



MACKENZIE VALLEY ENVIRONMENTAL

IMPACT AND REVIEW BOARD

GIANT MINE REMEDIATION PROJECT

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT HEARING

EA 0809-001

Mackenzie Valley Review Board:

Richard Edjericon	Chairperson
-------------------	-------------

Danny Bayha	Member
-------------	--------

John Curran	Member
-------------	--------

Richard Mercredi	Member
------------------	--------

James Wah-shee	Member
----------------	--------

Percy Hardisty	Member
----------------	--------

Rachel Crapeau	Member
----------------	--------

HELD AT:

Tree of Peace, Yellowknife, NT

Evening Session at Chief Drygeese

Conference Centre, Dettah

Yellowknife, NT

September 12, 2012

Day 3 of 5

1 APPEARANCES

2	Chuck Hubert) MVEIRB Staff
3	Paul Mercredi)
4	Simon Toogood)
5	Shannon Hayden)
6	Vern Christensen)
7	Alan Ehrlich)
8	Stacy Menzies)
9	Cailin Makin)
10	John Donihee)Board counsel
11	Katherine Enns)Technical Advisor
12	Lukas Arenson)Technical Advisor
13	Franco Oboni)Technical Advisor
14	Dave Tyson)Technical Advisor
15		
16	Joanna Ankersmit)THE DEVELOPER
17	Michael Nahir)AANDC
18	Adrian Paradis)
19	Katherine Silcock)
20	Yose Cormier)
21	Mark Palmer)
22	Ray Case)GNWT
23	Lisa Dyer)GNWT ENR
24	John Hull)Golder
25	Greg Newman)

	APPEARANCES (Con't)	
1		
2	Darren Kennard) Developer cont'd
3	Tony Brown) SENES
4	Bruce Halbert)
5		
6	Mark Palmer) PWGSC
7	Henry Westermann)
8		
9	Daryl Hockley) SRK
10		
11	Rudy Schmidtke) AECOM
12	Bob Boone)
13		
14	Heather Potter) Justice Canada
15		
16	Ricki Hurst) DPRA Canada
17		
18	Bill Enge (np)) North Slave Metis
19	Susan Enge) Alliance
20	Eric Binion)
21	Ed Jones)
22		
23	Kevin O'Reilly) Alternatives
24	Joan Kuyek (np)) North
25		

1 APPEARANCES (Con't)

2 Jeff Humble)City of

3 Dennis Kefalas)Yellowknife

4

5 Edward Sangris)YKDFN

6 Todd Slack)

7 Alfred Baillangeon)

8 Fred Sangris)

9 Randy Freeman)

10 Jonas Sangris)

11

12 Amy Sparks)Environment

13 Lisa Lowman)Canada

14 Margaret Fairburn (phonetic))

15 Anne Wilson (np))

16

17 Sarah Olivier)DFO

18 Rick Walbourne (np))

19 Bev Ross)

20 Morag McPherson)

21

22

23

24

25

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		Page No.
3	List of Exhibits	7
4	List of Commitments	8
5		
6	Discussion	9
7	Presentation by Developer - Surface Remediation	11
8	Question Period	39
9		
10	Position Presentation by YKDFN -	
11	Surface Remediation	163
12	Position Presentation by Alternative North -	
13	Surface Remediation	193
14	Position Presentation by NSMA -	
15	Surface Remediation	204
16	Question Period	210
17	Position Presentation by Environment Canada -	
18	Surface Remediation	227
19	Position Presentation by DFO - Surface Remediation	236
20	Question Period	249
21		
22	Evening Session:	
23	Opening Remarks and Introduction	306
24	Chief's Opening Comments	311
25	Developer's Presentation - Developer Overview	317

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)	
2		Page No.
3	Public comments	344
4		
5	Chief's Closing Comments	396
6		
7		
8		
9	Certificate of Transcript	403
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	LIST OF EXHIBITS		
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	5	Response by Alternatives North	
4		regarding question asked by	
5		Board member Curran about	
6		whom they represent	227
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	LIST OF COMMITMENTS		
2	No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3	5	Developer to provide information	
4		regarding how much of the total	
5		project cost could be attributed	
6		to work to be done on Baker Creek	152
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 --- Upon commencing at 9:08 a.m.

2

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. Good
4 morning. Somebody wants to talk.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. Can we
9 get everybody to their seats? We could start. Good
10 morning. Can I get everybody to see if we could start.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

13

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask our
15 Elder Michele Paper to come up to do the opening
16 prayer. Maybe, Randy, can you walk him up to the
17 podium?

18

19 (OPENING PRAYER)

20

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. Mahsi,
22 Michele Paper, for doing the opening prayer. We -- we
23 had a very good meeting last night. We met till 11:00
24 last night to listen to the public concerns from the
25 community here at Yellowknife.

1 The agenda for today that -- that's in
2 your booklets for Wednesday, I'm going to -- the order
3 is going to continue to be the same. The only thing is
4 that I'm -- I'm going to add at the end is that
5 yesterday we -- we had -- didn't have a chance to
6 finish off a presentation of the water treatment and
7 management.

8 And the parties were -- to make
9 presentations were the North Slave Metis, they had five
10 (5) minutes; Environment Canada had fifteen (15); DFO
11 had ten (10); and the City had fifteen (15). I'm going
12 to move that to the end of the day. And the agenda for
13 today we -- we -- I'd like you guys to take a look at
14 your presentation and maybe you could help me to
15 tighten up your belts, and -- in terms to make a little
16 bit of time, if we can. And -- so I'm going to ask you
17 to -- to look at that for me.

18 And -- so we have this morning -- before
19 we start, if you could just turn off your cell phones
20 or put them on vibrate or low and so that we have no
21 interruptions.

22 So this morning we have the Developer's
23 presentation on surface remediation, and then after
24 that we will go for questions, then -- and -- and to
25 the Developer on their presentation, and then -- and so

1 on. Then after that, we have parties' presentations
2 for surface remediation from YKDFN. They got thirty
3 (30) minutes. Alternatives North, fifteen (15); North
4 Slave Metis, five (5). And then we'll break for lunch.
5 And then -- and we'll see where we're at
6 in terms of try and maintain the schedule. And then --
7 and then the afternoon we have parties' presentation
8 again on surface remediation. I'll call -- Environment
9 Canada has twenty-five (25) minutes; DFO, fifteen (15);
10 and the City has fifteen (15). So -- and then the idea
11 was to wrap up the -- probably about 3:00. But I want
12 to see what we can do is to make up some time for -- on
13 this water treatment management from yesterday, so I'm
14 going to put that at the end of the day.

15 So with that, I want to go directly into
16 the -- the Developer's presentation on surface
17 remediation.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

20

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Whenever you're ready
22 you can proceed.

23

24 PRESENTATION BY THE DEVELOPER - SURFACE REMEDIATION:

25 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Okay. Thank you,

1 Mr. Chair. I'll just introduce, very briefly, the
2 panel. I introduced them yesterday on water, but I --
3 I'll just simply mention that the presentation will
4 come from -- sorry, this is Mike Nahir. I forgot to
5 mention that.

6 So first we'll have John Hull, then
7 we'll have Rudy Schmidtke, and then we'll have Bruce
8 Halbert after. So I'll introduce John Hull to come to
9 the podium please.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

13 MR. JOHN HULL: Good morning, Mr.
14 Chair. I'd like to talk today about surface
15 remediation and management overview.

16 Slide 2, please. Discussion today will
17 be on Baker Creek, open pits, existing tailings --

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

20

21 MR. JOHN HULL: Demolition and debris,
22 and air quality.

23 Slide 3, please. Baker Creek focuses on
24 the bank overtopping and flood protection to protect
25 the mine. One of the major elements in design was

1 looking at IR-12 and the risk management and -- and
2 risk with consequences noted and then identify
3 mitigation measures.

4 The expectation is that when the creek
5 is reha -- rehabilitated and the project's finished,
6 there will be an added benefit with improved stream
7 flow and water quality.

8 Slide 4, please. The design -- the
9 objectives of the remediation were to reduce the risk
10 to the flooding of the mi -- underground. The first
11 identification was that the dikes that are presently
12 there will only handle a capacity for a one (1) in two
13 hundred (200) year storm event.

14 This was identified, and work was done
15 in 2011 to improve the dikes so that they now handle --
16 just handle 1:500. The ultimate design will be 1:500
17 plus the 2 metres of anchor ice. There's also, in this
18 plan, an effort to minimize seepage to the underground,
19 and that is part of the underground remediation work.

20 There's also -- as a benefit, there
21 would be a small channel that would maintain the low --
22 low flow and ultimately similar to what has happened in
23 Reach 4, improve the fish habitat. So at the end, the
24 measures all have a positive change to upgrade the
25 channel and the reg -- flow regime and the habitat.

1 Slide 5, please. What I wanted to show
2 here is that over the course of the operations, the
3 creek has been modified several times in the area,
4 downstream, and -- where'd it go?

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

8 MR. JOHN HULL: Downstream and A2, the
9 creek used to flow through the middle of A2. In the
10 area of C1 it use to go through the middle of C1. It
11 now goes just to the west. And in Reach 4, it used to
12 go through a millpond in this area. So there have been
13 upgrades to the -- the creek.

14 Slide 6, please. What I'm showing here
15 is the hydrograph for the creek since 1968. This is
16 the data that -- that we've used in the analysis. The
17 peak flow that was observed, which is shown on this
18 graph, is at 8.4 metres cubed per second, and that
19 occurred in 1991. But generally the flows are fairly
20 low, if you'll note.

21 The next slide, please. What we've
22 identified that the average flow is in the order of 2
23 to -- 2 to 3 cubic metres a second. What we've
24 designed for is 1:500 years, which is around 25 cubic
25 metres per second. If you'll note, that the maximum

1 flow that's been recorded on the creek is down around a
2 1:50, 1:40 year return period.

3 Next slide, please. Taking that design
4 what we've proposed in looking at the channels that are
5 upstream of the -- Baker Creek upstream of the line
6 sight between Martin Lake and Baker Pond, and in part
7 Reach 2, which is the area just beside the highway.

8 It has a low-flow section which is 6
9 metres wide. The -- the creek channel would be, for
10 the most part, in the middle of this -- this area.
11 That's sort of what you see with the Reach 4 area,
12 where the -- the creek is in a small section in the
13 middle.

14 Power to manage the bigger flows, design
15 -- we've gone to the next slide, please, 9. Thank you.
16 The ultimate channel width will be 32 metres. And
17 again, depending on the material on either side --
18 either soil or rock -- the side slopes are defined.

19 Next slide, please. Based on the
20 experience in 2011, where there was anchor ice, there
21 was about a metre, metre and a half of anchor ice in
22 Baker Creek. We've made an allowance for 2 metres of
23 anchor ice in the design. Talking to the water
24 resources group in the Territories, they have an
25 appreciation and understanding of how often this would

1 occur. Talking to the gentlemen at the mine and based
2 on the mining traditional knowledge or information,
3 they haven't seen this much anchor ice since they've
4 been on the -- the property.

5 Next slide, please. What I said before
6 is we've designed the -- the channel to pass a 1:500
7 year storm. We've put that storm on top of the anchor
8 ice so that it -- again, this is a -- a conservative
9 approach.

10 And finally, we added -- next slide -- a
11 metre freeboard so that it is in fact a very
12 conservative design and can pass definitely more than
13 the 1:500 year storm. Our anticipation is that the
14 frequency of the anchor ice and the potential that the
15 1:500 year storm will occur is very remote. It is a
16 possibility, but very remote.

17 So ultimately, this is consistent with
18 what you would see in the Reach 2 area. It's got a
19 low-flow channel, it's got a wider flood plain, and
20 then there's steep banks on either side. So again, a
21 very conservative design for the channel.

22 Slide 14, please. Thank you. One (1)
23 of the options that we've looked at in moving forward
24 is the DAR identifies channel -- the channel Reach --
25 Reach 4 -- Reach 3, sorry, moving to the east of the C1

1 Pit. We've identified that there's a potential to move
2 the channel to the west side.

3 Next slide, 15, please. The key here is
4 that we move the creek relocation away from any
5 underground workings, again reducing the risk of
6 potential flooding of the creek into the underground
7 workings.

8 Also I note that this is C2 -- C2-12
9 (phonetic) which is one of the arsenic chambers. So
10 we're moving the creek away from that area, again
11 reducing the risk and the possibility of flooding of
12 the mine.

13 Next slide, please. We have currently
14 undertaken a sediment study for Baker Creek. This
15 included all of the reaches from Reach 1 up to Reach 6.
16 The study has -- is in final draft at the moment.
17 We've had some questions back from Public Works.
18 There's some minor modifications being made. And the
19 sediment study will be submitted in final draft to
20 public works by the end of the month.

21 They would then review it and share it
22 with DFO and Environment Canada. The whole point of
23 the sediment study was to collect data so that we could
24 do -- or, they could do decisions on the remediation
25 for the creek.

1 Once the review by DFO and Environment
2 Canada is done, the expectation, intent, and plan of
3 Public Works is to put that out for the general public.
4 I'm not sure of the schedule, when that would actually
5 happen. The anticipation is sometime towards the end
6 of the year, or early next year.

7 Next slide, please. There has been some
8 -- well, the new highway is definitely outside of the
9 scope of the project. What I want to say is that the
10 high -- new highway alignment would come in this area,
11 would not impact any of the planned activities or
12 scheduling for work on Baker Creek. So it does not
13 impact what would happen for Baker Creek.

14 Next slide, please. So the -- the focus
15 for the remediation is to increase the physical
16 stability of Baker Creek, reducing the risk to flooding
17 the mine either from overtopping and/or from collapse
18 or seepage into the mine. The -- the second part of
19 that is improved by work underground to backfill some
20 of the stopes and address areas in the mine which may
21 cause -- or, impact the surface by collapsing or other
22 issues. And at the end of the day, collecting or
23 getting stakeholder input for Baker Creek, the options,
24 what is going to be the final design.

25 Slide 19, please. Next I'd like to talk

1 about open pits. The focus for the open pits is to --
2 for public safety. I know -- this is Pit A2. There is
3 minor ravelling of the pit slope which is anticipated,
4 but overall the main slopes are very stable and the
5 rock quality is excellent.

6 Next slide, please. What I'd like to
7 confirm or re -- reiterate to everybody are the
8 locations of the pits: A2 and A1, C1, B1 and B2, B3 and
9 B4. What the key here is, to note that the underground
10 is -- operations and stopes are immediately underneath
11 all of the open pits. And they are im -- an impact and
12 part of the design consideration for the open pit
13 slopes in the long term. You will note on this slide,
14 the highway alignment that's shown on this slide is the
15 highway alignment that is in the DAR.

16 Next slide, please. As I indicated, the
17 key for the open pits remediation plan is public safety
18 and to restrict access; also to protect the environment
19 and any adjacent or lo -- critical surface features
20 beside the open pits. In the post -- in the closure
21 period, that would include the freeze at B1 and
22 adjacent to C1.

23 This is accomplished with restricting
24 access with fences and berms, consistent with standard
25 practice for open pits and closing open pits in Canada.

1 Again, appropriate signage. B1 Pit would be
2 specifically backfilled, as it impacts the freeze and
3 is required to -- to be backfilled so that the freeze
4 oper -- operation can proceed.

5 Finally, all of the openings to surface,
6 we've identified thirty-five (35), we've actually
7 identified at least a couple of more at the south end -
8 - south end of B1. There has been a minor sinkhole
9 develop, and that has to be added to the openings to
10 surface which have to be closed.

11 Next slide, please. So the focus at the
12 -- for the open pit remediation is to increase public
13 safety by restricting access. And as I noted, B1 Pit
14 needs to be backfilled as part of the freeze program
15 for Stopes B208 and B213.

16 Next slide, please. Tailings. The
17 tailings will be covered. This shows a shot of the
18 northwest pond, and this is the north pond which is
19 used for storage of water and part of the water
20 treatment plan -- the water treatment operation.

21 The next slide, please. Pointing out
22 the tailings areas. The original areas are the north
23 pond, central pond, and the south pond, and the north
24 west pond, which is where surface wa -- the water is
25 now collected from the underground each year before

1 it's treated. And as everybody knows, the water
2 treatment plant is just in -- in this area, the -- the
3 current plan.

4 Next slide, please. The de -- design
5 objectives for the remediation is to isolate the
6 tailings from contact from humans and/or hel --
7 wildlife. There is an expectation that the final plans
8 will allow for future uses for these areas. That is
9 going to require input from stakeholders and other --
10 others with -- who are associated with the project.

11 We are also planning to use or obtain
12 almost all, if not -- the desire is all of the borrow
13 from on site so that there's no disturbance off site
14 for borrow to cover the tailings. We would also
15 address the historic tailings that are in the foreshore
16 in the area da -- below Dam 11 of the south pond.

17 Slide 26, please. Just to reiterate the
18 bore areas, we've identified several bore areas.
19 There's -- there's approximately a million cubic metres
20 of material that we've identified for the cover. We've
21 also identified several areas where there would be rock
22 quarries as a spillway that's required to help the
23 drainage off of the closed north, central, and south
24 pond. There's a spillway required for the northwest
25 pond.

1 And, as I said, if the diversion on
2 Reach 3 is identified, in this area, the material that
3 would be retained from that excavation would be used,
4 in part, to cover the tailings, either this -- the
5 original area or the northwest pond. So the -- the
6 focus there is to get the material from the site and
7 just maintain the disturbance on the mine site.

8 The next slide, please. The -- the
9 design for the tailings cover, the thicknesses have not
10 yet been confirmed. There's some preliminary numbers
11 in the DAR that have been refined and would be
12 optimized based to minimize and some reduction of
13 infiltration. But the key, again, is a surface zo --
14 zone, which is a vegetational area, which is a sa --
15 silty clay, clay silt, the gravel that would reduce and
16 separate that and act as a barrier for infiltration.

17 The -- the tailings will be regraded for
18 drainage so that there is positive drainage and gravity
19 drainage towards a series of channels that would have
20 rock or riprap, based on the area that is collected and
21 the flows that are anticipated.

22 Slide 28, please. As I mentioned,
23 there's some tailings that were initially placed in the
24 foreshare -- foreshore area. I believe that was in the
25 first year of operation, before they started on -- on-

1 land disposal. Part of this area has been covered with
2 a cap that is consistent with the lower one.

3 The water level is typically in the zone
4 of the riprap. And in this, the wave action is in this
5 upper zone. This is the zone where there's less wave
6 action. The anticipation is that when the final design
7 is done, this is the cover that would be placed on the
8 foreshore tailings to finish off that part of the
9 project.

10 The next slide, please. So the tailings
11 will be, as -- when finished, isolate the tailings from
12 humans and wildlife. They're also -- give the
13 opportunity for other end-uses, which definitely are
14 not part of what we've done to date, but the input from
15 others, the City, the local First Nations, and the
16 Government of the -- the Northwest Territories.

17 With that, I thank you. And I will pass
18 the discussion or presentation over to Rudy.

19 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Thank you, John.
20 Mr. Chair, Rudy Schmidtke. We talked a little bit
21 yesterday about some contaminated soil. I'll try to
22 make this brief. The intent at the Giant Mine site is
23 to excavate contaminated soils and mine waste rock.
24 And that will provide the opportunity for future land
25 use at the site.

1 I just wanted to be clear that
2 contaminated soils and mine waste rock are -- are a
3 little bit different. And here's an example of some of
4 the mine waste rock, which is a natural rock, that
5 exists at the site that will also be addressed in the
6 remediation.

7 The next slide, please, slide 31 -- or,
8 slide -- thank you. The site -- the -- the
9 contaminated soils on site will be remediated to the
10 GNWT industrial standards. That material that is
11 excavated will be placed in the frozen zone in B1 Pit
12 to facilitate the drilling of some of the freeze pipes
13 for the underground freeze program. And by doing this,
14 we improve the quality of -- of the surface conditions.
15

16 Now, this work will be done in the mine,
17 in the disturbed areas already, and then areas not used
18 for mining may be available for residential use.

19 Slide 32, please. This map shows an
20 extent of what we know today of where we have
21 identified some contaminated soil and/or waste rock.
22 These are depicted in these red areas. Currently we
23 have an estimate of about 900,000 cubic metres of soil
24 to manage.

25 Slide 33, please. Once the soil is

1 excavated -- and some areas will be capped which I'll
2 discuss in -- in some detail in a few slides from now,
3 this is what's left. Here's the -- the main sort of
4 mine mill site area, a little bit of some of the
5 tailings that will be capped in here. These are --
6 these are areas that -- that do not see excavation
7 greater than 2 metres and that are capped.

8 Slide 34, please. Now, we have a lot of
9 material, and it also provides some opportunity for the
10 reuse of that material and recycling of that material.
11 We plan to incorporate it as fill so that we can move
12 the trucks and scrapers, or whatever equipment the
13 contractor choses to use, in the placement of -- of the
14 tailings cover. The ground is very soft, and we'll use
15 that material to help support that equipment.

16 As I mentioned before, a lot of the
17 material will be placed in B1, and there's also
18 opportunity to use this material as intermediate cover
19 in the proposed landfill that will house some of the
20 demolition and debris that will be generated from site
21 demolition.

22 Slide 35, please. As mentioned before,
23 and I think we talked about it yesterday, the plan --
24 the remediation plan is to remove the -- to the
25 industrial standard, up to 2-metre depth, and cover

1 that material. The reason for the 2-metre depth is to
2 -- and -- and the cover material is to eliminate the
3 soil exposure pathway.

4 As I mentioned, we're going to cover
5 that material. It'll be similar -- it'll be clean
6 material. And -- and once we are done, the arsenic
7 levels in the surface will be low enough to provide
8 industrial use everywhere. And in some cases where the
9 excavation is -- is less than 2 metres, it will provide
10 opportunity for other uses, and we anticipate this to
11 be, in most places, in the former town site area.

12 Slide 36, please. Now, in addition to
13 the soil contamination program and removal, we also
14 need to demolish some of the mine infrastructure and
15 eliminate some of those public safety hazards. The
16 plan right now is to engage interested parties to
17 preserve what historic buildings we can, where
18 possible.

19 We plan to recover hazardous building
20 materials in structures and underground before
21 demolition. After that is completed, demolish the
22 structures and utility lines, decontaminate materials,
23 dispose of on site or off site, and collect the surface
24 debris that we find on the mine site.

25 Slide 37, please. To manage some of

1 this waste, it is proposed to build a
2 commercial/industrial-waste-type landfill. This is for
3 non-hazardous material and asbestos. We would follow
4 the regulations in NWT where asbestos can be landfilled
5 in this type of landfill. We propose to double-bag
6 that in addition to the guidelines.

7 We plan to reuse the mine waste rock for
8 intermediate fill, and we also plan to put some of the
9 -- the plant sludge in a dedicated cell, which is also
10 classified as non-hazardous waste. The roaster complex
11 and other arsenic trioxide wastes will be placed in the
12 -- in the B1 pit, where it will be frozen, and in the
13 underground, where it will also be frozen.

14 Slide 37 -- oh, slide 38, thank you. As
15 I mentioned before, in addition to these buildings, we
16 have identified twenty-three (23) surface debris areas.
17 And you can see those scattered in amongst the over one
18 hundred (100) structures on the mine site. And we've
19 identified some of these debris areas in these hatched
20 areas.

21 Slide 39, please. I just wanted to
22 quickly show everyone the relative volumes that we've
23 identified at the site. You can see that the non-
24 hazardous waste material dominates, and a lot of the
25 other general products certainly do not make up the --

1 the major volume of -- of non-hazardous material. But
2 these are some of the other wastes that we will -- we
3 will manage: petroleum products, asbestos, chemicals,
4 PCBs in ballasts, mercury in switches, lead, leachable
5 paint components, some mineral process wastes, and then
6 the arsenic-impacted waste which would be frozen.

7 Slide 40, please. As I showed in the
8 other slide, the previous slide, the non-hazardous
9 waste we plan on putting on an engineer -- into an
10 engineered landfill located in the central pond. The
11 asbestos, following the regulations, will be placed in
12 an on-site engineered landfill.

13 All of the processed ores from the
14 crusher, conveyer, and building will be placed into the
15 tailings pond. Any chemicals that we identify will be
16 shipped off site. Leachable lead painted materials
17 will be sent off site. The arsenic trioxide dust, as I
18 mentioned before, will be frozen. And the new water
19 treatment plant sludge will be placed in a dedicated
20 fill on -- on site.

21 Slide 41. Currently the plan is to
22 place the landfill in a previously disturbed area in
23 the central pond area, near the former tailings
24 reprocessing plant. This is already disturbed and
25 provides an opportunity for us to minimize any other

1 land disturbance on the site.

2 Slide 42, please. One component of the
3 -- of the demolition program is the -- the high-risk
4 component is the roaster complex. There are risks
5 today. We have exposed arsenic trioxide in flues. We
6 have loose asbestos. We've observed some movement in
7 the support columns. There's certainly a lot of
8 corrosion and openings that have developed over time.
9 And we have a plan right now in place to address some
10 of these risks, and we want to get ready to mitigate
11 those risks. So specifications are underway.

12 Slide 43. I don't know if you can see
13 very well, but you can see here some of the columns.
14 You can see a -- one (1) of the columns on a -- on a
15 deteriorated concrete footing with a bunch of just
16 wooden shims put in place. You can see the flues.
17 Openings to these flues are where arsenic trioxide dust
18 is contained. We have openings, and we have some of
19 the loose asbestos.

20 Slide 44, please. So the program for
21 the demolition will certainly eliminate the physical
22 and chemical risks, increase the public safety, and we
23 feel that it will not result in any adverse impacts or
24 long-term management of the demolition waste once these
25 building comes down, especially the -- the roaster

1 complex.

2 And, Mr. Chair, we are very anxious to
3 get to work on that roaster complex. With that, I'd
4 like to pass it over to Mr. Halbert. Thank you.

5 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Thank you, Rudy.
6 Bruce Halbert, for the record. I'm going to speak in
7 the next few moments about the work that has been
8 undertaken to assess impacts to the project on air
9 quality.

10 Next slide, please, 46. I start by
11 noting that in the long term, after remediation is
12 completed, that the air quality on the site would be
13 substantially improved as a result of covering or
14 eliminating sources and establishing vegetative cover
15 throughout the site area, as -- as mentioned
16 previously.

17 The main focus, therefore, of this air
18 quality assessment is on impacts during the remediation
19 phase. And that has been taken in -- and in doing
20 that, we've taken into account mitigative measures
21 where appropriate.

22 Slide 47, please. The assessment itself
23 was undertaken using a modelling package supported by
24 the US Environmental Protection Agency, referred to as
25 CALPUFF/CALMET. It is used extensively by us and

1 others in this type of application.

2 The assessment of air quality included
3 multiple sources. Typically we undertake an assessment
4 using a -- a maximum working scenario, if you will.
5 We, therefore, considered numerous remediation
6 activities occurring on site at the same time. And we
7 included the effects of operation of the Jackfish Power
8 Plant at an output of capacity of 18 megawatts, of
9 which 3 megawatts is anticipated to be required by the
10 site, for operation of the freeze plant in particular,
11 and a provision here for 15 megawatts of power output
12 to supply to the City.

13 Now a note on this particular point is
14 that the 18 megawatts of power output is -- is a high
15 number. We've assumed this would occur twenty-four
16 (24) hours a day, three hundred and sixty-five (365)
17 days a year. An actual fact, the City has operated
18 above 13 megawatts of megawatt power output only about
19 fi -- .5 percent of the time. So it's a very
20 conservative assessment.

21 Slide 48, please. This slide shows the
22 activities that were considered to be going on at the
23 same time for input being in the north here. We have
24 the northwest tailings pond. We have windblown dust
25 coming off this -- this area of the site. We also

1 accounted for windblown dust coming off the nor --
2 north and the central pond areas.

3 We've allowed for re -- remediation
4 activities occurring on the south tailings area, again
5 with the windblown dust and the effects of -- of
6 activities -- activities themselves. We have
7 demolition of the roaster complex going on, as well as
8 remediation of contaminated soils, as Rudy has
9 mentioned, and particular in that area, where there's
10 some of the worst contaminated soils.

11 And we also have allowed for freeze
12 drilling operations going on at the same time. We've
13 taken into account disposal of contaminated materials
14 within the B1 Pit. And finally, we've allowed for
15 activities occurring at the lower end of Baker Creek,
16 remediation activities as well as operation of borrow
17 pit sources.

18 And finally, we've included in the
19 assessment, as I mentioned, the operation of the
20 Jackfish Power Plant. So we -- we've really assumed
21 here a lot of activities occurring at the same time.

22 Slide 49, please. This slide summarizes
23 -- and it could be better visuals -- the sources of --
24 that were considered here. So this -- on the left side
25 we're looking at mainly dust sources originating from,

1 as I mentioned, windblown activities. We have
2 operation of haul trucks, excavation equipment,
3 handling of materials, dumping, loading, et cetera, all
4 of which contribute to -- to air quality impacts on
5 dust, as we typically measure three (3) levels here.

6 TSP is total sus -- suspended
7 particulate. PM10 is particle size of less than 10
8 micron in size. PM2.5 is less than 2.5 micron in size.
9 Those are -- all three (3) of those are a measure of
10 effects on dust, air quality. And we also have
11 accounted for arsenic, which is variable between
12 sources.

13 In addition to these sources of inputs,
14 we also have exhaust occurring from operation of haul
15 trucks and other equipment on -- as part of the site
16 activities, as well, as I mentioned, the Jackfish Power
17 Plant. These sources contributed to nitrogen oxides,
18 noted here as "Nox"; sulphur dioxide, "SO2"; and PM2.5.

19 Slide 50, please. The assessment was
20 undertaken for both -- to exposure to the public both
21 on site and off site. The model was run on an hourly
22 time basis over a full year. The model runs that were
23 taken included six (6) discrete off-site receptor
24 locations that I'll identify in the next slide, as well
25 as we'll -- we undertook a separate assessment of the

1 potential exposure to a hiker traversing through the
2 site on the existing alignment of the Ingraham Trail,
3 not the proposed new alignment. And we compared these
4 predictions and to the -- to air quality criteria.

5 Slide 51, please. This slide identifies
6 the off-site receptor locations that were considered.
7 We have up in the re -- north side of the site, R1,
8 which is in the area of the Yellowknife River Park. We
9 have a receptor location in N'Dilo, another in Back Bay
10 at the boat launch, in the area of the municipal
11 landfill. R6 is -- R6 is located in the Niven Lake
12 residential area. And at the bottom of this slide, we
13 show the location of the existing air quality
14 monitoring station operated by the GNWT at the Sir John
15 Franklin High School.

16 The next slide, please, 52. So to move
17 right to the findings. We found in -- that there were
18 -- the air quality criteria were low compared to the
19 Canadian guidelines in most instances. No exceedances
20 predicted for four (4) of the parameters that were
21 looked at -- TSP, PM10, arsenic, and sulphur dioxide --
22 at any of the off-site receptor locations.

23 We did predict a very low probability of
24 exceeding the one (1) hour criterion for nitrogen
25 dioxide and the twenty-four (24) hour criterion for

1 PM2.5 at the -- at one (1) location, that being in the
2 area of the Nevin Lake community.

3 Again, this is at the operation of the
4 power plant at an output of 18 megawatts. At a more
5 normal operating level of 12 megawatts, we predicted no
6 exceedances of air quality at any of the off-site
7 receptor locations.

8 Slide 53, please. The results of the
9 air quality assessment have been provided by the GNWT
10 to -- to NWT Power, and they are in discussions on
11 options to mitigate potential exceedances in that Nevin
12 Lake area and, if necessary, undertake monitoring.

13 Mitigation measures. This would include
14 various mitigation measures besides whatever activities
15 or mitigation measures come out of that discussion.
16 There is mitigation measures that the project can
17 undertake, and that would include reducing the power
18 requirements at critical time periods to reduce the
19 demand on the power -- on the -- on the plant itself.
20 So outputting less power.

21 Next slide, please. As I mentioned, we
22 also undertook an assessment of the potential exposure
23 of someone hiking through the site on Ingraham Trail.
24 To undertake that assessment, we esti -- we estimated
25 that someone walking at 4 kilometres an hour would take

1 approximately two (2) hours to move through the site
2 and back again.

3 We therefore estimated the air quality
4 at nine (9) locations along the trail and averaged
5 those and used those in the assessment of exposure to
6 an individual while they -- while they move through the
7 trail on a regular basis. Not just once, but on a
8 regular basis.

9 Next slide, please, 55. Moving right to
10 the findings of that particular assessment. Again, we
11 -- we found that the predicted exposures were low
12 compared to published guidance in the literature. We
13 don't have, for example, one (1) hour or two (2) hour
14 criteria for every parameter. And we focussed this
15 assessment on two (2), that being PM2.5, which is --
16 studies have found is the most important particle size
17 fraction related to health effects on people, and to
18 arsenic, of course.

19 The PM2.5 exposures predicted to -- were
20 predicted to fall below incremental exposure criterion.
21 There was a very low probability though of exceeding
22 the arsenic exposure criterion for non-carcinogenic
23 effects. There are two (2) types of effects we
24 typically look at for arsenic exposure.

25 And this would occur only though under

1 high-wind conditions. And there are -- there are
2 mitigation measures that can be taken to -- certainly
3 to avoid that occurring, including shutdown of
4 activities under very high wind conditions. With
5 respect to carcinogenic effects, the exposure
6 assessment indicated that -- that the levels were below
7 benchmarks for all modelled conditions.

8 Slide 57, please. Yeah, that one (1),
9 sorry. Okay. I'm now going to move briefly and just
10 talk about the air quality monitoring program that's
11 proposed for the site and what's existing already.

12 In 2004, the -- the project under --
13 undertook to establish air quality monitoring on site,
14 measuring TSP and metal levels over the -- over the
15 open -- non-frozen part of the -- of the year, if you
16 will. In addition, there's -- as I mentioned
17 previously there's ambient air quality monitoring
18 undertaken by the territories at the Sir John Franklin
19 School location for all parameters that we've
20 discussed.

21 The existing air moni -- air quality
22 monitoring pro -- program will be modified during the
23 course of the development of the -- details of the site
24 remediation plan and incorporated into an air quality
25 environ -- environmental management plan, or EMP, prior

1 to undertaking remediation activities.

2 That air quality monitoring plan will
3 identify action levels and trigger -- trigger
4 additional management activities, if required, on site
5 as activities proceed. In addition to this, these very
6 specific two (1) activities, there will be a site-wide
7 air quality monitoring program put in place, and that
8 will continue throughout the course of remediation
9 activities over the whole period of -- of site
10 remediation, and for -- proposed right now for an
11 additional three (3) years thereafter.

12 Next slide, please. This slide
13 identifies the locations of these monitoring stations
14 I've mentioned. They are around the perimeter of the
15 site in all directions, from the north to the west and
16 along the east side and the -- the old Giant Mine site
17 area.

18 Next slide. So to conclude, the air --
19 the assessment of air quality effects during
20 remediation activities, they pose minimal risk to the
21 public in the Yellowknife study area -- that is the
22 off-site receptors -- and pose minimal risk to members
23 of the public while hiking or biking on Ingraham Trail,
24 if that continue -- should remain in place over the
25 course of remediation activities.

1 Air quality in the post-remediation
2 period, as I've previously mentioned will be improved
3 through the elimination of dusts on site, part --
4 particularly from the tailings areas and road network
5 that exists on site today.

6 And with that, Mr. Chairman, we conclude
7 our presentation.

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

10

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for your
12 presentation. Again, the -- the presentation was on --
13 the Developer's presentation on surface remediation of
14 Giant Mine.

15 Now we go -- we'll go into questions
16 from parties and responses from the Developer. So
17 first that I have is the City of Yellowknife. Is there
18 any questions to the Developer on their presentation?

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

21

22 QUESTION PERIOD:

23 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24 Jeff Humble from the City of Yellowknife. I would like
25 to -- to begin by kind of providing a -- a bit of an

1 overview of a land use plan concept that we've shared
2 with the -- with the Giant Mine working team here.

3 Essentially, as -- as a result of the --
4 the IRs, we -- there was an agreement that we would
5 work with them to develop a framework for a land use
6 strategy, and we've been going back and forth.

7 We've been trying our best at the
8 municipal level to understand what the -- the real
9 issues are, in terms of -- in terms of future land use
10 of the site. So the concept here, essentially, provide
11 a mixture of uses that we think would be compatible
12 with the future of the site.

13 Starting with the town site, we envision
14 this to be a mixed-use area. And then we envision,
15 coming up along the shoreline, some residential
16 opportunities, and then additional residential and
17 potential nature preservation or park space in this
18 area.

19 The area where the tailings ponds are
20 quite a large area, stretching onto the other side of -
21 - of the roadway. We envision potential for both
22 passive and active recreation.

23 This has been done in many other places
24 in North America and we think this is a viable future
25 use for the site. We recognize that there are parts of

1 the site that are heavily contaminated and that will
2 not be remediated beyond, and cannot be remediated
3 beyond, the industrial standard. We've identified
4 pockets where we think there is some suitability for
5 industrial development.

6 So I guess leading into that, we've
7 shared this with the -- the working group. And the
8 question is: Does the working group see this as a
9 viable plan going forward?

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Before I go
11 to the Developer to the question, on the agenda we
12 designated thirty (30) minutes for questions and
13 responses, so I'm hoping to keep in that time frame.
14 So I'm going to encourage the parties to really
15 prioritize their questions.

16 I'm going to go the Developer on their
17 pre -- to the question.

18 DR. RAY CASE: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
19 Ray Case. At a conceptual level, the -- the
20 remediation plan, as put forward in the DAR, would
21 accommodate the -- the proposal or the -- the plan that
22 they've got up on the screen there.

23 To expand on that, the -- there is going
24 to be a portion at the centre -- centre of the property
25 that will either be reserved for use in the care and

1 maintenance of the property over the long term.

2 There will be areas there that will not
3 be suitable for anything other than industrial use.

4 There will be areas in the tailings ponds after they're
5 capped that can accommodate activities, such as passive
6 recreational, that do not disturb the -- those covers
7 and can work with the intent of -- of those covers.

8 And there are areas along the shore of
9 Back Bay -- much of the area is already at a -- a
10 residential standard that would permit the development
11 of -- of residence. The -- there are areas in the area
12 that they have now shown as mixed use that are
13 contaminated above industrial standards. The
14 remediation plan calls for those to be areas to be
15 excavated and, as appropriate, refilled with materials
16 that would be at residential standards.

17 As the remediation goes forward, there
18 may be some identification of areas that, for one
19 reason or another, remain at an in -- industrial
20 standard. Those would be identified early in the
21 process with -- with the City and other stakeholders to
22 agree upon a mechanism that can accommodate those in
23 the -- the planning for the -- for the area.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you, I'll
25 go back to the City of Yellowknife.

1 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Thank you. Jeff
2 Humble, City of Yellowknife. Mr. Chair, in addition to
3 the land use, we cannot look at -- at the future use of
4 the site without considering the transportation
5 impacts. The Developer has certainly proposed a major
6 transportation system that essentially bypasses the
7 mine site. But if we're looking at future land use on
8 the site, we need to also consider the transportation
9 impacts on the site. And that's not just trail
10 development. It includes roadway systems.

11 Right here, again, we've incorporated
12 roadway network systems in through the site. And we
13 want to get some position, I guess, from the Developer.

14 Are these suitable road network systems,
15 and how does the Developer envision connecting the
16 various land uses on the site, the residential,
17 potential commercial, mixed use, and recreational
18 areas?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. To the
20 question to the Developer.

21 DR. RAY CASE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22 Ray Case. The transportation network on -- on the site
23 will be -- the existing transportation network on the
24 site will be used to the extent possible to facilitate
25 the remediation of the site.

1 Where new infrastructure may be required
2 to contribute to the remediation project, the project
3 is willing to discuss with the City and -- and
4 stakeholders the location of that infrastructure, if --
5 if it can address a future use, while at the same time
6 ensuring that it is conducive to and supports the
7 remediation project as we put forward.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll go back to the
9 City.

10 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11 Jeff Humble, City of Yellowknife. We spent
12 considerable time with the Developer on -- on
13 developing this framework. And it is the City's
14 position that a land use plan was part, or should have
15 been part, of the remediation plans. And here we are,
16 at the end of a twelve (12) year process, and only now
17 is this issue coming to the surface.

