

GEOFIN

Geological & Financial
Consulting Services

Ste 502 – 15015 Victoria Ave.
White Rock, BC V4B 1G2
Tel 604 780-7659

Consolidated GoldWin Ventures
And
Sidon International Resource Corp.
Suite 1016 - 470 Granville St.
Vancouver British Columbia
V6C 1V5

And

Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact
Review Board

Box 938 – 5102- 50th Avenue
Yellowknife NWT
X1A 2N7

August 30, 2011

BY Email

RE: MV2003C0003 Land Use Permit Amendment Application and New Land Use Application

Consolidated Goldwin Ventures Inc.

AND

RE: MV2004C0039 Land Use Permit Application

Sidon International Resource Corp.

Dear Sirs,

I am responding on behalf of the developers with respects to the proposed new hearing in September.

As I indicated earlier, I do not believe you have the authority to re-open to the public a matter that was fully aired and discussed in 2007's public meetings and a decision was made.

The Federal Minister in an attempt to "streamline" the wording of the MVEIRB's "Recommendations" by referring it back to the MVEIRB did not disagree with the Board's recommendations made at that time, just the wording and implications with respects to the use of "non-standard terms"; the "excessive" monitoring for such a small exploration program and "inappropriate mitigation measures"; the scale of the program and "heritage resource assessment"; and finally the cross jurisdictional matters, as outlined in the full posted letter from the Minister (first time I have seen it so I don't know if it was posted before or sent) on the public document file August 26, 2011.

All of these matters are not substantive for a public hearing and reflect only a cosmetic changing of the report. They have no effect or need of re-opening the file. Your actions are both excessive, inappropriate, expensive, without merit, unnecessary and, I would venture to say, illegal.

There was no need to re-open the file to further public input and the Public hearing is not an appropriate use of time or funds.

There is no input that we can make that will change the nature of the project. The rationale by the YKDFN that other events have transpired that the Board should consider is not reasonable I addressed them earlier.

The outlined "new information" was based on two incidents that occurred while the public hearings were being conducted or shortly after that are not related to the recommended LUP. The public hearing in 2006 addressed all the elders' need to speak, the vital interests, the statutory framework and a decision was made.

On behalf of the Developers, I repeat my objection to the re-opening of this matter and this public hearing.

We will not participate, due to the emerging law suit we are currently in the process of organizing. We abide by the decision made by the MVEIRB in 2007. We expect the MVEIRB to similarly abide by it.

Any new restrictions or other conclusions are not what the Minister requested and will not be acceptable. The matter was closed and remains so.

I feel the taxpayers of Canada and the NWT deserve a little more respect for providing the funding for the MVEIRB.

Sincerely

Laurence Stephenson P.Eng. B.Sc. M.B.A.