UNDERSTANDING DISTURBANCE THRESHOLDS AND OPPORTUNITIES TO ACHIEVE BETTER OUTCOMES FOR BOREAL CARIBOU IN CANADA: A PRIMER
PREFACE

The National Recovery Strategy for Woodland Caribou, Boreal Population (boreal caribou, NRS; Environment Canada 2012), established a risk-based management threshold of a minimum of 65% undisturbed habitat in each population range, to be applied in boreal caribou range planning and action planning across Canada. The threshold was informed by a scientific assessment that evaluated the contribution of natural (fire) and human (industrial) disturbance to range condition, and the likelihood of varying range conditions supporting self-sustaining boreal caribou populations (Environment Canada 2011). Both the scientific assessment and the NRS recognized that variability in components of this relationship might be attributed to regional differences in response, or to differences in the characteristics of disturbances, but that further work was required to support regional or context-specific disturbance thresholds.

The Canadian Boreal Forest Agreement (CBFA, or “the Agreement”) commits signatories to promote recovery of boreal caribou through regional caribou action planning across Canada. The following primer describes how the national disturbance threshold was derived, and the opportunities that exist to better understand variation in the relationship between disturbance and caribou response at a population level. The primer answers key questions that have been raised about the NRS and disturbance thresholds by CBFA signatories, and sets the foundation for identification of uncertainties that could be addressed by future work.

Relationship to Other CBFA Reports

The Methodological Framework for Caribou Action Planning in Support of The Canadian Boreal Forest Agreement (http://cbfa-efbc.ca/wpcontent/uploads/2015/11/CBFACaribou_guidelinesiteration2_EN.pdf) was developed to guide CBFA Signatories and planning practitioners through consistent caribou action planning across the CBFA implementation area. The primer is intended to support caribou action planning within the CBFA by enhancing understanding of the disturbance threshold, but it does not provide detailed information to guide planning per se.

Questions or Comments

Questions and clarifications regarding this document should be directed to the CBFA Executive Director Aran O’Carroll (aocarroll@borealagreement.ca).
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For the Boreal population of Woodland Caribou (i.e. boreal caribou), a strong relationship exists between the extent of habitat disturbance and whether a local population is stable, increasing or decreasing. As the amount of disturbance increases in a local population range, there is an increasing risk that the population will be in decline. For this reason, as part of the identification of critical habitat, the National Recovery Strategy for boreal caribou established a minimum of 65% undisturbed habitat (or 35% total disturbance) in a range as the disturbance management threshold.

In contrast to past approaches - which have generally focussed on managing the impacts of disturbance on a project-by-project basis - a disturbance threshold can be used to manage disturbance levels in a more integrated way, at the scale of a boreal caribou range. This shift represents an opportunity to achieve better outcomes for boreal caribou conservation in Canada.

Despite the potential of disturbance thresholds, there have been a number misunderstandings about their use and the science that supports them. This primer is intended to help advance understanding of disturbance thresholds described in the National Recovery Strategy by answering a number of key questions which have been raised by CBFA Signatories, including:

» What science informed the management decision to select 65% undisturbed habitat as the disturbance threshold?
» Why were 500m buffers used and were different buffer sizes tested?
» How can the CBFA support implementation of the National Recovery Strategy to achieve the recovery goal for boreal caribou?
» How can we use active adaptive management within CBFA planning to support the implementation of disturbance thresholds?

Disturbance and boreal caribou: understanding the relationship

To enable the effective use of disturbance thresholds, it is critical to understand boreal caribou’s response to disturbance, which is complex and multi-faceted. There is growing recognition that conservation efforts must address both the direct and indirect effects of disturbance on boreal caribou.

Direct effects result when disturbances affect boreal caribou use of habitat (i.e., habitat loss and avoidance of areas due to noise).

For example, the harvesting of frequently used boreal caribou habitat would directly impact boreal caribou by reducing the amount of habitat available.
Indirect effects result when disturbances affect the size or behaviour of the predator and prey populations within or adjacent to boreal caribou ranges. This, in turn, negatively affects boreal caribou populations. For example, disturbance can create forests which are more favorable to prey species like moose and deer. The increase in the number of these prey species leads to an increase in the number of predators such as wolves, which then results in more frequent predation on boreal caribou. Similarly, linear disturbances can create travel corridors for predators, resulting in more efficient movement and increased predation on boreal caribou.

**How was the disturbance threshold in the National Recovery Strategy established?**

The disturbance threshold established in the National Recovery Strategy was informed by an intensive assessment conducted by a team of scientists from Canada and the United States. Some of the key steps are summarized here to help users understand the process for establishing the 65% undisturbed habitat threshold and the underlying ecological processes the threshold approach represents.