18 If this is not an acceptable land use
19 plan, we would like to ask the Developer to submit what
20 they envision to be the future land use plan for the
21 entire site. I've been a planner for more than ten
22 (10) years, and I've never seen a land use plan come at
23 the tail end of a -- of a process. It's simple
24 Planning 101 that you look at incorporating these
25 things as the development scheme concept into the early

1 stages of a major initiative and a half million dollar
2 project. And we're seeing this essentially coming at
3 the end of the process.

4 So my question to the Developer is: If
5 this is not a viable land use plan, can we have a
6 viable land use plan in place that we can engage the
7 public on and -- and move forward to incorporate that
8 into the remediation plans?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
10 Before I go to the Developer, to the City, how many
11 more questions do you have?

12 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: About three (3) more.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you prioritize
14 that?

15 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Yes, I can.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
17 to go to the Developer. And if you could just maybe
18 shorten your comments.

19 DR. RAY CASE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20 As indicated earlier, at a conceptual level we do see
21 this land use plan as consistent with the remediation
22 project. The -- I think the -- where the discussion
23 is, is to what extent will this project turn into a
24 development of a either recreational or -- or
25 residential use area. And we've indicated that we'll

1 work with the City to design and implement our
2 operations that can facilitate that in the future as we
3 go forward.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
5 I'll go to the City.

6 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Jeff Humble, City of
7 Yellowknife. In addition to the land use site, the
8 area that's been deemed to be out of scope is the area
9 around the Giant Mine town site, and that is
10 essentially an area that's been used as a -- as a
11 mooring facility. It's an area that's identified in
12 our harbour plan as a potential marina.

13 That has been deemed to be outside of
14 the scope of the project. However, the Developer
15 indicated that they would work with the City in terms
16 of delineating sediment contamination previously.

17 We have no indication, moving forward
18 with the City, whether or not we can actually invest
19 our time and efforts in making that a marina site. And
20 we have no answer from the Developer what the
21 environmental impacts or liabilities will be on this.
22 So we're kind of a bit in limbo. We find it a bit
23 ironic that that is deemed to be outside of the scope
24 of the project; however, the diffuser, which is in the
25 Yellowknife Bay area, is not.

1 So we'd ask: Is the Developer willing
2 to work with the City on clearly delineating the
3 environmental impacts of that, in terms of a future
4 marina site and the dredging activities that could
5 potentially required for -- for that particular
6 component?

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, you had three
8 (3) questions. That's one (1) of the three (3). Can
9 we -- are you prioritizing your questions?

10 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Yes.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Because they're
12 really long. And I wanted to see if you could
13 summarize that. Thank you. I'm going to go to the
14 Developer.

15 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr.
16 Chair. Mike Nahir. We -- we -- although it is out of
17 scope, we have agreed with the City on that, and we've
18 -- we have made that commitment to the City. And we
19 will work with the City to help delineate that -- that
20 area that the City's referring to. Thank you.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Back to
22 the City.

23 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24 Jeff Humble, City of Yellowknife.

25 Will the Developer be committing to

1 providing complete development permits for all
2 activities on the site, including submission of
3 performance bond requirements, as per the City of
4 Yellowknife zoning bylaw?

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for your
6 second question. I'll go back to the Developer.

7 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
8 behalf of the project team. We'll apply with all
9 applicable legislation. Thank you.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. To the
11 City for your final question.

12 MR. JEFF HUMBLE: Will the -- Jeff
13 Humble, the City of Yellowknife. The Developer has
14 previously committed to a public open house session
15 with the general public on a community land use
16 charette.

17 Can the Developer reconfirm that
18 commitment and that that will lead to a finalization of
19 a land use plan that -- that we can integrate with the
20 remediation plans?

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for your
22 final question. I'm going to go to the Developer.

23 DR. RAY CASE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24 Ray Case. The -- the project has -- has committed to
25 participating in a public session to take a look at

1 future land use and committed to working with the City
2 to set up that and the -- provide the information from
3 the project needed to inform the workshop.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
5 going to move to the Yellowknives Dene First Nation.

6 MR. TODD SLACK: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
7 Todd Slack, Yellowknives Dene. I have four (4) lines
8 of inquiry in which there's multi-part, but very short,
9 questions. I'll start with the last topic, the air
10 quality.

11 What measures would the project suggest
12 are required to provide for enforceable mechanisms so
13 that the parties may be assured that the commitments
14 made here and in the DAR are going to be carried out?

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. To the
16 question to the Developer.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

19

20 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
21 behalf of the project team. Our intention is to
22 incorporate these -- incorporate any of the findings
23 from the Review Board or other regulatory agencies into
24 our environmental management system. If this includes
25 air quality, that will be intro -- incorporated and

1 brought into our planning. Thank you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: YKDFN, to your second
3 question.

4 MR. TODD SLACK: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair,
5 can I just ask a point of clarification on that?

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Proceed.

7 MR. TODD SLACK: Thank you, and my
8 apologies. Is the Proponent suggesting that in -- to
9 create an -- the enforceable mechanism, that a measure
10 from the Review Board is required?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
12 back to the Developer.

13 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
14 behalf of the project team. No, that was not my
15 intention. If it is the -- the Board finds that that
16 is within their jurisdiction, I think we can
17 incorporate protective air quality standards into our
18 planning, and those will be followed. Thank you.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
20 YKDFN, to your second question.

21 MR. TODD SLACK: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22 I'll return to that during our presentation. The next
23 question I believe is for Mr. Hull, and it's a three
24 (3) part question, all very short.

25 One of the primary objectives of this

1 project was to restore Baker Creek to a pro --
2 productive condition. Is that correct?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. To the
4 question, Developer...?

5 MR. JOHN HULL: John Hull. One of the
6 objectives will be to improve and -- Baker Creek so
7 that it is returned to a condition conta -- not the
8 same, but moving towards a reha -- rehabilitated creek,
9 yes.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. You had
11 four (4) questions. It's like a politician; you make
12 it into seven (7). So continue on in your two (2) --
13 three (3) part question number 2.

14 MR. TODD SLACK: I will combine this
15 into one (1) question then. Always flexible.

16 I would ask Mr. Hull then, in that -- in
17 that case, could you remind us how many primary
18 objectives are listed in the DAR, and then can you
19 indicate which slide provides the details on the
20 restoration work that will be done in order to complete
21 the restoration as ill -- or, as described in tho --
22 those objectives?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. To
24 YKDFN, was that your third question?

25 MR. TODD SLACK: Correct.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
2 going to go to the Developer.

3 MR. JOHN HULL: There are -- there are
4 five (5) primary objectives. We've -- we've flagged
5 three (3) of the key ones. So that -- that is part of
6 the -- the DAR. We just focussed on the -- the three
7 (3) -- the three (3) key ones in the presentation.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
9 back to YKDFN.

10 MR. TODD SLACK: I'm -- I'm sorry, Mr.
11 Chair, I didn't quite get an answer to that so I'll ask
12 it in a slightly different way, if that's okay?

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Please proceed.

14 MR. TODD SLACK: Can you please provide
15 which slide in your presentation details the work that
16 will be undertaken to meet the Baker Creek restoration
17 objective as listed in the primary objectives in the
18 DAR?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
20 That was a rephrased question. Back to the Developer
21 to question number 3.

22

23 (BRIEF PAUSE)

24

25 MR. JOHN HULL: If I -- Mr. -- Mr.

1 Chair, John Hull. Slide 4 identifies the -- the remed
2 -- remediation objectives. A lot of the details still
3 need to be worked out with the stakeholders -
4 specifically, DFO, Environment Canada, and I would
5 anticipate the YKF Dene -- Yellowknife Dene.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you, Mr.
7 -- I'll go to YKDFN to your fourth question, if that
8 answers your question?

9 MR. TODD SLACK: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10 Yes, that's a -- an answer. And then my -- as my final
11 question -- and it's unfortunately a two (2) part
12 question with straightforward answers, I think. The
13 Proponent has indicated that they are going to dispose
14 of both hazardous and non-hazardous waste on the site.

15 Can you indicate why this reclamation
16 should including -- include using the Chief Drygeese
17 territory as a garbage dump, rather than use such a
18 site that has been specifically designed, constructed,
19 and managed for this operation? That's part A.

20 Part B: Can you detail what specific
21 actions has the -- that the project have undertaken to
22 secure community approval to use this area as a refuse
23 dump?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, that's your two
25 (2) part question? Thank you. I'll go back to the --

1 and that's your final question. Thank you. I'll go to
2 do the Developer.

3 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Thank you, Mr.
4 Chair. Could I get the first question again, please?

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll go back to
6 YKDFN.

7 MR. TODD SLACK: Certainly, my
8 apologies. The Proponent has indicated that they will
9 dispose of bi -- of hazardous and non-hazardous waste
10 on the site.

11 Can you please indicate why this
12 reclamation should include turning the Chief Drygeese
13 territory into a garbage dump, rather than use a
14 different site that had been specifically designed,
15 permitted, constructed, and managed for this op -- for
16 such an operation?

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
18 to the Developer to part 1 of this question.

19 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr.
20 Chair. Mike Nahir. Thank you for the question. I
21 just want to point out that the gar -- the -- the dump
22 location is in an industrial area. It's already a
23 tailings pond; it's a mine site area. It's a very
24 small area within that and is consistent with the
25 industrial land use designation of that area. Thank

1 you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. And I'll
3 go back to YKDFN.

4 MR. TODD SLACK: And -- well, thank you
5 for that answer. I don't really agree with it.

6 But part B is: Can the project detail
7 what specific actions have been done to secure
8 community approval to turn this area -- which I'll
9 remind everyone is adjacent to the capital of the
10 Northwest Territories, N'Dilo, and Dettah -- and to use
11 this area as a refuse dump? Thank you very much.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, I'm going
13 to go to the Developer.

14 DR. RAY CASE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15 Ray Case. The -- as indicated, the area being proposed
16 is an industrial site. It is -- already contains
17 tailings. That is a waste site. The -- the non-
18 hazardous materials will go in there, along with the
19 only hazardous material being asbestos. I think the
20 presentation was clear that other hazardous ma --
21 materials would be taken off of site.

22 This environmental assessment process is
23 looking at the project and will be -- is one (1)
24 opportunity to de -- to determine the appropriateness
25 of -- of all aspects of -- of the project. In

1 addition, the project has committed and is working with
2 the Yellowknives Dene to secure input and advice on all
3 aspects of the remediation project now and, I think
4 we've heard the commitment earlier, on an ongoing
5 basis.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
7 back to YKDFN.

8 MR. TODD SLACK: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
9 I'm not sure that we got an answer there and if -- I'll
10 ask it one (1) more time, but I'm happy to move on if
11 you so direct.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Please proceed.

13 MR. TODD SLACK: I'm looking for
14 specific actions, because as we heard from the Chief in
15 -- in the introduction, the community version of a
16 reclamation is different than your version. And I
17 accept that you guys see it differently.

18 So what specific actions have been
19 undertaken to secure that community approval to turn
20 this area -- to -- forever as a dump?

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. To
22 the Developer to the question.

23

24 (BRIEF PAUSE)

25

1 DR. RAY CASE: Mr. Chair, if I might --
2 it's Ray Case. To help me understand the question
3 perhaps, is -- is the question: What has been done
4 with the Yellowknives Dene to get their approval to
5 leave this site as an industrial area and a waste site?

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
7 back to YKDFN. If you could maybe help rephrase your
8 question so that they understand the question.

9 MR. TODD SLACK: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
10 I'll give it a shot. So the project is proposing
11 disposing non-hazardous and hazardous waste in an
12 engineered landfill. The Yellowknives have made it
13 clear throughout this hearing that they have a
14 different of what reclamation should've been.

15 The question I'm asking is: What
16 specific actions has the Proponent undertaken to convey
17 the fact that this will be not just a frozen block into
18 the future, but now this is going to be a garbage dump?

19 And I -- I -- I'm not sure if I can make
20 that clearer, the idea being that you're looking for
21 community endorsement and community support, or at
22 least community understanding. Sorry, Todd Slack,
23 YKDFN.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
25 I'll go back to the Developer.

1 DR. RAY CASE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

2 Ray Case. As indicated, the -- the site is a hazardous
3 -- there -- there are wastes across the -- the current
4 Giant Mine site. The intention here in creating a -- a
5 non-hazardous waste landfill in the middle of the
6 tailings pond is to move waste from the site to another
7 location so that more of the area is available for
8 future use.

9 Hazardous materials are going into the
10 B1 pit and the frozen block. Non-hazardous materials -
11 - such as wood, remains of some buildings -- will go
12 into an engineered land -- engineered landfill that will
13 be developed in a way that ensures that those -- those
14 materials don't end up scattered around -- around the
15 site, as they currently are.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I
17 think what I'll do is YKDFN has an opportunity to do a
18 presentation, so we'll leave it at that. We'll
19 continue on, the North Slave Metis.

20 Does the North Slave Metis have any
21 questions to the Developer on their presentation?

22 MS. SUSAN ENGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23 Susan Enge, Metis Alliance. I noticed on slides 10,
24 17, 20, 21, 25, and in your general discussion this
25 morning, in response to stakeholder input that you see

1 the stakeholders including DFO, Environment Canada,
2 YKDFN. But I see absolutely no reference to the North
3 Slave Metis Alliance.

4 We have made an extra effort to be here,
5 because we care about what's going on at that mine and
6 the remediation plan that you're presenting today. So
7 with that in mind, I have a question for the Developer.

8 What steps and measures do you intend to
9 take to ensure that the Metis have some legitimate
10 input, time allotted to consider your options that you
11 have -- are obtaining as we speak regarding the
12 tailings remediation. And I think you also mentioned
13 contaminated soil near mine waste rock.

14 What measures and steps do you intend to
15 take to ensure that our issues are addressed and you
16 are actually speaking to us in person? Thank you.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
18 going to go to the Developer to the questions.

19 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
20 behalf of the project team. We have provided multiple
21 avenues for the North Slave Metis Alliance to
22 participate through the -- our participations in the
23 environmental assessment, through the Giant Mine
24 community alliance, through the environmental
25 monitoring and working group of the parties.

1 The North Slave are welcome to attend
2 any and all. If further discussions are required
3 outside of those venues, we are welcome to tho -- that
4 -- to that input. Thank you.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
6 I'm going to go back to the North Slave Metis.

7 MS. SUSAN ENGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8 Susan Enge, Metis Alliance. And I just heard probably
9 no less than five (5) minutes ago from one of your
10 Developer spokespersons that -- that he stated the
11 remediation of the Baker Creek plan is not completed
12 but will eventually involve stakeholder input,
13 specifically YKDFN.

14 And I would like to know if he intends
15 to extend that invitation to the North Slave Metis
16 Alliance.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
18 going to go to the Developer on the question.

19 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
20 behalf of the project team. Yes.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. To the
22 North Slave Metis, I'm just -- a matter of time, I just
23 wanted to see how many questions you have.

24

25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: That's it? Thank
2 you very much, North Slave Metis. I'm going to go to
3 Environment Canada.

4 MS. AMY SPARKS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
5 Amy Sparks, Environment Canada. We have no questions
6 for the Developer at this time. Thank you.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
8 going to go to the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

9 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
10 Oceans Canada. We have no questions for the Developer
11 at this time, Mr. Chair.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Actually, I wanted
13 you guys to go back and ask questions. I'm just
14 kidding. Thank you. I want to continue on to the
15 Board technician -- advisors.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

18

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Before I go to --
20 yes, I did. I'm sorry. I over -- overlooked the
21 Alternatives North. Sorry, Mr. O'Reilly.

22 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Sorry, with your
23 indulgence, Mr. Chair, there's one (1) document that
24 I'd like to use for one (1) of the six (6) questions
25 I'd like to ask. And I -- I just want to get it up on

1 the screen, if I may.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, absolutely.

3 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thank you.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

6

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, please proceed,
8 Mr. O'Reilly.

9 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: And you had -- you
11 had six (6) questions?

12 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: That's correct.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

14 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thank you, Mr.
15 Chair. Kevin O'Reilly, Alternatives North. And I
16 really tried to trim them down here. The first
17 question I want -- I'm going to ask is with regard to
18 air quality.

19 And in one (1) of our slides in our
20 presentation -- I'm not going to put it up, it's number
21 12 -- it's very clear that the exposure limit for
22 twenty-four (24) hour exposure to arsenic is exceeded
23 in a good part of the -- the -- where the mine is
24 located. And we'll see that in a slide in our
25 presentation.

1 So I'm just wondering: How is the
2 Developer going to protect workers on site during the
3 construction period when we would expect to see a lot
4 of dust being generated, and are there any standards
5 that they have to protect the workers?

6 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
7 behalf of the project team. I will ask -- on to Mike
8 Nahir.

9 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr.
10 Chair. Mike Nahir. The -- as part of the construction
11 work, there's site-specific health and safety plans
12 that are generated for workers that are based on
13 anticipated exposure and -- and the working conditions
14 that they're under, which is a normal part of
15 construction management and -- and, as well, on
16 contaminated site management.

17 So that's -- that -- and that's a
18 document that's developed and reviewed by the -- I was
19 going to say workers' compensation board, but I forget
20 the exact title.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
22 O'Reilly...?

23 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
24 May I just ask one (1) quick follow-up? The
25 modelling's been done. It shows that the exposure

1 limit is going to be exceeded for a good part of the
2 mine area for the workers.

3 And has -- has there been any specific
4 assessment work to look at what kind of health effects
5 there might be then for the workers at site? Thanks.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. To the
7 Developer to the follow-up question.

8 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
9 Chair. The -- the simple answer is: No. That is --
10 will be det -- part of the details of developing
11 environmental management plans for each site or
12 activity. And as appropriate, protective measures
13 would be put in place, depending on the areas where the
14 work is being undertaken.

15 One additional note I would make is that
16 the -- the information that Mr. O'Reilly's referring to
17 is for maximum exposures, not representative
18 necessarily of average conditions across the site.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I
20 want to go to Kevin O'Reilly to your second question.

21 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
22 Kevin O'Reilly, Alternatives North. I want to move to
23 some questions with regard to the site stabilization
24 plan. That's the -- the document that's up on the
25 screen. It's dated October the 14th, 2011. And it

1 was, I guess, approved by the -- the Minister of
2 Aboriginal Affairs Northern Development Canada in early
3 November.

4 We didn't actually get a copy of this
5 until August of this year, after we asked many, many
6 times for it. And I -- I'm just wondering: Would it
7 be a fair characterization of this document to say that
8 it really was to preauthorize exemptions of portions of
9 the Giant Mine Remediation Project from this
10 environmental assessment?

11 Is that a fair characterization of what
12 this plan is all about? Thank you.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll go to the
14 Developer.

15 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis,
16 half -- on behalf of the project team. No.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
18 to Mr. O'Reilly, third question.

19 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Great, thanks. We
20 beg to differ, but...

21 In several places in this plan, there's
22 commitments, particularly on page 2 and other places,
23 to communications engagement strategy has been
24 developed to secure the support of key partners and
25 stakeholders, a number of commitments like that in this

1 document to consult with people about it.

2 So why couldn't we get this until August
3 of this year, after repeated requests for it? We asked
4 them in emails. We asked you to try to facilitate them
5 producing it. We didn't get it until August.

6 And the way we are finding out about
7 this was the Developer was in discussion with staff at
8 the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board to seek
9 regulatory approvals to carry out this work. And those
10 staff with the Land and Water Board were filing
11 material on their public registry. That's how we were
12 finding out about it.

13 So why is it, with the commitment in
14 here to engage the stakeholders in meaningful ways and
15 early and so on, why is it that we only got this in
16 August of this year, after repeated requests? Thank
17 you.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
19 O'Reilly. Mr. O'Reilly, how far is your office from
20 their office?

21 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Sorry, it's Kevin
22 O'Reilly, I didn't understand the question.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, no, how far is --
24 how many blocks is it away from their office, from your
25 office to their office?

1 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Probably about
2 four (4) blocks.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
4 going to go to the Developer to the question. If you
5 could help answer that. We're only four (4) blocks
6 away. Thank you.

7 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian -- Adrian
8 Paradis, on behalf of the project team. Communications
9 and engagement is part of the -- part of all of our
10 work. A specific document does not mean engagement or
11 con -- or engagement or communication.

12 Elements of this project have been -- of
13 the site stabilization plan have been discussed at the
14 October 2011 technical workshops held by the Impact
15 Review Board. There have been open houses in May.
16 There have been meetings between myself and other
17 parties in the environmental assessment over the course
18 of the last year.

19 There have been documents on the
20 engineering and the risk assessments that have been
21 submitted to both the Mackenzie Valley Environmental
22 Impact Review Board as well as the Mackenzie Valley
23 Land and Water Board previous to this.

24 This document in and of itself is not
25 part -- make up the sole communication or engagement.

1 It is an entirety. Thank you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if you
3 answered that question. I'm just wondering, I mean,
4 the repeated request comes in. I just want to know,
5 was there a response from your office to his office in
6 re -- in regards to the request?

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

10 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
11 behalf of the project team.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

15 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Elements of --
16 Adrian Paradis, on behalf of the project team.
17 Elements have been discussed and were facilitated. The
18 request was made through the Impact Review Board. We
19 have not received a response about how to deal with
20 that.

21 We did provide it as in one -- and the
22 elements were provided to Mr. O'Reilly. Thank you.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, at least
24 we know where we can improve. Okay, thank you. I'm
25 going to move on to your fourth question, Mr. O'Reilly.

1 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.

2 It's Kevin O'Reilly. I'm not quite sure how to
3 coordinate this, but I -- I just want to take a moment
4 and scroll through this document, if I may.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: That's -- this will
6 be your fourth question coming up?

7 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Yes.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

11

12 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks. Kevin
13 O'Reilly, with Alternatives North. And thanks, Adrian,
14 for the flicker here. So this is the document that was
15 provided by the Developer. And I'm just wondering if
16 the -- we're looking at this document on the screen.
17 There's been a number of areas of the document that
18 have been blacked out.

19 And I'm just wondering if the Developer
20 can explain what's in there. I'm very curious to know
21 why that material has been blacked out. Thank you.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, that's your
23 fourth question. Thank you. And to the Developer, is
24 this document a public document?

25

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

3 MS. JOANNA ANKERSMIT: Thank you, Mr.
4 Chair. This document was a document that was put
5 together because, as we have mentioned a number of
6 times, we are managing a couple of things going on at
7 the site.

8 We had risk assessments and high-risk
9 items that we needed to -- to communicate to senior
10 management, including the Minister of Aboriginal
11 Affairs and Northern Development. This document
12 contains information related to financial information
13 that -- that, if released, would create an unlevel
14 playing field in -- in the sense of procurement, that's
15 commonly kept out of the public domain until after
16 contracts are let, and also Cabinet confis -- confid --
17 confidences.

18 So the document is available on the --
19 the Review Board website. It was submitted by the
20 Developer. And, like has been mentioned, all the
21 aspects of the project -- all of the elements of the
22 site stabilization plan were communicated with the
23 parties at various times in the last -- at least over
24 the last year. Joanna Ankersmit.

25 If I could add, Mr. Chair -- actually,

1 I'm -- I'm just going to leave it at that. Thanks.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: I was kind of excited
3 there -- you were going to go up there and grab the mic
4 and start singing.

5 MS. JOANNA ANKERSMIT: Be careful what
6 you wish for.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. So just
8 coming back to your question, then. This document is -
9 - is not a public document; it's an internal document.
10 Is that correct?

11 MS. JOANNA ANKERSMIT: Thank you, Mr.
12 Chair. Joanna Ankersmit. Yes, internally, it was a --
13 an internal communication document, but it has been
14 released publicly with the redactions that -- that you
15 see in front of you. And it is on the Mackenzie Valley
16 Impact Review Board website. If I could just take a
17 moment?

18 MS. HEATHER POTTER: Hello, it's
19 Heather Potter. I'm counsel with Justice Canada for
20 the Proponent. I would just like to add to Ms.
21 Ankersmit's answer that the SS plan is out of the scope
22 of what is considered in -- as part of this EA, but
23 some of the activities described therein are subject to
24 the EA.

25 The redactions that were made in the

1 plan are not part and parcel of any of the activities
2 that are subject to this environmental assessment.

3 Thank you.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
5 We're going to stop there and we'll come back in ten
6 (10) minutes. Mr. O'Reilly, you've got two (2) more
7 questions after that. Thank you.

8

9 --- Upon recessing at 10:45 a.m.

10 --- Upon resuming at 11:01 a.m.

11

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. Can I
13 get everybody back to their table? We could start. We
14 -- we got special guests here this morning. I'd like
15 to maybe invite the teacher up here to the podium and
16 just to introduce your class. We have guests from the
17 St. Pat's High School, grade 11 class, and so I'll just
18 maybe get the teacher to introduce the students.

19 MR. MYLES RADCHENKO: Thank you very
20 much, Mr. Chair. I really wasn't expecting this, but
21 my name is Myles Radchenko. I'm the science department
22 head at St. Patrick's High School. So it's my pleasure
23 this morning to bring along by Biology 20 class, period
24 2 class. So they're sitting back here, and they're
25 here to experience this public consultation process.

1 So they're citizens of the future, and I
2 -- I think many of them are planning on making
3 Yellowknife their homes. And, of course, they are
4 going to have to live with the legacy of the Giant
5 Mine, just like the rest of us.

6 So we can only stay for an hour, or just
7 before 12:00, so thank you for the opportunity to have
8 me introduce them.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'd like
10 to welcome all the students here today. Thank you very
11 much for coming and listening in on our process.
12 Mahsi.

13 Before I go to the last two (2)
14 questions for Mr. O'Reilly, I -- I want to make up a
15 little bit of time for the time we lost yesterday. So
16 I want to say that we're going to break for lunch from
17 12:00 to 12:30, and we will continue at 12:30 onwards.
18 And lunch is -- is on your own, so we'll -- we'll go
19 after 12:30.

20 So I want to go to Mr. O'Reilly, to your
21 fourth -- sorry, your fifth question out of six (6).

22 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
23 It's Kevin O'Reilly with Alternatives North. I think
24 in the presentation today, we heard that there's some
25 urgency with regard to the roaster complex demolition,

1 because it's a highly contaminated part of the -- the
2 mine site.

3 I was at an Industry Canada -- or,
4 sorry, an industry day that the Developer put on. I
5 think it was around the early August. And the -- there
6 is some work that's being done by Public Works and
7 Government Services Canada to begin to contract this
8 work out.

9 And I'm just wondering, as I understand
10 it, Public Works and Government Services Canada, they
11 have emergency authority for contracting; but, as I
12 understand it, this is just going through their regular
13 route.

14 Can they explain, if this is such an
15 emergency, why they're not using their emergency
16 authority to contract that work? Thanks.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
18 O'Reilly, for your fifth question. I'm going to go to
19 -- to the Developer to the question.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

22

23 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
24 behalf of the project team. I will start off, and I
25 will ask Mr. Henry Westermann, Director of Public Works

1 and Government Services, to speak to contracting
2 authority.

3 The work -- the roaster work, as well as
4 the underground work, is a part of the environmental
5 assessment. There is an urgency in relation to both,
6 but it is in the planning sessions right now for if and
7 when this work needs to occur.

8 With that, Mr. Westermann, can you
9 please come forward?

10 MR. HENRY WESTERMANN: Henry
11 Westermann. I'm with Public Works and Government
12 Services Canada. The -- the roaster complex has been
13 identified as an urgent problem. Our process for
14 engaging contractors for this highly complex work is
15 very detailed and thorough, and we are ensuring that we
16 follow the -- we take all the necessary steps to engage
17 a highly qualified company who will conduct the
18 deconstruction in a very safe manner. And so that's
19 the process we're currently following.

20 This is -- and we're conducting it as --
21 in a manner of which addresses the urgency. Should an
22 emergency occur, in which case a failure prior to our
23 completion of our process occurred, we do have the
24 ability to -- to engage our emergency precautions,
25 which would be done, should the emergency actually

1 present itself.

2 Currently, our process is being
3 conducted in a way that we obtain in a very fair and
4 competitive manner, obtain the best possible contract
5 we can to ensure that the work is done safely.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
7 Before we move on to Kevin O'Reilly, this -- this
8 process we're in right now, it's the Giant Mine
9 Remediation Project. We're here to do the
10 environmental assessment of this mine. And the
11 questions that you have are contracts.

12 And unless it's related to impact
13 assessments, I'd like to continue on and concentrate,
14 because if it's not relevant, then I'd like to move on
15 to the impact assessment of this -- of this hearing.
16 Thank you.

17 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
18 Kevin O'Reilly with Alternatives North.

19 I -- I guess it's our view that the way
20 that the work might be done is relevant, but I do want
21 to move on. And I'm trying to understand what the --
22 the urgency of the
23 -- the roaster complex is then if the Developer is not
24 going to use emergency powers to proceed with the work.
25 Whether it's -- well, maybe I'll ask this the way --

1 this way. It might be a bit, sort of, looking into the
2 future.

3 But if the Developer finds that it's
4 necessary to exempt parts of the -- the development
5 while the environmental assessment is going on, will
6 they commit to applying the binding measures that the
7 Review Board might come up with retroactively to any
8 work that's exempted? Thank you.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I want to
10 go to the -- the Developer.

11 Just so I'm clear, Mr. O'Reilly, that's
12 your fifth question, right? Thank you.

13 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
14 behalf of the Giant Mine project Team.

15 If, as it is a speculative question, the
16 time comes that we have to do this work, we'll look at
17 -- look at it on a case-by-case basis. It's -- until
18 we get into a hypothetical future scenario, I cannot --
19 I cannot comment on it and say we'll look at it at a --
20 look at it at that time. Thank you.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
22 Mr. O'Reilly, that concludes your questioning?

23 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
24 Yes, it does. Thank you.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.

1 I'm going to move on to the Board's technical advisors.
2 Mr. Alan Ehrlich...?

3 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Alan Ehrlich for the
4 Review Board. Mr. Chair, with your permission, I would
5 like to ask a few staff questions and then the
6 technical advisor questions and then a few follow-up by
7 staff, if you're okay with us doing it in that order.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Please
9 proceed.

10 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you, Mr.
11 Chair. I've got questions about a few different things
12 that fall under the "surface" heading. "Surface"
13 catches a lot, obviously. Baker Creek's included in it
14 as well. You indicated that you may require a
15 geotextile on the tailings between the capillary break
16 and the top layer.

17 How will you decide if it's important to
18 have a geotextile layer?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
20 to go to the Developer.

21 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chairman, John
22 Hull. Part of the detailed design would be to define
23 whether or not there is a need for the geotextile. It
24 would be a function of more constructability than for
25 long term-service life of the cover system efficiency.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
2 to go to the Review Board.

3 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you, Mr.
4 Chair. Geotextiles have limited life spans. If you've
5 decided that it is an important part of your tailings
6 cover, how will you replace it at the end of its
7 lifespan?

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, I'll go
9 back to the Developer.

10 MR. JOHN HULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11 John Hull. As I said, it is only for constructability
12 in -- in the construction phase. It's not anticipated
13 or expected to be required for long-term service
14 performance of the cover.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Review Board staff?

16 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thanks. I'll move
17 to a different subject. You indicated that you
18 designed Baker Creek to a 1:500 year flood event. In
19 other words, the design criteria for Baker Creek is a
20 1:500 year period, correct?

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Developer...?

22 MR. JOHN HULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23 John Hull. Baker Creek has been designed to pass the
24 1:500 year storm, plus having 2 metres of anchor ice so
25 that if, in fact, the anchor ice wasn't there, it could

1 pass a storm in the order of a 1:1,000 year storm with
2 some freeboard. We do not anticipate, based on the
3 probability of anchor ice and a large storm to occur at
4 the same time. So it is designed for more than 1:500
5 years.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you,
7 I'll go back to the Review Board staff.

8 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: So what's your
9 current design criteria for Baker Creek channelization?

10 MR. JOHN HULL: The design is 1:500
11 years, with anchor ice.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Review Board
13 staff...?

14 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Now, obviously
15 you're taking into account, as you just indicated,
16 extreme climate events, precipitation.

17 When you say it was designed 1:500 years
18 plus anchor ice, are you saying that based on
19 historical precipitation and -- and climate averages?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, I'll go
21 back to the Developer.

22 Maybe before he speaks here, just for
23 the audience that we have in the back, the Developer in
24 -- in this case is the Aboriginal Affairs and Northern
25 Development Canada and the Government of the Northwest

1 Territories, so known and AANDC and GNWT. Just so that
2 when I hear -- when I say "Developer", that's who I'm
3 making reference to.

4 I'll go back to the Developer.

5 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: Yeah, Nathan
6 Schmidt with Golder Associates. To answer your
7 question, the -- the five hundred (500) year value is
8 based on the historical flow record from Baker Creek.
9 We've got in excess of forty (40) years of flow records
10 from that gauging station.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Before I
12 go back to the Review Board staff, maybe, Alan, can you
13 put your mic closer to your -- thank you.

14 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you, Mr.
15 Chair. I'm used to most people trying to quiet me
16 down. A similar question: Was tailings design based
17 on similar climate and precipitation information?

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, we'll go
19 back to the De --

20 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: That is, the
21 specified tailings cover design?

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. Thank you,
23 I'll go back to the Developer.

24 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.
25 The same climatic data was used for the design for the

1 tailings cover and for these creeks or swales and
2 engineered drainage ditches -- engineered drainage
3 ditches, which would be on the tailings cover.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, I'll go
5 back to the Review Board staff.

6 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you, Mr.
7 Chair. As the Developer is aware, historical averages
8 are different from current trends. The Developer has
9 relied on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
10 report number 4 trends when you were modelling your
11 thermosyphon efficiency. From what I've just heard,
12 you did not apply IPCC for findings, conclusions, and
13 predictions in terms of designing Baker Creek and
14 tailings covers.

15 Or -- or did you? Did I misunderstand
16 the previous answer?

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, I'll go
18 back to the Developer.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

21

22 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: I think we had
23 addressed, you know, to some extent, some of the -- the
24 climate change issues in -- in hydrology and some of
25 the Information Request responses that we provided.

1 The one I recall specifically had to do
2 with probable maximum flood and the fact that standard
3 practice -- we were unable to find anywhere that
4 recommends that you would increase probable maximum
5 flood to accommodate climate change.

6 That said, of course probable maximum
7 flood is not our design criteria. However, what we did
8 do for Baker Creek specifically was we did a check.
9 Probably maximum flood would be a -- you know, a
10 rainfall-induced event. It happens when the atmosphere
11 is holding the maximum water, and it can dump that all
12 on your watershed at once.

13 And the -- the probable maximum flood
14 value -- which we estimated on the basis of the same
15 techniques that are used by Northwest Territories Power
16 Corporation at the Bluefish Hydro project. We -- we
17 used that same process. Basically in an ice free
18 channel, the entire Baker Creek reach can accommodate
19 it until we get down to the bridge at -- at the
20 highway, okay. At that point we can accommodate
21 slightly less than that probable maximum flood value.

22 So in terms of passing an extreme, you
23 know, rainfall event, we're actually in pretty good
24 shape on this project. It's coincidental, you know,
25 that we've used the five hundred (500) year value plus

1 a very generous allocation for anchor ice. But when we
2 do that check on the probable maximum flood, we find
3 ourselves in a pretty good situation.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
5 to go to the Review Board staff.

6 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: The two (2) projects
7 that you've just described have a different length life
8 cycles from the one that you're proposing, but I'll try
9 to have -- figure out how that affects my line of
10 questioning.

11 I'm trying to understand for the -- the
12 portion of your channel design -- that was the 1:500
13 year flood before the addition of the anchor ice part
14 that you describe -- I'm trying to understand the
15 selection of design criteria related to the proposed
16 project life cycle.

17 In all of the previous environmental
18 impact assessments I've deal with, design criteria of
19 critical components is made for them to work for
20 periods longer than the project lasts. For example, at
21 Diavik the dikes are designed to last longer than the
22 proposed mining at Diavik, for obvious reasons. It's
23 below lake level, that kind of thing.

24 I -- I'm no statistician, but I -- I do
25 want to understand how you've designed this Baker Creek

1 channel. Now, in previous design, with the exception
2 of the anchor ice part you've described, it was
3 originally designed to a 1:250 year probable maximum
4 flood effect criteria, as I recall, up until about the
5 time of the technical sessions last Oct -- last fall.

6 So when you designed it at that time to
7 a 1:250 year criteria, could it be reasonably expected
8 to fail at least twice as frequently as the one that's
9 proposed now?

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
11 to go to the Developer to the question.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

15 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: Nathan Schmidt.
16 Personally I don't recall the 1:250 criteria, as I've
17 been on the project for a little over two (2) years now
18 and we've used 1:500 consistently and then added the --
19 the anchor ice criteria based on, you know, additional
20 information that we received along the way.

21 You know, in terms of comparing 1:250 to
22 1:500, yeah, you're correct, but we -- we are using the
23 -- what we consider to be a pretty conservative design
24 criteria here.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I'll go back

1 to the Review Board staff.

2 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: The next questions
3 from the Review Board staff and experts are coming from
4 -- from some of the Board's experts. So if it's
5 alright with the Chair, I'd like to call them up to the
6 table here.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

10 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: Lukas Arenson,
11 helping the Board here has an expert. I've got a whole
12 line of questions on different elements. Let's --
13 yeah, we'll stick to that, the design criteria Ba --
14 Baker Creek Alan was -- Alan Erlich was just touching
15 on. We have the 1:500 flood, and you said you have the
16 2-metre anchor ice and be conservative.

17 Now, go twenty (20) years back; how much
18 anchor ice would you have used back then?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
20 to go to the Developer.

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

23

24 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: To -- Nathan
25 Schmidt. To answer your question, twenty (20) years

1 ago, based on, you know, experience and the people at
2 the mine, we -- we might have used a lower value. That
3 said, we have the capability of manage that level of
4 ice. Okay? It's a -- it's a relatively short reach to
5 the creek, several kilometres long. There's good access
6 to it. You can get machinery in there. And the amount
7 of ice that accumulates in that creek is independent of
8 the -- the spring freshette flow.

9 Okay? So when we're looking at joint
10 probabilities of high ice and, say, 1:500 year flood,
11 the joint probability of that is -- is quite a lot less
12 than -- than what you would get just on basis of five
13 hundred (500) year event. Okay? The key message here
14 is that we can manage that.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
16 going to go to the Review Board staff.

17 DR. LUKAS ARENSEN: Lukas Arenson,
18 merely expert, not -- not the staff. Thank you for the
19 answer. But you still mentioned the word
20 "probability". So in other words there's a likelihood
21 of exceedance.

22 Is that correct?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
24 going to go back to the Developer.

25 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: That is correct.

1 We could end up with more than 2 metres of ice in there
2 at any given year, and it would be prudent to address
3 that in advance of freshette, if it occurred. That's
4 correct.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I like
6 when you guys answer "yes" and "no", like that's
7 quicker. Thank you. I'm going to go back to the --
8 our experts.

9 DR. LUKAS ARENSEN: Thank you. Lukas
10 Arenson. So I know Dr. Oboni will probably go more
11 into all the -- the risk assessments to it, but -- so
12 is it fair to say we -- we have the risk.

13 I mean we're not looking at the level,
14 but a diversion could resolve in reducing or putting
15 that risk basically to zero off the flood, exceeding
16 whatever was designed along Baker Creek in the future.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
18 to go to the Developer.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

21

22 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: Sorry, I don't
23 have a "yes" or "no" answer for this one. Diverting
24 the flow, of course, away from the mine could reduce
25 that risk. I don't think anybody would suggest it was

1 zero, would be zero. You could still have a failure,
2 the diversion structure; anything could happen there.

3 What I do want to say though is that by
4 -- while we convey that flow through the mine site, the
5 -- the risk is greatly diminished after the freeze
6 takes effect, so on the order of decades. You know,
7 after that, the consequences of a flow into the
8 underground are greatly reduced.

9 The other thing I'd like to say is that,
10 in our design we have included a number of measures to
11 mitigate the -- the potential for that ice formation.
12 Right now, the -- the two (2) areas that are most prone
13 to it are Reach 3 and Reach 1, where we have the
14 channelized areas through bedrock through the mine.

15 And by providing an adequate flood plain
16 we reduce the potential for alfice (phonetic)
17 accumulation, okay. Other features in the mine, you
18 know, some of the pits will be backfilled, we're, you
19 know, planning to have some of the underground features
20 backfilled, you know, to increase the stability there.

