end, item #6 should be amended from "the habitat of any potentially	DDEC disagrees with the proposed additional wording. See Response to IEMA 3.
	Todd Slack	2.3 Geographic Scope	YKDFN agrees with the geographic scope – it must considered from the impacted values perspective, not the project.	affected species.	DDEC fundamentally disagrees with the suggestion that
3	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	2.4 Temporal Boundaries	The project should utilize a similar timeline to that which was used at Gahcho Kue, where the re-establishment of fish and fish habitat (Particularly Lake Trout) in the impacted zone was the principal driver		specific assessment requirements can be transferred from one project to another; this negates the intended project-specific approach to environmental assessment. In addition to this basic approach to EA, the Jay-Cardinal Project, specifically, has many unique aspects that preclude the transfer of specific assessment requirements as suggested. DDEC disagrees with the recommendation. As per Section 2.4 of the Draft TOR, the temporal boundaries for the EA are proosed to be based on the potential for long-term impacts on VCs, rather than on a single generic timeline, which DDEC considers more appropriate for the Jay-Cardinal Project.
4	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	2.5 Other Scope of Assessment Considerations	The project uses the phrase reasonably foreseeable future developments, but does not provide a definition. In previous environmental assessments, the project's consultant has said that having a physical footprint is part of the test as to whether a potential project should be included for consideration. This approach lacks credibility and results in few projects being incorporated within this approach. YKDFN would rather base reasonably foreseeable both based on proposed projects but also historical trends.	Road traffic associated with the continued operation of the site should be part of the project scope. Without this project the TCWR would have no traffic other than De Beers, thus this part of the road, with its impacts, necessarily forms part of the scope.	A project EA cannot reasonably include speculative future possibilities as there would be no basis for defining that project, its effects, or interactions with the Project under review. This would be an unfair and unreasonable burden on the Project proponent. Reasonably foreseeable developments generally include projects that are reasonably foreseeable as of six months prior to the EA submission date. This would include projects that are under application review, or have officially entered a regulatory application process. In this way, these 'other' projects are defined to a point that the proponent of the Project under review can reasonably be expected to consider cumulative effects.
5	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.1.4 Use of Appropriate Media	None	In addition to the user-friendly approach, YKDFN recommend that the project supply a printed copy to all interveners in the process. The Gahcho Kue EIR was many thousands of pages, which would have been a significant cost to the First Nation to print	Printed copies can be provided upon request.
3	I odu Oldok	10.1.4 030 of Appropriate Media	THORIO .	,	Electronic documents can be provided in a manner that allows
6	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.1.4 Use of Appropriate Media	While it is has generally been the case (and we acknowledge that Ekati's approach in the past has been very open), DDEC should be required to submit all electronic documents in an manner that allows ease of access	for '.pdfs' they should be unsecured, allowing parties to copy and paste	ease of access; Excel spreadsheets can be provided as appropriate upon request following discussions regarding their use.

					DDEC publishes an annual report on its performance under
					the Socio-Economic Agreement, including comprehensive
					data listings. The TOR for Assessment of the Jay-Cardinal
					Project must, by definition, be specific to the Project. Past
				Within Item #2, the project should complete a comprehensive analysis of	performance at the Ekati Mine should not be assessed in and
				the proponent's compliance with the Socio-Economic Agreement over the	of itself, but used as a platform for assessment of the Project
				life of its project. This should be done in a way that looks at a project	at hand. The Draft TOR provides for a compilation and
				specific approach but also relative to its peers. It should include a listing all	analysis of socio-economic data and management that DDEC
				1	suggests is appropriate and specific to the Jay-Cardinal
	Vallanderinas Dana Firet Nations			consequences, penalties and punitive actions taken as a result of non-	Project.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	0.00 Day alasas	NI	compliance and a review of all adaptive management actions undertaken	
/	Todd Slack	3.2.2 Developer	None	in response to non compliance.	DDEC and other an anilla and other incidents of the Flort Mine
					DDEC reports on spills and other incidents at the Ekati Mine
					annually through the Water Licence Annual Report. The TOR
					for Assessment of the Jay-Cardinal Project must, by definition,
					be specific to the Project. Past performance at the Ekati Mine
				Within Item #3, the project should be required to submit a comprehensive	should not be assessed in and of itself, but used as a platform
				analysis of accidents and malfunctions from their historical operations.	for assessment of the Project at hand. The Draft TOR
				This should review the project spills in terms of absolute number of	provides for a compilation and analysis of data on spills,
				occurances, but also an analysis of trends using both a life of mine	accidents and malfunctions that DDEC suggests is
				approach and a 'rolling average' approach. It should evaluate performance	appropriate and specific to the Jay-Cardinal Project.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:			relative to other NWT amines and identify mitigations and management	