**Consideration of habitat use**

One of the first steps was identifying which habitats boreal caribou use and which habitats they avoid. Habitat selection models (i.e., resource selection functions) showed that boreal caribou across Canada generally avoided areas with high road densities and recent burns (i.e., those less than 40 years old). They also showed that boreal caribou tend to prefer peatlands.

The results did vary across regions of Canada and some areas had better data than others for understanding habitat use. These findings informed additional analyses and were also used to identify ‘high quality habitat’ for boreal caribou. High quality habitat was defined as the habitat which had the highest probability of use by boreal caribou.

**A range of buffer sizes were tested and 500m was determined most appropriate for representing a ‘zone of human influence’**

An important step in developing the disturbance threshold was evaluating the effects of adding ‘buffers’ to human disturbances. **Buffers, in the case of the National Recovery Strategy, are an analytical approach whereby an additional distance (i.e., buffer) is applied to the visible footprint of a disturbance. Buffer sizes are often based on previous studies that have analyzed the distance at which boreal caribou tend to avoid areas (direct effects) or are at increased risk of predation (indirect effects). Thus, buffers represent a ‘zone of influence’ on boreal caribou.**

Buffer sizes of 100m, 250m, 500m, 1000m, 1500m, 2000m, 2500m, 3000m, 3500m and 4000m on human disturbances were examined. Models that included buffer distances of 500m, 1000m, 1500m and 2000m were 1.5 times better (i.e., had higher $R^2$ values) at explaining the effects of disturbance on boreal caribou recruitment than models that either had no buffer or a 100m buffer on disturbance.

**What is ‘regional variation’ and why is it important?**

Regional variation is acknowledged in the National Recovery Strategy, but its interpretation can be challenging. Consider the example of house prices in Canada. While we can determine an average national house price, the actual cost of buying a house varies whether you are in Vancouver, Edmonton or Ottawa. The price is influenced by ‘regional variation’.

In the case of the National Recovery Strategy, a variety of disturbance types/habitat features were evaluated to determine their influence on caribou based on both national averages and when regional variability was considered (Figure 1). Understanding regional variation allows us to better understand the factors that are affecting caribou, and what we can do about it.

**Figure 1.** A comparison of how well different variables explained caribou calf recruitment at a national level and when regional variation (represented by ecozones) was considered. *Intact Forests are large, continuous areas of forest undisturbed by human activity, as mapped by Global Forest Watch Canada.*
Based on these results, the 500m buffer was determined to be a conservative choice to account for the direct and indirect effects of disturbance on boreal caribou and was used in subsequent analyses.

**Total amount of disturbance in a range had the largest influence on boreal caribou population condition**

The next step in establishing the disturbance threshold was exploring which factors had the greatest influence on boreal caribou population condition – as represented by calf recruitment (i.e., number of calves per 100 cows). Calf recruitment was selected for this analysis because it is an indicator of rapid population response to disturbance, and was available for a representative number of regions across Canada. Recruitment was also positively related to adult survival. The analysis looked at how different types of disturbances (e.g., fire, linear, polygonal – well sites, cut blocks etc.), and how high quality habitat and intact forest, affected boreal caribou populations. A secondary objective was to determine whether there was regional variation (see Box 1) in how boreal caribou respond to these factors.

At the national scale, total disturbance within a range (i.e., fire + human disturbances buffered by 500m) explained almost 70% of the variation in boreal caribou recruitment. By comparison, fire alone had a small impact on recruitment, explaining only 5% of the variation in population condition at the national level. However, across regions within Canada the impact of fire on population condition varied considerably, suggesting there are important differences in the characteristics of fire, and in boreal caribou response to fire, in different regions of Canada.

Similarly, there was considerable regional variation in the importance of polygonal disturbances (e.g., well sites, clearings, forest cutblocks) to boreal caribou recruitment. This again suggests there may be important differences in the characteristics of these disturbances, and their effect on boreal caribou population condition in different regions of Canada. In contrast, boreal caribou response to linear features was strongly negative across all regions of Canada.

At a national level, the quantity of high quality habitat did not enhance understanding of boreal caribou population condition beyond that accounted for by total disturbance. However, the amount of high quality habitat did improve prediction of boreal caribou population condition when regional variation was taken into account, again suggesting that the effect of high quality habitat on boreal caribou population condition may vary across Canada. It should also be noted that characterization of high quality habitat was better in some regions than others.

Given that total disturbance (i.e., fire + human disturbances buffered by 500m) best explained boreal caribou population condition at the national scale, it was selected as the foundation of the disturbance threshold for the National Recovery Strategy.