21 So there are a number of measures, very
22 important measures, that are planned here that also
23 will reduce the risk of inundation of the mine due to a
24 Baker Creek spill. That was our -- our prime -- my
25 prime objective during all this work was keep the water

1 out of the underground. Thank you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.

3 I'll go back to the Review Board experts.

4 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: Thank you. Lukas
5 Arenson. I -- I understand the -- the concept and --
6 and all that. I -- I think I'll get that. But as --
7 as we probably all agree, there's -- there's a risk to
8 -- to flooding. How high? It's probably low.

9 But have you then, if we go along with
10 the chain of events, considered moisture migration, if
11 we flooded into your frozen stopes, into your chambers?
12 Because we -- we said they're cold. And whenever we
13 have -- I don't want to go too technical. But when we
14 have a thermal gradient, which you have, we'll have a
15 moisture gradient. So we have moisture migration
16 around.

17 It's small, I agree, but there is as we
18 -- so have you considered the water that might come
19 from a flood suddenly migrating through your frozen
20 stopes or getting into your frozen stopes over a very,
21 very long period of time?

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

23 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: A moment, sir.

24 We'll just allow Daryl Hockley to come to the mic.

25 Thank you.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.

2 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: I just want to
3 quickly clarify -- sorry, Lu -- Lukas Arenson. Yeah, I
4 shouldn't have talked about the risk. I should have
5 talked the likelihood is low. I want to stick to -- to
6 likelihood, so very, very, very low.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you for
8 your question. I'll go back to the Developer to the
9 question.

10 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Daryl Hockley,
11 technical advisor to the Developer. Thanks for the
12 clarification. I think we -- we should all be a little
13 careful about probability and risk here.

14 Our -- of course, if a channel is
15 designed to -- to survive a one (1) in 'X' flood, it
16 will -- it will survive that one (1) in 'X' flood with
17 that same probability as -- as long as it's -- as long
18 as it's there.

19 But our contention is that once the --
20 once the -- the heavily arsenic-contaminated areas are
21 sealed behind frozen rock, that the risk to the
22 environment is greatly reduced. We can still have a
23 flood. But our contention is that flood won't have any
24 arsenic to wash out of the mine, or no -- nowhere near
25 as much arsenic to wash out of the mine. Let's put it

1 that way.

2 So I think the -- the latest question
3 is, could there be another mechanism where water would
4 come into the mine, flood around the frozen block, and
5 then make its way into the frozen block.

6 We -- we think that's a bit un -- we
7 think that's quite unlikely for a number of reasons.
8 First, as you saw from some of the slides a couple days
9 ago, there'll be a very wide zone of frozen rock around
10 that arsenic trioxide. So if the water comes up around
11 that -- I hope the person taking the transcripts is
12 making note of all my arm motions here because it's
13 quite important. So you have this broad -- this broad
14 range of frozen -- frozen rock, water coming up around
15 it. Water, if anything, will now attempt to go into
16 that block.

17 And I think we've shown in some of our
18 analyses in the information responses that that water
19 is very likely to freeze before it gets anywhere near
20 that dust. Furthermore, the -- these thought events
21 that we're -- we're talking about would be fairly short
22 term. They -- they wouldn't -- there wouldn't be tens
23 of ye -- tens of years. It'd be probably a few weeks
24 or months of water being there before it was restored
25 on control and -- and taken -- taken back under control

1 by the mine water treatment system.

2 So we -- we agree there's a theoretical
3 possibility of that effect, but we think, in practice,
4 it's -- it's quite unlikely.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
6 I'm going to go back to the Review Board experts.

7 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: Yeah. Lukas
8 Arenson. I'm showing my hands here. I'm not thinking
9 of the raising from the bob (phonetic), it's more the
10 flood on the surface. You're likely going to have some
11 erosion. I mean, we see, in permafrost area, thermal
12 erosion and mechanical erosion at the surface is very
13 typical.

14 I'm not say -- in my -- it just -- have
15 you considered how deep those goes, and what -- what
16 might be potential effects to your frozen block if you
17 have that flood on top of your frozen shell or frozen
18 block.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
20 to go to the Developer to the question.

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

23

24 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Yeah. Like, we
25 have an -- a couple of ways. I'm glad I checked with

1 my colleagues here, because there was one (1) way that
2 I'd forgotten about. There is one (1) of the IRs where
3 we talk about interactions between the creek and the --
4 and the frozen blocks. But one (1) of the -- the
5 design mandates is to make sure the pad around the
6 frozen blocks is higher than the -- the flood level.
7 So, in -- in other words, there is -- there is no case
8 where a flood would come overtop of a chamber.

9 We -- also, I think, we -- we have to
10 remember dimensions here. The -- I -- I mentioned the
11 other day, the blocks are anywhere between 30 and 100
12 metres below the ground's surface, and you saw that
13 some of those frozen blocks were robust, too. It was
14 twenty (20) years of the absolute worst-case scenario,
15 even if all the thermal siphons are somehow not
16 working.

17 So I -- I don't think we're likely to
18 see erosion of that frozen zone that would in any way
19 approach down to -- down to the dust in -- in a flood
20 event.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
22 I'm going to go back to the Review Board experts.

23 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: Can we go to slide
24 37, please? Because that shows the B1 Pit, and my
25 understanding now, from reading the slide, is that the

1 roaster complex and other arsenic trioxide wastes will
2 be placed in frozen block in B1 Pit and underground.

3 And when you look at those dimension,
4 it's -- it's much less than -- oh, yeah. Yes, here.

5 And -- and did I mention we're -- we're -- maximum of
6 20 metres is the depth of -- of B1, and we're going to
7 have a highly -- my understanding is that we have a
8 highly toxic roaster complex material in this pit.
9 It's going to be frozen. It's part of -- needs to be
10 protected, or maybe I didn't understand the concept
11 correctly.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
13 to go back to the Developer to the question.

14 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.
15 The B1 Pit is backfilled to allow the installation of
16 the freeze pipes for B208 and B213 stopes. There is a
17 zone in the middle of the -- or the rings of -- the
18 ring that would be defined by the vertical freeze
19 pipes.

20 In that zone, within the B1 Pit, is
21 where the material from the roaster complex would be
22 placed, so it is inside one (1) of the -- the rings and
23 therefore would be an extension of the zone that was
24 frozen for specifically, as I say, B208.

25 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Mr. Chairman, if I

1 could just help. I think that partic -- just to
2 clarify, that particular slide is not the -- is not the
3 B1 Pit. That particular slide -- Rudy, that's --
4 that's the landfill that would be in the tailings
5 impoundment. It -- it's just unfortunate that bullet
6 happens to be above it, but that picture refers to the
7 earlier part of that slide.

8 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: Okay.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
10 I'll go back to the Review Board experts.

11 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: Okay. So -- Lukas
12 Arenson. So your minimum cover over any hazardous
13 waste, including the roaster complex, you say, is about
14 50 -- 50 to 60 metres?

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
16 I'll go to the Developer.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

19

20 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: No, not -- not that
21 much. It's -- Daryl Hockley, thank you. No, it's not
22 that much. It would be more on the order of 20 metres
23 to -- yeah. It -- again, I should point out that the
24 portion of the one (1) that -- that John is describing
25 is -- is not directly in the current flow path of Baker

1 Creek.

2 So Baker Creek would have to spill over
3 its banks and go in the wrong direction. It could --
4 Nathan, I think it could backwater into that area in an
5 extreme event -- no, it's higher? Okay. It couldn't
6 even backwater there, so there's no question -- it
7 could not bring any erosive power in that direction.
8 That's -- that's for sure.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
10 I'll go back to the Review Board experts.

11 MR. LUKAS ARENSEN: Okay. Lukas
12 Arenson. I have no further question on -- on Baker
13 Creek right now and I think I will give it to Dr. Oboni
14 who has some risk question along Baker Creek.

15 DR. FRANCO OBONI: Thank you, Mr.
16 Chair. Thank you, Lukas. Actually, my first question
17 is really a simple one or would require a reply in a
18 very simple term.

19 Could you please describe in detail the
20 scenario in the aftermath of during a flood that would
21 destroy or fail the banks of Baker Creek. And please
22 think of it broadly.

23 I showed you yesterday what happened at
24 Baralaba. Think about an extreme meteorological event,
25 its consequences on distribution, telecom, electricity,

1 supply, and -- and lets try to build something that
2 will put at peace the idea that the consequences would
3 be small.

4 Because I -- my feeling is that by
5 concentrating on the effects of the flood and an
6 overflow of the creek on the frozen block we are
7 blinding ourselves from the real amplitude of the
8 consequences of such an event. Thank you.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for your
10 question. I'm going to go to the Developer to the
11 question.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

15 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Mr. Chairman, Daryl
16 Hockley. We -- we believe we've put on the record
17 already the -- a -- a fairly complete answer to -- to
18 that -- that question.

19 There is an information -- there was an
20 Information Request about the worst-case scenario if
21 the -- if Baker Creek was to jump out of its banks in
22 the current condition and -- and to -- to flood the --
23 the mine as it -- as it currently sits.

24 That's -- if my recollection is --
25 that's a two (2) to three (3) page reply. I'm -- I'm

1 reluctant to -- to try to repeat it all here. Yes,
2 it's Information Request Alternatives North IR-17. Was
3 that Round 1 or Round 2? Round 2. So there's a -- a
4 few pages about that in here.

5 In short, to the -- the concern is that
6 when the -- as long as the mine is unremediated Baker
7 Creek would jump out of its banks, flood the
8 underground, reach the arsenic trioxide, dissolve a
9 significant amount of that arsenic, and then carry that
10 arsenic out into Baker Creek and Yellowknife Bay.

11 That's -- that's one (1) of the primary
12 risks we're -- we're trying to deal with at this -- and
13 also, I'd like -- like to point out Dr. Oboni presented
14 some -- some slides about the case history in -- in
15 Italy and that's --

16 MR. JOHN HULL: Australia.

17 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Pardon me,
18 Australia, that's -- that is how the -- how the mining
19 industry works. We -- there's never a perfect parallel
20 for every system. We -- we have to deal with slightly
21 different parallels.

22 I guess we'd like to point out that the
23 -- the flow in -- in that case was significantly more
24 powerful than the typical flow in -- in Baker Creek and
25 the -- the pit was smaller, so the -- it's nice to have

1 a video. There's far -- there's far too few videos of
2 failures to really show people what it could be like.
3 But we also have to remember that circumstances are
4 going to be different here in a -- in a number --
5 number of ways.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. I
7 want to go back to the Review Board experts.

8 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: Okay, Lukas Arenson
9 here again, with the Board. I've got a very short
10 question about the tailings cover and tailings design.
11 What is your frost depth you expect for the tailings?

12 Do you have any idea?

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
14 to the Developer.

15 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.
16 We don't have specific thermistors that identify the
17 actual frost depth. We would anticipate based on other
18 projects and data from the region, the -- the frost
19 depth would be about a metre, metre and a half.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
21 I'll go back to the Review Board experts.

22 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: So your metre and a
23 half, that means with the 1 metre cover it would be
24 below the cover, is that correct?

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: The Developer...?

1 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.

2 Yes.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

4 DR. LUKAS ARENSEN: I'm getting a quick
5 answer. Aren -- Lukas Arenson again. Have you
6 considered any moisture migration as you -- during
7 frost because -- iceland formation and moisture
8 migration from the tailings into your cover in the long
9 term?

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The
11 Developer...?

12 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.
13 It has been considered in the preliminary design and
14 would be evaluated to a -- at a -- to a further extent
15 and using the information we obtain from the test pads
16 to evaluate that and put that into the final design.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

18 DR. LUKAS ARENSEN: Okay, so -- yeah,
19 Lukas Arenson. So your test pads, I guess they're --
20 so you're committing to do more and more extensive
21 tailings cover test plots to -- for -- for your final
22 design, is that correct?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The
24 Developer...?

25 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.

1 The anticipation is that there will be additional test
2 pads that would be refinements of test pads that have
3 been built to answer specific questions such as the one
4 (1) you've asked. And that would be part of going
5 forward in the detailed design phase.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Review
7 Board experts...?

8 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: No, that -- that's
9 fine. I would just -- for the record, we -- we noted
10 that the thickness of the cover decreased from the
11 original DAR project, and now the -- or in the
12 recommendation after the first test and -- but if we
13 continue with test plots and assess the situation I
14 think that's a good path forward. Thank you. No
15 further questions --

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

17 DR. LUKAS ARENSON: -- from me.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
19 to go to my -- sorry.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

22

23 DR. FRANCO OBONI: Franco Oboni. Just
24 thank you, Mr. Chair. So we have understood that you
25 have considered probabilities that are lower than one

1 (1) in five hundred (500) for -- as a criteria for
2 Baker Creek, although we don't have a value. It's --
3 it's done by guestimate, let's say.

4 If I go back a second to the 1:500
5 years, over a twenty-five (25) year period you would
6 have 5 percent probability of seeing that phenomenon.
7 Now, if you go to 1:1,000, which is, if I have
8 understood well what you have selected, you will have
9 2.5 percent in twenty-five (25) years, respectively 10
10 percent in a hundred years.

11 Do you feel comfortable that -- with
12 that level of probability and the possible consequences
13 of that phenomenon? That's acceptable?

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for your
15 question. I'm going to the Developer.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

18

19 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: Nathan Schmidt,
20 Mr. Chairman. The -- the 1:1,000 year value that was
21 thrown out there this morning I think is not the right
22 number. It's -- it's greater than 1:1,000.

23 As I said for the ice freeze situation,
24 with that remediation channel we're in -- in pretty
25 good shape of -- of passing the probable maximum flood

1 except in the bridge area where it's slightly less.

2 And the PMF value, probable maximum flood, the estimate
3 is based on twice the 1:10,000 year value, okay. Of
4 course, that doesn't include any freeboard, but, you
5 know, it's -- it's much greater than the 1:1,000 year.

6 That said, you know, that's why we want
7 to get going on this project and to do this
8 remediation, because we've looked at the existing
9 situation. Alan, I think that may be where that 1:250
10 number came from. It may have come out at one of the
11 technical sessions because, of course, the existing
12 situation at the site isn't that good, and we want to
13 fix that. So thank you.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Review
15 Board experts...?

16 DR. FRANCO OBONI: I'm a little bit
17 lost because numbers keep dancing around us. Is it
18 five hundred (500)? Is it a thousand? Now you're
19 saying it's more than 1:1,000.

20 Don't we need to have some firmer ground
21 to make decisions and progress on this project?

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
23 to go back to the Developer.

24 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: Nathan Schmidt,
25 Mr. Chairman. To be clear, our criteria is as

1 presented this morning, 1:500 year flow, 2 metres of
2 anchor ice, to which there's no return period
3 associated. But, as I said, we have control. And we
4 also intend to mitigate, to some extent, by providing
5 that flood plain area and the unre -- constricted
6 areas, okay.

7 So, you know, the -- the criteria are as
8 presented. What we know though is that the -- the
9 actual probability is -- is quite a bit less than that.
10 Thank you.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Review
12 Board experts...?

13 DR. FRANCO OBONI: So, basically,
14 you're asking the Review Board to make a decision on a
15 criteria that accept a 5 percent probability in twenty-
16 five (25) years and 20 percent probability in a hundred
17 years, if I take your words as they came?

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. To the
19 Developer to the question. The answer will be "yes" or
20 "no".

21 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Sorry, I can't help
22 you with a "yes" or "no" on that one. It's not -- it's
23 not quite so easy. The -- the problem is that we -- we
24 can't divorce the Baker Creek part of the project from
25 the -- the rest of the project.

1 The project as a whole seeks to
2 stabilize the site by doing two (2) things. One (1) is
3 -- well, many things, but two (2) things we're talking
4 about here. One (1) is creating the frozen blocks, and
5 the other is creating this channel. They go hand in
6 hand.

7 I think the question has confused that a
8 little bit. We -- we agree the current situation is a
9 big problem. There is a risk of the flood now, and
10 that flood would have serious consequences. We agree
11 that's a big problem. But that's what we're trying to
12 fix.

13 At the end of the day, over the long
14 term, we'll have frozen blocks, and we'll have a creek
15 -- a creek channel in -- in the way that Nathan has
16 described. When we have the frozen blocks, we believe
17 the 1:500 criteria, the very conservative 1:500
18 criteria, is quite appropriate.

19 Once we have all that arsenic sealed up,
20 the failure of this creek, the flooding of this creek
21 won't be all that much different from the flooding of
22 any other creek, natural creek in the -- in the
23 surroundings.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. We
25 don't want to talk about divorce right now. So I'm

1 going to go back to the Review Board experts.

2 DR. FRANCO OBONI: I -- I will conclude
3 with a remark, which is not really a question. But if
4 I was to build one of these beams and this was a
5 temporary structure, temporary structure, I'm making a
6 parallel with the state of the project until the frozen
7 block is effected, and there was an accident and I was
8 in court as an engineer in front of a judge, and I was
9 to tell him that I accepted the 5 percent probability
10 of failure. I think I would go to jail.

11 How do you feel about that?

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
13 back to the Developer.

14 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: I'd be happy to
15 testify on your behalf if there was a reasonable basis
16 for your selection of that risk. Tha -- that's the
17 important thing. Of course, we could, in theory, build
18 everything for the maximum possible event. That's not
19 a good use of -- of the world's resources. We would --
20 we would have every natural creek channel in the world
21 excavated 200 metres wide so it didn't fail in the
22 flood. Nobody wants to do that.

23 Our job as engineers is to weigh the
24 risk and balance that against the design criteria. And
25 in the field of mine closure, this is exactly how it's

1 done. We look at the consequence of a failure, and
2 when the consequence of a failure is -- is very
3 significant, we recommend urgent action. When the
4 consequences of a failure is much, much, much reduced,
5 we are quite happy to deal with a criteria such as --
6 such as the one here.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
8 I'm going to go back to the Review Board experts.

9 DR. FRANCO OBONI: I'm fully aware that
10 risk management is a discipline which, by the way, I
11 practice every day, of selecting proper alternatives
12 and weighing probabilities and consequences. That's
13 the game of -- of risk.

14 In this particular case, looking at the
15 risk matrix that has been used, how values have been
16 selected, and so forth, I anticipate for tomorrow a
17 tough discussion on how well this risk assessment, and
18 the risk assessments that have been done to date,
19 capture the reality and the balance of things.

20 So I will refrain from going into a
21 back-and-forth tennis match right now, but I think that
22 tomorrow we will have one. Thank you very much.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
24 It's five (5) to 12:00. Mr. Donihee...?

25 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: John Donihee. Thank

1 you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Enns has some questions. I
2 have just two (2) quick clean-up questions that -- and
3 then you'll be done with counsel, sir.

4 The first one is in Mr. Hull's
5 presentation this morning, in respect of comments he
6 made in relation to slides 15 and 16, they related to
7 Reach -- the Reach 3 design. You'd -- that's -- yeah,
8 that's some of them. What he -- what I -- what he said
9 was that the design was about to be completed and that
10 once it was completed it would go to Public Works. And
11 then once Public Works was satisfied with the final
12 design it would be reviewed by DFO and Environment
13 Canada and then tendered before the end of the year.

14 And I'm just wondering about that --
15 that sequence. Is it the Developer's position that
16 they're going to be tendering for the actual
17 construction of these areas in Baker Creek before the
18 Review Board and Water Board are actually finished
19 their -- their processes?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
21 Donihee. I'm going to go to the Developer to the
22 question.

23 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
24 behalf of the project. No, that is not the intention.
25 I'll ask Mr. Hull to clarify the comments, but that is

1 not the intention of the project team at this time.

2 Not at this time, that's just simply not the case,
3 period.

4 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, may I have
5 clarification? I believe you were referring to the
6 sediment study for Baker Creek. The intent is that the
7 draft is now being finalized. That would go to DFO at
8 -- sorry, it would go to Public Works and AANDC for
9 final review. It would then be reviewed with DFO,
10 Environment Canada. At that time, when they've
11 finished their review, it would be finalized. And then
12 the report, which is a facts report, has no
13 recommendations in it, would then be delivered for
14 review or input from stakeholders. It -- it's the desi
15 -- that is a study report, not any construction or
16 contracting.

17 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Mr. Chair, the --
18 I think that clarifies the position. Thank you very
19 much.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
21 Mr. Donihee...?

22 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: John Donihee. Thank
23 you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the clarification.

24 Second quick question was just -- you
25 said that, where you had contaminated soils or surface

1 materials, that -- on site that they'd be excavated
2 down to a depth of 2 metres and there would be
3 replacement soils or cover put on.

4 I just wondered if you could tell us
5 where the -- what -- where the source of the -- the
6 clean soil is that you're going to cover up these areas
7 where the excavation came from? Is that from the site
8 itself or is it from someplace off site?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
10 Donihee. Developer...?

11 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Rudy Schmidtke.
12 The intent would be to keep whatever materials we could
13 utilize on site. I believe John had a brief slide on
14 borrow sources on the Giant Mine site. So, yes, the
15 plan right now is to -- is to obtain clear materials,
16 produce on site, and place as cover. Thank you.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
18 Donihee...?

19 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, sir.
20 John Donihee. My last question is a follow-up on
21 something Mr. O'Reilly asked about. Section 119 of the
22 Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act allows for
23 emergency actions which are not subject to Part 5 of
24 the Act to take place. And so -- several of them have
25 already been identified, one of which involves the

1 demolition of the roaster, as I understand it.

2 And, you know, I -- I guess the question
3 I have really is, given the length of time that you've
4 had to study the circumstances and -- and contamination
5 levels in -- at the site, you know, can -- and -- and I
6 realize that no one can -- can predict the future
7 completely. I am asking you about emergencies and if
8 you could predict them of course they wouldn't be
9 emergencies.

10 But given what you know about the site
11 right now, you know, are there any other facilities or
12 locations where the Developer would anticipate having a
13 requirement to have recourse to Section 119 of the
14 MVRMA, and to move ahead before the environmental
15 assessment process is completed?

16 So those are -- I'm asking about
17 additional sites where you might have to move in an
18 emergent way and do something that would essentially
19 take an element that's currently being considered in
20 the impact assessment out of it, so that you can solve
21 an emergency problem.

22 Are there any more of those situations
23 out there that you can advise the Board about?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
25 Donihee, to your question. To the Developer, to the

1 question.

2 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis on
3 behalf of the project team. I want to pref -- preface
4 this -- my -- my statement here. To our knowledge our
5 -- the fundamental reason why we're on the site right
6 now is for protection of human health and -- and
7 environmental safety. That -- that is why we're there.
8 And if you go back to the original scoping sessions,
9 that was the key message that was delivered by Bill
10 Mitchell (phonetic) at that time.

11 If an emergency is -- arises, the pro --
12 the governments will act to alleviate that concern.
13 This has happened with the Baker Creek removal of 2007,
14 and as also the potential dam failure on B2 Dam in
15 2007/'08.

16 To our knowledge right now, the two (2)
17 large risks on site that our engineers have addressed
18 have -- have identified to us is the roaster and the
19 underground. Those are our two (2) known large risks
20 at the si -- at this time.

21 That said, it was briefly mentioned by,
22 I believe, one of the gentlemen this morning that we
23 had a -- a minor opening cave-in of some material on
24 surface this sum -- this summer. It was up towards the
25 northwest tailings pond, and old timbers failed, and

1 some subsidence occurred.

2 The site is deteriorating and it
3 continues to deteriorate. And until we can implement
4 the remediation plan fully, it will not be -- it will
5 not be safe. It will be -- we will -- care -- we're
6 doing care and maintenance to maintain it, but things
7 happen, we will act.

8 But I think, first, your question has
9 been, Do we know of anything else? No, not at this
10 time. It is the roaster and the underground. Thank
11 you.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
13 Donihee...?

14 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Mr.
15 Chairman. Those are my questions. I'll turn the mic
16 over to Ms. Enns.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
18 We'll -- we'll take a lunch break. We'll take a half-
19 hour lunch break. We'll be back at 12:30. And we'll
20 continue on the questionings and then we'll go into the
21 presentations after that. Thank you.

22

23 --- Upon recessing at 12:01 p.m.

24 --- Upon resuming at 12:52 p.m.

25

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can I get everybody
2 back to the table? We can start.

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

5

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. It's now
7 eight (8) minutes to 1:00. We'll continue on the
8 public hearing. I know that we said we wanted to start
9 -- start at 12:30, but I'm -- well, we'll just have to
10 continue on. Before we broke for lunch, we were still
11 going through questions we have.

12 We're going on to continue with the
13 questioning from the Review Board experts, and we'll
14 con -- so I'll turn it over to them. Thank you.

15 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Thank you, Mr.
16 Chair. Katherine Enns. I see the room has thinned a
17 lot. And that's unfortunate, but that's -- that's
18 okay. I can deal with that, because I have the really
19 important group in front of me, which are the
20 engineers.

21 I'm a biologist and I've been practising
22 biology -- or, I guess you could call it biology -- for
23 thirty-five (35) -- almost thirty-five (35) years, and
24 a lot of it around smelter sites and contaminated sites
25 and in British Columbia and in the Yukon and in the

1 Northwest Territories and in Alberta.

2 And I -- I want to just briefly discuss
3 a little bit about the toxicity issues, and then I have
4 some questions. And -- and I've got some questions
5 regarding the air quality, the human use, and the
6 sediment characteristics.

7 So I just want to remind everyone that
8 although this may seem like it's a really interesting
9 engineering project which requires extremely careful
10 and precise engineering approaches, very unique and
11 creative engineering principles, it is actually really
12 about toxicology. It's really about not just arsenic
13 toxicology, but the toxicology of all of the other
14 substances.

15 So what I want to know -- and I -- I
16 appreciate the fact you mentioned earlier that you
17 don't have your sediment investigation ready to
18 present, that it has to go for review. But surely
19 after all these of working on this project, I would
20 like to know what you know about long-term loading of
21 sediments from Baker Creek to Yellowknife Bay and,
22 therefore, to Great Slave Lake.

23 What is really actually known about the
24 distribution of arsenic and all the other substances
25 emitted from this roaster site to soils, vegetation, a

1 non-soil surficial material, the redeposition of it,
2 what the concentrations are, how they load into the
3 creek, what the subsequent toxicology of those
4 substance are projected over the long term?

5 So can you a -- can you tell me, please:
6 What do you know about the concentrations of arsenic in
7 soils feeding into Baker Creek?

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
9 going to go to the Developer.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

13 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
14 Chair. There are several aspects. I'll try to really
15 focus this answer down to the one you asked about, the
16 contribution of soils to the arsenic loadings to Baker
17 Creek.

18 There was a -- a lot of work done on
19 this going back in the 2004, I believe, 2005 period.
20 It's covered within the -- one of the supporting
21 documents to the DAR in which load estimates were
22 derived from measurements of runoff from various
23 diffused sources across the site. And we spoke a
24 little bit yesterday about some of those loads going in
25 to Baker Creek. As I had mentioned, there's an

1 estimated 220 kilograms a year of loading coming off
2 the site, per se, to Baker Creek; another two hundred
3 and ninety (290) or so coming into Baker Creek from
4 upstream; and from drainage to -- to the west of the
5 site.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
7 back to the Review Board experts.

8 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Kat Enns again.
9 What do you know about the loadings from vegetation and
10 dust, air deposition, and their loadings and their
11 toxicological impacts to Baker Creek?

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
13 back to the Developer.

14 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Thank you, Mr.
15 Chair. Bruce Halbert.

16 The 2006 risk assessment report, which
17 is Supporting Document N1 to the DAR, Appendix B,
18 summarizes all the information that had been gathered
19 from various investigations by researchers, you know,
20 the site operators themselves over the years on
21 concentrations within vegetation species such as
22 berries, wildlife species that have been caught such as
23 birds, et cetera, from the Giant Mine site as well as
24 from around the local study area.

25 That information was all used as part of

1 the tox -- or the toxicity assessment for exposure to
2 ecological species either on the site or off site and
3 for people who could consume those products.

4 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Kat Enns again.
5 Given that those concentrations are used in models and
6 not actually compared to actual effects in the field,
7 would you think it is fair to say that there's a
8 certain degree of uncertainty regarding the fate and
9 impacts of the various different contaminants on
10 receptors in the general area around the mine site?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
12 to the Developer.

13 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Thank you, Mr.
14 Chair. Bruce Halbert. Yes, in any assessment, as you
15 know, there's always uncertainty. We've tried to
16 capture that within our overall assessments by doing
17 what we term "probablistic assessments". But
18 fundamentally, it's recognizing that there is a range
19 of values, and we assess over that range of values.

20 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Kat Enns again.
21 Now, I've heard it mentioned here that you -- you can't
22 divorce Baker Creek from the project. I'd like to know
23 if the creek is necessary for the disposal of
24 contaminants at the present time.

25 Is it -- is it considered -- is it -- is

1 it receiving loadings from the -- the -- the project
2 area?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
4 the Developer.

5 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Momentarily, Sir.
6 It's Adrian Paradis.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

10 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
11 Chair. I think I'd start out by making the point that
12 recent biological investigations on Baker Creek have
13 shown that it is a useful habitat. We do have, as I
14 mentioned previously yesterday, several species, fish
15 species, using in this system. Benthic organisms are
16 recovering -- recovering in that system. And while
17 there is a contaminant load certainly being transported
18 down through Baker Creek, it's not a habitat to be
19 ignored.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

21 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: With -- Katherine
22 Enns. With respect, do you believe that to be a
23 critical habitat?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
25 I'll go back to the Developer.

1 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.

2 Chair. I would say, yes, it is.

3 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns,
4 again. So you believe that Baker Creek is an actual
5 critical habitat to fish in the Yellowknife Bay?

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, I'll go to
7 the Developer.

8 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert. It
9 certainly is one of the contributing streams and serves
10 as a spawning area for several spe -- fish species,
11 including Arctic grayling. So I would say it is
12 certainly important for the system as a whole.

13 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns,
14 again. If -- I want to just ask one (1) more question,
15 and then I'm going to hand it over to -- to Dave Tyson,
16 who is a fisheries biologist. I've asked this question
17 before; I'm going to ask it again.

18 If you could design your best-engineered
19 project, with the least potential risk to the success
20 of the project from an engineering perspective, would
21 you divert Baker Creek away from its current flows and
22 manage the creek as a dry creek?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, I'll go
24 back to the Developer to the question.

25 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Momentarily, sir.

1 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Mr. Chairman, as
2 someone who, together with Bruce, has been on this
3 project for twelve (12) years, I can assure you we are
4 presenting the best project that -- that we can design
5 for the circumstances out there today. And it
6 certainly does include restoration of Baker Creek,
7 rather than destruction of Baker Creek or conversion to
8 some other purpose.

9 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns,
10 again. Okay, thank you very much for that -- for that
11 statement. And I understand that you may want to
12 fulfill an obligation to someone in the restoration of
13 this creek. Later on, I'm going to talk a little bit
14 about impacts and other management scenarios for creeks
15 that have contaminants in them to this degree. But I
16 guess I'm -- I'm not -- maybe I'm not getting it across
17 here.

18 I'm asking you to say, yes or no, if you
19 would consider the diversion of the creek if you
20 thought that that would be an improvement to the
21 success of your project, aside from all of the
22 biological ramifications that you have been presented
23 with.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
25 I'll go to the Developer to the question.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

3 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: No, the -- our --
4 Daryl Hockley. No, our -- our design for this project
5 would not include a -- a removal of Baker Creek. It
6 does not include a removal of Baker Creek. It's not in
7 the scope of the project that we've proposed, for --
8 for a good reason. We -- we believe we can make -- we
9 believe Baker Creek is a productive habitat and can be
10 made a better habitat. We believe it can be made
11 something of value to the community, and -- and we
12 think that's an essential part of -- of mine closure.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you state your
14 name again? Thank you.

15 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Sorry, Mr.
16 Chairman. That was Daryl Hockley.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Thank
18 you, I'll go back to the Review Board technical team.

19 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Thank you, I have
20 no further questions.

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

23

24 MR. DAVE TYSON: Dave Tyson. I've --
25 we've talked about, yesterday, about moving the treated

1 water discharge from Baker Creek out to a diffuser in
2 Yellowknife Bay.

3 And there were clearly stated water
4 quality objectives, particularly the mixing zone and
5 meeting CCME guidelines for the protection of aquatic
6 life. I didn't see the same objectives for Baker
7 Creek.

8 What I was able to get out of the DAR
9 was that arsenic concentrations in Baker Creek were
10 predicted to be over twenty (20) times the CCME
11 guidelines for the protection of aquatic life.

12 If Baker Creek is critical habitat, how
13 is this an adequate objective for protecting aquatic
14 life?

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
16 back to the Developer.

17 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
18 Chair. Yes, you're quite right. We expect that the
19 arsenic level in Baker Creek will be in the -- in the
20 range of -- of 100 micrograms per litre, at least for
21 some period of time.

22 As I mentioned previously, the inflow to
23 the -- coming into the site from upstream is running 20
24 to 60 micrograms per litre. That's certainly above the
25 cert -- CCME guideline of five (5). But don't forget

1 that guideline is designed to be protective of all
2 aquatic species and has a factor of safety built --
3 built into it.

4 So we can get into a debate about what's
5 an acceptable cri -- criteria. But from a toxicity
6 point of view, the level of arsenic in that system is
7 below toxicity effects for most species.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
9 I'll go back to the Review Board.

10 MR. DAVE TYSON: Dave Tyson. There --
11 you're correct, there's a -- a -- it's usually about a
12 factor of ten (10). What we're talking here is a
13 factor of twenty (20). And the most sensitive species
14 are the primary producers. Once you affect the primary
15 producers, you then affect the secondary producers,
16 which are essentially the fish food. So if there are
17 effects happening, you reduce the potential
18 productivity in that stream and the ability to support
19 fish.

20 So is it appropriate to construct what -
21 - fish habitat that's attractive to fish into what is
22 essentially degraded water quality?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
24 back to the Developer to the question.

25 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.

1 Chair. I think we should look at the field evidence,
2 and that is showing that the -- the system is
3 recovering. As I mentioned, we have several fish
4 species in that system now.

5 Reach 4 was remediated in 2006. It has
6 been a, if you will, a test system for what we can
7 expect to see in the future from -- from remediating
8 other parts of that system. It is showing good
9 results. And the fact that the arsenic level is -- is
10 going to decrease in the future as compared to what it
11 is today is encouraging for looking at recovery of that
12 system.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
14 I'll go back to the Review Board experts.

15 MR. DAVE TYSON: Dave Tyson. The --
16 the projections that we saw were to the year 2100. And
17 the arsenic concentrations were still projected to be
18 more than twenty (20) times CCME guidelines.

19 And what I'm hearing from you regarding
20 your observations in the creek -- in the creek, that
21 the CCME guidelines, for some reason, are not
22 applicable to this project?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
24 back to the Developer.

25 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.

1 Chair. I think the answer simply is that we're not
2 applying specific guidelines to Baker Creek. We're
3 looking at doing the best we can, as far as remediating
4 the site, to improve conditions. And I don't think
5 it's appropriate in this particular instance to look at
6 application of the CCME guidelines.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
8 back to Review Board experts.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

11

12 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns,
13 Chair. Okay, we will come back to this topic, as you
14 can probably imagine, when we hear the plans for
15 creation of acceptable habitat for fish by DFO later.

16 And I'll be talking a little bit then
17 about the concept of what's called, in the literature,
18 "attractive nuisance", but is actually really just a
19 way of saying that you don't want to create habitat
20 that will essentially harm species. It's not just
21 about fish. It's about all of the other animals and
22 creatures of the field and stream, including the
23 lichens and the berries and the -- the invertebrates,
24 which are almost absent from that environment, from
25 what I can tell.

1 So, I mean, in most parts of the world,
2 around smelter sites, when you have those kinds of
3 concentrations and that kind of gradient, most people
4 do not deliberately try to enhance habitat in order to
5 draw animals into it. That is just a typical
6 industrial way of doing things around smelter sites in
7 -- in the world. That's been my experience.

8 And I'm going to go on from here and ask
9 you another question regarding air quality. You have
10 only one (1) air quality monitoring site. Is that
11 correct?

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
13 to the Developer.

14 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
15 Chair. I think the site you're referring to is the one
16 operated by the GNWT and the City of Yellowknife, and
17 this is one (1) site, yes. We have several monitoring
18 stations on -- on the Giant Mine site to augment that
19 particular program.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
21 back to the Review Board experts.

22 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns.
23 You used the CALPUFF model to predict your
24 concentrations and durations of exposure to various
25 different substances during the remediation.

1 Are you planning on using any passive
2 monitoring to verify and calibrate the model output and
3 to check for any concentrations that may be exceeding
4 existing guidelines?

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
6 to the Developer.

7 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Thank you, Mr.
8 Chair. Bruce Halbert. As I indicated at the end of
9 the air -- air quality presentation, there is a
10 proposed monitoring program spe -- specific to the
11 Giant Mine project that will monitor air quality around
12 the perimeter of the -- of the site.

13 In addition, our expectation is that
14 we'll have specific monitoring activities developed in
15 relation to each of the remediation components, whether
16 that's the tailing areas, the demolition of the
17 roaster, whatever. There will be specific in close, if
18 you will, near-field monitoring activities undertaken
19 that are more realtime, such as optical observations.

20 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Thank you for
21 that. Katherine Enns. I've got some -- I've got a
22 question about multiple use and potential for
23 agriculture use on the site.

24 You are distinguishing the difference
25 between industrial levels and designation for potential

1 industrial use of the site from the gui -- guiding
2 statements made by John Hull in public record number
3 347, "Giant Remediation Presentation", where he says:

4 "No intended present or future use of
5 the site."

6 Given that statement, would you think
7 that it is more cautious to isolate that site from --
8 from any future potential use?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
10 to the Developer.

11 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian -- Adrian
12 Paradis on behalf of the Giant Mine project team. No.

13 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: No further
14 questions. Thank you.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

17

18 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Mr. Chair, it's Alan
19 Ehrlich for the Review Board. The Review Board
20 environmental assessment officer Shannon Hayden has a
21 couple of questions regarding some details dealing with
22 the -- the subject of surface remediation.

23 MS. SHANNON HAYDEN: Hello. It's
24 Shannon Hayden. I'm staff with the Review Board. My
25 first question is about the contaminated soils on site.

1 The -- the Developer's assessment report
2 indicates that there is 960,000 cubic metres of
3 contaminated soil. It goes onto say that about 317,000
4 cubic metres will be dedicated to disposal in the
5 tailings ponds; 58,000 cubic metres in the B1 Pit;
6 75,000 cubic metres in the landfill; and about 3,000
7 cubic metres will be land farmed on site. That leaves
8 about 560,000 cubic metres of contaminated soil -- soil
9 require -- requiring on-site disposal.

10 So I'm wondering if you can address the
11 plans for tho -- that over half million. Thanks.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
13 to the Developer.

14 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Rudy Schmidtke.
15 The -- the volumes that you've quoted, they're
16 estimates right now. The intent would be to fill up
17 the B1 Pit, and also to provide some intermediate fill
18 for the landfill, which we don't have a very good
19 volume on right now. We don't know how large it's
20 going to be. We've made some estimates.

21 The remainder of that material will be
22 utilized -- and this is, I think, to answer a question
23 from Mr. Ehrlich and the Board, on the geotextile
24 issue. Much of that material can be placed into the
25 tailings area to increase trafficability.

1 The geotextile is there to offer some
2 stability and allow material to move together, not rut,
3 et cetera. So any material that would be left over
4 would be placed into the tailings area to facilitate
5 construction and equipment movement so the cover can be
6 placed on top.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
8 back to the Review Board staff.

9 MS. SHANNON HAYDEN: Okay, thank you.
10 Shannon, with the Review Board again. One (1) more
11 question. Water treatment will be conducted
12 indefinitely, which will continue to produce a sludge.
13 The project design is to dispose of the sludge in an
14 on-site landfill. There's a couple of questions to
15 follow.

16 Do you have an estimate for the amount
17 of sludge that will pre -- will be produced over the
18 life of the project? Is there sufficient capacity in
19 the current design for the life of the project, or will
20 additional landfill eventually be required? Will --
21 where -- if so, where will it be located, and for how
22 long will the sludges and the cells be exposed prior to
23 being covered?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
25 I'll go to the Developer.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

3 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Rudy Schmidtke.