8	Todd Slack	3.2.2 Developer	None	approaches undertaken in response to any incidents.	
	1 Gad Glack	0.2.2 Dovolopol	THOROUGH TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	approaches and charten in response to any incluents.	The EKATI Mine has been operated in an environmentally
					responsible manner for 15 years. Routine monitoring and
					analysis (e.g., AEMP annual reports, and the 3-year
					Environmental Impact Report) assess and report on
					performance versus predictions. The TOR for Assessment of
					the Jay-Cardinal Project must, by definition, be specific to the
					Project. Past performance at the Ekati Mine should not be
					assessed in and of itself, but used as a platform for
				Also within Item #3 (but perhaps it fits elsewhere) the project should review	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				the environmental predictions made as part of previous Environmental	for a compilation and analysis of data on spills, accidents and
				Assessments and evaluate their value and accuracy in terms the actual	malfunctions that DDEC suggests is appropriate and specific
				impact and the significance predictions. In addition, one section should	to the Jay-Cardinal Project.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:			review the unforeseen or unpredicted impacts (ie. impacts reaching Great	
9	Todd Slack	3.2.2 Developer	None	Slave Impacts).	
			This section should have a particular focus that considers the both the existing		See Response to YKDFN 1.
			environment and the 'pre-development' environment. This project is taking place		
			environment that is already impacted, but the degree of change from 'baseline' should		
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	3.2.4 Description of the Existing	be the metric that should be considered (i.e. not the change from the impacted		
10	Todd Slack	Environment	environment).	The area effected by the TCWR should be evaluated as well	
				,	DDEC has considered baseline ambient noise during winter
					and summer, the two climatic extremes, and suggests that this
		3.2.4 Description of the Existing			provides appropriate seasonal coverage.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	Environment – Biophysical		#3 - Baseline ambient noise should be done in all four seasons – both in	
11	Todd Slack	Environment	None	terms of distribution/range and strength.	
		3.2.4 Description of the Existing			This is what has been proposed.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	Environment – Biophysical		#5 – At a minimum the list of parameters analyzed should be the same as	
12	Todd Slack	Environment	None	what exists within the current license.	
		3.2.4 Description of the Existing			Caribou crossing locations and sensitivities to disturbance are
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	Environment – Biophysical		#8 – Caribou crossing locations in the Lac de Gras (LdG) area and in the	already captured in Items b, c, d and e.
13	Todd Slack	Environment	None	vicinity of the TCWR should be a matter of focus within migration routes	
					Contaminants of potential concern in sediments are proposed
					to be assessed including dioxins and furans; note that dioxins
					and furans come from many sources, not just in the NWT.
		3.2.4 Description of the Existing			Assessment of deposition structure/timeline is neither
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	Environment – Biophysical		#12b – Add the concentrations of Furan and Dioxins within water body	reasonably possible nor necessary.
		Environment – Biophysical	None	sediments, as well as the deposition structure/timeline.	reasonably possible not necessary.
