Chuck Hubert

From: Sachi De Souza
Sent: May 28, 2015 1:53 PM
To: Chuck Hubert
Subject: FW: Clarification for DAR content

From: David Harpley [david@canadianzinc.com]
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2015 2:15 PM
To: Sachi De Souza
Cc: Mark Cliffe-Phillips
Subject: Fwd: RE: Clarification for DAR content

FYI, see below.

--
David Harpley
VP Environmental & Permitting Affairs
Canadian Zinc Corporation
Office 604 688 2001, Home Office 604 594 3855

----- Forwarded message from Ernest Kragt <ekragt@allnorthpilot.onmicrosoft.com> -----

Date: Mon, 25 May 2015 20:08:23 +0000
From: Ernest Kragt <ekragt@allnorthpilot.onmicrosoft.com>
Reply-To: Ernest Kragt <ekragt@allnorthpilot.onmicrosoft.com>
Subject: RE: Clarification for DAR content
To: David Harpley <david@canadianzinc.com>
Cc: Bradley Major <bmajor@allnorth.com>

As per your request, Allnorth confirms the comments made below are fair and accurate.

Ernest Kragt | Project Coordinator
Allnorth
2011 PG Pulpmill Road, Box 968, Prince George, BC V2L 4V1
Phone: +1 250-614-7291 Fax: +1 250-614-7290
allnorth.com
-----Original Message-----
From: David Harpley [mailto:david@canadianzinc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 5:17 PM
To: Sachi De Souza
Cc: Alan Ehrlich; Mark Cliffe-Phillips; Alan Taylor; Ernest Kragt; Rita Kors-Olthof
Subject: Re: Clarification for DAR content

Sachi, replies below in caps so as to differentiate them from the original message:

We have been reviewing the DAR and have identified a couple of procedural questions we would like CanZinc along with All North and Tetra Tech to clarify.

The DAR relies on the information provided by All North and Tetra Tech as appendices. Given the recommendations in the text of these appendices and the content presented in the DAR it is unclear if:

1. all of the recommendations from All North and Tetra Tech have been incorporated into the DAR

ALL CONSULTANT REPORTS WERE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY CZN. THEIR INCLUSION IN THE DAR AS APPENDICES CAN BE READ AS MEANING THAT THEY ARE PART OF THE DAR, AND CZN IS COMFORTABLE WITH THE CONTENTS OF THEM.

2. all of the recommendations from Tetra Tech were incorporated into All North's preliminary design, and

THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE INTERACTION BETWEEN TETRA TECH AND ALL NORTH.
YOU CAN ASSUME THAT THE ROAD DESIGN IS BASED ON TETRA TECH'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

3. all of the assumptions made by All North and Tetra Tech in its assessments were fair and accurate given the content in the DAR.

THE EXAMPLE BELOW IS EASILY EXPLAINED. I DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER CONCERNS THERE WOULD BE. YOU HAVE THE FULL CONSULTANT REPORTS IN THE APPENDICES IN CASE THERE IS ANY QUESTION OF CONTENT AND CONTEXT.

For example, Tetra Tech stated in section 4.6 of Appendix 2:

“This current version of the report is issued for review, pending receipt of commentary from CZN. The “Issued-for-Use” report will be included as an appendix to the DAR, and specific sections of the report will be incorporated in the main text of the DAR. Tetra Tech EBA requests the opportunity to review the portions of the DAR incorporating any part of our work product.”

The Review Board has not as yet found any wording indicating that Tetra Tech did indeed have the opportunity to review the portions of the DAR that incorporated any part of its work.

THE TETRA TECH COMMENT IS BOILERPLATE, AND IS AN UNDERSTANDABLE INCLUSION TO COVER THEMSELVES FOR COMMENTS TAKEN OUT OF CONTEXT. TETRA TECH COULD HAVE REVIEWED THE DAR, BUT DID NOT SPECIFICALLY REQUEST TO DO SO. YOU WILL NOTE THAT I INCLUDED SOME SECTIONS OF TETRA TECH'S REPORT IN THE DAR, BUT NOT TOO MUCH, LEAVING THE DETAIL FOR THE APPENDIX. THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS WERE INCLUDED. FOR THOSE SECTIONS I DID INCLUDE, YOU WILL NOTE THAT NO MODIFICATIONS WERE MADE. THEREFORE, I DON'T SEE A NEED FOR THEM TO REVIEW, AND THERE SHOULD NOT BE ANY CONTEXT CONCERNS.

To aide in the Review Board’s understanding of the DAR, the Review Board requests written and signed confirmation from All North and Tetra Tech that the above three numbered items have been completed and reviewed where necessary.

I THINK THIS IS UNNECESSARILY FORMAL. ALL NORTH AND TETRA TECH HAVE BEEN COPIED ON THIS EMAIL. IF THEY HAVE CONCERNS, OR WISH TO DISPUTE ANYTHING I'VE SAID ABOVE, THEY ARE FREE TO RESPOND ACCORDINGLY. ERNIE AND RITA, PLEASE REPLY TO THIS EMAIL SO THAT THE REVIEW BOARD HAVE A RECORD OF A RESPONSE FROM YOU. HOPEFULLY, THAT WILL SUFFICE.

----- End forwarded message -----