4 There were a lot of questions in that one (1) question.

5 We have provided the estimates of the sludge in a

6 response. I can't quote that right now. I can't

7 remember. I don't want to guess.

8 Six point three (6.3) cubic metres per

9 day. Thank you. The -- the sludge would be placed

10 into a dedicated cell within the on-site landfill. As

11 I mentioned before, it's classed non-hazardous. The

12 volume of sludge will be reduced. If you recall, I

13 think, in the presentation that we gave yesterday, that

14 we plan and expect to treat a lot less water as the

15 project is implemented.

16 There will have to be, likely,

17 additional landfill cells placed, and we believe we

18 have sufficient room for that. From now to infinity, I

19 don't know. But we do have an allowance for other

20 technologies in the water treatment plant which

21 potentially could deal with that.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. Is

23 there a follow-up question?

24 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Mr. Chair, a very

25 brief follow-up question, if -- if I may? It's Alan

1 Ehrlich, with the Review Board.

2 Just getting back to that tailings
3 cover, I -- I assume there's some sort of subsidence
4 and settling once that cover is in place, because
5 you've got two (2) different kinds of covers and maybe
6 a membrane between them.

7 To the best of your knowledge, how long
8 will it be before settling is completed and that
9 tailings cover is stable?

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
11 to the Developer.

12 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.
13 The anticipated movement of the material for the
14 tailings for regrading, the -- the -- the tailings is
15 fairly free draining, that we would anticipated a year
16 to two (2) years to get the bulk of the settlement out
17 of it, which would address the question.

18 There would be long-term, ongoing
19 settlement, but it would be uniform and sh -- would not
20 or should not require regrading. There's always an
21 opportunity, if there are local areas that settle a --
22 a little more than anticipated, that a minor regrading
23 with new material to -- to reestablish drainage paths
24 would be address when required.

25 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you, Mr.

1 Chair. There are no further questions from Review
2 Board staff, experts, or counsel.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
4 to go to Board member Danny Bayha.

5 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
6 I guess earlier a question from Shannon about the 6.3
7 cubic metres per day; obviously, if you tell that to
8 somebody on the street, say, What the heck is that? So
9 can you put that in context, how much that might be in
10 terms of truckloads, shovel loads? I don't know.

11 I mean, you know, part of the issue here
12 is we need to have the communication very straight so
13 people understand what the heck you're talking about.
14 Thank you.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
16 to the Developer.

17 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Rudy Schmidtke.
18 Six point three (6.3) metres is less than a dump truck.
19 Thank you.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Danny
21 Bayha...?

22 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you. And over
23 so many years, I guess that would accumulate. But,
24 anyways, that's -- I just wanted to get an
25 understanding of how much that might be for the average

1 person on the street. Thank you.

2 Moving on, I was thinking about the
3 amount of -- everybody talks about the -- the -- the
4 stopes and how much is in there; 237,000 tonnes always
5 comes up.

6 But the surface arsenic contamination
7 that's there, can you give an approximate idea how much
8 is on the surface that you have to deal with in terms
9 of tailings, and can you give us an idea how much we're
10 dealing with? Thank you.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: To the -- to the
12 Developer.

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14
15 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, may I have
16 clarification on the question? Is he -- the gentleman
17 asking for the area of the tailings or the volume of
18 the tailings that would be remediated?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Bayha...?

20 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you, Mr.
21 Chair. Yeah, the volume. And -- and if you can put it
22 in terms of, like, when you guys try to explain in
23 context the -- the amount of arsenic underground to a
24 building that people can understand, can relate to, in
25 the City of Yellowknife, that was helpful.

1 So I would again ask if you can --
2 whatever is on there that we could illustrate to the
3 public how much that might be, in terms of baseball
4 fields, football fields, truck loads -- like dump truck
5 loads you said earlier. So it would be helpful. Thank
6 you.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
8 I'll go to the Developer.

9 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
10 behalf of the project team. Typically during our --
11 any site tour that we do on site, we roughly equate the
12 surface volume -- or, not volume -- surface area to
13 approximately three hundred (300) football fields.
14 I've never actually sat down to figure if that's CFL or
15 NFL standard size, but it's approximately three hundred
16 (300) football fields.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you give me a
18 recalculation with that for American? I'm just
19 kidding. Mr. Bayha...?

20 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Okay. So that --
21 that'll be involving surface area. I guess that'll
22 cover that. And in terms of depth, let's say, is it 2
23 feet, 2 inches, 60 metres?

24 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
25 behalf of the project team. The depths vary across the

1 site. Are we -- a moment here. I think we actually
2 maybe have -- I can give you some actual specific
3 depths here. I think the team is looking for it.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

6

7 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Well, they're --
8 they're actually trying to do the calculations right
9 now behind me. Tailings -- historically, the tailings
10 were put into smaller ponds -- ponds or lakes across
11 the site. So some of the depths actually vary between
12 somewhere between 40 to 80 feet, depending upon where
13 you are on this site. It -- it does vary based on the
14 natural contours of the land.

15 If you're interested in specific
16 volumes, if you give us a moment, I can actually try
17 and give you something specific here in a few minutes.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. Mr.
19 Bayha...?

20 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Yeah. Maybe once you
21 work it out, maybe it will be good later on in your
22 presentations, you could briefly mention it. That will
23 be helpful I think.

24 I asked you earlier, going back to your
25 presentation this morning, I'm just sort of curious. A

1 -- again, it's about communication. It's about
2 dialogue. It's about trying to get people to
3 understand what you're talking about when they have the
4 presentations.

5 And it wasn't very clear on -- on slide
6 15 and, I think it's, 18, where the remediation issues,
7 if you will, sort of says on one (1) slide -- it says
8 that it -- it eliminates flood risk. And then another
9 slide you have -- yeah, see, it says remediation
10 eliminates flood risks and then you go to another
11 slide, it says reduces flood risk.

12 So I -- I guess we need to sort of -- I
13 guess from certainty point of view for -- for us, for
14 myself, for the Board, we need to have an idea if it
15 eliminates or reduces or reduces significantly to the
16 point of where it might as well not be there. The
17 risk, I'm talking about. So it would be nice to have -
18 - I guess, what is it? Is it eliminates or reduces?

19 And if we can, in the future when we
20 have discussion and presentation, I would ask that we
21 have respect for -- for our -- our translators and when
22 we talk about terms -- engineering terms as well, that
23 we be very plain language and explain the -- some of
24 the concepts that you guys are trying to get across.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

1 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
2 behalf of the project team. I'll -- I'll first try and
3 address some of your concerns and comments there, and
4 then I'll ask to pass them over to -- it would be
5 Nathan or Rudy who would speak to us on the
6 eliminations or reductions.

7 Yes. Technical terms with the
8 translators are one (1) of our challenges from a
9 communications standpoint. It is one of the -- one of
10 the things that, actually, during the course of this
11 hearing on -- just this afternoon, I was sitting back
12 with translators, trying to go over some of the terms
13 to break them down into something easily
14 understandable. What is the roasters? I think it is
15 the best discussed as maybe the building that cooked
16 the -- the ore.

17 So I -- I -- I understand. It is -- it
18 is -- it's a challenge that we have and that we
19 continue to face and we can always improve upon. With
20 that, I think I'll try and ask that the folks at the
21 end of the table here pick up the next half to discuss,
22 "reduce" or "eliminate" on flooding risks on relation
23 to this slide.

24

25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1
2 MR. NATHAN SCHMIDT: Nathan Schmidt,
3 Mr. Chairman. Yeah, it eliminates -- it -- it looks a
4 little unusual there. That really is in the context of
5 Reach 3 and the proximity to C1 Pit. The area between
6 the pit and the -- the stream in that reach is actually
7 quite elevated, and so essentially what it means is if
8 you -- if you had -- you know, the -- a flood exceeding
9 the probable maximum flood, it would spill somewhere
10 else instead of here. So it's essentially eliminating
11 it at -- at Reach 3.

12 So it's accurate at this specific part
13 of the creek and then reduces, you know, the risk in
14 the -- for the overall project. Thank you.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Danny
16 Bayha...?

17 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18 Yeah, that -- yeah, that's a little bit more better --
19 a clearer understanding. So I would appreciate that --
20 I mean, it would be helpful -- helpful every -- to
21 everybody. So again it goes back to the fact of
22 communication and your ideas.

23 And it's -- it's -- I -- I agree that
24 sometimes it's tough to -- to communicate technical
25 terms and technical concepts to the average person that

1 speaks English, but even tougher for -- for folks that
2 have to translate it to a different culture.

3 So I -- I would ask that that be kept in
4 mind as -- as well, and I appreciate your answers.
5 Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
7 Rachel Crapeau...?

8 MS. RACHEL CRAPEAU: Mahsi cho, Mr.
9 Chair. No questions.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Richard
11 Mercredi...?

12 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: Yeah, I just had
13 a couple of questions. One -- one is on the -- the B1
14 site, the pit where you're disposing of the tailings,
15 as well as waste rock and then capping it.

16 Is there any chance that water would
17 seep through there and back into the mine and then
18 migrate to Great Slave Lake?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
20 to the Developer.

21 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
22 behalf of the project team. I'll ask that John Hull
23 pick this question up and respond. Thank you.

24 MR. JOHN HULL: Mr. Chair, John Hull.
25 The B1 Pit, a lar -- large portion of it --

1 specifically, that portion connected to the arsenic
2 chambers and the underground -- would be frozen with
3 the frozen blocks. The water would, as Daryl
4 identified, if it did come close to it, to the frozen
5 blocks, it would freeze so that the water would not
6 enter the pit.

7 The second point, sir, the water level
8 in the mine will still be maintained below the base of
9 the -- the chambers, even when there's -- they're
10 frozen. That level is below the level of Great Slave
11 Lake, so that water would still report to the mine,
12 would be pumped and then go through the water treatment
13 plant so that it wouldn't go into the lake without
14 treatment.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
16 Richard Mercredi...?

17 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: My second
18 question is on the Baker Creek, creating fish habitat.
19 Would the fish be edible that go up into Baker Creek
20 and return?

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
22 to the Developers.

23 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
24 Chair. Basically the determination of whether fish are
25 safe to eat or not is a -- is a public health

1 determination, so not something that we would do as
2 risk assessors.

3 But the expectation is that the arsenic
4 levels might -- and depending on the residency time of
5 the fish -- be higher than they might -- than they
6 would be from Yellowknife Bay or Great Slave Lake. So
7 fundamentally, it wouldn't be probably recommended that
8 one would go in there and fish.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. Any
10 further questions, Richard Mercredi?

11 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: Just one (1)
12 last, I guess, comment. I guess it seems kind of
13 strange to create fish habitat when you can't eat the
14 fish. It seem like to me it would make more sense to
15 block the river off and create fish habitat somewhere
16 else in lieu of the contaminated water coming out of
17 Baker Creek. Thank you.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you for -
19 - for the comment. I'm going to go to James Wah-shee.

20 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
21 Chairman. I do have a question in regards to Baker
22 Creek. On the upstream of Baker Creek before it flows
23 into the contaminated site upstream, am I correct in
24 assuming that the Baker Creek upstream would not have
25 contamination prior to flowing into the contaminated

1 area of the Giant Mine project?

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm going
3 to go to the Developer.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

6

7 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Thank you, Mr.
8 Chair. Bruce Halbert. The -- the water flowing down
9 Baker Creek upstream of the Giant Mine site, so out of
10 Martin Lake and -- and in that intermediate section
11 connecting it to the downstream receiver, does
12 contained elevated arsenic levels.

13 Those levels are running in the order of
14 20 to 60 micrograms per litre, as I've previously
15 indicated. Very likely that is, at least in part, due
16 to a aerial deposition that occurred way back when,
17 when the facility originally was operated.

18 That watershed area is approximately 125
19 square kilometres compared to the reach downstream. It
20 is a productive system up -- upstream as -- as well.
21 And just to add to, I guess, my comment that I made on
22 the -- on the previous response is that our expectation
23 is that, over time, water quality within Baker Creek
24 will improve.

25 There would be ongoing fish sampling, a

1 submissions of samples for chemical testing and
2 provided to the contaminants group, with Health Canada
3 -- Canada and GNWT involved in that, and that, at some
4 point in time, they would make that determination, that
5 the fish indeed are safe to eat from that system.

6 So I hope I've clarified both -- both
7 questions.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Wah-
9 shee...?

10 MR. WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11 Just based on your conclusion that you've just made,
12 that we assume that the fish will be healthy and that
13 it can be consumed, is that my understanding?

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
15 to the Developer.

16 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
17 Chair. That will be very much in part dependent upon
18 the fish species we're looking at. For example, Arctic
19 grayling move into that system mid-May, and they're
20 back out of that system by mid-June, typically. So
21 they're a very short-time resident of the -- of the
22 system and spend most of their time within Great Slave
23 Lake. And that's typical of a lot of species, so.

24 There are some species that do over-
25 winter in the system, but -- and, typically, they're

1 migrating in and migrating out of the -- of the
2 downstream reach.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Wah-shee...?

4 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
5 Chairman. In that case, okay, let's just go with your
6 scenario here, that the fish habitat that the project
7 is trying to improve in two (2) areas, I believe, so
8 you're going to get fish coming in from Great Slave
9 Lake going into Baker Creek, spawn. And then the --
10 the fish would go back to Great Slave Lake.

11 Is that correct?

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. And to
13 the Developer.

14 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
15 Chair. That is correct. Species such as Arctic
16 grayling are -- are in for a short period of time and
17 they migrate out. They're -- the eggs, if you will,
18 when they hatch, they nurse there for a period of time.
19 And then they move out into Great Slave Lake as well.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Wah-shee...?

21 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
22 Chairman. So, therefore, the -- the fish that goes
23 upstream in Baker Creek spawn and they go back to Great
24 Slave Lake. Particularly, the fish that would spawn in
25 the Baker Creek would be contaminated as compared to

1 other fish from Great Slave Lake that may spawn into --
2 entering into other creeks other than Baker Creek.

3 In other words my -- my question is
4 here, is that the fish that spawn in Baker Creek and
5 return to Great Slave Lake would be mixing with other
6 healthy species that do not enter a contaminated site.

7 Is that correct?

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
9 going to go to the Developer.

10 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
11 Chair. It just struck me when you were asking that
12 question, there's another point of clarification I
13 probably should make. And that is that Baker Creek,
14 upstream of the Giant Mine Site, per se, has got
15 waterfalls in it. So fish are restricted in their --
16 in their migration route. Those that are upstream
17 typically wouldn't migrate downstream, "upstream" being
18 Bak -- Martin Lake and up.

19 Fortunately, arsenic doesn't accumulate
20 to a high level in -- typically within fish species,
21 and they will adjust over time to their new
22 surrounding. So even if a fish is in, let's say, Baker
23 Creek for a month and is exposed to higher levels of
24 arsenic than it would be back in Great Slave Lake it
25 will adjust -- it -- the body burden, if you will,

1 adjust as a -- over time as it migrates.

2 So it's not a -- not just the fish are -
3 - you used the word as "healthy". We're not talking
4 about a health issue with respect to fish. We're
5 talking about arsenic levels within the fish tissue
6 itself and what that means to other specifiers who eat
7 the fish, which is our -- ourselves.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
9 Mr. Wah-shee...?

10 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
11 Chairman. Just to change my question here, in your
12 presentation you made reference to 3 megawatts that
13 would be required. I would assume that the requirement
14 would be for your treatment plant to operate.

15 Am I correct?

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
17 to the Developer.

18 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Momentarily, I'll
19 -- do you remember what slide? It's Adrian Paradis,
20 for the record.

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

23

24 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Bruce Halbert, Mr.
25 Chair. The 3 megawatts of power requirement shown here

1 on this slide is primarily for the freeze plant
2 operation. That's a fairly short duration, if you
3 will. It's not over the life of the project. It's
4 just during the installation of the freeze system and
5 getting the -- the blocks in place.

6 The ongoing power requirements for
7 operation of the treatment plant in the long term, I'll
8 ask Rudy or John to comment on that.

9 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: I'm waiting for
10 you, Mr. Chair, because I don't know the answer to
11 that. I can get back to you. Bob Boone had to step
12 out, and I can give you that answer by the end of the
13 week.

14 It -- it's much smaller than 3
15 megawatts, yes. Thank you.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you provide that
17 information today or tomorrow?

18 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: I will attempt to
19 provide it today, yes.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.
21 Mr. Wah-shee...?

22 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
23 Chairman. Regarding your treatment plant, is your
24 treatment planned -- plant required to run on a
25 continuous basis?

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

2 Developer...?

3 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Mr. Chair, the
4 plant is -- is planned to run for twenty-four (24)
5 hours a day. Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Wah-
7 shee...?

8 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Mr. Chairman,
9 thank you. Have you made any plans for power outages
10 that happens in Yellowknife only on an occasional
11 basis?

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The
13 Developer...?

14 MR. RUDY SCHMIDTKE: Rudy Schmidtke. I
15 think the power is on. Yes, we have a backup generator
16 planned for the water treatment plant.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. Mr.
18 Wah-shee...?

19 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
20 Chairman. That's all I have. Thank you. Thank you
21 for your...

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I want to
23 go to Percy Hardisty.

24 MR. PERCY HARDISTY: Mahsi, Mr. Chair.
25 I don't have any questions at the moment.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
2 going to go to Board member John Curran.

3 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Thank you, Mr.
4 Chairman. A question for the Developer. Looking at
5 the total project cost, how much of that could you
6 attribute to work to be done on Baker Creek?

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
8 to the Developer.

9 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Momentarily, sir.
10 Adrian Paradis, for the record.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

13

14 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr.
15 Chair. We would like to get back to you on that, just
16 because we want to get that -- it's -- it's not a --
17 it's not a big number. But anyway, we want to get back
18 to you on that. Thank you. Mike Nahir.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Can you either
20 today or tomorrow, if you get that information to us?

21 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Yeah. We -- we can
22 commit to that.

23

24 --- COMMITMENT NO. 5: Developer to provide
25 information regarding how

1 much of the total project
2 cost could be attributed to
3 work to be done on Baker
4 Creek

5

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
7 Curran...?

8 MR. JOHN CURRAN: All right. I would
9 like to come back to my question that would follow that
10 one then later when we have that number, but I'll --
11 I'll change gears right now then. Maybe I'll hold off.

12 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Sorry. Everybody's
13 coming at me, so just -- I'm good now, thanks.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, go ahead, Mr.
15 Curran.

16 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Sorry, I thought may
17 -- we might have a number there. On day 1, I'd asked
18 you what the biggest threat to the frozen blocks was,
19 and the answer was that it's a series of things, that
20 it's the government failing and a flood happening -- or
21 government failing, loss of power, a number of steps
22 down the line.

23 And I think that they basically all end
24 with: And water hits the arsenic and carries it away.
25 Is that a fair statement?

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
2 to the Developer.

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

5

6 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Mike Nahir. Thank
7 you, Mr. Chair. Yes, and -- and the water would come
8 in contact with the arsenic, the arsenic would dissolve
9 and then move out into the system. Thank you.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. John
11 Curran...?

12 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Given that fact, it
13 feels like there's a disconnect in my mind. We have
14 two (2) divergent issues here. We have the immediate
15 health concern that the remediation project is
16 attempting to resolve, and we have the long-term
17 storage of the arsenic, which puts the creek, in my
18 mind, in -- right now, and maybe you can help clarify
19 this for me, but it -- it feels like the creek is in
20 conflict with the frozen blocks of arsenic.

21 If you had the opportunity to adjust
22 course, would you rather change the time scale on your
23 project or the location of the creek? In other words,
24 would this be a one hundred (100) year storage of
25 arsenic so that we can find the better method, or is

1 this a perpetual care site with a creek somewhere else?

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
3 to the Developer.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

6

7 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Mr. Chair, just one
8 (1) second, please. Mike Nahir.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

11

12 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: The -- the
13 question, if I could parapr -- Daryl Hockley speaking.
14 If I could paraphrase the question, it's: Would it be
15 a good idea to move Baker Creek somewhere else? Is
16 that rough -- roughly...

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
18 Curran...?

19 MR. JOHN CURRAN: If you plan to keep
20 the arsenic there forever, would it be a good idea to
21 move Baker Creek somewhere else? Or if we're going to
22 leave Baker Creek there, would it be a good idea to
23 commit to move the arsenic somewhere else?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
25 to the Developer.

1 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Daryl Hockley.

2 Thanks, that's -- there -- there's a -- a few things I
3 think that are being -- being missed in this whole line
4 -- line of questions. I can see now the -- I
5 understand some of the other questions. I think
6 there's a few things that are being missed in it.

7 The first, Mr. Halbert explained to Mr.
8 -- Mr. Wah-shee's question that there is contamination
9 upstream of the mine. In other words, before the water
10 ever gets to the mine, it's contaminated with arsenic.
11 So if we take that creek and put it somewhere else,
12 we're putting that arsenic contamination somewhere
13 else.

14 We have -- we haven't changed -- we
15 haven't made the creek clean. It's -- it's
16 contaminated coming into the site, and it's still going
17 to be contaminated somewhere else. And it will
18 contaminate a new set of sediments and wetlands and
19 anything else it impacts on the way to wherever it's
20 going. Okay.

21 The -- the second -- the second, I
22 think, misunderstanding is that just by moving the
23 creek, we haven't prevented the mine from flooding.
24 We've prevented the -- the fastest way to flood the
25 mine, which is if the creek jumps its banks.

1 But any failure of the groundwater
2 system, the groundwater management system, over time
3 would also flood the mine. The -- the natural
4 groundwater level in that area is -- is well above most
5 of the chambers. Not all of them, but well above most
6 of the chambers.

7 So the natural groundwater alone can --
8 can flood that mine. So I -- I think maybe you can see
9 where I'm going here. The -- the benefits of moving
10 the creek aren't as great as -- as you -- as one might
11 think, and the reduction in risk isn't as great as one
12 might think.

13 That, in a nutshell, I think, is -- is
14 why, after twelve (12) years of -- of working on this
15 project, we have the project that's put before you
16 today. It -- it does represent what we consider to be
17 the -- the best -- the best proposal for managing risks
18 over the very long term.

19 If we knew that another method was going
20 to come along in -- in one hundred (100) years or fifty
21 (50) years, we might well consider other temporary
22 measures. But we really don't know that.

23 So as engineers, it would be dishonest
24 for us to give you a plan that holds out the hope of
25 something totally different ten (10) years down the

1 road. We think we have to give you a plan that will
2 last over the long term, and that's the plan that we've
3 put on the table now.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
5 Mr. Curran...?

6 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Thank you, Mr.
7 Chairman. Could I just ask that the -- the Developer
8 restate the commitments? I know there's one on a -- a
9 ten (10) year review of technology.

10 Are there any others along those same
11 lines that we should get on the record right now in
12 relation to the questions I'd asked?

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
14 I'll go to the Developer.

15 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Daryl Hockley
16 again. Yes, thanks. The -- there is a -- the ten (10)
17 year review, and then there's a more thorough review on
18 -- on occasions, although the -- the -- ten (10) year
19 reviews are probably the most important.

20 I -- I would -- based on my experience,
21 I would think things are going to change kind of ten
22 (10) year time scales. By the time a method -- by the
23 time a scientist dreams up a method and the time he
24 gets it to -- to fruition, I'm -- it's not something
25 that happens in six (6) months. I would say those ten

1 (10) year ones are -- are the ones that people should
2 really focus on.

3 We may, in the documents, have a hundred
4 year or something. I'm not sure. But I would put --
5 if I was a concerned citizen, I would put my interest
6 in those ten (10) year ones, I guess, going forward.

7 Yeah. And just, by the way, although we
8 feel it's our -- the only honest thing, as engineers,
9 to put forward something that will last as long as we
10 need it, we also hope that there will be other options
11 sometime in the future. So -- so we are quite -- make
12 no mistake about it. We are quite enthusiastic about
13 these periodic reviews too. We just think it's our job
14 as a developer to give you something that doesn't hold
15 out the hope that maybe we may not be able to fulfill
16 ten (10) years or a hundred years in the future.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. John
18 Curran...?

19 MR. JOHN CURRAN: At -- at the ten (10)
20 year review stage, are we mainly just looking at
21 methods of handling arsenic and storing arsenic, or are
22 we getting into new thermosyphons, new water treatment
23 plants? How -- what technology exactly are we
24 reviewing at the ten (10) year mark?

25 And while the -- the ten (10) year

1 anniversary may be more important in your mind, perhaps
2 you could tell us how the hundred-year review is more
3 thorough and what makes it more thorough.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
5 to the Developer.

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

8

9 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr.
10 Chair. It's Mike Nahir. We haven't fully scoped out
11 what those reviews would look like. We were -- and
12 there's going to be more discussion about that
13 tomorrow, and so we want to have that more full
14 conversation.

15 But in short, we were going to -- our
16 intention was to work with interested parties to
17 develop that kind of level of review. It will be
18 methods and means, so both -- both how -- you know,
19 both -- different ways of doing it as well as
20 improvements on the existing system perhaps, or changes
21 that are appropriate at that -- based on very thorough
22 review of what would make sense at that time. But more
23 to follow. Thank you.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: John Curran...?

25 MR. JOHN CURRAN: All right. Not to

1 belabour the point then, but I just want to make sure I
2 completely understand there. You'd be looking at
3 performance of the system that you have in place every
4 ten (10) years with representatives from the interested
5 parties and the community at large. You'd be paying
6 for those reviews, I guess, then.

7 And yet the other parties and the
8 community would have input on what's to be reviewed?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
10 back to the Developer.

11 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr.
12 Chair. Mike Nahir. That those -- in short, those
13 statements are correct. Thank you.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Curran...?

15 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Thank you, Mr.
16 Chairman. Nothing further at this time.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: For the record, from
18 11 o'clock last night. Thank you.

19 Okay. Thank you for that presentation
20 and all the questions from this morning and this
21 afternoon. We'll go into the presentations on surface
22 remediation, from YKDFN. They've got thirty (30)
23 minutes; Alternatives North, fifteen (15); and North
24 Slave Metis, five (5).

25

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: While -- while
4 they're setting up, maybe Adrian can respond to a
5 question before by Danny Bayha.

6 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis, on
7 behalf of the project team. Mr. Bayha had asked about
8 total tailings volumes, everything else, kind of giving
9 an idea of the rough approximation about surface,
10 volume, and area. There's 13.5 million tonnes total.
11 This is a -- this is spread across four (4) tailings
12 areas, 95 hectares, or three hundred (300) football
13 fields.

14 The average depth of the tailings ranges
15 from 30 to 45 feet, with the max up --

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Adrian, can you hang
17 on one second, please?

18 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Please.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask the people
20 in the background to -- we can't hear what's going on
21 here. So if you want to talk, you may have to step
22 outside. Continue on, Adrian.

23 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Okay, I -- I will
24 start again from the top, just so that the transcripts
25 colle -- capture it all, Mr. Chair.

1 To follow up mi -- Mr. Bayha's question,
2 there's 13.5 million tonnes -- million tonnes of
3 tailings on site across 95 hectares, or approximately
4 three hundred (300) football fields. The average depth
5 ranges from 10 to 15 metres, or 30 to 45 feet, with a
6 max depth of 22 metres, or 66 feet, in the central
7 pond. Thank you.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Danny
9 Bayha...?

10 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you. That --
11 that's helpful. Thank you.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mahsi, Mr. Bayha.
13 And we're going to continue on now. We got thirty (30)
14 minutes for YKDFN on their presentation, starting now.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

17

18 POSITION PRESENTATION BY YKDFN - SURFACE REMEDIATION:

19 MR. RANDY FREEMAN: Press the button.
20 Go ahead. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

21 Today we're here to discuss issues
22 associated with surface remediation and with Baker
23 Creek. But I'd like to start by returning the
24 attention of the Board to what Chief Sangris stated on
25 Monday.

1 The prerequisites for the Yellowknives
2 Dene to consider this project acceptable have not been
3 met by this remediation plan. We want to reiterate --
4 reiterate that this plan does not address the
5 fundamental concerns of the Yellowknives Dene.

6 Chief Sangris stated that the -- that
7 land must be made clean and productive, the berries and
8 plants must be safe, and the watershed and the waters
9 of Baker Creek must be remediated so that the fish that
10 use this area to live and spawn, and then end up in our
11 nets, are not exposed to the legacy of this mine.

12 Yellowknives Dene First Nation want the
13 arsenic to be removed, the hazard mitigated, and the
14 land made safe. Simply freezing arsenic is not a
15 viable alternative for -- for perpetuity. Capping the
16 tailings and forgetting about the threat and the
17 answers to our concerns about arsenic that will remain
18 in the discharged water are not acceptable.

19 Research and technology -- and I can't
20 emphasize this strongly enough. Research and
21 technology must be a focus of the environmental -- of
22 this environmental assessment's recommendations and of
23 any future plans for the project. Yellowknives Dene
24 will never stop wanting these measures.

25 This is a matter of trust. When we hear

1 statements like, We're developing this information, or,
2 It's subject to further concerns, or -- or that the
3 project is exploring the issues or that research is
4 required, what we hear is that our concerns are not and
5 will not be addressed.

6 If there are no ironclad measures to
7 address our concerns -- and -- and from last night's
8 sessions, these are obviously the concerns of many
9 people in Yellowknife. If there are no ironclad
10 measures to address everyone's concerns, and based on
11 the Proponent's responses to our concerns to date and
12 the lack of good faith consultation, Yellowknives Dene
13 First Nation can only assume that there will be no mit
14 -- accommodations and that the threat that is Giant
15 Mine will remain well into the future.

16 With that said, I would like to turn it
17 over to Todd Slack, our regulatory specialist, to say a
18 few words. And -- and then he will be followed by
19 Michele Paper and Isadore Tsetta.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

22

23 MR. TODD SLACK: Thanks, Randy. Hi,
24 Mr. Chair, and Board member. My name is Todd Slack,
25 and it's a pleasure to address you once again.

1 I'm not going to speak to the specific -
2 - specifics of the remediation plan put forward by
3 AANDC, because they've got some whip-smart people over
4 there, and we have a certain amount of faith in the
5 position that they've adopted.

6 However, this position was not based on
7 objectives or goals as defined by the Yellowknives
8 Dene, and this is part of the overriding problem.
9 Right from the get-go, there was a disconnect between
10 what they decided to do and what the Yellowknives
11 wanted to see.

12 However, through this process we've
13 tried to work with the project time and time again. We
14 want to believe in the project, and we've tried to make
15 it acceptable and put measures forward that will turn
16 this into a success. We've looked for things that will
17 provide comfort to the concerns of the Yellowknives.

18 However, these assurances have not been
19 forthcoming, and thus we're forced to turn these --
20 turn these issues over to the Board rather than
21 arriving at a -- sorry, excuse me -- rather than
22 arriving at a collaborative endpoint.

23 Now, I'm going to use the same topics
24 that they used on their second slide, I believe, and I
25 -- I'm going to discuss them individually. But I just

1 want to remind the Board members that these are issues
2 of the whole, that they need to be considered in a
3 cumulative manner.

4 First I'm going to talk about Baker
5 Creek. Now, we heard a lot today about this -- this
6 creek, including that the national guidelines developed
7 by the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
8 don't apply to this project.

9 What I want to point out is that this
10 project has been in the government's hands for thirteen
11 (13) years, and we still don't have a plan. They
12 haven't finished that sediment survey, and they have
13 not advanced their vision of what this site's going to
14 look like -- what this part of the site is going to
15 look like.

16 Their objective was -- and this is on
17 page 2 of the DAR, I believe -- was to en -- ensure
18 that Baker Creek was restored to as productive a
19 condition as possible. This is one (1) of five (5)
20 overarching goals, and we talked about this earlier.
21 It seems clear to the Yellowknives that despite this
22 being one (1) of the five (5) primary goals, the
23 project has not put in place enough effort to turn this
24 into an effective restoration plan. They have not
25 brought sufficient focus and sufficient concern to

1 address the views that we've heard today.

2 This provides no comfort. Tomorrow
3 we're going to talk about how this project has been
4 organized in a way to provide a minimum amount of
5 commitment. And we suggest this is just another
6 example where the project has complete freedom of
7 action to either chose or to refuse to undertake
8 further remediation action regardless of the study
9 results, remembering of course that this study is not
10 tied to any thresholds or objectives criteria, because
11 the project has not provided this information.

12 And to point out, this is the same
13 information that would be required of any private
14 sector busi -- or private sector proposal. In the Nico
15 case that we were all talking about two (2) weeks ago,
16 this was an essential issue.

17 I'm going to phrase this as a simple
18 question. I encourage the Board to look at the first
19 eighteen (18) slides of the Developer's presentation
20 and to consider that goal that we just mentioned: to
21 restore Baker Creek to a productive condition.

22 Though the Developer said slide 4
23 illustrates their plan to do this, the Yellowknives
24 Dene would argue that not a single one (1) of those
25 eighteen (18) slides on Baker Creek actually talk about

1 how they intend to make sure that Baker Creek will be
2 restored. They're all focussing on the safety of
3 flooding for the mine. The project's real goal seems
4 clear here, and YKDFN don't believe it's about
5 restoration.

6 This is a significant environmental
7 concern, because the fish that use Baker Creek don't
8 stay there. They migrate in and out of the area and
9 eventually into the nets of the Yellowknives Dene
10 fishers.

11 We've seen the level commitment from
12 Health Canada on this project. And I want to speak on
13 my experience on other situations in which you enter
14 this conflux of departmental responsibilities that is
15 fish health.

16 It is a very difficult scheme to
17 navigate and I can say with complete certainty that no
18 department shows a real willingness or desire to help
19 First Nations understand or to promote fish health. As
20 former Fred -- or, former Chief Fred Sangris spoke
21 yesterday, this is one of the roads that the Crown
22 could have improved and safeguarded the Yellowknives
23 treaty rights.

24 If the Board allows this matter to be
25 deferred until this study is completed, until after the

1 environmental assessment, there will be nothing done on
2 this project. If they have -- they've had thirteen
3 (13) years and haven't figured out what they're going
4 to do.

5 YKDFN suspect that that will continue
6 into the future. YKDFN are asking nothing more than
7 the proponent adheres to the goal that they have listed
8 in the DAR: remedying -- remediating Baker Creek and
9 restoring environmental health to a -- a position in
10 which wildlife and water are not impacted. However,
11 we're asking this to be enshrined in a measure.

12 I'm going to move to the open pits. And
13 Chief Sangris spoke that this site -- on Monday, Chief
14 Sangris spoke and stated this site must be safe and the
15 hazards removed. Clearly, these open pits represent a
16 hazard to human health and safety. Mr. Nahir, in his
17 introduction, described these as eight (8) small and
18 medium-sized pits. And since the beginning of our
19 process -- early environmental assessment process YKDFN
20 have clearly stated that the plan is inadequate.

21 Fencing and berming when adjacent to a
22 city is a recipe for tragedy. If these pits are not
23 large, then, simply put, they should be filled to avoid
24 the risk to human health and maximize the future land
25 use options.

1 Had this issue been a true goal of the
2 project rather than an afterthought, we're quite sure
3 that this wouldn't be -- even be a matter we're
4 discussing, that this would have been addressed within
5 the DAR itself.

6 The failure shows that the narrow view
7 the project has taken, similar to in the Baker Creek
8 project, where they considered only from a flooding
9 aspect. It shows that the project was designed around
10 that frozen block idea rather than those original five
11 (5) site-wide objectives.

12 The next topic that the Proponent
13 discussed was future land use. And earlier in the
14 process, last night, and in Mr. Paradis' interview on
15 CBC on Monday there was indications that this site is
16 going to be open for future residential uses.

17 But we must be cognizant that the
18 project has committed only to reclaiming the site to
19 industrial standards. And this boils down to a simple
20 question to me and I will -- if this is going to be
21 open to residential uses in the future, how many -- how
22 many members of the development team are going to be
23 living on that site in the future.

24 Once we -- once the Yellowknives Dene
25 see that then we'll know this land is safe, because

1 when they're living there, their kids are playing in
2 Baker Creek and at the marina, then obviously we can
3 take security -- or we can take solace and security and
4 know that this site will be safe.

5 The site can be made safe again and it
6 can be made useful again, but thus far the project has
7 chosen the lowest bar of rec -- remediation, and we
8 shouldn't pretend it's anything but.

9 YKDFN asks the Board to require a -- a
10 collaborative planning process that recognizes the city
11 and Yellowknives are still in negotiations, and this be
12 included as part of the perpetual care plan.

13 We acknowledge this might not be the
14 best fit here, but we're open to whatever language or
15 measures the Board may decide that addresses this in a
16 binding manner. Existing tailings, as the Chief
17 stated, the Yellowknives Dene accept the capping of
18 tailings represents an improvement for today. It helps
19 solve the dust emissions, which is a significant
20 concern to the Yellowknives Dene at present. It is a
21 common and well understood method and it should be
22 available for rapid installation.

23 However, the main issue with capping the
24 tailings is that it is one (1) of the least effective
25 methods as it serves to cover up the fundamental

1 problem without removing the direct cause of future
2 impacts to the Yellowknives and the people of the
3 Territory.

4 We ask the Board to remember that there
5 are, as we just heard, 13.5 million tonnes of tailings
6 on site. And member Bayha asked for a description of
7 what this is. And this is four hundred and thirty-
8 seven (437) blanket (phonetic) buildings.

9 While the per unit risk may be lower
10 than arsenic trioxide, the sheer volume means that this
11 risk is potentially significant. Thus, the YKDFN
12 require that the consideration of alternatives focusses
13 not just on arsenic trioxide but permanent solutions
14 for the tailings.

15 Secondly, assuming that this remediation
16 project implements appropriate mitigations so as not to
17 cause significant impacts or concerns, YKDFN asks the
18 Board to require this process to be a priority of any
19 approved reclamation scheme with implementation
20 required in the first year.

21 We heard from the consultants how -- I
22 think it was Rudy, how he is very concerned about the
23 roaster. Well, we've also heard from the Elders and
24 the YKDFN how concerned they are about the dust that
25 they experience every day or drive through every day,

1 and have driven through and experienced for thirty (30)
2 or forty (40) years, or fifty (50) or sixty (60) years,
3 however far you want to think about it.

4 Moving on. As a line of questioning,
5 there was some difficulty getting to the bottom of
6 this, but, fundamentally, it seems that every mine in
7 this territory wants to use the Chief Drygeese
8 territory as a dump. It seems that it's never a
9 problem to bring stuff to site, but once there, it
10 never leaves.

11 YKDFN do not trust that the Proponent,
12 on its own, will design the site with sufficient
13 consideration for those who live and rely on this area
14 when it comes to balancing potential tradeoffs between
15 costs and risk.

16 Given the history of AANDC with
17 regulating and managing the site, YKDFN have two (2)
18 suggestions for this. Either the nonhazardous debris
19 be required to be -- to be deposited in the new City of
20 Yellowknife dump, or if the city refuses, then city
21 engineers should approve the final design,
22 construction, and deposition of the on site landfill.

23 In addition to providing confidence to
24 YKDFN, the City has recently completed a water
25 licensing permitting process and may have efficiencies

1 to offer the Proponent.

2 As my second-last topic I'm going to
3 talk about air quality. And I'll be very quick because
4 we talked about this two (2) weeks ago and the same
5 issues apply.

6 Just as member Mercredi pointed out, the
7 connection between potential wetland treatment at Nico,
8 a number of parties talked about the lack of air
9 quality -- air quality regulation and the ability for
10 this to be enforceable.

11 I submitted our comments to the Board,
12 and I would argue that they remain the same. And I'll
13 allow the Board members to review them. But the
14 essential issue is that the party, as they stated in
15 their responses, don't believe that they require a
16 measure for enforceable commitments. YKDFN don't agree
17 with that.

18 Now, I'm going to use this opportunity
19 to talk about socioeconomic issues very quickly because
20 this doesn't appear on the agenda anywhere. We're
21 talking about a plan that the YKDFN believe can be made
22 tolerable if undesirable. Part of the accommodations
23 and mitigations required for this is the direct
24 economic benefits be that the Yellowknives Dene can
25 benefit from this. It is they who have paid the

1 greatest price.

2 As the Chief said, this does not make
3 wrongs right. And the prospect to arrive at more
4 permanent solutions must be continued to strive for.
5 The Yellowknives Dene remain disappointed the pro --
6 the Proponent has not addressed the drinking water pipe
7 aspect. And we recognize that this is out of the
8 scope, but socioeconomic issues are certainly within
9 the scope of this EA.