1.4	Todd Slack	ILIIVII OHII IEHIL			To the extent reasonably possible, DDEC has proposed to
14	Todd Slack		A fulcome description of the human environment will further the assessment and	1#16 /\/gilghility.chguld gleg ha gangidarata at the chility at these	
14	Todd Slack		A fulsome description of the human environment will further the assessment and	#15 – Availability should also be considerate of the ability of those	
14	Todd Slack		development of effective mitigation efforts. For example, if there are 100 unemployed	'available' to take advantage of the employment being offered with this	evaluate the availability of Aboriginal and other Northern
14			development of effective mitigation efforts. For example, if there are 100 unemployed residents within an affected community, how many are unable to work at remote sites	'available' to take advantage of the employment being offered with this development. General unemployment metrics present a misleading picture	evaluate the availability of Aboriginal and other Northern residents, barriers to their employment at the mine and to
14	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.2.4 Description of the Existing Environment – Human Environment	development of effective mitigation efforts. For example, if there are 100 unemployed residents within an affected community, how many are unable to work at remote sites because they have family obligations? How many have criminal records or life skill	'available' to take advantage of the employment being offered with this	evaluate the availability of Aboriginal and other Northern

				_	_
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.2.4 Description of the Existing Environment – Human Environment	None	#17 – The project should present evidence that evaluates the repeated concerns from communities on family health and structure (tied to project ToR 3.2.4 #21), drug and alcohol abuse rates, employment rates, job satisfaction/quality and standard of living. This should consider the status of communities prior to 1998 and in the current day.	To the extent possible, DDEC has proposed to evaluate current socio-economic conditions and trends in the potentially-affected communities and in the region against socio-economic conditions prior to diamond mining. Indicators, such as those developed for the Socio-economic Agreements and Communities and Diamonds follow-up program, will be used to measure changes in well-being and quality of life.
				·	It is unreasonable to expect DDEC to evaluate the
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	3.2.4 Description of the Existing Environment – Human Environment 3.2.5 Development Description –	Provision of training opportunities is only one step towards broadening the pool of labour able to take advantage at the minesite, if we are to truly assess the benefits being offered we need to take the next step	#18 - YKDFN agree that the project should evaluate the availability of training, but also the effectiveness of programs such as the Mine Training Society. Add a description of the noise levels and distributions (by season); add	effectiveness of joint industry/governments training programs in the NWT. The Mine Training Society and other training delivery agents evaluate their training programs as part of their mandates. See Response to YKDFN 1 and 11. Noise levels and the
10	Todd Slack	Existing Infrastructure	None	TCWR	winter road are proposed to be considered in the EA.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3 Impact Assessment Steps		The impact assessment should start with a review of the of the impacts and significance to date (linking back to the proposed inclusion in section	DDEC publishes a 3-year Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that undergoes broad-based review under the Environmental Agreement. The 3-year EIR assesses project effects to date. The TOR for Assessment of the Jay-Cardinal Project must, by definition, be specific to the Project. Past performance at the Ekati Mine should not be assessed in and of itself, but used as a platform for assessment of the Project at hand. The Draft TOR provides for a compilation and analysis of socioeconomic data and management that DDEC suggests is appropriate and specific to the Jay-Cardinal Project.
19	Todd Slack	3.3 impact Assessment Steps	None	3.2.2)	O - D
	Walley Lady as David Flord Nathan	0.01		As well as the literature to the second section of the section of the second section of the sect	See Response to YKDFN 1.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3 Impact Assessment Steps - Bullet 5	None	As mentioned, this should consider both pre-development and pre-mine conditions, with an emphasis on the latter in terms of impact assessment	
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3 Impact Assessment Steps - Bullet 7	None	Prior to evaluation of residual adverse impacts, the project should look at mitigation measures with the previous Ekati EA's and evaluate their efficacy	from previous mitigation measures. Potential interactions (linkages) between Project components or activities, and the corresponding potential changes to measurement endpoints of the environment are proposed to be identified during the development of the EA. If a linkage is determined to be valid, it would be assessed for residual effects. See also Response to YKDFN 9.
				Prior to placing our reliance in 'Adaptive Management', the project should	Existing adaptive management plans are proposed to be
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3 Impact Assessment Steps - Bullet 8	None	identify existing adaptive management plans, when they were triggered/utilized, and what the end result was	considered relative to this Project; see Response to YKDFN 21.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 Key Lines of Inquiry	In the past, environmental assessments have focused almost exclusively on evaluating impacts to the environment. Socio-Cultural impacts and benefits have been considered, but the consequences are poorly understood. For example, are we really benefiting communities by providing employment on a 2 week rotation if its contributing to weaker family structures and a generation less able to take advantage of the opportunities that exist		DDEC has previously and will continue to provide benefits to communities beyond employment. DDEC supports joint industry/governments prorams that evaluate the general response of northern communities to mining projects.
