

# MACKENZIE VALLEY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW BOARD

# EA0607-002 Tamerlane Ventures Inc.'s Pine Point Pilot Project (PPPP)

Third Round Information Request (IR #55) from the MVEIRB to the Developer

**Issued on October 31, 2007** 

David Swisher
Tamerlane Ventures Inc.
441 Peace Portal Dr.
Blaine, WA 98230

October 31, 2007

Dear David,

# **RE:** Draft Commitments Table – Requests for Clarification (Information Request #55)

Please find attached IR#55. Note that the deadline for developer's submissions on the Public Record is Monday, November 5, 2007. Please provide your response to the Review Board by that date. The Review Board will not close the Public Record until this response is received from the developer.

Sincerely,

Alistair MacDonald, EAO

## IR#55 – MVEIRB to Tamerlane Ventures – re: Draft Commitments Table

IR Number:

IR0607-002-55

Source:

**MVEIRB** 

To:

Tamerlane Ventures Inc.

**DAR Section:** 

n/a

**Terms of Reference Section:** 

n/a

#### **Preamble**

The Review Board has combed the Public Registry for this EA and has developed a Draft Commitments Table for the developer's consideration and clarification as necessary. Any clarifications provided will be used along with the existing commitments by the Review Board in two ways:

- 1. In determining whether adequate mitigation has been put in place to avoid any likely significant adverse impacts or to reduce public concern below significant levels; and
- 2. To develop an accurate developer's commitment table for inclusion in the Review Board's *Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision (REA)*, if necessary.

The Review Board considers commitments made by the developer during the course of an EA and identified in the *REA* to be part of the revised Development Description submitted to regulators, items of which are enforceable by regulators to the extent of their respective authorities.

Note that this is not the final list of commitments that will be used by the Review Board in its *REA*. Several of the commitments identified herein overlap with each other; they are separated in this way so the developer can easily locate the documents in which they were stated. In the final commitments table (if necessary), overlapping commitments from different documents may be consolidated, reducing the number of total commitments without reducing the items committed to.

The Review Board has provided what it feels is a relatively comprehensive list of commitments here. That does not necessarily mean all will be captured in the *REA*. The Review Board reserves the right to list only those commitments it deems relevant to the mitigation of impacts on the environment in its decision document.

## Request

In the attached table is a draft list of the developer's commitments, drawn from the public registry of this EA. Each commitment includes a number, the document (and page/section, if applicable) it came from, a theme identifier, and the commitment itself. In many cases, the commitment is taken verbatim from a document provided by the developer. In others, the language has been paraphrased and shortened to fit the specific

## IR#55 – MVEIRB to Tamerlane Ventures – re: Draft Commitments Table

requirements of a commitment (e.g., "should" changed to "will"). In all cases, the commitment was made by the developer or their consultants; there are no suggestions from other parties included herein.

The developer is responsible to go through each of the identified commitments and provide any clarifications of their accuracy as written, and to provide further details when it is deemed appropriate. The developer is also invited to identify any commitments made that are missing from the table and add them to the list with all of the necessary information. If the developer is unwilling at this time to commit to an identified item, this should be stated clearly, and if there is an alternative commitment to replace it, this should be clearly identified as well.