10 The Yellowknives Dene membership rely on
11 the -- the City of Yellowknives' drinking water, and
12 this often an issue that the Elders comment on. If
13 that pipe is not part of the project and is not paid
14 for, then clearly the City is not going to put it in
15 the bay, because that doesn't have sufficient drinking
16 water protection. Those co -- the costs of water will
17 go up and the Yellowknives members are going to have to
18 pay more.

19 The City has made this balance clear.
20 The project -- and the result will be that this project
21 will continue the impact started by the Proponent that
22 the -- pardon me, please. This project will continue
23 the impact started -- permitted by the Proponent so
24 many years ago. We ask the Board to ensure through bin
25 -- binding means that the YKDFN no longer have to pay

1 the price of the Proponent's poor decisions of the
2 past.

3 So I'm not going to take up any more
4 time because I know our Elders want to speak. And I'll
5 just wrap it up at that. I believe up next is the
6 chair of the Elders' Senate, Isadore Tsetta.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Before
8 we go to the Elders I just want to rem -- remind you
9 that we had thirty (30) minutes on the agenda for this,
10 so if we could try and stick to the agenda on that
11 time.

12

13 (INTERPRETATION FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

14

15 ELDER ISADORE TSETTA: Thank you.
16 Thank you for giving me a chance to speak my concerns.
17 We want to sit -- we can't just sit back and not say
18 nothing. I'll speak of the damage that's been done to
19 our land.

20 The last ten (10) -- nine (9) years ago
21 we had a meeting, we sit -- we went to the mine site
22 and visit the mine site. And how it -- it's been --
23 the arsenic being stored under mine, we just covered
24 that topic -- that's it -- at that time. But they're
25 saying that for the next hundred years that even the

1 arsenic that's being stored that it's be -- it be fine
2 to be stored under there. But now that we have a
3 chance to -- to express our ver -- our concerns.

4 I was a Chief at one time and I had to
5 attend a lot of meetings and a lot of concerns came out
6 about how the other mines has been -- how the community
7 had been treated with a mine that been abandoned mines.
8 And -- and here I know a lot of other mines, it was put
9 in place and then left like that, and that community is
10 very concerned.

11 But the arsenic is a very -- concern to
12 us that -- would -- they have to live up to their
13 standards, what the community wants. It don't want --
14 they can't know whenever that got anything out that --
15 big damage that's -- that's been done to our people.
16 Even the coal mine where we had witness what happened
17 to our people.

18 And after that there is the -- the
19 concern is that Baker Creek, and we didn't know what
20 was happening. The very start of the mine that those
21 prospector were coming in and they never did consult
22 the -- the Chief, the boss. And this is kind of damage
23 that you guys going to witness in the future.

24 No consultation with the people at that
25 time. Maybe if they consulted even the Chief, maybe we

1 would have known what was happening, maybe we would
2 have got some kind of implement -- some kind of IBA in
3 place. And whoever's land is that they should have to
4 consult with them. The -- they didn't know that this
5 contaminated, that it's so bad, that they're going to
6 be working with arsenic.

7 The arsenic, what we're talking about,
8 it was never made around here in this area. We need to
9 put some com -- implement in place that how the arsenic
10 is going to -- what's going to be done with it, ship it
11 out or -- so we still have real concerns.

12 At that time when we had meeting at the
13 Giant Mine, at this site and we're going to ask
14 questions. It can be shipped out -- it can be shipped
15 out. It's kind of dangerous. It's very dangerous to
16 the public. Even the storage is hundred year. Hundred
17 years is not long, and after that time we don't know
18 what is going to happen. How about if there's some
19 kind of -- earth shook, that everything is damaged,
20 even the river, the -- the Baker Creek, and the
21 lifestyle. Got the fish.

22 At that time we had worked with some
23 other people checking around the mine site. We had to
24 work with them at one (1) time when they first started
25 the mine. There was just a few of us that worked with

1 ten (10) other people at the mine site at that.

2 And one (1) time we used to fish and
3 drink all -- the Giant Mine around that area. Not
4 today. We can't do those things. We're not talking
5 about today, we're talking about the next generation
6 and generation after that. We're talking for the
7 little babies, and we cannot -- I -- we cannot agree
8 with some people, what they're saying, and we can't
9 agree with them, even development.

10 We really need to get this out, and sort
11 some so also our future kids would be safe from all
12 harm, relate to some concern that -- that tells us
13 straight. We know that there's some other mine that --
14 in our area. Some of the abandoned mine that -- that
15 we never talk about. We as a community of Dettah, we
16 know that we can't have drinking water -- we can't go
17 to the shore and get a pail of water, and drink from
18 it.

19 And so -- so this is forty (40) years
20 we're using other plants, and we don't get free water.
21 And nothing of benefit from government that we're
22 getting anything. We don't go to other places and
23 destroy other people's area. And here's all kinds of
24 living things on the earth, and there's all kinds of
25 metals that comes out of that ore, and there's all

1 kinds of rock.

2 And everything that living in the water,
3 it's very important. Once there's been damage been
4 done, there would be nothing -- nothing in the water.
5 How can we get things back in place. All the things
6 that we have concern, the land, animals, there's all
7 kinds of animal. We still have respect for the plants,
8 of the growing trees and all the grass that grows on
9 the land.

10 How they can be refixed. And one (1) of
11 the lady speaker yesterday, in some other country,
12 there was -- there was a mine, he had -- she had said,
13 and they just left that mine like that. And -- and
14 they're -- they just left it.

15 We as Elder here, this is a real concern
16 to us. We don't want to be treated like that. We want
17 to get everything as -- as it once. That's very
18 important for us, so we want to work with people that
19 working on this development, which the good -- we have
20 to try agree. Disagree is not good. It's not going to
21 get anything done. But who is ever land is that, they
22 have to agree and work forward to accomplish something
23 that be good for the human environment.

24 Even non-Aboriginal people around here,
25 that they use that place. They have to have respect.

1 We as a group we have to work well together to -- and
2 all the tailing that we're talking about, and it's not
3 safe. So all the tailing, all the machine that's been
4 working with, and all the pond that's -- that's -- that
5 grows to the pond.

6 All the rocks, all the dirty rocks that
7 we have concern of. We don't want a big damage to be
8 done to our land. It's not for us what we're talking.
9 We're taking for the future of the -- our kids. And
10 even non-Aboriginal people that lives around here, they
11 have kids and they have grandkids, and some of them
12 they going to be living here for a long time to come.
13 So this is a -- this is a -- really concern to us.

14 I'm eighty-seven (87) years old, and the
15 Elders here is about ninety-nine (99) years old, and
16 he's older than me. We're Elders. There's not much
17 Elders in the community. I think some of them Elders,
18 they're over eighty (80). So there's a few of us,
19 maybe about ten (10) of us that are over eighty (80).

20 So -- so all that water, we're very
21 concerned about the water. So that before the Baker
22 Creek we used to go out there and get -- gather woods,
23 and we used to set up camps over there. And that -- at
24 that time they say the snow that we use and the water
25 we use that they didn't say it was no good at that

1 time. Everything was good at one time.

2 And all those old buildings, how it's
3 going to be put down and where they're going to leave
4 it at? They're going to cover it? And we don't want
5 them to burn them. And the air travels long ways, so
6 we need to be careful how they're going to -- how the
7 mines, all the storage place, where are they going to
8 store everything? It's going to be covered -- it's
9 going to be covered? Or -- so we -- we're kind of
10 worried about the air quality as well.

11 We need some kind of conversation that -
12 - that been damage that's been done to our people. And
13 we're going to have a public meeting this evening. And
14 I don't have any kind of paper or any notes in front of
15 me. But I have in mind of what my concerns are. And
16 we'll have another evening session, so we'll have
17 another -- some more things to say.

18 I'm an old timer. In 1930 there was no
19 -- no people, no radio, no TV, no doctors at that time.
20 A number of those things. But we have more Elder --
21 elderly man here, he has a know -- he knows a lot of --
22 a lot of knowledge that he will speak to you guys.

23

24 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

25

1 (INTERPRETATION FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

2

3 ELDER MICHELE PAPER: I'll be speaking
4 to you today. I'm Michele Paper, this is my land.
5 1939 -- 1919 I was born. As an Elder the Elders that
6 came before me they lived a beautiful life, and that's
7 how we lived on the land.

8 They -- our ancestors post-Hudson Bay,
9 we had no ammunition, we had no axe, and there was no
10 knife and there was no matches to make fire. And there
11 was nothing that came from the white man. We had
12 nothing that existed in this and that's how the -- our
13 ancestors lived on this land and we pass on that
14 tradition.

15 And you have no ancestors on this land,
16 but I know a lot of -- as my grandpa and my aunties,
17 they talk about -- they talk about pass on the legends
18 that comes from thousands of years. And as for today,
19 and as for our ancestors, and they never pay for any
20 woods. And they don't pay for any meat.

21 With everything prior to Hudson's Bay
22 coming here, they live off the land, so they were
23 healthy and there was no -- and there's not very many
24 people that die off. As my grandpa was telling me --
25 as my grandpa was telling me stories, Elder would live

1 for three hundred (300) years. But today, we're just
2 like animals because we consume animal meat, and that's
3 what my grandpa was telling me.

4 And as today, I am ninety-nine (99)
5 years old, and I'm still healthy. And as my grandpa
6 was telling me, this -- there's lots -- many stories
7 that I know. And if I tell you all these stories it's
8 going to take a long time.

9 Just Yellowknife Bay, when I was a young
10 boy, this -- the Yellowknife Bay used to be full of
11 caribou. And -- and it was like the caribou was
12 playing with the people, there was so many of them.

13 So if we -- if we take care of caribou
14 and respect the caribou, and it's like repopulation.
15 Just that's the way it -- we exist amongst the animal.
16 There's many things on this earth. There's all
17 different species of wildlife and, also, many species
18 of fish that regenerate, and that's how we exist. But
19 today, it seems like we're -- there's -- there's
20 wildlife, waterfowl and ptarmigans. There's lists and
21 lists, and we -- the population seems to decrease.

22 All these animals out on the land, they
23 repopulate. And -- and in the past, there was a leader
24 of the pack, you know, wolf -- and the wolf pack, the
25 leader of the pack would communicate with the caribou

1 from this day forward. And it would communicate with
2 the leader of the caribou, and also the wolf.

3 And the caribou responded to the leader
4 of the -- you -- you live off the water. And, also,
5 the -- how the humans feed off the meat, was still
6 continued to do that. So -- and as for today, there's
7 less population of caribou today and there's less and
8 less wildlife in this area.

9 And today we ri -- re -- rely on the
10 White man way of life, and we're afraid of consuming
11 any fish or the water. And -- and the mine itself,
12 it's very devastating. That's how we live today in
13 this area.

14 But what can we do that will best fit.
15 It's -- it's up to the engineer and the scientists that
16 will need to -- they have the knowledge to remediate.
17 I have many stories I can -- and that's the way it is.

18 And when Virgin Mary, Jesus was born,
19 the three kings visited Jesus in the manger and they
20 presented the baby Jesus three (3) gifts. The three
21 (3) kings presented the baby Jesus with three (3)
22 gifts. And whatever you want to accomplish on this
23 land you will receive, that's what -- but they won't be
24 able to make human -- and you -- you won't be able to
25 make it human and make it breathe, but we can make

1 anything else on this earth. And that's the kind of
2 gifts that was presented to the three (3) kings -- that
3 were presented to baby Jesus.

4 If I explain everything to you today
5 then Jesus our creator -- and even I pray to Jesus on a
6 daily basis -- daily basis. When a person approaches
7 me or a child approaches me I give them good advice and
8 that's how I live on this land.

9 When we -- when we help each other, when
10 we share our stories and teach one another and share
11 our knowledge, that's what Jesus provides for us. And
12 all the leaders and -- and all the leaders around this
13 table is very -- were very important and all the
14 leaders and the chiefs is -- they are very important.
15 They -- they're -- it's like they're leading us.

16 And as I stated my age -- Giant Mine --
17 Baker Creek when I was a young man I used to use canoe
18 along the Baker Creek from Dettah and also -- and
19 there's a -- there used to be an island way up on the --
20 - picking berries within that area and there's many of
21 blueberries and we would sit in it and gather fish and
22 cook fish in that area.

23 That's what I did when I was a young boy
24 and also at the Baker -- mentioned an old lady that
25 was -- maybe she picked up a rock and when we came back

1 in Dettah she put it on the win -- beside a window.
2 And when the two (2) prospector came to Dettah and --
3 and when they approached the old lady they shake the
4 old lady's hand.

5 I didn't understand any English. And
6 that old lady's granddaughter kind of understood
7 English and then Elder Liza (phonetic), Why you looking
8 at this rock for. And that her daughter said, Why you
9 looking at this rock for, and this -- this rock is --
10 looks beautiful. It looks beautiful.

11 And if he likes that rock maybe he can
12 give me two (2) pipe -- stovepipe and one (1) of them -
13 - one (1) of them that's straight and one (1) of the
14 ones that is kind of curved, give me those then. What
15 the stovepipe, and where do you get those stovepipe
16 from.

17 And the -- and the rock that he found,
18 it was around that area, Giant Mine. And that --
19 that's how that gold was found. And what did she get
20 back in return? And billion -- a million dollars has
21 been took out from underground. And we as a Dene
22 people, we're still suffering from this, and the money
23 that being shipped down. And we're still pitiful in
24 the community. We're still hurting.

25 People are -- that suffering today, they

1 will never be healthy again. And that we need some
2 kind of benefit. People have their needs -- that be
3 helped. And I'm glad I come to -- to speak my concern,
4 but there's more stories that I got, but I'm thankful
5 that -- sitting up here, and I been thinking about this
6 for a long time, and I have worked with a lot of other
7 people.

8 1934, and Bearwash (phonetic), that's
9 the first job that I got. I was working for twenty-
10 five cents (\$.25) an hour labour. I work eight (8)
11 hours, and maybe I'd make two dollars (\$2) in eight (8)
12 hours.

13 And I work at the mine, and I was laid
14 off for the winter. And I -- and after I got a job at
15 Giant Mine. And everybody was saying -- and there was
16 even five dollars (\$5). That was a lot for people at
17 that time. And I had to work at the Giant Mine for
18 three (3) years, and I was a younger kid. And I never
19 seen a shovel, and I was working with a shovel, and I
20 didn't like that, doing the shovelling.

21 And I moved -- and I went down to Negus
22 and I -- after that I was struggling for another three
23 (3) years, and -- and I went to Negus Mine after Con
24 Mine. And after the mine was closed at Negus, and
25 after that I -- I was working with RCMP with dog teams,

1 and I had worked with RCMP for a couple of years.

2 Then I worked -- and after that I
3 started working with the Department of Highway for
4 twenty-six (26) years doing surveying, and this was --
5 was my job. And today got -- I -- I got a chance to
6 speak. A lot of things that people don't understand
7 that I'm speaking now. And we're trying to consult and
8 say a lot of good things to each other.

9 We as a Dene people -- people love their
10 kids and their grandkids. And talking about Giant Mine
11 and the arsenic that's been stored, and that -- all
12 that arsenic that goes up in the -- people, they use to
13 fix them and try to clean it up. And then once you're
14 -- you -- you shovel everything goes up in -- in the
15 air, maybe you breath from it, and it does some burns.

16 And the air quality, sometime the -- the
17 wind can blow for thirty (30) miles of air dust up.
18 And the arsenic at Giant Mine, and development, and
19 people that had worked there, they had to work hard,
20 and all the Giant Mine workers.

21 Some day the earth was shake, a lot of
22 things is happening. The climate change. There's a
23 flood. And -- and earthquake. It might get here some
24 day. And once that earthquake, everything will break.
25 And maybe at that time when it gets here it'll be too

1 late for the people here and for our kids. So even we
2 love our little ones, once they die what we're going to
3 do with them? So before that happens we try to put
4 things together.

5 So discuss this fairly, in a good way.
6 We're not talking for the today, we're talking for the
7 next generation and generation after that, how we can
8 get things done. Even you as a people, Dene people and
9 non-aboriginal people will be happy living in this area
10 for a long time.

11 There's a lot of good stories that I
12 have. Once somebody records me, and I even happy with
13 that. Even after I'm gone they can still use my
14 knowledge and pass that on. And thank you very much.

15

16 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

17

18 MR. RANDY FREEMAN: Sorry about that.
19 I just want you to keep in perspective that both of
20 these Elders were here long before the very first
21 prospectors came along. Isadore would have been, I
22 think, in his early teens. But Michele was a young man
23 when the very, very first prospectors came into
24 Yellowknife Bay.

25 So take -- you know, understanding that

1 is -- is just mind boggling to realize that there's --
2 there are still people that remember this bay from
3 before Giant Mine. Thank you very much.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll
5 take a ten (10) minute break.

6

7 --- Upon recessing at 2:53 p.m.

8 --- Upon resuming at 3:08 p.m.

9

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. Can
11 we get everybody to come in? We'll -- I'm going to
12 start right now. Maybe while people are coming in, I
13 want to ask the teacher to come up to the podium up
14 here and just introduce yourself, and the school and
15 the students as well.

16 MS. CHRISTINA SILZER: Hello, everyone.
17 My name is Christina Silzer. I'm a teacher at Ecole
18 St. Patrick High School. And I have a group of
19 wonderful grade 12 students here, so a just a nod out
20 to them. They are the future generation of Yellowknife
21 in the Northwest Territories and are certainly very
22 interested in what's going on here in these
23 proceedings.

24 I have Devon Allulu (phonetic), Jenny
25 Mayorta (phonetic), Alex McIsaac (phonetic), Austin

1 Miller (phonetic), Terra Stocktin (phonetic), Anna
2 Struvic (phonetic), and Shania Thompson (phonetic).
3 Thank you.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I just
5 want to welcome you guys. Okay, thank you. I'm going
6 to -- without going to questions right now, I'm going
7 to ask Alternatives North and then North Slave Metis.
8 Then I'll go to one (1) set of questions for everybody.
9 And then the people that have questions, we could point
10 them out.

11 So, Mr. O'Reilly, I got you for fifteen
12 (15) minutes.

13 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
14 It's Kevin O'Reilly, with Alternatives North. Sorry.
15 I neglected to get the presentation up. It'll just
16 take a second.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: How come you guys
18 don't have support staff like those guys over there?
19 Can we take over Ricky there and put him on your side
20 over there? Oh, that's right, you didn't get enough
21 funding. Okay.

22

23 POSITION PRESENTATION BY ALTERNATIVES NORTH - SURFACE
24 REMEDIATION:

25 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.

1 Kevin O'Reilly, with Alternatives North. So this is
2 the areas that I'd like to try to cover in my
3 presentation today: a number of concerns about surface
4 remediation; we think that there's a number of
5 unresolved issues revolving around cover design and
6 performance, air quality and the roaster demolition.

7 We think this is an important area for
8 you to consider, because we're dealing with tailings
9 ponds, air quality impacts from the windblown tailings
10 at the site and certainly during construction. I'll
11 talk a little bit later about the demolition of the
12 roaster complex.

13 And I think it's clear to -- from the
14 discussion that's happened here today that the
15 alternatives for carrying out some of this work are
16 still in the design phase. There's no plans for some
17 of this work that we can see. Performance measures or
18 contingencies perhaps in place are still being worked
19 out and that the impact predictions are not terribly
20 well detailed or well supported, in our view.

21 So I want to talk a little bit about
22 cover design and performance. We raised questions and
23 issues around the design of the cover and its
24 performance at the October 2011 technical sessions and
25 in -- in Information Requests, actually, before that.

1 We do know that the Developer has
2 contracted for two (2) test pads in the northwest
3 tailings pond in 2007, and the purpose of that work, as
4 -- as I think we've come to understand, is to really
5 look at what happens when you put something on top of
6 the tailings, and if it's going to sink in, what the
7 temperatures going to be and the moisture content of
8 that.

9 So we have data from that work up to
10 2010. One (1) of the two (2) that -- one (1) -- so
11 there's two (2) test pads out there; one (1) of them is
12 actually submerged and really of limited value. The
13 cover design work is clearly not finished.

14 They've started to do a little bit of
15 work, or some preliminary work on revegetation, and we
16 don't really have any performance criteria developed
17 yet. They said, We're going to get to that through the
18 environmental management working group, but nothing in
19 place yet.

20 So this is a couple of photos that I'd
21 taken of the test pads. This one here is from
22 September of 2010. There's the two (2) test pads
23 there. The water is sort of around them. Here is in
24 June of this year, and that red line is actually where
25 the second one is, and it's completely under water.

1 The -- it's not clear to us what the
2 purpose of the -- the cover is beyond perhaps trying to
3 control dust. But is the -- is the cover really to
4 retain water? Is it to -- to try to make everything
5 run off? They've -- it's not clear to us what --
6 whether they're all going to be revegetated or not,
7 what plants are going to be put on there. Will the
8 plants take up material, or will the roots penetrate
9 down into the tailings?

10 It's just not clear to us how all of
11 that is going to work. So we have some concerns around
12 the long-term performance of the tailings cover, and
13 we're not sure whether this -- how quickly this is
14 going to happen and how well it may control dust.

15 So I think it's -- from our perspective,
16 there's still significant public concern around the
17 cover design, and perhaps potential for significant
18 adverse environmental impacts, depending on how that
19 cover, when it's designed, will actually perform.

20 So our recommendation to the Developer
21 on this matter was that they should submit the final
22 cover design objectives and performance criteria to the
23 regulatory authorities for approval. The Developer
24 responded and said, Yes, we agree. But we're a little
25 bit concerned with that kind of a response, because

1 under the Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act, the
2 Developer really has three (3) options when it responds
3 to measures that you may make.

4 They can either accept them, reject
5 them, or they can enter into a consult to modify
6 process. So we're hoping that they actually meant
7 accept, but it's not clear to us what -- what it really
8 meant when they said "agree".

9 We have noticed a trend over the last
10 while where governments have started to say that they
11 only accept the intent of measures that your Board or
12 some others bring forward, which is not the same as
13 what the Act actually requires.

14 So I want to move on though to air
15 quality. We've raised some concerns around how the
16 assessment of risk and potential impacts has been
17 carried out in the Develop -- Developer's assessment
18 report. There are some predictions of air quality
19 contaminants above guidelines in areas that are
20 accessible to the public and -- and by workers at the
21 site.

22 We don't really have any triggers or
23 thresholds for actions or contingencies from the
24 management plans, because they haven't been put
25 together yet. So it's not clear to us how much is too

1 much of a contaminant, and when we actually and how
2 we're going to measure that, and then what's going to
3 be done about it. When is it too dusty? What level of
4 winds, how much -- is it just something you see, or how
5 do you measure it? Those sorts of things haven't been
6 put in place yet.

7 I'm just going to skip through to the --
8 this slide here, which is number 12 in my presentation.
9 I'm sorry it's not a little bit better. But this is the
10 mine site in here. And this red line that goes like
11 this, this is the area where twenty-four (24) hour
12 arsenic exposure is predicted to be above what, I
13 think, is an Ontario guideline.

14 So I think it -- well, it's our view
15 that there's probably going to be people working in
16 this area during the active remediation. They may be
17 exposed to arsenic that's above this Ontario guideline.

18 So -- and I think we heard Mr. Halbert
19 earlier today say that they have not done an assessment
20 of what may happen to health workers -- or, sorry, wor
21 -- workers on site, in terms of health risks, because
22 they haven't done the assessment of -- of what would
23 happen to them. So that work needs to be finished.

24 And we also are of the view that it
25 might be a good idea to develop specific arsenic air

1 quality guidelines for the Northwest Territories so
2 that we make sure that workers are protected, because
3 that -- the -- the explanation that we had from Mr.
4 Westermann earlier today didn't really convince me that
5 there's some solid plans or guidelines in place to
6 protect workers.

7 So on air quality, we recommended that
8 the Developer prepare an air quality monitoring program
9 to test the performance of tailings covers and that
10 there be thresholds identified and that they be tied to
11 specific actions. We would also add, from our -- what
12 we said in our technical report, that this air quality
13 monitoring program should also cover activities during
14 site construction.

15 The Developer responded by saying that
16 they accepted this recommendation from us, in
17 principle. We're concerned that they didn't actually
18 accept it. They only accepted it in principle. We're
19 not quite sure what that means.

20 I want to move on to the roaster
21 demolition. And why this is an important issue -- and
22 it is clearly within the scope of this environmental
23 assessment. It's covered in the Developer's assessment
24 report - at least mentioned.

25 There's 4,900 cubic metres of arsenic

1 trioxide. And I'm sorry, I can't tell you how much
2 that is. It seems to me like it's a lot. It's
3 probably more than a couple of dump truck full loads --
4 of full. Sorry.

5 My friend with the City tells me that
6 it's about four hundred and nine (409) dump truck loads
7 full of arsenic trioxide inside that complex. Highly
8 contaminated, we need to do that work very carefully.
9 And I think the Developer would like to try to do that
10 as well. But we don't have a plan for how that's going
11 to be done that -- that I'm -- that -- that's been
12 filed on the registry. There's not much details.

13 So I want to talk a little bit about
14 this site stabilization plan that I scrolled through
15 this morning with the big black areas in that. That
16 was approved in November of 2011. It's actually dated
17 October the 14th. That's at exactly the same time that
18 we were in a technical session, talking to the
19 Developer ab -- trying to sort out issues. So while
20 we're talking to the Developer and sorting out issues,
21 this plan is being put on the Minister's desk to
22 basically speed up a number of the -- the parts of this
23 project, at least in our -- in our view.

24 We asked for the -- the document several
25 times. We even asked for it under Federal Accessed

1 Information and got a bunch of blank pages. But we
2 finally got it in August with those black parts in it.
3 And I don't they -- those black dots, at least when I
4 read it, there's a number even in the conclusion
5 section. I'm not sure, or convinced, that it's all
6 about the costs. So I don't know what else is in
7 there, but it does cause us some concern.

8 I did want to put on the record that we
9 respectfully disagree with Ms. Potter's assessment that
10 the site stabilization plan is outside the scope of
11 this environmental assessment. If you look at that
12 plan, it talks about risks at the site, priorities, and
13 how they should be dealt with in the short term. It's
14 clearly within the -- the matters in there are clearly
15 within the scope of this environmental assessment.

16 Now in that pla -- in that plan, they
17 committed to early and ongoing engagement and dialogue.
18 That didn't happen. I don't know why not. In our
19 humble opinion, they never asked to meet with us about
20 it, or any of the other parties, that I'm aware of.

21 They did have a public meeting in May
22 and this was floated around. That public meeting,
23 there was three (3) days' notice in a advertisement in
24 the local paper. And I -- I'll just leave that at that
25 for now.

1 I want to make it clear though that we
2 do not object to any legitimate work that needs to be
3 done at the site on an emergency basis as long as it's
4 communicated clearly to people. And taking down the
5 roaster complex flues that are -- these pieces on the
6 outside that are full and starting to look a bit shaky,
7 take those down. Please, do it now. But the entire
8 complex without a plan, without talking to people about
9 how you're going to do it, in our view, is not a good
10 idea.

11 We are aware that the Developer wants to
12 push ahead with this demolition and they're -- they
13 want to do it rather quickly. And while we were at a
14 break here, I actually went on the MERX website, which
15 is the -- the contracting website. There's a con -- a
16 tender now open with Public Works and government
17 services to take this roaster complex down. That
18 tender opened on August the 24th, it closes on October
19 the 3rd, and the work is to awarded on -- in January of
20 2013.

21 So while we're here talking about this,
22 I'm sorry, the Developer is going around and looking at
23 starting to do it before this environmental assessmenis
24 even finished. And that's, I think, really what this
25 site stabilization plan, in our humble opinion, was

1 really about, was fast-tracking a lot of this work
2 while the environmental assessment was going on and
3 under -- and in my opinion, undermining your authority
4 as a Review Board and this process. And I -- I'm not
5 going to ascribe any motives to that or whatever, but
6 that's how it looks to us.

7 So in our view, the roaster demolition,
8 we're, I guess, not quite convinced that this is an
9 emergency situation. And if it is, we'd like to see
10 engineered -- engineering reports that are stamped,
11 that are actually based on site visits and -- and full
12 assessments of what -- what's happening at the site.
13 And I don't think that's we -- what we have before the
14 Review Board at this point for the roaster.

15 The -- the Developer also has to have
16 the ability or capacity to carry out the work in a
17 timely fashion. And we heard from Public Works and
18 Government Services Canada, they're going the regular
19 contracting route, not the emergency one. So we're not
20 convinced it's an emergency right now. And the last
21 point there about this starting to be contracted, I --
22 I confirmed that on the MIRKS website today.

23 So we are very concerned that the
24 Developer is probably going -- may try to exempt the
25 roaster demolition from the environmental assessment

1 while we're doing this work and trying to get it
2 finished. And -- but we do ask the Review Board that
3 if they attempt to that, that you exercise your -- your
4 jurisdiction in this area and ask them for proper
5 evidence that it's an emergency, that they have the --
6 the demonstrated capability and capacity to carry out
7 the work in a timely fashion, and that you seek a
8 commitment that any environmental assessment measures
9 will be applied to any exempted work in a retroactive
10 fashion.

11 And I asked the question; they were
12 rather vague about how they might do -- consider your
13 recommendations. But thank you very much for you
14 attention.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Kevin
16 O'Reilly for your presentation. I'm going to go to
17 North Slave Metis Alliance. They've got five (5)
18 minutes for their presentation.

19

20 PRESENTATION BY NSMA - SURFACE REMEDIATION:

21 MS. SUSAN ENGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
22 Susan Enge, Metis Alliance. Thank you for the
23 opportunity to speak on the issue of surface
24 remediation.

25 The North Slave Metis Alliance is very

1 concerned about the Developer's remediation proposal
2 regarding surface remediation and its possible effect
3 on the health and safety of the North Slave Metis
4 people, including Yellowknives, Yellowknifers, and
5 Northerners.

6 The Yellowknife River, Yellowknife Bay,
7 and Giant Mine site have been occupied by the North
8 Slave Metis for more than two hundred (200) years, long
9 before the Government of Canada implemented effective
10 control over the area.

11 And this region has great historical,
12 cultural, ecological, and economical value to the
13 Metis, the North Slave Metis. The land was used for
14 fishing, hunting, gathering, and trapping, as well as a
15 meeting place, transportation hub, and commercial
16 centre.

17 And the North Slave Metis Alliance
18 represent Section 35 of Aboriginal rights-bearing Metis
19 -- and I know I've said that a few time -- of the Great
20 Slave Lake area and has a vested interest in protecting
21 our traditional lands so that we can continue to
22 exercise our Metis Aboriginal rights for generations to
23 come.

24 With that in mind, as stewards of our
25 traditional lands we cannot help but be extremely

1 uncomfortable with the Developer's proposal to seal the
2 arsenic trioxide residue on our lands instead of
3 removing it.

4 It's preposterous to think that we
5 should feel safe knowing that a toxic creature lurks 2
6 metres below a surface cap of gravel. And we all know
7 that erosion eventually can take down mountains, never
8 mind 2 metres of gravel.

9 We must, once again, point out here that
10 the North Slave Metis people are Aboriginal rights
11 holders, which means the Developer, in its capacity as
12 the Crown, is required by law to consult and, if need
13 be, accommodate the North Slave Metis people from --
14 from harm or infringements of their rights.

15 In this instance, the Developer did not
16 undertake its legal obligations to adequately consult
17 the NSMA, as the Developer did not afford the Metis --
18 the North Slave Metis people the same degree of
19 consultation as they afforded our First Nation
20 counterparts.

21 One important example I can point to in
22 this regard is that the Developer saw fit to provide
23 the Yellowknives Dene First Nation people with a
24 community consultation, but not so for the North Slave
25 Metis people. Consequently, the Developer did not

1 obtain feedback from us, which, in our view is a
2 significant shortcoming in the Developer's proposal
3 amongst the many shortcomings that have thus far come
4 to light during this hearing.

5 Notwithstanding our preference for
6 complete removal of the contaminated surface soil, the
7 NSMA prefers a limit of acceptable change framework
8 when it comes to surface remediation. The framework
9 should be based on cultural preferences and financial
10 considerations as well as toxicological information and
11 aesthetics.

12 We consider natural, pre-impact
13 conditions as baseline conditions to be the default
14 site-specific remediation objectives. And we assert
15 that any change from this baseline standard requires
16 the Developer to undertake an adequate consultation and
17 accommodation process with the North Slave Metis
18 people.

19 In conclusion, we are of the view that
20 the negative effects of the arsenic trioxide on the
21 North Slave Metis people traditional land use area is
22 much greater a scope than the Developer has calculated.
23 The North Slave Metis Alliance has objected and
24 continues to object to the restrictive scope of this
25 environmental review, as it fails to consider past

1 environmental damage, human and ecological, as part of
2 the ongoing and cumulative effects of the project.

3 That said, we recommend the following:

4 1) The Developer should consult and accommodate the
5 affected Metis Section 35 Aboriginal rights holders in
6 this region. This would be undertaken in accordance
7 with limits to an acceptable change framework. In
8 addition, social, cultural, and economic considerations
9 should be considered, including reasonable compensation
10 for substantial alterations to our traditional land
11 use.

12 We -- just to shorten this, because I
13 would like my Elder to say a few words. AANDC should
14 be required to fund an independent monitoring agency
15 for the Giant Mine project. The Developer must be
16 required to adhere to regulatory binding measures. And
17 we would like to see the surface arsenic trioxide be
18 removed instead of being sealed in perpetuity.

19 ELDER ED JONES: Ed Jones here. Thank
20 you. I just want to say a few words. It's unfortunate
21 that Giant Mine didn't choose to burn off the arsenic
22 in the roaster that they had. And also, I believe that
23 the final solution to the problems here at Giant should
24 be the removal of all that arsenic to a hazardous
25 materials disposal site at Swan Hills, Alberta. This

1 would eliminate all the problems. Thank you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.

3 Before I go to my questions, I'm going to -- you know,
4 I'm just a little concerned about, again, the political
5 posturing that's happening here. I'm going to read
6 this out for the record:

7 "The -- the Review Board recognizes
8 that the North Slave Metis Alliance
9 would like the -- the same treatment
10 as -- as any other Aboriginal groups
11 involved in this process. Your
12 opening comments have made a very --
13 made that sufficiently clear. For
14 this hearing to be fair and
15 effective, we have to keep the
16 questions focussed on the substantial
17 issues of this assessment. I
18 respectfully instruct you to do so.
19 Please keep your questions on concise
20 as possible and refrain from
21 repeating the political issues you
22 have already brought up to the
23 Board's attention.
24 "So -- also, parties that have been
25 actively involved in this assessment

1 throughout understand that the
2 answers here to your questions are
3 already widely available on the
4 public record. Parties had many
5 opportunities to raise basic
6 technical questions."

7 Okay, so anyways, I'm just going to
8 leave that there. But I just wanted to point that out.
9 There's really no need to get into the political
10 posturing. So I want to leave it there. So I just
11 wanted to point that out.

12 I'm going to go to -- in the order,
13 Environment Canada. Is there any questions for the
14 Yellowknives Dene First Nation, Alternatives North, or
15 North Slave Metis Alliance on -- in their presentation?

16

17 QUESTION PERIOD:

18 MS. AMY SPARKS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19 Amy Sparks, Environment Canada. We have no questions
20 for the parties. Thank you.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
22 Department of Fisheries and Oceans?

23 MS. BEV ROSS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24 Bev Ross, Department of Fisheries and Oceans. We have
25 no questions for any of the parties.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
2 going to go to Board technical advisers.

3 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Mr. Chair, neither
4 the Board technical advisers, Board staff, nor Board
5 counsel have any questions for the previous two (2)
6 parties.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Board staff or
8 counsel, no questions? Okay. I'm going to go to Board
9 members. I'm going to go to my far left. John
10 Curran...?

11 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Thank you, Mr.
12 Chairman. I'd like to thank Mr. Paper, Mr. Simon
13 (phonetic), and Mr. Jones for sharing their knowledge
14 with us. It will -- your -- your wisdom will guide
15 this Board as we make our deliberations moving forward,
16 definitely.

17 I do have one (1) question for Todd
18 Slack. You raised the issue of socioeconomic concerns.
19 And you said that to compensate the YK Dene, the
20 Developer should be building a water line for the City.

21 And I'm just wondering if contract
22 opportunities for YK Dene might not be a better way to
23 compensate the YK Dene? Thank you.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Thank
25 you, John Curran. I want to go to YKDFN.

1 MR. TODD SLACK: Thanks, Mr. Chair.

2 And thanks for the question, Mr. Curran. Yes -- no, I
3 -- I fully agree that socioeconomic activity -- or,
4 contracts and opportunities are going to be part of the
5 solution, in terms of making this project tolerable to
6 the First Nation.

7 The point that I was trying to get at
8 with regards to the -- the waterline in particular, and
9 I apologize for not making it clear enough, is that
10 that particular issue is a -- a future potential impact
11 directly related to this project.

12 The Elders have con -- repeatedly told
13 us about how they're not happy because of the fact that
14 they used to -- the lake used to be good water and they
15 could just go down and access it and drink water that
16 way. And now they have to pay every time they want
17 water.

18 So the project has stated that they will
19 not pay for this pipeline. That cost has to be carried
20 on in some way. And the City has indicated that --
21 their study, sorry, has indicated that putting that
22 intake in Back Bay or in Yellowknife Bay, there's not
23 enough source water protection.

24 So that cost is going to be carried by
25 someone, as both N'Dilo and Dettah access the current

1 water structures, mostly the pump house over by the
2 river. The Elders are going to pay even more for
3 water. The citizens and the members of the
4 Yellowknives are going to pay more for water. And that
5 will perpetuate the impacts that the -- the First
6 Nation members have felt. I hope that clears it up.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. John
8 Curran...?

9 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Still having a hard
10 time understanding what hat you're wearing, but we'll
11 chalk it up to enthusiasm. No other questions right
12 now, Mr. Chairman.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Percy Hardisty...?

14 MR. PERCY HARDISTY: Mahsi, Mr. Chair.
15 I don't have any.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. James Wah-
17 shee, any questions for YKDFN, Alternatives North, or
18 North Slave Metis on their presentation?

19 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
20 Chairman. Mahsi.

21

22 (INTERPRETED FROM TLICHO TO ENGLISH)

23

24 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Michele Paper and
25 Isidore have spoken to us. The two (2) of the Elders

1 that -- right now we're sitting on the table. We have
2 heard their concern. That's why we are sitting on this
3 table.

4 And it is their land. Back in the 1930s
5 they built that mine on your -- on your land.
6 Therefore, when they built that mine, for that reason
7 your land and your water has -- has been damaged, has
8 been destroyed. And we want to know what -- what
9 happened in the past and at this presently.

10 Right now the mine has closed, but your
11 land, as to clean up the mine site the water, right now
12 they -- they're going to do remediation work on the
13 mine site. And also you -- you spoke to us about the
14 fish, and we really appreciate that and all that
15 information that you shared.

16 It is good for us to know all that
17 information so -- with that information we can make a
18 decision. How you used to live on your land prior to
19 building that mine on your area, all that land, that
20 water, used to use the land, the water, the animal.
21 Everything around that area you use to -- right now,
22 the -- the mine has closed.

23 What would be best solution to -- to
24 work on the remediation project? Therefore, the mine -
25 - the land the -- the mine was built on, to restore

1 everything. Right now, today, we want everything to be
2 -- the -- we want them to do a thorough cleanup.
3 That's what we're hoping for.

4 That's why all that information that you
5 have shared with us -- through your information, we
6 really are grateful that you shared with us. With that
7 information, just like you're teaching us, you're
8 educating us. With your information, we're so grateful
9 for sharing that knowledge with us.

10

11 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

12

13 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: So with that, I'd
14 just like to say I'd like to thank the Yellowknife
15 Elders and others for their presentations, and also the
16 North Slave Metis for their presentation. And we
17 mustn't forget Alternatives North. They're a little
18 more technical with their expertise.

19 But I just want to say that I think
20 these presentations are really good. They give us a --
21 a new perspective, and it's a comment on my part, just
22 to express my appreciation. Thank you very much.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, James Wah-
24 Shee. Board member Richard Mercredi...?

25 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: Thank you, Mr.