					The Draft TOR provides for evaluating water quality before,
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 KLI-2	The physical characteristics of the waters in the area must remain similar to pre- development. For instance, does the changing salinity or chemical loadings alter the freeze dates or thermal properties of the water bodies	Include a section that evaluates any physical changes to the area (ice thickness, freeze up timing etc) that may result from changes to the chemcial loadings or thermal properties of the local water bodies	during and after the Jay-Cardinal Project. DDEC does not see need for additional wording or clarification in this topic area.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 KLI-3	The direction within this KLI must be for the project to demonstrate that they are not impacting caribou. Given the low herd population and the Federal Government's 2011 declaration that Barren Ground Caribou are in danger of extinction, the project must show how they have reduced their impacts to the herd	Part of the KLI should evaluate how impacts have been reduced from past Ekati operations	, ,
26	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 KLI-3	Caribou migration must be a particular focus within this KLI as the proposal will effectively block off one of the important water crossings (between LdG and LdS) for the area and the ZOI will encompass others on LdS with unknown implications. On simple measurement, there is no unaffected caribou crossing for almost 70 km	The KLI should focus on where and how caribou crossed historically and where they are able to move through the area now	See Response to YKDFN 13. Effects to caribou migration routes are a component of non-direct disturbance effects. The proposed project design was selected, in part, specifically to avoid physical disturbance at the Lac du Sauvage outlet stream and immediate area, and to enable continued caribou movement through the area.
	Yellowknives Dene First Nation:			· · ·	Dioxins and furans are proposed to be considered. See
27	Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 SoN-1 – Air Quality	Given the projects previous contamination within the local area	this SoN should include discussions on Furans and Dioxins	Response to YKDFN 14.

Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 SoN-3 – Fish Habitat	This should be addressed within a greater KLI. The project is going to destroy a significant part of this lake, with a likely significant impact on the remainder and unknown downstream effects.	The bullets here should form part of a greater response to the KLI, with a particular focus on closure and re-establishment of the aquatic ecosystem	Effects to fish and fish habitat are proposed to be assessed in the EA; this will include the effects from drawing down Lac du Sauvage and the resulting effects on the downstream environment. Closure and successful re-establishment of the aquatic ecosystem within Lac du Sauvage will be a key component of the evaluation. The proposed Project design was selected, in part, to work beneficially with the natural conditions of the Lac du Sauvage watershed to enable a temporary drawdown of part of Lac du Sauvage for the duration of mining activities.
Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 SoN-3 – Fish Habitat	This should be addressed within a greater KLI. The project is going to destroy a significant part of this lake, with a likely significant impact on the remainder and unknown downstream effects.	The Project should evaluate the amount of habitat it is destroying and prepare an initial fish habitat compensation plan. YKDFN recommend that the project approach communities to collaboratively develop the objective to guide this plan.	Fish habitat loss is proposed to be evaluated in the Project EA. A preliminary offsetting plan will be developed during the EA process, in consultation with DFO, and with input from local communities on potential offsetting options.
Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.1 SoN-New – Alternatives	YKDFN beleive that the alternatives assessment should be an important subject of note. The destruction of a lake should be done as a last resort, not just as the cheapest option.	A new SoN should be added, which recognizes and incorporates the importance of conserving pristine land and water.	DDEC disagrees that a new SoN is necessary in this regard and sugegsts that alternatives are adequately provided for in the Draft TOR. Also see Response to IEMA 28.
Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.1.3 Biophysical Environmental Monitoring	The future reporting approach is uncertain and the current approach to comprehensively considering wildlife data can be more certain	In addition to the discussion surrounding the plans, the proponent should identify a comprehensive analysis of wildlife monitoring data as part of the system (Currently similar to the EIR report under the Environmental Agreement)	Wildlife monitoring data are assessed and analyzed annually under the WEMP and every 3 years as part of the Environemtal Impact Report. The EA for the Jay-Cardinal Project should refer to and draw from these documents but not repeat data summaries or analyses, and this is proposed in the Draft TOR. DDEC works cooperatively with ENR and other mining companies on regional wildlife monitoring programs.
