

June 17, 2022

Chuck Hubert, Senior Environmental Assessment Officer Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board 200 Scotia Centre, Box 938, 5102-50th Avenue Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N7 Email: chubert@reviewboard.ca

Via Email chubert@reviewboard.ca

Re: Concerns with the Tłįchǫ Highway Corridor Working Group

Mr. Hubert:

On June 13, 2022, Wek'èezhìt Renewable Resources Board (WRRB) staff attended the Tł_ichǫ Highway Corridor Working Group (CWG) in Whatì. The meeting briefly discussed updates to ongoing management and monitoring plans as well as some CWG members' concerns. At the end of the meeting, the CWG was told by the Government of the Northwest Territories, Department of Infrastructure (INF) that the CWG will not entertain topics not specifically on the agenda, including topics that may impact a private contract that INF holds to maintain the Tł_ichǫ Highway.

The WRRB was alarmed by these comments as they are contrary to the requirements and intent of the CWG, outlined in the *Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision GNWT Thcho All-Season Road Project* (Report of EA). Section 14.4.5 states that "information and discussion of the Working Group will help the developer improve its management of the Project, to adaptively reduce or avoid impacts that are otherwise likely." In *Closing Arguments from the Government of the Northwest Territories for the Thcho All-Season Road Environmental Assessment*, the GNWT declares that the CWG "will provide advice on monitoring and mitigation results that will inform adaptive management." These commitments are additionally listed in the CWG's Terms of Reference (TOR). None of the references to the CWG in the Report of EA limit the CWGs ability to function by mandating rigid adherence to an agenda.

The WRRB believes that the sharing of Tł_ichǫ Knowledge is a key pillar in allowing the CWG to adaptively manage impacts of the Tł_ichǫ Highway. Inviting Tł_ichǫ Elders to participate in each meeting is a requirement of the CWG, set out in Measure 14-3 of the Report of EA. The WRRB values and relies on this participation. The Board cautions that if discussion off an agenda is not allowed, the CWG cannot function as designed.

The WRRB has valued the CWG as a venue for discussion, reporting, and investigation, as it was originally designed. The Board believes it is inappropriate for the GNWT to limit discussion, especially discussion that may impact a contract between the GNWT and a private company. Contract management issues the GNWT may have are not the mandate of the CWG and should not limit its effectiveness. The WRRB would like to see the CWG remain as it was designed - encouraging discussion between members and the sharing of Tłįchǫ Knowledge, which informs adaptive management.

Sincerely,

Jody telligey>

Jody Pellissey Executive Director

Cc Joseph Judas, Chair Wek'èezhìı Renewable Resources Board

> Tammy Steinwand-Deschambeault, Director, Culture and Lands Protection Department of Culture and Lands Protection, Tłįchǫ Government

Violet Camsell-Blondin, Manager, Lands Regulation Department of Culture and Lands Protection, Tłįchǫ Government

Jon Posynick, Manager, Environmental Affairs Government of the Northwest Territories, Department of Infrastructure