1 Chair. I'd just like to thank the presenters, all of
2 them, as well as the Elders today here. I appreciate
3 your comments, but I have no comments at this time.
4 Thank you.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Rachel
6 Crapeau...?

7

8 (TRANSLATED FROM TLICHO TO ENGLISH)

9

10 MS. RACHEL CRAPEAU: I wanted -- and
11 through your information, we will make a sound
12 decision. And then we will make a decision through
13 your knowledge, through people's information. It is --
14 through your information, we want to make decision.

15 Long time ago, people used to live well.
16 They used to have a healthy lifestyle. We really
17 appreciate that we -- we heard all your information,
18 your concern. When we hear information like that about
19 grandmother, grandfather, their parents, how we used to
20 live near the shore, people used to live a healthy
21 lifestyle.

22 I want us to work on this report right
23 away so we can make a decision right away, but -- but
24 we -- we have to work together in order to achieve our
25 goal, to make a sound decision. And we have to think

1 of ways of -- to go back to living healthy. That's why
2 we have -- we have to have a strong mind.

3 And thank you for sharing your -- your
4 knowledge with us. We heard you clearly.

5

6 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

7

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mahsi, Rachel
9 Crapeau. Board member Danny Bayha...?

10 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11 And I must say...

12

13 (INTERPRETATION FROM TLICHO TO ENGLISH)

14

15 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you for sharing
16 your stories and talk of the stories of long ago. So I
17 just want to thank you.

18

19 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

20

21 MR. DANNY BAYHA: ... wisdom so that we
22 can hopefully use a lot of it to make some decisions to
23 the future.

24 And I don't who, maybe Kevin or Todd, or
25 -- I had a question for, like, in your mind and in --

1 from all of your presentations, including the city, we
2 have a -- I -- I'm getting the -- sort of the -- more
3 clear picture. I want it to be very more clear,
4 exactly, what is happening here and about the impacts.

5 And here we have the Developer stating
6 that, We're going to clean this -- all this up and it's
7 good for you. But it seems like there is an issue of
8 communication of how they're going to do it. You
9 haven't -- so it seems that way you haven't been
10 involved in how that's happening.

11 So the fact that you don't know how
12 they're going to do it, how they're going to approach
13 cleaning it up, will -- and you -- so you can't really
14 determine how it can -- really will impact you. So
15 that is a -- am I sort of close to the mark on this,
16 how this is unfolding here? And as -- as this is prese
17 -- more presentations are happening.

18 So I -- I just want to know if, maybe,
19 somebody could care to comment if I'm on the mark,
20 close, off the mark. Thank you.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Danny, did you have a
22 -- did you want to direct your question to -- or any
23 one (1) of the three (3)? Or all three (3)? I'll go
24 to Todd, YKDFN.

25 MR. TODD SLACK: Todd Slack,

1 Yellowknives Dene. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thanks,
2 Mr. Bayha. And I -- I hope I answer this question a
3 little clearer.

4 I think that the -- the plan itself, the
5 Yellowknives aren't necessarily against it. They don't
6 think it's the best option, but there are issues that
7 are still outstanding -- A) there are issues still
8 outstanding that don't address their concerns.

9 The Chief has been very clear, in terms
10 of, maybe this is the best plan for today. But that
11 isn't the sum of this project. This is a project that
12 goes long term, into the future.

13 But the other angle that goes with that
14 is, the project has made many commitments and there are
15 many things that are yet to come. And we'll talk about
16 these objectives and criteria tomorrow or Friday. And
17 the Yellowknives don't feel that just the project
18 saying, Yeah, we'll do that, is necessarily good
19 enough. And that's why we've come to the Review Board
20 and asked for particular measures that address these
21 specific, potentially significant concerns.

22 We want to trust the Developer. And I
23 trust the people that are part of that process. But it
24 is an organization that is not bound to Yellowknifers,
25 and it's not bound to the -- to what these people say.

1 It is not bound to the gov -- the government of the
2 future. They can change their mind at any time. But
3 for this project, that's not good enough. These
4 commitments have to be made, have to be carried out in
5 a binding -- and that requires binding measures.

6 So for today, when we talked about the
7 Baker Creek, one of their primary objectives was to
8 restore this. And we're still not at a position where
9 we can review that plan. Do I believe that they have
10 every intention of restoring Baker Creek? Yes, I do.

11 But that's not good enough to just trust
12 them into the future. And that's where we're hoping
13 the Review Board can provide that comfort. I hope that
14 helps.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
16 Bayha...?

17 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Yes, Kevin, if -
18 thank you.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you. I
20 just want to thank Alternatives North, YKDFN, North
21 Slave Metis Alliance, and the Elders that have spoken.
22 Thank you very much for your -- your presentation.

23 The next part of the agenda I want to do
24 is I want to go to Ecology North. They've got twenty-
25 five (25) minutes. And then DFO and -- and the City

1 has got fifteen (15) minutes. And then we can do one
2 (1) set of questions for all three (3) as well. So
3 let's do it back to back.

4 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Mr. Chair,
5 briefly?

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

8

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, while we're
10 getting set up here, I believe the Developer had a --
11 would like to have a response for John Curran and I'd
12 like to keep -- keep the questions short. Thank you.

13 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Actually, I was
14 kind of -- we were hoping if we could have asked some
15 questions here, but we'd like a few minutes just to
16 caucus beforehand. I can start with a question here
17 quickly, if possible, if Todd is available, if that's
18 allowed. Or is there questions to be done later on?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay. Sorry.
20 You -- right. I'm sorry, I'm sorry. I thought I did,
21 but must be -- must be really tired. Okay. I think,
22 John...?

23

24 (BRIEF PAUSE)

25

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We're going to
2 go to Developer questions to -- of the three (3)
3 proponents.

4 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: I -- yeah, there -
5 - I think there's -- there's two (2) things going on.
6 Mr. Nahir has a response to a question that Mr. John
7 Curran has asked previously. I'd like to get -- seek
8 some clarification from some comments that Mr. Slack
9 has mentioned. And also I'd like a brief opportunity
10 to caucus so we can ask a few more questions of both
11 Alternatives North and, I think, YKDFN.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Okay. Let's
13 do the -- we'll do John Curran first, and then your
14 questions.

15 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr. --
16 thank you, Mr. Chair. Mike Nahir. The response to the
17 question of the estimate for our Baker Creek program,
18 as we laid out, is as of -- for 2010 was their most
19 recent estimate, is \$18.1 million. Thank you, Mr.
20 Chair.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there -- is
22 there any further questions, John Curran?

23 MR. JOHN CURRAN: I'd asked for the
24 opportunity to do follow-up questions.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Can you do --

1 how many you got there?

2 MR. JOHN CURRAN: I believe I have -- I
3 believe I have one (1) or maybe two (2).

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. Go
5 ahead.

6 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Yes. Now, in either
7 the second or third round of IRs, your team had
8 examined two (2) options for the diversion of Baker
9 Creek. One was a fish-friendly route, valued at
10 approximately \$56 million, and one was a more direct
11 route, and although it was too steep for the fish, it
12 would only cost roughly \$10 million to construct.

13 Given that the restoration of Baker
14 Creek will never bring it back to a pristine level
15 where people would be able to feel comfortable to eat
16 the fish, it feels like we need another solution.

17 Now, providing it was timed in such a
18 way that it didn't delay the start of actual
19 remediation work at site, would the Developer agree to
20 the following: 1) divert Baker Creek using the direct,
21 non-fish-friendly route; and 2) work with the
22 Yellowknives Dene, NSMA, and DFO to identify another
23 suitable location to invest in creating fish habitat to
24 make up for the loss of Baker Creek?

25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, John
2 Curran. I'll go to the Developer.

3 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Thank you, Mr.
4 Chair. It's Mike Nahir. That -- that's a -- that's a
5 -- a very significant question and -- obviously. And
6 I'd like to take some time to provide a response to you
7 on that, if you would allow. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. One (1) -- are
9 you looking to respond back to that by the end of the
10 day or sometime tomorrow?

11 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: I -- I can get you
12 an answer as to when I can respond to that within about
13 five (5) minutes.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
15 All right. Adrian, I think you had another question
16 for Mr. O'Reilly.

17 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Thank you, Mr.
18 Chair. It was for Mr. Slack. Todd had mentioned a --
19 an assessment was done by the City that indicates that
20 there's insufficient source water protection. We're
21 not aware of this assessment. Is -- was it
22 available? Is it on the registry?

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Before I go to Todd
24 Slack, if there's any Elders here that want to go to
25 Dettah, the shuttle bus is here to go to Dettah if

1 anybody needs a ride. Then the next one's going to be
2 at 5:30.

3 Todd Slack...?

4 MR. TODD SLACK: Thanks, Mr. Chair.

5 And we've got the City guys behind me, and they can
6 provide the exact reference, but I'm reasonably sure
7 that this is on the registry already.

8 And the key finding of this report was
9 that there was insufficient time or opp -- opportunity,
10 were something unforeseen, an un -- an unfortunate
11 accident to happen, and that arsenic was leaked into
12 the bay, there wasn't sufficient distance in between
13 the intake, the response time, and the source of the
14 arsenic that would protect the drinking water. And
15 that's the conclusion that I've arrived at.

16 If the Proponent wants further
17 information, I can work with the City guys and get you
18 an answer in the next day or two (2).

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
20 I'll go back to the Developer.

21 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Thank you. That
22 should work. We'd like to take an opportunity, I think
23 just for the sake of time, if I may respectfully
24 suggest that we continue with the presentations.
25 There's some clarifications that we'd like to seek from

1 -- seek from some of the presentations made earlier.

2 But I think for the sake of time, if we
3 just allow the other parties to proceed with their --
4 proceed with their presentations, we can ask -- ask at
5 a later time.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Absolutely. I think
7 we could do that. So while you make your notes and con
8 -- and I believe there's some information you're going
9 to provide to us -- to Mr. Curran, so maybe you could
10 work on that as well.

11 And in the meantime, we'll proceed with
12 the -- Ecology North actually has twenty-five (25)
13 minutes. And DFO and the City of Yellowknife, they
14 have fifteen (15). So if we could do it back to back
15 and then we'll go into questions. Thank you.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

18

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, that was
20 Environment Canada. Mr. Donihee...?

21 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Mr.
22 Chairman. It's John Donihee. I just have one (1)
23 housekeeping item.

24 Alternatives North filed a response to
25 the question asked by Mr. Curran yesterday about their

1 -- who it was that they represented. And I know a copy
2 of this has been provided to the Developer.

3 And with your permission, Mr. Chairman,
4 we'll file that as Exhibit 5 for the proceeding.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. And can
6 you also provide one to Mr. Curran? Thank you.

7

8 --- EXHIBIT NO. 5: Response by Alternatives
9 North regarding question
10 asked by Board member
11 Curran about whom they
12 represent

13

14 PRESENTATION BY ENVIRONMENT CANADA - SURFACE
15 REMEDIATION:

16 MS. AMY SPARKS: Thank you. This is
17 Amy Sparks. And I'm actually with Environment Canada.
18 I didn't just ship to Ecology North.

19 So because we got bumped yesterday I'm
20 going to do our two (2) presentations back to back.
21 And the first one is our recommendations on water and
22 effluent. Anne Wilson was our lead on this and she's
23 provided the following recommendations. However, she's
24 not here today. So if there are questions, we'll do
25 our best to answer them.

1 Okay. So as we've heard yesterday and
2 today, if the remediation project moves forward, there
3 will be a new treatment and discharge configuration
4 that pipes the effluent straight into the receiving
5 environment and does not incorporate a polishing pond.

6 The major ion concentrations have not
7 been evaluated for the effluent, and these should be
8 monitored in the mine water in-flows and in the
9 effluent and in the receiving environment to inform
10 adaptive management. Acute toxicity testing should
11 also be completed to allow for the evaluation of the
12 effluent quality.

13 These points led to Environment Canada's
14 recommendation that ammonia, sulphate, and the major
15 ions be measured in influent as well as the effluent
16 and the receiving environment. This is in order to
17 ensure levels are not elevated. There should also be
18 whole effluent acute toxicity testing, and it needs to
19 be done on a higher frequency until the flooding is
20 completed and the effluent quality stabilizes.

21 Based on this recommendation, the
22 Proponent has committed to measuring ammonia, sulphate,
23 and the major ions in the influent and the effluent and
24 the receiving environment.

25 While the mine remains under MMER, the

1 Metal Mining and Effluent Regulations, the frequency of
2 toxicity testing is -- testing is determined through
3 that process, and Environment Canada has no concerns.
4 However, if the mine opts out of MMER and goes for
5 recognized clone -- closed-mine status, in this case
6 the water licence monitoring would be put in place.

7 Environment Canada would then provide
8 input to the regulatory board and would make
9 recommendations respecting the monitoring, frequency,
10 and toxicity testing. An example of this
11 recommendation would be that the whole effluent
12 toxicity testing be done quarterly, with increased
13 frequency to monthly during periods where a change in
14 water quality may be expected -- so, for example,
15 during flooding -- or if a greater than 30 percent
16 mortality is observed in the fish bioassay tests, until
17 the effluent quality stabilizes.

18 Environment Canada's second
19 recommendation in regards to water and effluent is that
20 a full characterization of the diffuser location be
21 done. This would include ongoing measurement of water
22 temperatures, water quality sampling, benthic
23 invertebrate community characterization, and sediment
24 characterization.

25 This information is important to inform

1 the Proponent of the risks associated with potential
2 sediment disturbance, as well as provide baseline data
3 for future comparisons. This recommendation has been
4 accepted by the Proponent, and they have committed to
5 undertaking these measures.

6 Our third recommendation was that the
7 diffuser stability and performance be monitored -- be
8 monitored continuously during imi -- initial
9 commissioning and at a higher frequency during the
10 first year of operation. This recommendation was also
11 accepted by the Proponent.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

15 MS. AMY SPARKS: So the second
16 presentation, which is now all one (1) presentation, is
17 on the following topics that Environment Canada
18 provided recommendations on.

19 So first, the air quality
20 recommendations. And these came from Dave Fox. And
21 Dave, unfortunately, is not with us today, as he is on
22 leave, but he provided our recommendations. We also
23 have wildlife recommendations, and our wildlife expert
24 is James Hodson, who is with us today. And we had
25 recommendations on the tailings cover and the Baker

1 Creek sediment -- sediment remediation, which came from
2 myself.

3 So Environment Canada made a
4 recommendation that the air quality monitoring plan, as
5 described in the SENES 2011 report, be continued for
6 the period during the remediation activities at the
7 Giant Mine site, plus at least one (1) year after the
8 remediation activities are completed.

9 Environment Canada has also recommended
10 that the Proponent develop trigger levels for which
11 dust suppression mitigation strategies are employed.
12 Both of these recommendations were accepted by the
13 Proponent.

14 We've also recommended that continuous
15 ambient monitoring for PM2.5 and NO2 is conducted near
16 the Niven Lake residential area. As we know, the power
17 demand for the Giant Mine project will add to the
18 existing power generation requirements at Jackfish for
19 the city of Yellowknife. And this will account for one
20 ninth (1/9) of the plant's capacity.

21 This will ultimately result in higher
22 emissions -- or, higher ambient concentrations of NO2
23 and PM2.5, and the modelling results indicate these
24 emissions may lead to exceedances of applicable ambient
25 air quality standards in the Niven Lake residential

1 area. It is important that these predictions are
2 verified by conducting ambient air quality monitoring
3 in order for the responsible regulatory authorities to
4 consider options. This recommendation has led to
5 further discussion with the Proponent and the GNWT, and
6 this is ongoing.

7 Environment Canada also provided a
8 number of recommendations, in terms of wildlife at the
9 Giant Mine Remediation Project. The first was that Pro
10 -- the Proponent needed to consult the fact sheet of
11 planning ahead to reduce risks to migratory bird nests.
12 This was accepted by the Proponent.

13 We also recommended that remediation
14 work in known nesting areas be undertaken either
15 before or after the nesting season and that structures
16 with no nests should be taken down either before or
17 after the nesting season. This was also accepted by
18 the Proponent.

19 We further recommended that if other
20 demolition or remediation work occurs during the
21 nesting season, these areas should be inspected for
22 active nests before demolition or remediation work
23 starts.

24 Areas should be thoroughly surveyed for
25 active nests using a scientifically sound approach a

1 maximum of four (4) days before destruction or
2 clearing. Surveys should be carried out by an avian
3 biologist or naturalist with experience with migratory
4 birds and migratory bird behaviour indicative of
5 nesting. This recommendation was accepted by the
6 Proponent.

7 Environment Canada also recommends --
8 recommends that if active nests are discovered the
9 Proponent should delay any work in the area until
10 nesting is complete. Nests must be protected by an
11 appropriately-sized buffer.

12 The Proponent has accepted this
13 recommendation, but required some further information.
14 So Environment Canada provided additional information
15 to the proponent regarding set-back distance
16 guidelines.

17 These are the guidelines that
18 Environment Canada has recommended in terms of set-back
19 distance. So for migratory bird nests -- nests and
20 also for species at risk. For birds that aren't listed
21 under the Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, so,
22 for example, raptors or ravens at the site, the
23 Proponent should consult with the GNWT/ENR for
24 recommended set-backs.

25 In terms of tailings covers, Environment

1 Canada provided two (2) recommendations for the
2 tailings cover at Giant Mine. Environment Canada is
3 recommended that the tailings cover be redesigned to a
4 greater depth to provide greater vegetation support
5 later -- layer so that the cover does not have the
6 potential to be compromised by vegetation growth.

7 Alternatively, we recommend that the
8 tailings cover design, if it proceeds to remain at --
9 at its current planned depth, that evidence need to be
10 provided that the vegetation will not penetrate into
11 the bottom tailings cover layer. A monitoring plan
12 should be put in place to ensure that the tailings
13 cover is performing as per its design specifications
14 and to prove that the vegetation is not infiltrating
15 the cap.

16 The Proponent has responded, indicating
17 that the depth is determined at the final design stage.
18 However, this is an outstanding issue for Environment
19 Canada and further discussion is required.

20 Environment Canada is concerned that the
21 depth that is proposed for the tailings cover will not
22 be sufficient to protect -- protection to the
23 environment with time. Environment Canada would like
24 to see recommendation 11 or, alternatively, as a fall-
25 back, recommendation 12 implemented.

1 Environment Canada is aware that the
2 tailings cover will be allowed to revegetate using
3 natural succession. It's -- we are concerned that the
4 depth that is proposed for the tailings cover will not
5 be sufficient to provide this protection. There is
6 potential for the roots to penetrate the cover and
7 reach the bottom layer and compromise the functions of
8 the layer.

9 But there is also the possibility of the
10 roots penetrating the tailings and uptaking metals. If
11 the vegetation has high concentrations of metals, then
12 there's the potential for exposure to wildlife.
13 There's also the potential for water to infiltrate the
14 tailings cap and cause boils or groundwater
15 contamination.

16 In terms of Baker Creek sediment
17 remediation, Environment Canada is aware that all the
18 information is not available at the time to make this
19 decision, so we're recommending that, once that
20 information is available regarding the sediments in
21 Baker Creek, that the selection of remedial options for
22 Reaches 2, 5, and 6 are made with input from all
23 interested parties, including Environment Canada.

24 This was also a recommendation that was
25 accepted by the Proponent and we will be working

1 together with them on this in the future. Thank you.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'd like
3 to go to DFO now, if they could do their presentation.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

6

7 POSITION PRESENTATION BY FISHERIES AND OCEANS CANADA -
8 SURFACE REMEDIATION:

9 MS. BEV ROSS: Thank you, Mr. Chair and
10 Board members. My name's Bev Ross. I'm the regional
11 manager for Environmental Assessment with Fisheries and
12 Oceans Canada, and with me is Morag McPherson, who's a
13 habitat biologist with DFO.

14 Like Environment Canada, we're going to
15 provide our comments on both the diffuser and outfall
16 and Baker Creek flows, the discussion from yesterday,
17 as well as our comments on Baker Creek remediation for
18 today.

19 Just a very quick overview that DFO is
20 participating in the environmental assessment for the
21 Giant Mine remediation project as a regulator for the
22 construction related to Baker Creek, the historic
23 foreshore tailings, and the diffuser and outfall, as
24 well as an expert advisor to the Review Board on
25 potential physical impacts of the development on fish

1 and fish habitat.

2 The following technical comments and
3 recommendations are based upon our departmental mandate
4 under the Fisheries Act, specifically related to the
5 management of fish and fish habitat.

6 DFO is also a science-based, expert
7 support department within the federal contaminated
8 sites action plan program. As such, DFO has been
9 providing project-specific advice to custodians to
10 assist in assessing ecological risks, developing
11 sampling and analysis plans, and evaluating remediation
12 and/or risk management activities.

13 Okay. Since 1983, treated mine effluent
14 from Giant has been discharged to Baker Creek in the
15 summer, causing an increase in flow during these
16 months. As these existing summer conditions in Baker
17 Creek have been artificially maintained by the mine
18 water discharge, there may be some effects to the
19 habitat availability in the summer by removing this
20 additional discharge.

21 The Giant Mine remediation plan proposes
22 to discharge the treated mine water into Back Bay. It
23 is DFO's understanding that the objectives for water
24 treatment are related to improving and upgrading the
25 water treatment plant capabilities, which would

1 eliminate the surface storage of arsenic-contaminated
2 water and allow for water treatment to take place three
3 hundred and sixty-five (365) days a year.

4 By removing the mine water discharge
5 into Baker Creek, lower summer flows may reduce
6 migratory access and habitat availability at various
7 creek locations by lowering the water levels in pools,
8 ponds, and slow-moving wetland areas.

9 This would re -- result in reduced
10 spawning habitat for adult fish, and reduced foraging
11 and rearing habitat for larval and juvenile fish.
12 Reduced summer flow may also affect dissolved oxygen
13 levels and the availability of food resources in the
14 creek.

15 That said, the positive impact of this
16 direct discharge -- of this would be -- the removal of
17 this direct discharge of treated mine water to Baker
18 Creek is that it will return the creek to a more
19 natural flow regime and reduce exposure of aquatic
20 biota to contaminants.

21 The current summer flows, then, are
22 artificially elevated by the annual mine water
23 discharge, and the proposed removal of this discharge
24 will return Baker Creek to its natural hydrologic
25 regime. Therefore, DFO does not consider the removal

1 of treated mine water discharge into Baker Creek to be
2 an adverse impact to fish and fish habitat.

3 DFO recommends that the final designs of
4 any future Baker Creek channel realignments and in-
5 stream habitat features be developed with a clear
6 understanding of the potential seasonal-based flows to
7 minimize the potential for channel barriers and impacts
8 to fish passage. The habitat restoration plan and
9 supporting channel designs would be submitted to DFO
10 for approval as a requirement of the Fisheries Act
11 authorization.

12 With respect to the outfall and the
13 diffuser, DFO has noted that there is a potential for
14 physical changes to fish and fish habitat use
15 associated with the construction of the outfall and
16 diffuser, as well as within the mixing zone of the
17 diffuser.

18 In the Developer's assessment report,
19 the Giant Mine remediation team evaluated the potential
20 environmental im -- effects during the construction
21 phase of the outfall and diffuser, as well as the
22 operation phase of the diffuser.

23 The assessment identified potential
24 effects to surface water and sediment quality due to
25 physical disturbance and mobilization of contaminants,

1 as well as physical disturbance to the aquatic
2 environment, including sediment, benthic invertebrates
3 -- those critters that are living on the bottom -- and
4 aquatic vegetation.

5 DFO has also noted that there is a
6 potential for physical changes to fish habitat and fish
7 use within the mixing zone of the -- oops, no -- within
8 the mixing zone of the diffuser, which requires further
9 assessment into the future. Based on the existing
10 information, construction and final design of the
11 outfall and diffuser may alter habitat. But additional
12 information is still required in order to make a final
13 determination on the potential impacts from the outfall
14 and diffuser on fish and fish habitat.

15 In terms of the potential impacts of the
16 operation of the diffuser in relation to water quality
17 objectives as noted by Environment Canada, they
18 administer that section of the Fisheries Act that
19 applies to the deposit of deleterious substances. And
20 we defer to their comments on that.

21 Our recommendation, then. DFO does --
22 DFO recommends that the completion of the fish habitat
23 assessment in Yellowknife Bay, along with the proposed
24 route of the outfall and at the location of the
25 diffuser. We understand that this data is currently

1 being collected.

2 DFO recommends the development of an
3 environmental monitoring plan, which outlines the
4 mitigation and monitoring measures for the construction
5 and operation of the proposed outfall and diffuser in
6 Yellowknife Bay to ensure adverse physical impacts to
7 fish and fish habitat are avoided.

8 And, finally, DFO will require the final
9 design and associated mitigation measures for the
10 outfall and diffuser to inform our regulatory review,
11 pursuant to the habitat perfe -- habitat provisions of
12 the Fisheries Act.

13 I'll now move on to our second
14 presentation. With respect to Baker Creek remediation,
15 the proposed remediation activity related to Baker
16 Creek involves realigning portions of the creek to
17 reduce flood risk, improving hydraulic performance,
18 enhancing physical habitat, and managing contaminated
19 sediments.

20 It's our understanding that the creek
21 needs to be stabilized both physically and chemically
22 in order to meet the stated objectives of the
23 remediation plan. Therefore, the impacts from
24 remediation activity to fish and fish habitat within
25 Baker Creek are unavoidable.

1 Baker Creek is currently a functioning
2 fish habitat, and disrupting that function through the
3 remediation activity will be subjected to the Fisheries
4 Act authorization process. Restoration of fish habitat
5 in Baker Creek is not only stated as a goal of the
6 remediation project, but will be a requirement of any
7 Fisheries Act authorization to mitigate and offset fish
8 habitat impacts.

9 It is our understanding and expectation
10 that efforts in the form of a Baker Creek restoration
11 plan will be required to develop, restore, and enhance
12 fish habitat within the remediated portions of the
13 creek channel.

14 Baker Creek runs a total length of
15 approximately 7.2 kilometres, originating at the outlet
16 of Lower Martin Lake and draining into Great Slave Lake
17 at its mouth. Since the realignment of Reach 4 of
18 Baker Creek in 2006, monitoring has been conducted on
19 fish use and habitat within the creek. Arctic grayling
20 spawning habitat enhancements were monitored to
21 evaluate the success of these fish habitat compensation
22 measures, as suitable spawning and rearing habitat.
23 These reports also identified other fish species that
24 migrate into and use the creek in the spring.

25 In 2010, a fish use and habitat survey

1 was conducted to document seasonal fish use, species
2 composition, life stages, and map fish habitat in
3 Reaches Zero to 6 of Baker Creek, as well as to
4 photograph the creek from Reach Zero to lower Martin
5 Lake. Additional fish monitoring was conducted in the
6 spring of 2011 to determine the state of fish use of
7 habitat in Baker Creek following the spring 2011
8 overflow event.

9 The results of these studies indicate
10 that although portions of Baker Creek have been heavily
11 altered by historic activities, the existing aquatic
12 system has been recovering over time. Reaches Zero to
13 6 provide a variety of habitats for numerous fish
14 species.

15 A total of fourteen (14) species of fish
16 have been captured or observed using portions of Baker
17 Creek on the mine site. Arctic grayling spawning and
18 rearing habitat has been documented in all reaches on
19 the mine site.

20 And I'm just going to show a few
21 pictures of adult fish that migrate into Baker Creek.
22 There's Arctic grayling here, longnose sucker, and
23 white sucker. And I'll go quickly through that. There
24 are also various fish life stages completed within
25 Baker Creek, including spawning, rearing and feeding

1 habitat for Arctic grayling. Arctic grayling spawning
2 and rearing has been documented in six (6) reaches of
3 Baker Creek.

4 In addition to Arctic grayling habitat
5 there are also species that use the creek year round,
6 such as northern pike and nine (9) spined stickleback.
7 As well, Baker Creek is known to provide numerous
8 spawning, rearing, feeding, and overwintering habitat
9 for numerous other fish species, such as lake
10 whitefish, slimy sculpin, white suckers and shiners.

11 After remediation, it is anticipated
12 that there will be reduced biological attributes within
13 the new creek channel until all the natural system
14 recovers. DFO anticipates that the remediation
15 activity will have effects on the current functionality
16 of fish habitat in Baker Creek.

17 Due to this -- due to the reduced
18 biological attributes in the new section of the creek
19 channel, it is expected that there will be reduced
20 primary production, bottom insect analogous
21 assemblages, mack -- emergent plants and riparian
22 vegetation that -- that grows on the -- along the
23 shoreline, compared to the existing creek conditions.

24 This reduced productive capacity is
25 expected to affect Baker Creek until the natural stream

1 ecosystem recovers beyond site remediation phase and
2 into the long-term operation and monitoring phase.

3 DFO does not consider these effects to
4 be unacceptable, provided that an approved restoration
5 plan designed to offset habitat losses and improve
6 habitat quality and quantity is implemented and
7 monitored to measure long-term recovery and restoration
8 success.

9 Our recommendations. DFO recommends the
10 completion of a sediment assessment -- and we
11 understand that's underway -- and the development of
12 associated remedial options to assist in selecting
13 final remediation plans for Reaches Zero, 2, 5, and 6
14 of Baker Creek. DFO will require this information in
15 order to determine the overall scale of the impacts for
16 Baker Creek.

17 DFO recommends that the restoration plan
18 and design that will achieve the offsetting of fish
19 habitat for Baker Creek be developed as part of the
20 overall remediation design for the creek. The
21 restoration plan and channel designs must be submitted
22 to DFO for approval as a requirement of the Fisheries
23 Act authorization.

24 DFO recommends the development of a
25 environmental management plan for the remediation and

1 restoration of Baker Creek that outlines the required
2 mitigation measures and monitoring plans. The
3 mitigation measures and monitoring plan will be a
4 requirement of any Fisheries Act authorization issued.
5 The plan would include details on mitigation measures
6 to be implemented to manage and minimize downstream
7 impacts to fish and fish habitat during remediation,
8 how the mitigation measures will be monitored, and a
9 monitoring plan to evaluate the restoration of Baker
10 Creek as functioning fish habitat.

11 Finally, DFO recommends that the
12 commitments made to engage the public and aboriginal
13 groups on the Baker Creek remediation options and
14 restoration plan be completed prior to finalizing the
15 remediation options, channel designs, and fish habitat
16 restoration plan. DFO will use the results of the
17 public and Aboriginal engagement when developing its
18 regulatory tools.

19 Thank you for the opportunity -- oh, one
20 (1) more. Sorry. Historic foreshore tailings.
21 Studies undertaken on the area of historical mine
22 tailings deposition in Yellowknife Bay have shown that
23 over the years the submerged tailings have been carried
24 and redistributed along the western side of north
25 Yellowknife Bay by wave action and la -- and lake

1 currents.

2 As noted in previous studies of the area
3 -- and I'm almost through -- the results indicated that
4 there was a continued effect to the benthic
5 invertebrate community, those insects that inhabit the
6 bottom -- the benthic invertebrate community structure
7 exposed to elevated arsenic concentrations in the
8 sediment.

9 The extension of a geotextile liner and
10 a riprap cover over the submerged tailings would assist
11 in preventing erosion and exposure of tailings, and
12 minimize the potential for continued migration of
13 tailings.

14 Provided it fully neutralizes the
15 potential contaminant mobilization from the tailings,
16 the cover would eliminate the direct exposure of the
17 bottom community to elevated arsenic levels in the
18 sediment and provide a clean substrate layer for those
19 invertebrates. Depending on the extent of the area to
20 be covered and the size of the riprap material to be
21 used, it could also improve habitat available to fish
22 in the area.

23 The final cover design and footprint, as
24 well as the construction details -- details have not been
25 put forward for the proposed remediation of the

1 historic foreshore tailings in Yellowknife Bay.

2 Therefore, DFO recommends the completion
3 of a fish habitat assessment in Yellowknife Bay in the
4 area of the historic tailings, including the extent of
5 the proposed tailings cover.

6 DFO also recommends the development of
7 an environmental management plan that outlines measures
8 to mitigate adverse impacts to fish and fish habitat
9 during construction of the cover for the historic
10 foreshore tailings area and to monitor to ensure that
11 the cover is functioning as intended.

12 DFO requests the final design and
13 mitigation measures for the submerged tailings cover be
14 provided to inform a review pursuant to the fish
15 protection provisions of the Fisheries Act.

16 Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, and we'd
17 be happy to entertain any questions.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think what I'll do
19 is I'll entertain the city's presentation and then I'll
20 come back for questions for all three (3) in one (1)
21 shot. Thank you. I'll get the city to come up and set
22 up.

23

24 (BRIEF PAUSE)

25

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Maybe what I'll do
2 is, while those guys set -- set up, the City, I'll --
3 I'm going to go to the questions for -- quickly, and
4 I'll separate the City from that.

5 The Environment Canada and DFO did a
6 presentation, so I'm going to go to the Developer. Is
7 there any questions for Environment Canada and DFEO --
8 DFO?

9

10 QUESTION PERIOD:

11 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: I apologize.
12 Thank you, Mr. Chair. No, the Proponent has no
13 questions for EC or DFO.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. I
15 want to go to City of Yellowknife. Did you have any
16 questions for -- for DFO and Environment Canada on
17 their presentation?

18 MR. DENNIS KEFALAS: Dennis Kefalas for
19 City of Yellowknife. No questions, but we'd like to
20 commend DFO for bringing up the issue of the foreshore
21 tailings.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The
23 Yellowknives Dene First Nation...?

24 MR. TODD SLACK: Thanks, Mr. Chair. No
25 questions.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

2 Alternatives North...?

3 MR. KEVIN O'REILLY: Thanks, Mr. Chair.

4 It's Kevin O'Reilly for Alternatives North. No
5 questions from us, but we think they did a good job,
6 both Environment Canada and DFO. Thanks.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
8 North Slave Metis Alliance...?

9 MS. SUSAN ENGE: No questions, thank
10 you.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
12 I'm going to the Board technical advisor.

13 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: If it please the
14 Chair, we'd like to put in a couple of staff questions
15 before the technical advisor questions instead of the
16 other way. Is it all right if -- it's Alan Ehrlich for
17 the Review Board.

18 Would it be okay if we did Board staff
19 questions prior to technical advisor questions?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, go ahead.

21 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you. Now,
22 these are questions for -- some are for Environment
23 Canada and some are for Department of Fisheries and
24 Oceans.

25

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

3 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: For Environment
4 Canada, could you please indicate what depth of tailing
5 cover would satisfy Environment Canada's concerns at
6 this time?

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
8 to Environment Canada.

9 MS. AMY SPARKS: Amy Sparks,
10 Environment Canada. Environment Canada would like to
11 see the tailings cover redesigned. At this point,
12 we're recommending at least 1.5 metres in depth, but
13 that is dependent on the depth of the roots of the
14 native vegetation in the area, and would need to be
15 confirmed through scientific literature.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
17 back to the Review Board staff.

18 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you. My next
19 question is for the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.
20 The Yellowknives Dene First Nation and others have
21 described concerns about contaminants in traditionally
22 harvested fish, as you're likely aware from your
23 participation in this hearing and this assessment to
24 date.

25 The Northern Contaminants Program has

1 established, again as you're likely aware, that
2 perceptions of contaminants in traditional foods affect
3 people's harvesting and the consumption of traditional
4 foods.

5 The question I'm asking DFO is: In your
6 view, how important is this issue?

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
8 to DFO.

9 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Morag McPherson
10 with Fisheries and Oceans. I think everyone has a
11 concern with the contamination and potential
12 contamination of fish in the bay related to Giant Mine.
13 I mean, we've heard it from the community, we've heard
14 it from the Board staff and the -- and -- and other
15 members of the public who've come up.

16 It's an important issue. This is a
17 contaminated site, and there's a remediation plan, and
18 you need to understand what's happening in the
19 environment and if it's safe.

20 We do believe that's an important issue
21 that is looked at at all contaminated sites in the
22 north that Fisheries and Oceans provides input on. And
23 we have recommended that there be assessments on the
24 fish tissue in Baker Creek as well as in the bay
25 related to this project.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Before I
2 go back to the Review Board's technical advisor, can
3 you be able to put your mic closer to you next time?
4 Alan Ehrlich...?

5 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: It's Alan Ehrlich,
6 Board staff. Thank you for that, Morag. I was not
7 actually speaking to how important DFO views the issue
8 of contaminants in fish. I was being specific, saying
9 the perceptions of contaminants in fish affects
10 traditional harvesting and consumption of fish,
11 according to the Northern Contaminants Program, which
12 DFO has been involved with for a number of years.

13 My question was: How important, in your
14 view, is this issue of perception of contaminants in
15 fish and consumption of traditionally harvested fish?

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Back to
17 DFO to the question.

18 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
19 Oceans Canada. DFO does view that perception as
20 important, and it's one (1) of the reasons that we do
21 recommend ongoing consultation with communities.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Review
23 Board staff...?

24 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you for that.
25 And one (1) final line of questioning. Is DFO aware of

1 any case studies, or of any studies, on chronic effects
2 on fish that use Baker Creek?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. DFO...?

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

6

7 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Yes, we are aware
8 of the studies and a lot of the historical studies that
9 have been done on Baker as well as the current studies.
10 In the Developer's assessment report and in a lot of
11 their supporting documents, all of this information has
12 been compiled and summarized.

13 In the one (1) section in the
14 Developer's assessment report, it describes the
15 existing environment, the aquatic environment, it
16 provides some summaries of -- of the results of those
17 studies and, as my colleague from Fisheries and Oceans
18 -- or from Environment Canada could say -- could maybe
19 describe in more detail, there's -- the Giant Mine is -
20 - has an environmental effects monitoring program
21 that's required related to the discharge of their mine
22 effluent. So there is ongoing study of the effects to
23 fish in Baker Creek, and these studies have been
24 summarized in the DAR.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go

1 back to Review Board staff.

2 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Thank you, Mr.
3 Chair. We don't have any more questions from Review
4 Board staff. Two (2) of the Board's experts would like
5 to ask questions, followed by questions from legal
6 counsel.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

10 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns.
11 I'm just -- I -- I realize it's getting late in the day
12 and I -- I don't want to belabour the point, but I just
13 want to bring up the concept of -- I can't think of
14 another word for it. I mean, I've been told I
15 shouldn't use the word "attractive nuisance" because it
16 sounds too trivial but, in fact, it -- it does mean
17 something.

18 It means that when you have toxic
19 substances in an environment you do not want to attract
20 something into it that would put them at risk. And
21 it's just an old term. I'm sure there are old
22 engineering terms and old government terms that have
23 been around for a long time.

24 So just to point out from -- from your
25 own documentation from the Tier 2 Risk Assessment that

1 the effects range for fish are 2.0 to 6.0 micrograms
2 per -- well, parts -- parts per million. In fish in
3 the creek, concentrations in tissues range from minus
4 two (2) to thirty (30), and between point four (.4) to
5 six (6), assuming moisture content of 80 percent.

6 And the conclusion was that while the
7 data for rainbow trout are not necessarily directly
8 applicable to other fish species, the data support the
9 results of the risk assessment that suggest that fish
10 in Baker Creek may be potentially at risk of adverse
11 effects. That's a very kind of consultancy way of
12 saying that there is potential for risk. And I haven't
13 see a lot of demonstration of effects to fish.

14 Fish in a habitat doesn't mean that fish
15 are doing well, it just means that they're there. It's
16 possible to create habitat for fish and draw them in,
17 but that doesn't mean that they don't have effects or
18 that it's necessarily good for them.

19 So I would have a question -- I have --
20 I have a couple of questions. I have one (1) -- one
21 (1) question in particular for the -- for DFO and that
22 is: Given that the statement that the CCME is not
23 appropriate for this project, and that when you -- when
24 you really look at the -- the levels that are
25 acquainted with harm in fish the concentrations

1 attenuated over to 2010 are orders of magnitude higher
2 than they should be for Baker Creek in the sediments,
3 and severe effect levels are set at three thousand
4 (3,000) -- or, 346 micrograms per gram, probable effect
5 levels set at seventeen (17), why you would accept
6 predicted AS levels in sediments of two thousand five
7 hundred (2,500) down to about fifteen hundred (1,500)
8 over a hundred-year life span?

9 Why would you put all the time and
10 effort into rehabilitating a creek that could
11 potentially draw fish in when there are other
12 alternative ways of enhancing habitat in the Northwest
13 Territories?