Yellowknives Dene First Nation:	3.3.2.1 Human Environment – Key	l '	Established a KLI to consider: Past impacts and benefits to affected	DDEC disagrees that an additional KLI is necessayr as proposed. See Response to YKDFN 23.
Todd Slack Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.2.2 Subjects of Note – impacts to employment	The competition for northern employees will continue to increase as Gahcho Kue and other projects come on line, making it much more difficult for this mine to meet its commitments with regards to northern and aboriginal participation. If the project has already had difficulty meeting commitments, new methods and increased efforts must be made	The company should identify ways and means of increasing retention, northern hiring, and promoting residency	DDEC has made and will continue to make all reasonable efforts to hire Aboriginal and other Northern residents; further, DDEC strives to improve employee retention, particularly Aboriginal and other Northern residents. The Draft TOR includes provison for DDEC to describe its approach to these issues specific to the Jay-Cardinal project (Sections 3.3.2.2 [SoN-7] and 3.3.2.3 of the Draft TOR).
Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack		The project has a history that reviewers should be able to understand and evaluate. Understanding where the project has encountered difficulty and how they will respond	The project should develop a response framework that seeks to improve compliance to company commitments wherever possible, and when not possible, develop new ways and means to provide support for community health and employment	DDEC has proposed, in the Draft TOR, to describe its proposed approaches to providing socio-economic benefits and mitigating socio-economic risks associated with the Jay-Cardinal Project. This will necessarily reference past practices as a basis for the proposed approaches. The Jay-Cardihal Project is unique in that the Project can make beneficial use of the continuation of existing effective practices as opposed to needing to initiate all new programs and practices.
Yellowknives Dene First Nation:		Previous Socio-Ec submissions can be improved to better reflect the conditions that	This SoN should consider changing labour demands, demographics and	See Responses to YKDFN 23 and 33. DDEC will consider all
Todd Slack Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.3.3 Cumulative Effects, Bullet 6	have a more significant impact than those at the periphery of the caribou range	The items for consideration should be expaned to clarify that the impacts on Barren-Ground Caribou is to include population analysis. Secondarily, the analysis must develop a peer reviewed approach that takes into account the relative sensitivity of caribou with their lifestage and seasonal range.	available, relevant information. DDEC disagrees that it is appropriate or responsible for a single mine operator or project proponent to provide population analysis of barren ground caribou. It is not reasonable that a single operator/proponent has the information to conduct such an analysis. See Responses to YKDFN 1 and 13. DDEC has proposed to consider both the incremental and cumulative effect of the impacts included in KLI-3, Impacts to Caribou in the Draft TOR. DDEC will use existing peer-reviewed approaches for modelling population-level effects.

EA1314-01 Jay-Cardinal Project

Dominion Diamonds draft Terms of Reference - Summary table with reviewer comments and Dominin Diamond responses

37	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.4 Accidents and Malfunctions, #1, bullet 3	Concerned parties are routinely assured of the safety of tailings dams and water retention structures.	The proponent should prepare an analysis of past tailings/water retention dam/dykes across Canada (including the recent Obed Mtn incident), looking at the causes and evaluating if they are applicable to concerns at Ekati.	See Response to IEMA 38.
38	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.6 Closure and Reclamation	YKDFN suggest that the easiest and most utilitarian approach to meeting this section would be to extend the current ICRP structure to address the Jay-C project.	The project should use the current ICRP format to address the requirements of this section	DDEC agrees; this is the intent. The current ICRP is proposed to be adapted to include the Jay-Cardinal Project.
39	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.6 Closure and Reclamation	None	The project should identify how they will remove/decomission newly constructed/imported infrastucture	See Responses to IEMA 40 and YKDFN 38.