**An integrated approach resulted in a “risk based” disturbance threshold and a minimum requirement of 65% undisturbed habitat within each boreal caribou range**

The final step in supporting establishment of the disturbance threshold was integrating the results of the analyses previously described, with additional population information, more specifically, adult survival. This enabled scientists to characterize the probability (or likelihood) of achieving self-sustaining boreal caribou populations relative to the disturbance level on boreal caribou ranges (Figure 1). A self-sustaining population was defined as one showing a stable or increasing population trend over a 20-year period.

To understand the ‘risk based’ disturbance threshold, it’s helpful to first look at discrete thresholds. Discrete thresholds exist when there is an obvious change in the relationship between two variables. For example, as a water balloon fills it reaches a point where the balloon bursts – thus representing a sudden change (or threshold) amount of water the balloon can hold.

In the case of the National Recovery Strategy, there was not a discrete level of disturbance that indicated sustainable versus unsustainable conditions for boreal caribou. Instead, a ‘risk-based’ approach was used to establish a management threshold.

Risk- based thresholds use probabilities to help managers understand the level of risk involved in a management choice. In the case of the National Recovery Strategy, levels of risk were assigned to the comparison between percent total disturbance and the probability that a population would remain stable or increase over time.
The result was a management decision by Environment Canada to select a minimum of 65% undisturbed habitat as the disturbance management threshold for each range.

The 65% undisturbed habitat threshold estimates that a population will have a 60% probability of remaining stable or increasing over time (i.e., a 40% chance of not being self-sustaining) at a level of 65% undisturbed habitat in its range (Figure 2). Undisturbed habitat was defined as the habitat within a boreal caribou range not affected by: a) recent fire (i.e., <40 years) and/or b) human disturbances buffered by 500 metres.

This variability presents an important opportunity. If regional differences in management approaches that result in improved probability of persistence for boreal caribou populations can be identified, it may be possible to achieve ‘better than expected’ outcomes for boreal caribou at similar levels of disturbance. For example, the large amount of regional variation in boreal caribou response to polygonal disturbances (e.g., well sites, cut-blocks etc.) could mean that harvesting strategies, or other management approaches, in some regions of Canada are producing better outcomes for boreal caribou. Similarly, it is possible that regional variation in fire regimes has an important influence on boreal caribou response to fire. For example, severe wildfires may burn a forest completely while other wildfires may result in a mosaic of burned and unburned patches. Whether or not boreal caribou populations are sustained under these conditions may depend on how fire severity affects use of the area by other prey and predators, as well as the availability and quality of food sources for boreal caribou. There may also be regional variation in other factors that influence boreal caribou populations, either directly or indirectly. Factors such as climate variation, differences in forage nutrition, and effects of other human influences on the landscape (e.g., hunting pressure, recreational land use) could also be explored.

There is a need to explore opportunities for further research that could reduce uncertainty and refine the application of the disturbance threshold. This includes taking into account some of the regional variation observed in the scientific assessment that supported the National Recovery Strategy. Specific topics that could be explored include:

- Understanding how regional variation in the characteristics of forest fires, harvesting patterns, their recovery rates and the configuration of high quality habitat affect the relationship between disturbance and boreal caribou population condition.
- Exploring how the relative permanence of different types of disturbance (e.g., well sites versus cutblocks) influence habitat recovery trajectories.
- Testing variable buffer widths for different types of disturbance (e.g., seismic lines vs. roads vs. cutblocks) to observe their impacts on the ability of models to predict boreal caribou population condition.
- Understanding how habitat restoration activities impact both short and long-term boreal caribou objectives, including when ‘restoration’ of a disturbance is achieved.

Figure 2. The response surface used to establish the ‘risk based’ management threshold in the National Recovery Strategy. Note that probabilities were used to assess the level of risk for different levels of disturbance in a range.
Understanding how these differences affect boreal caribou populations may help to refine implementation of disturbance thresholds and help identify management practices that could result in better than expected outcomes for boreal caribou.

**How can the CBFA support implementation of the National Recovery Strategy to achieve the recovery goal for boreal caribou?**

The CBFA commits signatories to promote the recovery of boreal caribou and to use the best available information in these efforts. Thus, disturbance thresholds provide an important foundation for current and future planning activities of the CBFA.

A first step for CBFA Signatories is to understand how disturbance thresholds can assist with managing a complex challenge like boreal caribou conservation. Second, CBFA Signatories have an opportunity to help jurisdictions enhance understanding of regional variation in habitat conditions within boreal caribou ranges across the country through provision of forest management data. The National Recovery Strategy acknowledges that there is variation in habitat and population conditions for boreal caribou across Canada. As such, strategies to support self-sustaining local populations would benefit from enhanced understanding to support effective implementation of the National Recovery Strategy.

**For More Information**