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
15 going to go to DFO.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

18

19 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Morag McPherson
20 with Fisheries and Oceans. Sorry, I'm neglecting to
21 say my name.

22 Just a point of clarification just so I
23 know from the information that you're referencing
24 there, Mr. Chair, I just want to understand what
25 information she's -- she's viewing.

1 Are you talking about information on the
2 current contamination in Baker right now, the numbers?
3 Or the predicted post-remediation values?

4 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: The predicted
5 post-remediation values for Baker Creek from the Tier 2
6 Ecological Risk Assessment.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll go to DFO.

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

10

11 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Sorry. Thank
12 you. Morag McPherson with Fisheries and Oceans.
13 There's a lot of layers to that question, so I'm trying
14 to figure out the best way to respond to that.

15 I think -- I think one (1) thing that I
16 would like to clarify, or that I think needs to be
17 clarified, is that we're not trying to create habitat
18 in a contaminated area. There's already existing
19 habitat in Baker, and Baker is contaminated. And we
20 recognize that that needs to be dealt with. And we
21 need to understand what the effects are, better
22 understand, and if it's safe.

23 We've requested information to get more
24 details on the effects of the sediment, what's going
25 on, and to help determine what has to happen at the

1 creek. And this information is being collected right
2 now and will help inform the future remediation
3 objectives for the creek.

4 And then, once those remediation
5 objectives have been established, with input by the
6 departments as well as the public, to determine what
7 has to happen with the sediment and what the future
8 objectives will be for the creek. Then we'll be able
9 to advance discussions on potential restoration in
10 those areas.

11 So I don't -- I just want to clarify
12 that we're not trying to create habitat in a
13 contaminated area. There already is habitat. It's
14 there. We didn't create it. It's being used and we
15 understand the level of contamination and the concern,
16 and that it needs to be addressed.

17 We've requested that, you know,
18 additional information be collected to inform our
19 understanding, to advance some of the information that
20 was -- the risk assessment was based on, the risk gaps.
21 There's more field data that was required to sort of
22 assist in these discussions. And -- and that
23 information is being collected to assist in -- in these
24 ongoing discussions to determine what the objectives,
25 the remediation objectives, will be for Baker in terms

1 of the contamination levels. And then we can talk
2 about restoration.

3 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Thank you.

4 Katherine Enns again. So I'm assuming that if you
5 determined that the concentrations in sediments were
6 not going to attenuate enough over time, or be drawn
7 down enough over time, that you would consider an -- a
8 -- an alternative to the enhancement of habitat in
9 Baker Creek that might draw fish in and expose them to
10 those harmful substances in the creek?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll go to DFO.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

15 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Morag McPherson,
16 Fisheries and Oceans. We're sorry, we need -- we just
17 need a bit of time to talk here on a few of these
18 things, because I think it's very important.

19 We don't -- it's difficult to answer
20 these questions, because we don't have the information
21 before us to make those types of conclusions or state
22 what we would or would not do. There's information
23 outstanding, there's decisions that have not been made
24 related to the remediation of Baker Creek and -- and
25 what it will look like into the long term.

1 So to -- yeah, we -- we just aren't able
2 to answer that question at this point without knowing
3 what the remediation objectives and the final
4 restoration plan will be for Baker Creek.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll go back to our
6 technical advisor.

7 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns
8 again. Is this not a final remediation plan?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll go back to DFO.

10 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Morag McPherson,
11 Fisheries and Oceans. This is a -- a remediation plan.
12 As outlined in the Developer's assessment report, the
13 Baker Creek remediation component, there are several
14 reaches of the creek where three (3) different options
15 for potential remediation were outlined, with the
16 understanding that further information and consultation
17 had to be undertaken to determine what happens to those
18 reaches.

19 So as assessed in the current DAR that -
20 - with the information we have and the discussions
21 we've had on this EA for the last four (4) years, it's
22 -- there are three (3) potential options for
23 remediation on several parts of the creek, so that the
24 final remediation plan for Baker Creek is not in place
25 yet.

1 MS. BEV ROSS: I think it's also -- Bev
2 Ross, Fisheries and Oceans Canada. I think it's also
3 important to have some greater certainty on -- on the
4 direction, because you -- we're hearing, If this and if
5 that.

6 If we have knowledge and -- and we do
7 have this expectation that the level of contamination
8 in the creek is going to decline, then it does
9 necessitate, I think, some further discussion on
10 looking at restoration and getting community input on
11 that restoration and what the community's thoughts are.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
13 going to go to the Review Board legal counsel. And I'm
14 just thinking about maybe is there a need here for an -
15 - an undertaking here?

16 MR. JOHN DONIHUE: Thank you, Mr.
17 Chairman. I'd just like to point out that the issuance
18 of a Fisheries authorization is subject to screening
19 under the MVRMA in the same way that an application for
20 a land use permit or a water licence is. Now what
21 triggered this environmental assessment of course was a
22 -- an application for a water licence that was referred
23 by the City of Yellowknife.

24 But I-- I'm just curious about the last
25 answer that we got because, you know, there isn't going

1 to be another assessment done. And so, you know, if
2 there's going to -- if you're anticipating that there's
3 going to be some kind of additional information filed
4 in -- in relation to -- that goes beyond what's in the
5 DAR that can be the basis for your department's
6 decision that -- that's all well and good. You're a
7 regulator and that's your responsibility to do that.

8 But, you know, this is the only DAR you
9 get to assess and to comment on in order to assist the
10 Review Board. And, you know, I just -- it's starting
11 to sound a little bit confusing from my perspective as
12 to just what -- you know, what remediation plan or
13 plans DFO seems to, you know, think it -- it's going to
14 review. And how, in effect, since it -- those plans,
15 no matter where they come from, are subject to the Act,
16 you're going to provide that advice to the Review
17 Board.

18 You know, not -- not to put -- to jump
19 too far ahead here. But with the greatest of respect,
20 very few of your recommendations have anything
21 whatsoever to do with this impact assessment, they're
22 all related to your role. I went through them one (1)
23 by one (1) rather carefully and they're all related to
24 your responsibilities as a -- as a regulator.

25 So, you know, we're -- we're trying to

1 understand what the impacts of the activities proposed
2 are. And with respect both to you and to the
3 Developer, to say that we're going to get more
4 information later is really no help at all.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
6 Donihee, for that clarification. I'm going to go back
7 to DFO.

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

10

11 MS. BEV ROSS: I think I heard -- oh,
12 Bev Ross, Fisheries and Oceans Canada. I think I heard
13 more comment than question there. So I'm just going to
14 reiterate.

15 In -- in general overall DFO is
16 supportive of the remediation and restoration of Baker
17 Creek. So we anticipate the Proponent providing
18 additional details for us in the regulatory phase in
19 order to inform our regulatory instruments.

20 We do, in terms of our assessment though
21 have concluded that overall the -- sorry, the
22 remediation and restoration of Baker Creek will have a
23 net benefit to fish and fish habitat.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to go back
25 to the Review Board, Mr. Donihee. Did he have a -- did

1 he want to respond.

2 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Mr.
3 Chairman. I will switch back over to the technical
4 questions.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Please proceed.

6 MR. DAVE TYSON: Thank you, Mr.
7 Chairman. Dave Tyson. Excuse me. We're talking here
8 about a restoration in Baker Creek. From what we've
9 seen about the -- the water quality modelling in Baker
10 Creek, immediately after remediation at site we're
11 looking at about -- there are arsenic levels about
12 twenty-three (23) times the CCME guidelines for
13 protection of aquatic life. Over the next ninety (90)
14 years that will decline to a point of just over twenty
15 (20) times.

16 The site is not being restored, it's
17 being remediated. And what you're proposing is -- or,
18 what we're talking about here is restoration in the
19 creek while the land draining in -- into is only
20 remediated. So there's going to be -- it -- it appears
21 to be extended non-point source drainage of arsenic
22 into the creek upstream from historic deposition coming
23 off of the -- the mine site itself.

24 And so my question is: Is it
25 appropriate to undertake a habitat restoration project

1 in a creek where the water quality, particular --
2 particularly arsenic, is going to exceed CCME
3 guidelines for the foreseeable future?

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
5 going to go to DFO.

6 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
7 Oceans Canada. Based on what we understand from the
8 Proponent's information and their ecological risk
9 assessment the restoration of Baker Creek would not
10 pose an unacceptable risk to aquatic habitat.

11 As we mentioned before the fish are
12 already using Baker Creek, they are already attracted
13 to it. They're using it to spawn and rear and other
14 uses. It's our view that by improving the habitat
15 there may be improved opportunities for successful
16 spawning and rearing.

17 Sorry, and Morag is going to add a few
18 more comments.

19 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Kat Enns. One (1)
20 more question? Oh, sorry.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: DFO...?

22 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Yeah, I just want
23 to add a comment that -- that we are very aware this is
24 a remediation project. And our approach to this
25 project has been that Baker Creek needs to be remediated,

1 the site needs to be remediated. There are issues that
2 need to be remedied and we are aware of those.

3 And in assessing this project we've
4 assumed that all of Baker Creek on the Giant Mine site,
5 as the options have been outlined, have been assessed
6 that there will be remediation work disrupting the
7 current functioning habitat, and that's how it's been
8 conceptually been assessed.

9 The final plans are not in place, but we
10 understand that it -- it has to be remediated and
11 that's the priority. Once that's been determined and
12 an acceptable level of remediation has been selected
13 then we can talk about the restoration. And when I'm
14 talking about restoration, I mean restoring a certain
15 function.

16 The Creek is functioning at a level
17 right now as fish habitat. And we are assuming that at
18 least after the remedial actions have been taken that
19 we would attempt to restore it back to its currently
20 functioning level or to enhance it. But these are
21 things that still need to be discussed.

22 And I think that the recommendations
23 that we've put forward address some of those gaps and
24 things that we feel are important for the Board to
25 consider. Things like public engagement that we've

1 heard -- public engagement on Baker Creek restoration
2 from other parties that we are putting forward as
3 recommendations and things that we feel will assist in
4 mitigating and managing effects of this remediation
5 project.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Review Board...?

7 MR. DAVE TYSON: Thank you, Mr.
8 Chairman. Dave Tyson. So -- excuse me. Are you -- so
9 the CCME guidelines are therefore not necessarily
10 appropriate for this project?

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: To DFO.

12 MR. DAVE TYSON: Would you agree?

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

15

16 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Mr. Chair, I
17 could defer to my colleague here at Environment Canada
18 to maybe provide some information or a response on --
19 related to the CCME guidelines, if that's all right.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, okay. We'll
21 proceed with Environment Canada.

22 MS. AMY SPARKS: Amy Sparks,
23 Environment Canada. There's been many discussions
24 surrounding guidelines for this site. But, as you
25 know, not only is the water coming down the creek

1 already above CCME guidelines, but background isn't
2 taking it into account when those CCME guidelines are
3 created for Canada.

4 So we've been advocating from day 1 that
5 there are site-specific guidelines that are used on the
6 site and that CCME freshwater aquatic life wouldn't be
7 appropriate for the creek.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We'll go back
9 to the Review Board staff or technical advisor.

10 MR. DAVE TYSON: Thank you. Dave
11 Tyson. What would be the background for this area?

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll go back to
13 Environment Canada.

14 MS. AMY SPARKS: Amy Sparks,
15 Environment Canada. There have been some struggles
16 finding an appropriate background area. They have used
17 the Yellowknife River for the EEM studies to use an
18 appropriate area. But there was discussion determining
19 whether that was appropriate or not, so that discussion
20 would still need to be had. I don't think there's a --
21 there's a fantastic -- fantastic place for those
22 background concentrations, an appropriate reference
23 area, but there are some good options.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll go back to the
25 Review Board technical staff.

1 MR. DAVE TYSON: Thank you. Dave
2 Tyson. So what I'm hearing is, we don't know?

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
4 back to Environment Canada, to the question.

5 MS. AMY SPARKS: Amy Sparks,
6 Environment Canada. Site-specific water quality
7 objectives haven't been developed so, yes, at this
8 point we -- we don't know the number that would be used
9 because that number hasn't been developed yet.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
11 back to the Review Board technical staff.

12 MR. DAVE TYSON: But what we do know is
13 we do know that there's historic deposits that are
14 leaching into the creek and we don't know what the --
15 the background is. So -- but what we also know is that
16 Baker Creek will be twenty (20) to twenty-three (23)
17 times the CCME guidelines.

18 Just trying to clarify. Thank you.

19 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: I wanted to refer
20 to --Mr. Chair, Katherine Enns. I would like to refer
21 you to the uncertainty principle, and I had it on a
22 PowerPoint presentation for -- to read it out to you.
23 It is a precautionary -- the precautionary principle.
24 So if you just wait a second I'm going to find it
25 because I think it applies here. I was thinking of the

1 Heisenberg uncertainty principle, but I got the -- my
2 principles mixed up.

3 "Ladies and gentleman, the
4 precautionary principle, or
5 precautionary approach, states that
6 if an action or a policy has a
7 suspended risk of causing harm to the
8 public or to the environment, in the
9 absence of scientific consensus that
10 the action or policy is harmful the
11 burden of proof that is not harmful
12 falls on those taking the action.
13 This principle allows policy makers
14 to make discretionary decisions in
15 situations where there is a
16 possibility of harm from taking a
17 particular course or making a certain
18 decision when extensive scientific
19 knowledge on the matter is lacking.
20 The principle implies that there is a
21 social responsibility to protect the
22 public from exposure to harm when
23 scientific investigation has found a
24 plausible risk. These protections
25 can be relaxed only if further

1 scientific findings emerge that
2 provide sound evidence that no harm
3 will result."

4 Now in some legal systems, as in the law
5 of the European Union, you know -- so that is a
6 statutory law. Here in Canada, it's just a principle.

7 I ask: Would you consider the
8 precautionary principle as a guiding rule for your
9 steps forward in the management of Baker Creek?

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
11 to DFO.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

15 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
16 Oceans Canada. I think there's also an argument to be
17 made that a precautionary approach will be taken in
18 this matter.

19 It's our understanding that we're
20 looking at actions that would be an improvement over
21 existing conditions. The Proponent's information
22 indicate -- and their risk assessment indicates that
23 restoration would not pose an unacceptable risk to
24 aquatic habitat. And we have recommended monitoring --
25 ongoing monitoring and adaptive management in order to

1 continue to manage the risk going into the future.

2 MS. KATHERINE ENNS: Katherine Enns.

3 Thank you very much for your -- your comments. I have
4 no further questions.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any further
6 questions from the Review Board technical staff, legal
7 counsel, tech -- technical advisor?

8 MR. DAVE TYSON: Yes, thank you, Mr.
9 Chairman. I've read the reports on Reach 4. That's
10 the -- that was the diversion. And they were very
11 interesting.

12 Would you agree that they -- that Reach
13 4 could serve as a proof of concept for, say, a north
14 diversion and the creation of fish habitat in a
15 diversion around the mine site?

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. DFO...?

17 MS. BEVCanada. We haven't had an
18 opportunity to review a proposal for a north diversion.
19 We're aware that there's been some discussion around
20 it, but we don't have any information with which to
21 look at that right now. So we would not be in a
22 position to provide comments on it at this time.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go
24 back to the Review Board technical staff.

25 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Mr. Chair, I'd

1 like to try and add -- and I know this is between DFO
2 and all the rest, but I would like to try and get in
3 some clarification here, if that all -- is at all
4 possible.

5 MR. ALAN EHRLICH: Mr. Chair, this Alan
6 Ehrlich speaking. The previous speaker was Adrian
7 Paradis. Alan Ehrlich with the Review Board staff.

8 There are no further questions from
9 Review Board experts, staff, or legal counsel.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I want -
14 - I was going to -- the Developer, I guess -- I had
15 come to you first and there was no questions. So is
16 there a question now to DFO on their presentation?
17 Because if not, I'm going to go to my Board Members.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

20

21 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Thank you. Thank
22 you, Mr. Chair. I apologize for about the
23 interruption. Please proceed. Thank you.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
25 going to go to my far left now, John Curran. Is there

1 any questions for DFO and/or Environment Canada on
2 their presentation?

3 MR. JOHN CURRAN: I just have one (1),
4 Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry.

5 DFO, given the choice between creating
6 new fish habitat away from the contamination or
7 investing money into additional fish habitat in a place
8 where people would never be able to eat the fish from,
9 could you please tell me which one you would choose?

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. DFO to
11 the question.

12 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
13 Oceans Canada. I think we would want to see a concrete
14 proposal before we evaluated the benefits and risks of
15 one versus the other.

16 The "would never be able to eat the
17 fish" part of it isn't something that has -- is
18 something that we would be able to evaluate. That's
19 outside of our mandate. What we have in front of us is
20 impacts to existing fish habitat and a proposal to
21 remediate and restore. So that's what we provided our
22 comments on.

23 If there is an alternative put forward,
24 we would be happy to review it and provide the Board
25 with comments on it. But we don't have that right now,

1 so we don't know what that looks like. I know that's
2 not as helpful as you would like it to be, and I
3 apologize for that. But we would be, you know, happy
4 to entertain alternatives, should they be put before
5 us. Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. John
7 Curran...?

8 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Should an alternative
9 be put forward that allowed for the creation of fish
10 habitat away from the contaminated site, do you think
11 that you would be supportive of it, yes or no?

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. DFO...?

13 MS. BEV ROSS: A proposal that created
14 fish habitat would certainly be something that we would
15 want to look at and could, yes, potentially be
16 something we would support.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. John
18 Curran...?

19 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Thank you for your
20 clarity. Nothing further.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Percy
22 Hardisty...?

23 MR. PERCY HARDISTY: No questions, Mr.
24 Chair.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. James Wah-

1 shee...?

2 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Well, thank you
3 for your presentation there. I did have some questions
4 in regards to -- essentially, what -- what is DFO
5 responsible for? I mean, you state in one sense that,
6 yes, you have the responsibility for the -- the health
7 of -- of fish, I assume.

8 Right across Canada, as I understand it,
9 DFO gets themselves involved in the regulatory process.
10 In the Eastern Canada you deal with cod; in BC you deal
11 with salmon. I'm not sure if you get involved with
12 crabs and shellfish; I assume you do.

13 However, when it comes down to people,
14 you know, that have the right to harvest fish, I assume
15 that -- that's essentially the reason why DFO is
16 involved in fish. It's for -- it's like a national
17 resource which should be harvest by people. And as DFO
18 is aware, Aboriginal people, in terms of their diet,
19 depend upon wildlife and fish. And here we have a
20 situation where Aboriginal people still rely on their
21 traditional diet, and that includes fish. And here
22 we're talking about a clean-up.

23 And the -- and you're probably aware of
24 the presentation that was made by the Yellowknife Dene.
25 I assume you were here and you heard. And it's very

1 clear that the Yellowknife Dene and other Aboriginal
2 people would like to continue to harvest fish.

3 Now their traditional harveting --
4 harvesting area was primarily in the Yellowknife Bay
5 before this catastrophe occurred in the Yellowknife
6 Mine and Great Slave Lake.

7 Now with your answers that you have
8 given to questions of clarification in regards to fish
9 habitat, when you say that we are not creating a fish
10 habitat, it's already there. We all know that.
11 However, it -- it's -- reminds me of a -- an ada --
12 analogy where DFO is playing the role of a doctor
13 looking after fish in the hospital of those two (2)
14 habitats which are contaminated, which is not really
15 healthy for the fish, and people can't even consume it.

16 But still DFO digs in their heels to
17 indicate that they still want to restore a contaminated
18 site for fish so that they can spawn and then finally
19 they end up in Great Slave Lake.

20 And the concern of the Yellowknife Dene
21 is that they catch fish in their nets, and the fish
22 that spawn in Baker Creek essentially ends up in Great
23 Slave Lake. So the ones that do not spawn in Baker
24 Creek and they spawn elsewhere, I would assume where
25 it's not contaminated, then you end up with a healthy

1 fish that would swim in Great Slave Lake.

2 So I guess the concern here of the
3 Yellowknife Dene is that when they catch fish in their
4 nets, they kind of wonder where did those fish come
5 from. Did they spawn in Baker Creek, or are they --
6 were spawning elsewhere? And I guess that's where the
7 confusion comes in, is whether the harvesting rights of
8 the Dene people is being undermined in a sense, because
9 there's a question period in regards to whether those
10 species are healthy for human consumption.

11 And then I'm very surprised to hear that
12 you say, Well, the human consumption of the fish,
13 whether it's healthy for humans, I assume you are
14 suggesting that this is the responsibility of Health
15 Canada.

16 Am I correct in my assumption?

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
18 going to go to DFO to the question.

19 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
20 Oceans Canada. I wanted to start our response with
21 acknowledging the concerns that a number of parties
22 have expressed about consumption of fish.

23 And it is a complex -- I mean, the
24 government always likes to make things complicated, and
25 this is another part of that. So we're -- Environment

1 Canada has responsibilities under the Fisheries Act for
2 water quality, we have responsibilities for fish and
3 fish habitat, and we also manage the fishery here in
4 the North.

5 And we would rely on Health Canada to
6 provide advice on whether the fish is healthy for
7 consumption. So, yes, it would be Health Canada that
8 would issue any advisories in respect of -- of
9 consumption of the fish.

10 I'm just going to confer with my
11 colleague for a moment, because we might have one (1)
12 additional comment in respect of that.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

15

16 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Thank you. Morag
17 McPherson with Fisheries. As I had mentioned before,
18 we had recommended that additional fish tissue be
19 collected in order to try to answer these questions.

20 The Proponent, Giant Mine, has and is
21 underway collecting some -- some of this tissue data so
22 that we can answer these questions. And I think the
23 approach we've been taking on this project is, in a
24 way, a precautionary approach, because we don't have
25 the information to answer those to say, Yes, there is

1 an effect, the fish are affected right now in the
2 current contamination, and that we don't have the
3 answer around being able to consume the fish.

4 And -- and that would be up to Health
5 Canada to do the human health consumption on the fish
6 and advise the Government of the Northwest Territories
7 if -- if a consumption advisory is required.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
9 going to go back to Mr. James Wah-shee.

10 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Mr. Chairman,
11 thank you very much. If I understand it correctly, I -
12 - I don't believe the Government of the Northwest
13 Territories Department of Health and Social Services
14 really have anything to do with the administration or
15 whether the fish species is good for human consumption.

16
17 I believe the human consumption and the
18 health of the fish is really the responsibility of
19 Health Canada and not the GNWT. Am I correct?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll go
21 to DFO.

22 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Hopefully we can
23 clarify this. I think we have -- I think we're saying
24 the same thing. The Government of the Northwest
25 Territories, as far as my understanding -- and I know -

1 - I think either yesterday or the day before, it's all
2 blending; Ray -- Ray Case spoke to this -- that it is
3 Health Canada who undertakes the human health
4 assessments, but it is -- Health and Social Services
5 under the Government of the Northwest Territories
6 relies on Health Canada's assessment.

7 And they are the official government
8 body in the Northwest Territories that will issue a
9 consumption advisory based on the assessment of Health
10 Canada, and that's my understanding of the process.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
12 James Wah-shee...?

13 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Thank you, Mr.
14 Chairman. Well, just for sake of clarification,
15 because this issue is not going to go away; it has to
16 be addressed one way or the other.

17 It's Aboriginal people putting forth the
18 question very clearly to the federal government in
19 regards to harvesting rights, the human consumption of
20 fish species which is part of the Aboriginal diet. And
21 it's also the right of Aboriginal people to pursue
22 their traditional pursuit, which includes traditional
23 foods and I'm sure you appreciate that.

24 And therefore as part of the -- this
25 particular project it may be appropriate to have DFO

1 and Health Canada clarify whether the fish species that
2 spawn in Baker Creek, whether they -- they can be
3 consumed by human people -- by people and that it will
4 not fect -- affect their health.

5 So we -- we require that clarification
6 because obviously DFO is going to get themselves
7 involved with the -- with the Baker Creek project. And
8 I don't believe it's the responsibility of the -- of
9 the Proponent, as such, because the Proponent don't
10 regulate fisheries. DFO does. But DFO does not have
11 the responsibility for human consumption. That's the
12 responsibility of Health Canada, as you've made it very
13 clear.

14 Now what -- what we need is perhaps an
15 undertaking by DFO and Health Canada to look at the
16 proposed project in regards to Baker Creek and to have
17 an undertaking so that we can be properly informed in
18 regards to the concerns that have been raised through
19 this community hearing, because we, as a Board, would
20 like to address that.

21 In order for us to address that, we need
22 the assistance of DFO and Health Canada so that the
23 concerns raised can be appropriately addressed. Thank
24 you.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'll go

1 to DFO to the question and to the question to the
2 undertaking as well.

3 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
4 Oceans Canada. Obviously we're not in a position to
5 speak for Health Canada. And I guess I want to better
6 understand what the undertaking would be.

7 My understanding is that some additional
8 fish tissue data is being collected that would inform
9 the kind of risk assessment that would be helpful to
10 the Board. But I don't think that information would be
11 immediately available.

12 Perhaps what we could have a discussion
13 on and get back to the Board, perhaps tomorrow morning,
14 is a process that we could outline to the Board for
15 getting that information. We can have some discussion
16 with the Developer and with some of our colleagues and
17 see if we can propose a path forward that would address
18 the concerns expressed.

19 Would that be helpful?

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
21 going to go to the Review Board legal counsel, Mr. John
22 Donihee.

23 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Mr.
24 Chairman. John Donihee. I -- I think that probably
25 would be quite helpful. But I -- I do want to just say

1 one (1) thing about what Mr. Wah-shee is asking for.

2 You know, if the answer is, We're going
3 to do more studies later, it's not helpful to the
4 Board. The Board has to make a decision. Once the
5 Hearing is over and the undertakings are filed and we
6 have final submissions, there's going to be a decision
7 made. And if the Board doesn't have the information
8 that it needs to make a decision, this -- this affects
9 the interests of your colleagues, you know, and AANDC
10 as well, because they want to go forward with this
11 project.

12 So, you know, the -- more fish tissue
13 samples collected at some point in the future really
14 does not help the Board to make a decision about
15 whether there's an impact or not on the basis of the
16 case that's been put forward here. And I -- I think
17 that's the difficulty. You know, take your regulator's
18 hat off for a moment, you know, because you never issue
19 the permit until they satisfy you.

20 But, you know, this is the impact
21 assessment process, and the Review Board has a shot at
22 this. We have the DAR in hand. We have the material
23 that's going to be filed. And then a decision is made.
24 And so we don't get to wait for four (4) or five (5)
25 months because these other people need a decision.

1 So please talk to your colleagues,
2 review the material that's on the record, tell the
3 Board what you can tell them right now on the basis
4 that -- of the evidence that you have. And please --
5 you know, that at least will clarify things and help.
6 It -- it won't help to tell us that if you have more
7 fish tissue samples that you can do a better job.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
9 Donihee, for that clarification. And that's exactly
10 why we're here. This is a process. And at the end of
11 the day, by Friday, we need to have everything in hand
12 here: evidence, everything else. The public records
13 close.

14 You know, we're here to do the
15 assessment of this whole thing. And -- and Mr. Wah-
16 shee put some really good questions forward, so we need
17 you to answer the question. Thank you.

18 MS. BEV ROSS: Okay. I'm sorry. Bev
19 Ross, Fisheries and Oceans Canada. What Fisheries and
20 Oceans Canada. What Fisheries and Oceans Canada cannot
21 provide advice to the Board on is the question of
22 consumption and the impact to human health. We're not
23 able to do that. It's not within our mandate. We
24 don't have the expertise.

25 So I would like to be more helpful to

1 the Board if we can. And again, perhaps collectively
2 we can have the discussion about a process that might
3 get that infor -- or, at least identify a way of moving
4 that issue forward.

5 But I'm a little at a loss to, you
6 know, be able to give you a "yes" or "no" answer, I --
7 I can't do that. I -- we don't have the mandate. We
8 don't have the expertise at DFO to advise on the
9 suitability of fish for consumption. That is Health
10 Canada's mandate.

11 So if the Board will allow, perhaps we
12 can have some discussion with some of our other federal
13 colleagues and try be -- at least before the record
14 closes, to find an acceptable way forward for the
15 Board.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, it doesn't
17 make sense for you to talk to your federal colleagues.
18 I mean, you guys are here for your department and
19 pertaining to this project, you know. And there's some
20 really good questions that came out in the last day
21 here, and then the question was put forward to you.

22 And -- and in two (2) days we're done.
23 This is -- we -- we waited a long time to come to this
24 point. You know, I think the public and -- and the --
25 the community, and also the Developer is also here,

1 we're -- we're all here. You know, this is the one (1)
2 time we have to kick at the can. And I don't know if
3 we're going to wait anymore. You know, if it's not in
4 a file -- I mean, if you don't have it here, then we're
5 going to go ahead and make a decision.

6 You know -- so, anyways, I'm going to go
7 to My Friend, Mr. Donihee. Did you have a follow-up
8 question or a comment?

9 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you. It's
10 John Donihee. No, Mr. Chairman. I was just about to
11 offer to provide them with the phone number for Health
12 Canada if they needed it.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. No, no, let's
14 not go there right now. But anyways, I'm going to --
15 if there's no further comments or questions from our
16 staff, I think it's clear. I think we're going to
17 continue on.

18 Mr. Wah-shee, do you have any further
19 questions? I mean, it's -- it's all recorded, it's on
20 record. So we're moving forward, thank you.

21 Mr. Wah-shee...?

22 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Mr. Chairman, I'm
23 quite disappointed that I'm not getting a very clear
24 reply. As you're aware, those concerns have been
25 raised in this community hearing.

1 And I, as on the -- on the Board, wanted
2 to make sure that some -- some clarification should be
3 given to the Aboriginal people that have raised this
4 concern. And so I'll just leave it at that. And thank
5 you for your reply. Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Wah-
7 shee, for your -- your question and -- and comments.
8 Mr. Richard Mercredi...?

9 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: Yeah, I do have
10 a questions, I guess -- or, maybe two (2). It's on
11 Baker Creek and for the two (2) -- two (2) ladies from
12 Department of Fisheries and Oceans, just a simple "yes"
13 or "no" question.

14 Would you -- would either of you ladies
15 eat the fish from Baker Creek after the remediation
16 project is completed?

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: That's a really good
18 question there, my friend. I'm going to go to DFO.

19 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Were you asking
20 post- remediation or right now? Sorry, Morag McPherson
21 with Fisheries and Oceans.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Mercredi...?

23 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: Post -- post-
24 remediation, after it -- after it's done.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: DFO...?

1 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: No, I would not
2 eat fish from Baker Creek. And the reason I would not
3 is because it would be closed to fishing. There is a
4 regulatory change being put in place to close Baker
5 Creek to fishing based on Fisheries managements
6 objectives. It's currently a catch and release. And
7 based on Fisheries objectives, to protect the Arctic
8 grayling populations. As of April 1st of next year
9 Baker Creek, will be closed to fishing. So post-
10 remediation, I would not be catching or eating fish
11 from Baker Creek.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, before
13 I go back to Richard Mercredi, the question is: Would
14 you eat the fish? Not weather you caught it out of
15 season or not.

16 If it's put in front of you and it's
17 cooked, yes or no?

18 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
19 Oceans Canada.

20 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: No.

21 MS. BEV ROSS: We would want to know
22 the results of that risk assessment -- the human health
23 risk assessment before we started to eat the fish. So
24 if there was a consumption advisory issued for fish in
25 Baker Creek, we would not --

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: No, excuse me --

2 MS. BEV ROSS: -- want to engage in
3 that. Bev Ross --

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- I -- this
5 question -- we need to know. I mean, it was put
6 forward and it's a simple yes or no. Both of you,
7 right here. You guys live in Yellowknife, right?

8 MS. BEV ROSS: Yes.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. The question:
10 Are you saying, yes, you would eat the fish if it's in
11 front of you?

12 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Morag McPherson.
13 Yes, I live in Yellowknife. And I would need
14 information in order to answer that question.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Mercredi,
16 proceed.

17 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: And sorry, Mr. --

18 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: Okay. My second
19 question then is, now that you won't eat the fish is I
20 guess I'm wondering why the Department of Fisheries and
21 Oceans are supporting their remediation of Baker Creek,
22 which is -- I guess, is a contaminated site. I
23 understand it's going to improve the site, it will not
24 clean it up. It will still be contaminated and the
25 fish will still be -- will -- will still not be eaten

1 by either one of you or myself.

2 And I'm wondering why you're not
3 supporting a blockage of Baker Creek so the fish don't
4 access that area anymore, never mind the fishing, that
5 would prevent fishing, and looking to create habitat
6 somewhere else, because as I understand it, the
7 Department of Fisheries and Oceans, it's your
8 responsibility to look after fish habitat, make sure
9 it's clean and stuff.

10 And why are you supporting looking after
11 a contaminated site instead of just block it off and
12 find another area for the fish to go? And you take
13 care of the fishing problem also, because the fish
14 won't be able to access the Creek, whether it's an
15 electric fence or barrier.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
17 Mercredi, for your question. I'm going to go to DFO to
18 the question.

19 MS. BEV ROSS: First DFO absolutely
20 does acknowledge the concerns that have been expressed
21 by the community, in terms of the concerns around
22 consumption. So we don't -- we take that seriously.

23 I think what we understand in terms of
24 the -- the project is that it's designed to improve the
25 conditions in Baker Creek. In terms of the fitness for

1 consumption and -- and kind of coming back to the
2 previous question, for myself, I wouldn't consider
3 eating the fish in Baker Creek until some assessment
4 had been done on the safety of it.

5 So that's where I would put it. It
6 wouldn't be that I would never eat it, but I wouldn't
7 eat it until I had some assurance that it was safe. So
8 I think that data is being collected.

9 In terms of an alternative, it wasn't
10 our understanding that we had been requested in this
11 environmental assessment to assess what we would call
12 alternative means of carrying out the project. Those
13 alternatives -- blocking and relocating Baker Creek --
14 were not before us. We weren't asked to assess it. So
15 we weren't -- we don't have an opinion on it. We're
16 not opposed to looking at that alternative, but we
17 don't have an opinion on it right now, because that
18 alternative has not been put before us.

19 So again, we could be as -- we'd like to
20 be as helpful as we can, but that alternative wasn't
21 assessed in this Environmental Assessment. So we don't
22 have the information to assess whether, you know -- how
23 would that blockage take place? Where would the creek
24 be routed? What are the risks associated with any new
25 routing that the creek might take? We haven't had any

1 information to assess.

2 So we're not expressing opposition to
3 that alternative. We don't have an opinion on it at
4 this time.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr.
6 Mercredi...?

7 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: No further
8 questions.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Rachel
10 Crapeau...?

11 MS. RACHEL CRAPEAU: I just have a
12 comment to make. I understand why we're hearing your
13 story today the way it sounds. And I understand now
14 why yesterday and last night when you both went up to
15 the microphones and said, No questions for so and so,
16 no question for anybody else.

17 From hearing you today and not being
18 able to answer James' question I'm wondering what's --
19 what's going to happen with information that -- or,
20 what will the Elders be asking you and the only one
21 question I have is: Are you going to be going to
22 Dettah tonight? Thank you.

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Rachel
24 Crapeau. DFO...?

25 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and

1 Oceans Canada. Yes, DFO was planning to attend the
2 session in Dettah.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Maybe I can also
4 extend that to Environment Canada, are you planning to
5 be there tonight as well?

6 MS. AMY SPARKS: Amy Sparks,
7 Environment Canada. Yes, we can be there tonight.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Is there
9 any further questions, Rachel Crapeau?

10 MS. RACHEL CRAPEAU: No more questions
11 until later on tonight. Thank you.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Danny
13 Bayha, Board Member...?

14 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Yes, thank you, Mr.
15 Chair. Just -- hopefully my question is a bit easier.
16 Hope we get some -- have some answers. And I can see,
17 really, the frustrations on -- on our Board. And we
18 have some decisions to make and we depend on folks from
19 your department to give us some expert advice on
20 proposals and alternatives, in this case will be very
21 helpful to make some decisions. If you guys can't make
22 decisions, what do you expect us to do? So I think we
23 -- we need -- I just want to make that comment.

24 Anyhow, the -- the questions of the --
25 the -- whatever plan that a proponent, in this case the

1 Developer, puts forward, are -- are you planning,
2 Environment Canada or DFO, be a monitoring role at all?
3 And -- and are you going to contribute anything to this
4 plan as -- if there's a -- if it's under your mandate?

5 Is -- do you have any thoughts on that?

6 Thank you.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.

8 Bayha. DFO...?

9 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Morag McPherson,
10 with Fisheries and Oceans. Yes, we've been involved in
11 providing advice on current monitoring that needs to be
12 undertaken to inform on the remediation.

13 Under our regulatory role, as we
14 outlined in our technical submission and some of our
15 recommendations, that we will require monitoring. And
16 we've also recommended to the Board to support a
17 measure for an aquatic effects monitoring program.

18 We're a member of the environmental
19 monitoring working group as part of Giant, where we
20 work with the other parties on issues and -- and input
21 in DFO's monitoring requirements. So we have made
22 several recommendations related to monitoring and
23 aquatic effects monitoring programs and would continue
24 to be involved in those as this moves forward. But
25 we've made those recommendations to the Board where we

1 feel it's important to have monitoring ongoing.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Danny
3 Bayha...?

4 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Thank you, Mr. -- Mr.
5 Chair. And -- and the other -- in part of the group
6 and -- as a regulator, you also have some legislative
7 tools in case some of the things that you recommend or
8 some of the things that's in your authorization or even
9 in terms and conditions of -- of the water licence are
10 not followed and fish die or whatever, and you can step
11 in and -- and lay charges according to the Developer.
12 Thank you.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr.
14 Bayha. We'll go back to DFO.

15 MS. MORAG MCPHERSON: Morag, Fisheries
16 and Oceans. Yes, that's correct.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Danny
18 Bayha...?

19 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Yeah, thank you. And
20 I guess the final com -- comment -- or, question, I
21 guess. Over the few days we've been here, we always
22 have the idea of oversight committees, always can come
23 up again and again, the long-term oversight committee
24 for the long-term and perpetual care. That's always
25 been the topic of very interesting discussions on how

1 things will unfold in the future.

2 That concept, have you considered that
3 and how you think it may work in the long-term and --
4 in terms of your roles as maybe Environment Canada or
5 in terms of Fisheries? Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
7 going to go to DFO.

8 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
9 Oceans Canada. DFO does work regularly with existing
10 oversight committees, so that's not unfamiliar to us.

11 We have proposed that certain monitoring
12 be undertaken and monitoring objectives. And we see
13 often those more cooperative committees as a way of
14 better informing our regulatory tools and giving us
15 information that would assist in our assessments.

16 Overall, provided whatever monitoring
17 objectives we've identified are met, how they get met
18 as -- as long as they're met, the mechanism that the
19 Board is most comfortable with or the Board recommends
20 would be something that we would be willing to
21 participate in.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Board
23 Member, Danny Bayha...?

24 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Yeah, thank you. And
25 -- and the level of uncertainty and the level of, I

1 guess, we'd say in the project design and -- and, you
2 know, how -- how it may work in the future, you know,
3 the uncertain levels on future issues and -- and
4 concerns, the -- the risks.

5 Would you say the oversight committee
6 would fill that role?

7 I'm just trying to get an idea, have you
8 thought about it and if this oversight committee or
9 organization or -- if your experience with maybe the
10 other -- other oversight committees that's been in
11 place already like -- like, Diavik or -- or BHP. Thank
12 you.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I'm
14 going to go to DFO.

15 MS. BEV ROSS: I guess there's a few
16 roles that we might play. And -- and I want to better
17 understand the question. We participate in such
18 committees in terms of providing expert advice and
19 guidance. We also do have our regulatory tools as a --
20 a regulatory backstop.

21 Is -- is that how you were envisioning
22 that? Or am I understanding the question correctly?

23 That we -- we can provide -- you know,
24 wear different hats and we can wear advisory hats, we
25 can also wear regulatory hats. And certainly those two

1 (2) things overlap a fair bit, so.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Danny
3 Bayha...?

4 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
5 Chair. I guess it was in terms of -- like, the
6 perpetual care, I guess, is for me, in the long-term.
7 As you know we're talking a long time in the future.
8 That's -- things are -- you know, could -- things --
9 governments could change, policy could change,
10 regulations could change. There's so many things
11 unknown in the future.