40	Yellowknives Dene First Nation: Todd Slack	3.6 Closure and Reclamation #7	the Closure and Reclamation section needs to have a particular focus on fish habitat establishment and a return of the existing aquatic ecosystem in a similar abundance.	Amend #7 to consider not just sustainability, but also also look at the return of an aquatic ecosystem in similar abundance and diversity, with a focus on and utilization of habitat by lake trout (top trophic level)	DDEC suggests that this issue is adequatelty provided for in the Draft TOR. Additionally, DDEC suggests that specific success criteria such as sustainability, abundance, or diversity should follow from the Environmental Assessment and not be pre-determined in the TOR. This approach ensures that specific criteria are based on robust analysis and review.
1	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	General		Editorial: "rational" should be replaced with "rationale" in several instances.	Acknowleged.
2	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.2.4 Description of the Existing Environment - Biophysical Environment (page 11, item 9.c.)	It states "describe each species in terms of the requirements listed in item #10 above". Is this an error in itemizing, should it read item #8?	Editorial: clarification required on reference made in item 9.c.	DDEC confirms that this statement should reference item #8, not item #10.
3	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.3.1.2 Subjects of Note (SoN) - SoN-4 Impacts to wildlife and wildlife habitat from project components	Further additions to the description (incorporating seasonal variation and the sensitivities of specific life cycle stages) of the impacts to all wildlife value components, species-at-risk, and respective habitats from project-related changes.	SoN-4 should include a sub-bullet outlining the potential for disruption to predator-prey relationships"	DDEC does not object to this clarification.
4	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.3.1.2 Subjects of Note (SoN) - SoN-4 Impacts to wildlife and wildlife habitat from project components	Further additions to the description (incorporating seasonal variation and the sensitivities of specific life cycle stages) of the impacts to all wildlife value components, species-at-risk, and respective habitats from project-related changes: fifth bullet "potential for disruption of animal movements and migration patterns"	SoN-4, fifth bullet should include "population cycles, home ranges distribution and abundance"	DDEC does not object to this clarification. Also see Response to IEMA 10.
5	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 2.3 Geographic Scope - (page 5, item 4)	Due to the diversion of water to surrounding water bodies, the quantity of water should be included as a reasonable foreseeable Project-related impact.	Change the end of this item to "including those on water quality, water quantity, fisheries, and the human environment."	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
6	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.2.4 - (page 10, item 5)	The list of parameters is reasonably comprehensive but could be more efficiently expressed.	Bullets could be organized as follows: Metals (total and dissolved - full suite, including mercury) Physicals (pH, conductivity, turbidity, hardness, alkalinity) Dissolved oxygen Total suspended solids	DDEC does not object to this clarification.
7	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.2.4 Description of the Existing Environment - Biophysical Environment (page 11, item 6)	The aquatic habitats and organisms assessed should include those organisms in surrounding water bodies expected to be affected by the influx of water, as well as the water regimes on the project site and downstream.	Add to the end of the second sentence "and surrounding water bodies likely to experience changes to water quantity due to the Project." In addition an editorial: correct duplicate numbering.	DDEC does not object to this clarification.
8	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.2.4 Description of the Existing Environment - Biophysical Environment (page 12, item 12.b)	It would be useful to specify characterization.	Add "including particle size analysis and total metals".	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
9	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.3.1.1 Key Lines of Inquiry (page 18, item KLI-1)	The effects of rewatering of the lake after mining is complete should be included in this section.	Include a bullet outlining "closure hydrology issues associated with water sources used during rewatering of Lac du Sauvage".	DDEC has no objection to this clarification. Also see Responses to IEMA 29 and 30.
10	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	" " "	Treatment contingencies should be identified for all phases of the water management activities.	Add a statement in the paragraph: Estimates of predicted contaminant concentrations should include a description of any mitigation or treatment used in predicting levels.	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.
11	Environment Canada: Sarah-Lacey McMillan	Section 3.3.1.1 Key Lines of Inquiry (page 18, item KLI-2)	The rewatering of the lake after mining is complete should be included in this section.	Include a bullet outlining "water quality during rewatering of Lac du Sauvage".	DDEC has no objection to this clarification.