

Sherry Sian

From: Sherry Sian
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 9:38 AM
To: 'Laurie Stephenson'
Cc: Max Braden; Ralf Hillebrand
Subject: RE: Misinterpretation of the Mining Inspector's Report

Laurie,

Each party to an environmental assessment bears the responsibility of submitting their own evidence. In the event that the excerpt from the Mine Inspector's report in the appendix of the DAR may have contributed to this interpretation, please file the complete Mine Inspector's report as soon as possible. Alternatively, you may wish to ask the Mine Inspector to submit the report on your behalf. I will also file this correspondence on the public registry for the information of all parties.

Thank you for providing this clarification. I believe this can be resolved easily and quickly.

Regards,
Sherry

Sherry Sian, M.E.Des.
Environmental Assessment Officer
MVEIRB
Box 938, 5102 - 50th Avenue
Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N7
Phone: (867) 766-7063
Fax: (867) 766-7074
e-mail: ssian@mveirb.nt.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: Laurie Stephenson [<mailto:lstephenson@wascomgt.com>]
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 1:30 PM
To: Sherry Sian
Cc: Max Braden; Ralf Hillebrand
Subject: Re: Misinterpretation of the Mining Inspector's Report

Sherry,

I have noticed on more than one occasion an allegation that the Mining Inspector's report on the Diamond Drilling Program New Shoshoni completed in "exemplary fashion" with respects to the environment and no impact, contained a reference to an "unbeknowst to the Inspector" drilling area in the Mud Lake Area, "raises suspicions ...as to the validity of [our] other claims."

It appears that no one has properly researched or read the full report or understood it. This reference is to another drilling program by some other company on their claims. New Shoshoni has no claims in the Mud Lake area, have no interest in that area, nor have they ever had a drilling program in that area.

I hope this clarifies the incorrectness of the allegation and reinforces the validity of all our claims.

If there is any questions about this report, I would suggest that the interested party contact the Mine's Inspector directly.

Laurie Stephenson

11/10/2003

Consultant
New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd.

Sherry Sian

From: Laurie Stephenson [lstephenson@wascomgt.com]
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 12:07 PM
To: Sherry Sian
Subject: Re: Misinterpretation of the Mining Inspector's Report

Sherry,

I concur that each party is responsible for its "evidence" but you have to expect people involved in this process to have a little background in the field of mineral exploration before they start commenting on it.

If I have to start filing every piece of legislation and interpretation that should be obvious to the parties concerned, we will increase this process from the extremely untenable 11 month time period to a longer one!

The synopsis of the report was filed that was evidence for the New Shoshoni performance - I do not feel it is appropriate to place evidence before the board that is irrelevant to the company's DAR, and citing someone else's interaction with the Mine's Inspector, falls into that category.

Both Yellowknife Dene and the Lutsel K'e have attempted to "mis-use" this evidence by raising it, first in September and now in November respectively, Although the fact that the YKDFN have not made further mention of it suggests they found it to be unrelated in subsequent investigation which unfortunately they did not pass on to the Lutsel K'e.

I made my submission the other day to ensure that it will not surface again or that there is no doubt remaining about NSV's exemplary Environmental record>

Laurie Stephenson