

GEOFIN

Geological & Financial
Consulting Services

Ste 502 – 15015 Victoria Ave.
White Rock, BC V4B 1G2
Tel 604 780-7659

April 28, 2011

Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board
Box 938 – 5102- 50th Avenue
Yellowknife NWT
X1A 2N7

BY Email

Re: Consolidated GoldWin Ventures And Sidon International Resource Corp.
Land Use Applications EA –05-06 -005 and 006 Originally Commenced in September 2004
as MV2004C0033 & MV2004C0039

Dear Sir,

I reviewed the correspondence out lined your letter dated April 27th, 2011 with respects to the Letter from the Counsel for the Yellowknives Dene First Nation request.

As the main consultant to the companies, Consolidated GoldWin Ventures (now Encore Renaissance Resources) and Sidon International Resources, notwithstanding my Letters of April 13th and March 18th, 2011, I will respond this latest attempt to delay, outlined by the YHDFN counsel, the issuance of the LUP that 4 years ago after lots of public input, open meetings, costly consultants and a report by the MVEIRB “recommending approval,”.

With Respects to the **“Rights to an Oral Hearing”**

As I outlined in my letter of April 13th, 2011 – There is no recourse to re-opening the public record. the MEVIRB made its recommendation. Thus, there is no discretion to open a formal oral hearing.

The Elder’s provide sufficient oral evidence at the public hearing in 2006.

The “serious” concerns of the YKDFN were raised at the public hearing in 2006.

The “statutory scheme” was addressed at the public hearing in 2006.

The “rules of natural Justice” so vital to the YKDFN were addressed at the public hearing in 2006,

With Respects to the **“Extension of Time”**

All of the issues were addressed in a well allotted time frame at the public hearing in 2006. How can four years change anything when effectively no exploration or development or investment has been made in the area.

With Respects to the **“Further Explanation of the Grounds”**

The counsel for the YKDFN writes 4 pages (Page 4 through 7) outlining the further explanation of the “need for a oral hearing,” This is based on 2 incidences – that’s right –T-W-O incidences that occurred in 2006 and 2007 and 4 that’s right F-O-U-R pages of rationale, all of which were addressed in the public hearing in 2006 and in the MEVIRB report recommending the issuance of the LUP.

This identifies the absolute baseless rationale for an oral hearing. In three weeks he comes up with four pages of redundant arguments – all of which were addressed at the public hearing in 2006 – based on “allegations” of mis-deeds that to my knowledge no charges were filed thus no blame proven, and on an event that was available for discussion if not raised at the public hearing in 2006.

He has also stooped pretty low in making a libellous accusation that the forest fire was started by the mining exploration when the whole area has been in limbo for mining exploration since 2006.

The constitutional issues swing two ways. The developers have rights about unlawful expropriations and the right to seek appropriate compensation.

The public hearing in 2006 addressed all the elders' need to speak, the vital interests, the statutory framework and made a decision. The new board has the decision and recommendation from the endless work completed, including the oral presentation of the elders that was respectful, and consistent with the rules of natural justice.

The counsel's attempt to outline "new information" based on two incidents that occurred while the public hearings were being conducted or shortly after that are not related to the recommended LUP is fraudulent and an example why re-opening the public record was not only illegal but not a good idea.

If they can produce 4 pages on 2 incidents – and only find two 4-5 year old incidents to boot - then more time will only produce more legal verbalise, without substance, that is disrespectful of the Board's work including the summarizing of the Elders' oral contribution in 2006 hearings within the final report recommending the approval of the LUP.

They do not have new information to present before the Board. They want more time to try and manufacture it.

Enough.

It is time for the MEVIRB to actually act. The reports are in. The information is there. The LUP's are recommended. Natural Justice for the Canadian Companies demands that the LUPs be issued.

We played by the rules! Now it is your turn! This is a preliminary non-permanent non intrusive exploration project!

I am recommending that the companies seek a ruling that the delay of approval of this simple LUP is, in effect, an illegal expropriation of their deemed rights, and that the shareholders, Canadian individuals have had their constitutional rights denied. Further with that ruling that each company seek compensation to be determined but starting at \$1 million for expenses, lost opportunity and undue hardship, due to the time that has elapsed from the application, recommendation for approval and still unresolved, from not only the MEVIRB, YKDFN, the Territorial Government, but the Federal Government.

Sincerely

"Laurie Stephenson"

Laurence Stephenson
Consultant
Sidon International Resources Corp.
Encore Renaissance Resources Corp. (Formerly Cons. GoldWin Ventures Ltd.)

CC; Kamal Alawas
Michael Mulberry