12 So what is probably -- is for me, would
13 be the safest thing to go ahead with this project, you
14 know, and having -- trying to -- at the same time
15 assuring the public and the communities and -- and
16 environment that there is going to be some safeguards
17 in place, assurances in place.

18 So in that sort of perspective, I guess,
19 have either of you had, Environment Canada or
20 yourselves, had any thoughts on that? Thank you.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Danny
22 Bayha. DFO...?

23 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and
24 Oceans Canada. We are government and are at the whim
25 of the legislators, so I can't look too much further in

1 the future.

2 In the foreseeable future we have
3 legislation, almost a hundred year old legislation, the
4 Fisheries Act, that we conduct our business under. It
5 undergoes changes, as we all know.

6 And -- but as we sit here today we see
7 ourselves having the capacity and the legislative
8 backstop to, as I said, advise and regulate in the area
9 of fisheries protection. Perpetual is a really long
10 time and I can't opine too much further on that.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Danny Bayha...?

12 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Yeah, thank you, Mr.
13 Chair. That's all I had. Thank you for your
14 presentation.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I want
16 to just make a couple quick comments. First of all, I
17 want to say that we were initially going to have the
18 city do their presentation now but because we're going
19 to have to be out in Dettah for supper and 6:00, then a
20 meeting at 7:00 and -- for a public meeting there.
21 Again, I also want to thank the presenters that
22 presented here this afternoon as well.

23 You know, it just -- sitting back here
24 for me, I mean, it's hard for me to really express
25 myself and -- and still be neutral and -- and hold the

1 office where I'm at. But, you know, I live in the
2 community of N'Dilo, you know. And my first time I got
3 elected to Chief was on a Tuesday in 1999, and on
4 Friday I had to go to court. DFO charged our First
5 Nation for polluting Back Bay because they put some
6 rock in a lake. And, you know, we were found guilty
7 for that. And -- and here today sometimes I wonder
8 what -- what's the mandate of your department.

9 Because, you know, we're allowing some
10 arsenic that's coming down into the lake, fish. We
11 heard what the Yellowknivies have to say and other
12 people that said about the fish, and Mr. Wah-shee said
13 it very clearly about the fish as well, you know. And
14 I see that, you know. And it's a little bit frustrating
15 sitting here because I -- you know, you wouldn't eat
16 the fish, but yet we're allowing everybody else around
17 the community to eat the fish. You know, so I -- I
18 think sometimes we have our priorities maybe not in
19 order. But I tell you it's -- it's not good.

20 But, anyway everything is recorded. You
21 know, we asked for information, we did as best we can
22 to get that information from your department and so on.
23 But we got two (2) days to make a decision and so we're
24 going to do as best we can. And we're taking whatever
25 people have said, the public and everything else, at

1 the end of the day the Board will deliberate and look
2 at everything else and then we're going to make a
3 decision.

4 And so with that, I'm going to adjourn
5 this meeting. We're going to continue on this evening
6 -- evening in Dettah. And then from Dettah, after
7 we're done that meeting over there, we're going to do a
8 closing prayer.

9 So with that I'll adjourn the meeting
10 now. Thank you.

11

12 --- Upon adjourning at 5:49 p.m.

13 --- Upon commencing at 7:19 p.m.

14

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good evening. Good
16 evening, Ladies and Gentleman. If I can get my staff
17 to be quiet over there. You might as well talk you
18 have to go outside.

19 Anyway, I just want to say good evening.
20 My name is Richard Edjericon, I'm the Chair for the
21 Mackenzie Valley Impact Review Board. Tonight we're
22 having a public meeting here in the community regarding
23 Giant Mine Remediation Project, the Environmental
24 Assessment Hearing. The file number for this is EA
25 0809-001.

1 Before we do anything I just want to
2 start off the meeting with an open prayer. I'm going
3 to ask for Eddie Seeke (phonetic) to do the opening
4 prayer for this evening. Come on up to the podium.

5

6 (OPENING PRAYER)

7

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mahsi, Eddie Seeke,
9 Elder. I just want to recognize and say, Mahsi, to the
10 host Chief Eddie Sangris for allowing us to come into
11 your community and to -- to have this public hearing.
12 And to all the Chief and council Elders and members of
13 the Yellowknives Dene First Nation.

14 Good evening. My name is Richard
15 Edjericon. I'm the Chair of the Mackenzie Valley
16 Environmental Impact Review Board. We are here to
17 listen to what you have to say about the proposed Giant
18 Mine Remediation Project. This development has been
19 jointly proposed by the Federal Government -- the
20 Federal and Territory Government with Aboriginal
21 Affairs and Northern Development Canada as the lead
22 department.

23 The proposal includes the freezing of
24 237,000 tonnes of arsenic trioxide dust in underground
25 chambers, surface management of several millions tonnes

1 of tailings, water management, and release of treated
2 effluent. It also includes the active management of
3 the facilities necessary for these actions forever.

4 We have reached one (1) of the final
5 stages of this Environmental Assessment, the Public
6 Hearing. Over the week we had a public meeting in
7 Yellowknife. Last night we met till 11:00. Again, we
8 ask that you do your best to help the Review Board to
9 understand your views about the proposed development
10 and potential environmental, social, economic, and
11 culture impacts and your view of the potential
12 significance of these impacts.

13 The Review -- the Review Board will
14 fully consider these views while it's in deliberation
15 on its decision in this Environmental Assessment. Once
16 that decision is made the Board will prepare a report
17 of an environmental assessment and send it to the
18 Minister of Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development
19 for his consideration and that of other responsible
20 ministers, including the Territory government.

21 Before we go any further, I would like
22 to introduce our Board Members, and then to introduce
23 our staff and counsel as well. So I'm going to go to
24 my far left. I'll -- introduce yourself.

25 MR. JOHN CURRAN: Thank you, Mr.

1 Chairman. John Curran from Yellowknife. And thank you
2 to the community of Dettah for hosting us this evening.

3 MR. PERCY HARDISTY: Mahsi, Mr. Chair.
4 I'd like to thank the -- Dettah for inviting us over
5 here just to listen to the people. So my name is Percy
6 Hardisty and I'm from Fort Simpson.

7 MR. JAMES WAH-SHEE: My name is James
8 Wah-shee, I'm a Board Member from Behchoko. Thank you.

9 MR. RICHARD MERCREDI: Yeah, my name is
10 Richard Mercredi, I'm a Board Member from Fort Smith.
11 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

12 MS. RACHEL CRAPEAU: I'm Rachel
13 Crapeau, I'm a Board Member. And I live here in
14 Dettah.

15 MR. DANNY BAYHA: Mahsi. Danny Bayha
16 from Deline, the Sahtu. (NATIVE LANGUAGE SPOKEN)

17

18

19 OPENING REMARKS AND INTRODUCTION BY THE CHAIRPERSON:

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The
21 Review Board is a co-management body established by the
22 Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act. Each Board
23 Member brings their knowledge, experience, and values
24 to the Board's decision-making process.

25 Our Members are Northerners nominated by

1 First Nation Aboriginal governments and by the
2 Territorial and Federal governments. Our goal is to
3 make decisions that will benefit the North for all
4 residents and for future generations.

5 I have some additional comments on
6 today's proceedings that I hope will help make sure
7 everything goes smoothly. We have limited time and the
8 Review Board wants to hear what everybody has to say.
9 Also, at the door we have the agenda for -- for the --
10 our public hearing for the whole week. And I believe
11 my -- our staff over here has that information if you
12 want to take a look at it.

13 Moving forward, the Review Board will be
14 producing an official transcript of this hearing. This
15 tran -- transcript will be available through our
16 website in the Public Registry for this Environmental
17 Assessment, and it will be searchable if you go on the
18 web.

19 We also have simultaneously translation
20 into Tlicho. On your headsets we have English channel
21 number 1, translation on -- and translation on channel
22 2 -- sorry, English on channel 1, and channel 2 will be
23 the Tlicho language. I also ask you to speak slowly
24 for the interpreters. Also, when you come up if you
25 could state your name for the record and clearly.

1 Also, if you wouldn't mind, maybe turn off your cell
2 phones or put it on vibrate or put it in silent mode.

3 The project that the Review Board has
4 assessed in the past has not been a remediation
5 project. To avoid confusion, I will take a moment to
6 remind parties about the focus of the assessment we are
7 conducting.

8 All of us here today know about the --
9 know about and deeply regret the contamination of the
10 land and water that continued for the -- for many years
11 when Giant Mine was running. The Yellowknives have
12 poten -- powerfully expressed the effects this has had
13 on their traditional lands and on their people.
14 Everyone in the room is sorry about has -- what has
15 happened and wishes it was otherwise.

16 The contaminant legacy of Giant Mine
17 happened before the land claim created the Mackenzie
18 Valley Resource Management Act. The Act gave us a
19 system that is better than what we had before. It's
20 better, share -- shares decisions making with
21 aboriginal people; it involves the public more often
22 and more directly. I would like to think and hope that
23 wide spread contamination that -- like what happened in
24 -- under the system will not happen again today.

25 One of the reasons we conduct

1 environmental assessments like this is to make careful
2 decisions and -- and we -- and those who follow us will
3 not have to be sorry for the fut -- for our future
4 generation.

5 That said, I want to remind you about
6 the scope of the project. We are not here assessing
7 the impacts of Giant Mine, we are assessing impacts of
8 the proposed remediation project, that is what this
9 Board must decide on. If you're going to present
10 materials about this impact of Giant Mine, you must
11 make it very clear in how it relates to the remediation
12 project, we are looking for that.

13 In 2008 the Review Board accepted the
14 Developer's had -- sorry, accepted that the Developer
15 has done a thorough job of looking at alternatives and
16 that the current Environmental Assessment would focus
17 on the proposed project freezing the underground
18 arsenic in place.

19 The Developer has made it clear on the
20 public record that doing this would be -- this would
21 not prevent changing to a different method if better
22 technology emerges in the future, but it is -- but that
23 it is current and remains keeping it frozen forever.

24 So tonight we had a meeting in
25 Yellowknife at the Tree of Peace Monday, Tuesday,

1 today. And on the agenda for today we were to come out
2 to -- to the Chief Drygeese Centre here in Dettah and
3 it was going to start at 7:00. So we're going to go to
4 the Developer for their presentation. And also like
5 last night, I had mentioned that if you could also put
6 up the couple slides in regards to the diffuser as
7 well.

8 And I encourage all members of this
9 community, young and old, to come up and say your name.
10 And if you could help keep it brief, but what we want
11 to hear, again, is to listen to you, that's why we're
12 here.

13 And I mentioned that earlier, you know,
14 we want to gather all that information so that when we
15 sit down as a Board we'll look at everything and then
16 we listen to the proponents, we listen to the parties,
17 then we're going to listen to all the people in the
18 community, then we look at the evidence on the record.
19 The Board will meet. Then from there we'll look at
20 making a decision.

21 But -- I think we have a translation
22 problem Are we having any technical problems here?
23 I'm not sure what they missed, or if they heard what
24 was said.

25 As they sort that out I was going to

1 ask, maybe Chief Sangris if he wants to say some
2 welcoming comments as well. If you could come up to
3 the podium before I go to the presenters. That's
4 good? Okay, go ahead.

5

6 OPENING COMMENTS BY CHIEF SANGRIS:

7 CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS: Mahsi, Chairman.

8 (NATIVE LANGUAGE SPOKEN).

9 Good evening and welcome to Chief
10 Drygeese territory -- traditional territory of
11 Yellowknife Dene. I would like to thank the Review
12 Board for including Dettah in their choice of venue for
13 this hearing.

14 Giant Mine is the most significant
15 environmental disaster in our people's history. And
16 the Yellowknife Dene must be acknowledged for our stake
17 in this remediation project and the future of our land.
18 Think back the last three (3) days, I think it was a
19 productive last three (3) days so far into the -- at
20 this hearing.

21 Now the Yellowknife Dene have made
22 presentations and posed questions to the Developers.
23 And we look forward to the progress that will come out
24 of our engagement. But I also would like to take a few
25 minutes to elaborate on some of the comments that YKDFN

1 has made in the hearing so far.

2

3 (INTERPRETED FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

4

5 CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS: Things we're
6 discussing today is very important, the wildlife and
7 the fish. We'd like to have a good livestock of fish
8 in the Baker Creek, how things used to be before the
9 mine -- and we grow everything. Once I had plant
10 before and today how they going to fix the mine? And
11 they told us how -- how they're going to monitor the
12 water and how they're going to re-treat the water. And
13 they had the plant and they set the plant out.

14 But things that were put forward and
15 recommendations were put forward -- and take the
16 recommendation forward and seriously work on those,
17 you, as a Board here. And you've got to tell them what
18 recommendation that will really -- it's important for
19 us that all the water will be clean and fresh again to
20 use, and that goes back to Great Slave Lake, that we'll
21 have freshwater. And things that -- it's important
22 that you guys to think about.

23 And all that fishes that need
24 monitoring, that you guys will tell the development
25 that. And how the fish spawns and -- and how all the

1 fish runs in the river and they go back down to Great
2 Slave Lake and how things were before that we'd like to
3 have the freshwater.

4 We'd like them to monitor the land well,
5 not only the water, also air quality. All -- all of
6 those things that's important for us that you guys will
7 tell them and they -- they take our recommendations.
8 You guys got to put some kind of guideline for them to
9 follow that we -- and we agree to it then the project
10 would -- would begin.

11 The Review Boards and how Giant Mine
12 recommendation, the project, and our culture and what
13 we're going to be saying that you will listen to our
14 view. That we, as a Dene people, how we live on the
15 land, that things will be good for long time to come.

16

17 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

18

19 CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS: Also for future
20 use, the Developer looking for more support for their
21 project by promising ideas of the future that allows
22 people access to the site. And yet, we know from the
23 scope of their remediation plan that they merely intend
24 to re -- re-condition the site to industrial standards,
25 that is not good enough for us.

1 In the beginning, the Giant area wasn't
2 classified as -- as an industrial area by our people.
3 Research must be taken to further -- to find better
4 alternatives to the frozen block method. YKDFN must be
5 included in this research. It must have a say in what
6 happen, next steps are put in place before they can
7 happen.

8 There are many elements of this project
9 the Developer is asking the YKDFN and other interested
10 parties to swallow based on good faith and hope, not an
11 empty promise.

12 The YKDFN has accepted the frozen block
13 method that will be put in place for now, but this
14 Environmental Assessment must be about putting
15 measures, an obligation in place to making sure that
16 this is not what we are left with forever.

17 The Yellowknife Dene are traditional
18 people of this territory, we have something to say.
19 The time is long overdue for our voices to be heard.
20 We want commitments and we want results. We want to be
21 a part of this decision making because our people will
22 be the subject of the impacts of those decisions.

23 We want a commitment for our Giant Mine
24 Advisory Committee, or GMAC, to assume a greater role
25 in oversight. We will take on the task of looking for

1 alternatives, long-term solution to this Giant mess.
2 This means getting long-term funding agreement from
3 AANDC. Our people are engaged and we will be the ones
4 to see this project into the future.

5

6 (INTERPRETED FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

7

8 CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS: We, as a
9 committee, on the GMAC how things is going to be we --
10 we have a committee in place that we'd like to have
11 funding in place for them -- for the committee to run
12 as a government. Our land, we see how that remediation
13 and how the project is going to run that will be up to
14 the committee. It's been long overdue.

15 And how disturbed the land has been and
16 the way we have been told. The land has been disturbed
17 and how it's -- it's going to be worked on and they
18 never did consult with us. We don't want none of those
19 again, they need to be informed. Our members have
20 suffered a lot because of the Giant mine and we need to
21 fix our problem and how things we can be healthy again
22 from here to a long-term solutions.

23 How the impact is going to be later on,
24 that we need to be -- inform us on all things. And we
25 -- this is fifty-five (55) years that our Member had

1 suffered and how we can be helped in the community with
2 a royalty or benefit, some kind of benefit that...

3

4 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

5

6 CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS: It's opportunity
7 for our members to voice their concerns to the Review
8 Board. I hope that you will take this opportunity and
9 listen to the comments and stories of our members. I'm
10 sure they have a lot to say on how we can remediate
11 (phonetic) the problems of the past to look for the
12 betterment of our future generations.

13 Mahsi, Board Members, for allowing me to
14 take the time to address this issue. Mahsi cho.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mahsi, Eddie
16 Sangris, for your opening comments. And before I go to
17 the presenters, again, I think the Chief had mentioned
18 that there might be people a little bit shy coming up
19 to speak because of the way that it's all laid out and
20 so on. But always remember what the Elders used to say
21 that, If you got an issue or concern, you always speak
22 from your heart. You know, so I encourage young
23 people, residents from Dettah, N'Dilo, to come up and
24 talk about, you know, the impacts of this project
25 development that's happening in their own backyard.

1 So before we -- we get people to start
2 coming up, I'm going to go to the Developer to do a
3 presentation. And -- and I also mention that -- a
4 couple slides on the diffusers and maybe you could just
5 briefly talk about that as well.

6 So, I want to go to the Developer so
7 people here understand what's happening in their own
8 backyard. Thank you.

9

10 PRESENTATION BY THE DEVELOPER - DEVELOPMENT OVERVIEW:

11 MS. JOANNA ANKERSMIT: Thank you, Mr.
12 Chair, and thank you, Chief, for the warm welcome.
13 Good evening. My name is Joanna Ankersmit. I want to
14 begin by thanking the -- the community for inviting us
15 here and for the absolutely delicious meal, which after
16 a long day today was -- was very welcome and -- and we
17 appreciate the excellent food that you provided to us.

18 As I mentioned, my name is Joanna
19 Ankersmit and I've been working with the -- the
20 government team on making Giant mine safe since 1999.
21 I'm pleased to -- to be here again with you in Dettah.
22 I see some familiar faces from our meeting earlier this
23 spring and many new faces, which is encouraging. I
24 want you to know the team appreciates you coming here
25 tonight and your willingness to share with us and the

1 Review Board your points of view on this remediation
2 project.

3 It is good for us to hear about what
4 this land used to be like, to learn from your
5 traditional knowledge, and to listen to your
6 descriptions of the past and your hopes for the future.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Can I just stop you
8 for a second here. Are you guys going to do your
9 presentation on -- on the projector?

10 MS. JOANNA ANKERSMIT: Yeah.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. That's coming
12 up?

13 All right. Thank you. Continue on,
14 please.

15 MS. JOANNA ANKERSMIT: Thank you, Mr.
16 Chair. There are long-standing concerns about the
17 Giant mine site. The remediation team is also
18 concerned about the site. In particular, its current
19 state. The mine is old, as you know, and is -- and is
20 deteriorating.

21 We assure you that is being carefully
22 managed and monitored, but we need to make improvements
23 at the site that will last over the very long term, but
24 we need to start making those changes soon.

25 Before I ask my colleagues to speak and

1 give an overview of -- of the project so that you are
2 under -- understand what we are proposing to do, I want
3 to commit to you that we are here as a project team and
4 we are committed to making the Giant mine safe for the
5 people and for the environment. Your meaningful
6 involvement in the process means a lot to us. We are
7 asking to get started with our plan as it will take
8 time. We continue -- we will continue to work with you
9 in your community to protect human health and safety in
10 the environment at the Giant Mine site.

11 I'd like to turn over to my -- turn it
12 over to my colleague Ray Case to say a few words and
13 then we'll get on with the show.

14 DR. RAY CASE: Thank you, Joanna, and
15 thank you, Chief Sangris, and the people of Dettah for
16 hosting this meeting. My name is Ray Case. I'm the
17 Assistant Deputy Minister with Environment and Natural
18 Resources and I'm the Government of Northwest
19 Territories lead for the Giant Mine Remediation
20 Project.

21 I've been a resident of Yellowknife
22 since 1964 and I share the concerns amongst the people
23 of Yellowknife about the state of the Giant mine site
24 and the risk that it poses to the health of the people
25 and the environment in the area.

1 I've worked with many of the people here
2 from Dettah and have had the opportunity to -- to meet
3 with many of you in the past and I know that you have
4 heartfelt views and have very insightful wisdom to
5 share with us and I encourage you and I -- to come
6 forward and provide that and I look forward to hearing
7 your views on what we propose to do to address the
8 risks at the Giant mine site.

9 With that, I'll turn it over to my
10 colleagues, Mike Nahir and Adrian Paradis to give you a
11 quick overview of the proposal.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

15 MR. MICHAEL NAHIR: Okay. Thank you,
16 Mr. Chair, and people -- and panel, and -- and people
17 from Dettah. My name is Mike Nahir and I'm the Senior
18 Project Manager and Chief Engineer for Aboriginal
19 Affairs and Northern Development Canada.

20 I have eighteen (18) -- I need a
21 headlamp. I have eighteen (18) years experience as a
22 project engineer and project manager on the remediation
23 of abandoned mines, specifically in Northern Canada.

24 This is my colleague too, over to my
25 right, Adrian Paradis, and he'll be speaking after. He

1 has over ten (10) years experience working on
2 regulatory matters in the Northwest Territories and
3 he'll be talking right after me on management and
4 oversight.

5 I wanted to say that a project of this
6 complexity and size requires a national effort, drawing
7 upon staff and experts in Yellowknife, Vancouver,
8 Edmonton and Ottawa. We draw upon international
9 experts for the technical advisor team, the engineering
10 design team, and the independent peer review panel.

11 We are here to discuss the environmental
12 assessment of the project, which is the remediation of
13 the Giant mine. It is an abandoned mine and a
14 contaminated site which the governments are truly
15 committed to ensuring the protection of human health
16 and of the environment. We look forward to discussing
17 this project today and are certainly anxious to begin
18 the hard work of remediating the site.

19 As I said, the Giant mine remediation
20 project team is committed to remediating the site.
21 This team has carried many investigations and
22 assessments over the last twelve (12) years and now we
23 are confident that we have the right plan to protect
24 human health and public safety in the long-term. There
25 are certainly many more decisions and design decisions

1 that need to be made. And with the help of the EMS
2 process, we'll be involving interested parties. So
3 this project is about making a significant improvement
4 to the environment.

5 The co-proponents are both the
6 Government of Canada and the Government of the
7 Northwest Territories. Aboriginal Affairs is the
8 overall project manager and will be assisted by public
9 works which will look after contracting the project
10 design and construction services we require.

11 We've put together a team including some
12 of the world's foremost experts on mine site
13 remediation and they're present here at the hearing.
14 SRK and SENES are the technical advisor for the project
15 team and since 2000 have provided technical support for
16 the closure options and the remediation plan. They are
17 both internationally recognized expert firms in mine
18 site remediation and risk assessment.

19 AECOM and Golders are providing the
20 engineering. They are large international firms well
21 recognized and highly qualified to provide engineering
22 services in mine site remediation.

23 Deton'Cho Nuna is doing an excellent job
24 of providing site care and maintenance, ensuring public
25 safety, and environmental protection.

1 As many of you know the operations of
2 Giant mine started in 1948 and went on for
3 approximately fifty (50) years when Royal Oak went into
4 receivership in 1999 and ultimately bankruptcy in 2005.
5 The site is in a very deteriorated state and so there
6 are many human health and environmental concerns that
7 need to be managed in order to protect people and the
8 environment.

9 The governments of Canada and Northwest
10 Territories are responsible for current site
11 management, doing the remediation work, and for
12 providing long-term care. A Developer's assessment
13 report was prepared by the governments to evaluate the
14 potential negative effects of executing the remediation
15 project.

16 The DAR contains main -- the main report
17 and fifty-three (53) supporting documents which
18 describes the existing conditions, the remediation plan
19 and the assessment of short and long-term conditions.
20 The plan calls for fifteen (15) years of active
21 remediation and ten (10) years of stabilization which
22 will then merge into long-term management.

23 This is a picture that shows some of the
24 main components of the site that we'll be discussing
25 now. The mine is located within the city limits of

1 Yellowknife and covers an area of approximately 150
2 hectares. I think -- how many football fields is that?
3 Sixteen hundred (1,600) football fields. There are
4 many buildings that are hazardous. The largest concern
5 is the roaster, because it is heavily contaminated with
6 arsenic and asbestos.

7 The site treats over 500,000 cubic
8 metres of contaminated mine water annually. There are
9 four (4) tailings ponds with 16 million tonnes of
10 tailings, eight (8) pits with thirty-five (35) openings
11 to the underground, and Baker Creek which runs through
12 the site and contains arsenic contaminated sediments.

13 Lastly, and most importantly, are the
14 fourteen (14) chambers and stopes contained --
15 containing 237,000 tonnes of arsenic trioxide dust
16 which is in this -- approximately in this yellow-
17 hatched area underground. This is a waste from the
18 processing of ore that was mined and is 60 percent pure
19 arsenic. So the project being assessed is the
20 execution of this remediation plan to deal with these
21 issues and hazards.

22 The overall goal of the remediation plan
23 is to protect human health, public safety, and the
24 environment. As we had stated in the Developer's
25 assessment report, the specific objectives of the

1 remediation plan are to, first, prevent in the long-
2 term the release of arsenic in the underground dust to
3 the environment.

4 Second, clean up the surface of the site
5 so that it is available for other uses. Decisions on
6 how these uses will be -- on what these uses will be
7 will be made together with stakeholders.

8 The third objective is to reduce risks
9 by removing buildings, closing mine openings, and get -
10 - getting rid of other hazards at the mine.

11 Fourth is to minimize the release of
12 arsenic from the surrounding site;

13 And the fifth is to rehabilitate and
14 restore Baker Creek to a more natural condition.

15 Most of the mine has typical mine site
16 remediation issues, but what I want to describe is the
17 key concern at the site, which is the arsenic trioxide
18 dust stored in the fourteen (14) underground chambers
19 and stopes.

20 The rock that was mined at the Giant
21 mine includes high levels of naturally occurring
22 arsenic. The roasting of ore produced the arsenic
23 trioxide dust waste. The arsenic dust is stored
24 underground in fourteen (14) purpose built chambers and
25 mined out stopes that are typically as big as a ten

1 (10) story building.

2 Arsenic can get -- can be dangerous to
3 both people and the environment if too much of it gets
4 into the water, land, or in the air. The main concern
5 with the arsenic trioxide dust at the Giant mine is
6 that the arsenic can dissolve into groundwater and flow
7 to Great Slave Lake if not controlled. In the interim,
8 this issue is being managed by keeping the water level
9 in the mine below the dust and collecting and treating
10 groundwater. We also inspect the accessible bulkheads
11 that keep the dust in the chambers. There are a number
12 of bulkheads that are inaccessible and that is a
13 concern.

14 The remediation plan calls for the long-
15 term stabilization and containment of the arsenic
16 contaminated dust by securing and stabin -- stabilizing
17 the underground workings and then freezing all the dust
18 and the chambers. Just to -- probably most of you are
19 familiar with this, but just as a picture here, this is
20 a very -- sort of a cartoon of the -- of the layout of
21 it and these are the -- representative of the chambers
22 and stopes and the -- this is what the freeze system
23 would look like and the freezing conditions after
24 underground within the rock.

25 Over the long-term these frozen blocks

1 will prevent arsenic from getting out of the chambers
2 and into the environment. A small part of the middle
3 of the site will need to be managed and it will be off
4 limits so that we can continue to look after the
5 thermosyphons.

6 So the key benefits of our plan related
7 to arsenic trioxide dust is that it prevents the
8 release of arsenic into the groundwater. It's very
9 easy to monitor and very easy to maintain over the
10 long-term.

11 For Baker Creek I wanted also to
12 describe that the Baker Creek does not meet the
13 standard for closure. The water and sediment in Baker
14 Creek contain high carcentra -- high concentrations of
15 arsenic. There is a concern with the stability of the
16 creek, and the flow capacity doesn't meet the high-flow
17 requirements.

18 The design concept calls for restoring
19 the habitat in the creek by rerouting and rebuilding a
20 few sections of the creek which will also improve its
21 ability to pass large volumes of water. We are
22 awaiting the results of the sediment study and are
23 working with Department of Fisheries and Oceans to
24 determine whether contaminated sediment should be
25 removed from the other sections.

1 The benefit of this plan for Baker Creek
2 is that it reduces the risk of flooding, improves
3 aquatic habitat in Baker Creek, and improves the
4 aesthetic value of the creek.

5 As I've said, there are eight (8) small
6 and medium-sized mined out pits, as well as thirty-five
7 (35) openings to the underground that are safety
8 hazards. The plan calls for backfilling a few of the
9 pits and surrounding the others with berms or fences to
10 prevent access. All mine openings will be sealed. So
11 the benefit here is improved public safety by stopping
12 access to the pits.

13 Over the many years of operation, quite
14 a bit of soil got contaminated with arsenic and spilled
15 fuels. And so you can see these areas on this picture
16 in red. There are some tailings scattered as well
17 throughout the site outside of the tailings ponds.
18 These soils and tailings will be excavated and treated
19 and contained appropriately.

20 The green areas shown here are the only
21 areas where contaminated soil will be left, only
22 because it is very deep and it makes more sense to
23 cover it with clean soil than try to dig up all of it
24 and leave a big hole.

25 So the benefits to the plant for dealing

1 with contaminated soil is it improves the quality of
2 habitat on site, and it reduces risks to the public and
3 animals, which provides more options for future land
4 use.

5 There are four (4) large tailings areas
6 located on surface covering a large area of 95
7 hectares, or three hundred (300) -- approximately three
8 hundred (300) football fields. We were trying to
9 figure that out earlier today. These tailings,
10 fortunately, are not acid generating, but do contain
11 low-solubility arsenic in the water and within the
12 tailings.

13 As part of the ongoing site management,
14 any water that is collected in the mine, it is -- is
15 treated to protect the environment. The remediation
16 plan calls for tailings to be covered with two (2)
17 layers, then graded for ditches and spillways. The
18 tailings covers will be revegetated and then, as well,
19 available for other uses.

20 So the benefit for this plan is that
21 there will be no direct contact between tailings and
22 people or animals. It improves the long-term air
23 quality and then provides for more options for future
24 land uses.

25 There are over a hundred buildings and

1 associated infrastructure, a few of which are
2 contaminated with arsenic and asbestos. The roaster is
3 a heavily contaminated building which our engineers
4 have advised poses an unacceptable risk of failure and
5 needs to be properly demolished as soon as possible.

6 The reme -- the remediation plan calls
7 for proper demolition and disposal in a landfill, as
8 well as in frozen -- in the frozen underground. The
9 benefits are that it improves how the site looks and
10 reduces safety risks to public and the wildlife.

11 The current water treatment plant does
12 an adequate job of meeting the current standard;
13 however, it is not efficient, and we want to meet a
14 much higher standard that is safe for community uses
15 and is protective of the environment.

16 A new water treatment plant will be
17 constructed to collect and treat contaminated surface
18 mine water. The discharge will be to North Yellowknife
19 Bay through diffuser and a mixing zone. This is
20 instead of discharging to Baker Creek, as is done
21 today, to allow to repair as best as possible. The
22 monitoring of the safety of the ice will be coordinated
23 with the City Fire Department. This criteria will be
24 established consistent with the City standards to be
25 protective of safety for winter recreation.

1 We have an extensive monitoring program
2 for water, and it will be expanded to include all
3 activities such as ice thickness, air, and fish. The
4 benefit to this plan is that there will be much less
5 arsenic into Baker Creek and significantly less arsenic
6 into Yellowknife Bay.

7 In addition to the health and
8 environmental benefits of the remediation program,
9 there will also be many economic benefits to
10 Aboriginals and Northerners, both in terms of jobs and
11 spending on goods and services. This is one (1) of the
12 key prior -- priorities of the Government of Canada as
13 well as the Government of Northwest Territories.

14 This is a picture of what the -- we
15 expect the site to look like after remediation. Once
16 the main remediation activities are over most of the
17 site will be available for other uses. There'll be
18 areas available for recreational and residential uses
19 depending on community interest and input.

20 A small area near the middle of the
21 mine, approx -- approximately there, will require long-
22 term management. The activities in this area will
23 include running the ground freezing system and
24 treatment of contaminated water. There'll be extensive
25 monitoring -- monitoring of these activities to make

1 sure they're working and to ensure that the land and
2 water are safe.

3 So in summary, I wanted to say that the
4 Government of Canada and Northwest Territories are
5 confident that the Giant mine remediation project will
6 result in many positive effects by improving and
7 protecting the environment. This is not an assessment
8 of a new development, but is rather the repair of an
9 old contaminated one. The project improves the
10 environment immediately. The project minimizes risks
11 and the needs for site management in the long-term.
12 There may be some temporary negative effects during the
13 site remediation activities. However, these will
14 affect only small areas and will be short-lived and can
15 be managed.

16 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I'll -- I'll
17 turn this over to Adrian Paradis who will provide an
18 introduction on the management and oversight program.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

21

22 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Adrian Paradis on
23 behalf of the project team. Thank you, everyone, for
24 coming out tonight. I know you have many things to do,
25 but it's really important, I think, that you're here to

1 express your views and for us to hear and listen to
2 what you have to say.

3 I'm going to be brief. I don't think
4 you want to listen to talking heads. I'm going to
5 provide a brief update on perpetual care, adaptive
6 management, and what is called the Environmental
7 Management System or the EMS, as well as public -- some
8 of our public engagement to date and oversight on the
9 project going forward. These topics have been
10 discussed throughout the week and will be continued to
11 discuss tomorrow and Friday at the Tree of Peace in --
12 at Yellowknife.

13 Perpetual care -- perpetual care, you've
14 heard a lot about it. It consists of two (2) distinct
15 components. One (1) is the actual physical systems, the
16 frozen block, the water treatment plants that are
17 required to maintain the arsenic and maintain the
18 safety on the site. The second half of the system is
19 the long-term management and oversight of these
20 systems.

21 The frozen block was designed to be
22 robust over the long-term and easy to monitor. That
23 said, with the constructive inputs from the YKDFN and
24 other parties to the Environmental Assessment, we have
25 spent additional time and are thinking about how to

1 manage the perpetual care on the site and have
2 committed to developing a perpetual care management
3 plan.

4 At present this includes records
5 management, scenario analysis, communication with
6 future genera -- generations, land use constraints and
7 transitional planning.

8 We have been working on what is called
9 an environmental management system for the project.
10 Environmental management system is simply put a
11 monitoring -- a monitoring and management plan to
12 manage the site. It develops triggers, thresholds,
13 criteria for success. Are we doing what we said we're
14 going to do, how do you monitor it, how do you manage
15 it, and then how do you report on it.

16 It supports good decision-making. It
17 supports input into the project. It supports
18 communication and it supports engagement.

19 The other key aspects to it is that it
20 is audible. It allows third parties to come in and
21 check, and confirm that we are doing what we said we
22 are doing.

23 Lastly, it allows for stakeholders, the
24 YKDFN, the North Slave Metis, Alternatives North, City
25 of Yellowknife, members of the public, to have input

1 into the elements of these monitoring and response
2 plans.

3 You've seen us before in your community
4 at different times and different places. We are here
5 infrequently at times, or more frequently at other
6 times, depending upon where we're at in our planning
7 and where we're at in our thinking of the day. A lot
8 of it is related to milestones of the project. Is it
9 the arsenic trioxide management plans in the early
10 2000, is it with the development of the Developer's
11 assessment report, and the subsequent environmental
12 assessments since 2010?

13 All that is to say we have been around,
14 but we are expected and we hope and we beg your
15 indulgence over the years to come because we hope to be
16 here in the community -- not hope, we want to be, and
17 we need to be in the community much more often in the
18 mon -- years and months to come to make the project a
19 success. So we hope that when we come we will get a
20 turnout like we do tonight so we can have -- talk about
21 how to go forward.

22 Throughout our engagement, and through
23 the environmental assessment process, we have learned
24 and we've heard that the existing oversight mechanisms
25 need to be strengthened. They need more community

1 input. We have committed to establishing an
2 independent oversight board. That discussions will
3 happen a lot more over the next two (2) -- two (2) to
4 three (3) days, but we believe that with the
5 establishment of this Board there'll be greater
6 confidences in our ability and our success of this
7 project.

8 I think with that, Mr. Chair, I'll be --
9 I'll end our presentation, and thank you for --
10 everyone for your time and patience.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

13

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Maybe to the
15 Developer, if you wouldn't mind touching on the
16 diffuser, as well, if you've got a slide or two (2) on
17 that.

18 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: The slide review -
19 - if you can give me a moment, I will bring up -- bring
20 up something on the present -- on that.

21 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Mr. Chairman, maybe
22 while we're waiting for the slide could I start
23 introducing the diffuser for people?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, absolutely.
25 Maybe if you could just do -- I was going to suggest

1 maybe before we start, we're going to do introduction
2 as well. We never had a chance to do it on -- on that
3 side of the table.

4 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: Okay. So -- so my
5 name is Daryl Hockley. I'm a technical advisor to the
6 project team. I've been working for twelve (12) years
7 on the Giant Mine coming to -- to Yellowknife and to --
8 many times to Dettah, and many times to N'Dilo, to work
9 with people on the -- on the Giant Mine, and I'm happy
10 to be here again, and to -- to hear from the people
11 again tonight. Thank you for having us.

12 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: And just to
13 complete the introductions at the head table, I'm Bruce
14 Halbert. I'm also on the techni -- technical advisory
15 team, and like Daryl I've been involved in the project
16 since 2000, and I've been fortunate to be in your
17 community previously, as well.

18 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: So the -- this is a
19 slide of the diffuser system. I'd like to explain a
20 bit about the diffuser. Currently water is taken from
21 the mine, and is treated in a -- in a treatment plant
22 that's just off the picture here.

23 It's a very old treatment plant, so even
24 after the water is treated, about 300 kilograms of
25 arsenic go into Baker Creek every year. Now, how much

1 is 300 kilograms, five hundred (500) -- okay, we won't
2 ask Bruce. But we'll -- we think that the three (3) of
3 us, is that's about 300 kilograms per year of arsenic
4 into Baker Creek from the old treatment plant.

5 That treat -- that water comes down
6 Baker Creek, comes out -- where's -- right there --
7 comes out the mouth of Baker Creek here into Back Bay
8 and then it -- it mixes without any control -- no
9 control over what happens to it, it just comes out into
10 Back Bay now -- that's what happens now.

11 We want to start by building a much
12 better treatment plant. It will still catch all the
13 water from the mine it -- but it will be a better
14 treatment plant. So it will only put about 150
15 kilograms of arsenic per year in the water. So that's
16 Bruce and half of Adrian, okay, 150 kilograms per year,
17 about half.

18 But we need that treatment plant to run
19 all year long. So we can't put that water into Baker
20 Creek because Baker Creek freezes in the winter. So
21 instead, we want to put that water directly into the
22 lake.

23 How to put the water into the lake? The
24 way engineers do this when they put water into a lake
25 is they use a -- something called a diffuser. A

1 diffuser is -- is a mixing machine. It takes the water
2 and it squirts it out in many different directions.
3 About eighty (80) little -- eighty-one (81) little
4 pipes squirt the water up into the air and mix it.
5 Sorry, twenty-eight (28) little pipes shoot it up into
6 the air --

7 MR. BRUCE HALBERT: Into the water.

8 MR. DARYL HOCKLEY: -- into the water,
9 yes, into the water. Twenty-eight (28) pipes mix into
10 the water and it mixes in.

11 Engineers like diffusers because they --
12 we can control the mixing. We know that all the water
13 gets mixed in a very small area around the diffuser.
14 And the size of the mixing zone, is what we call it, in
15 this case is -- is 81 metres long by 15 metres long.
16 And 15 metres is probably from me to the Chairman, and
17 100 metres is probably from us to that brown house out
18 there. Roughly? Yeah. So that's how big this
19 diffuser would be. So engineers think that's a small
20 mixing zone.

21 Where we -- where we put the diffuser
22 still needs to be determined by further studies and --
23 and we think also further discussion with -- with the
24 people. This is the proposed location now. And in
25 order to do an environmental assessment, we have to

1 propose a location so it can be assessed. This is the
2 proposed location now, but we are also doing more
3 studies. There will be many more studies this winter
4 under the ice to see where the water flows, how fast
5 the water flows under the ice. Only when we have all
6 that science will we be able to pick the best place for
7 the diffuser.

8 Of course, you all know -- Dettah is way
9 down here, but you all know D'Nilo is right there.
10 We're sure the people of D'Nilo will -- will certainly
11 want to have some input into where that diffuser goes.
12 But when we have the science, the intention is to talk
13 to people and -- and try to get agreement on the best
14 location for that diffuser.

15 But -- so again -- so the water
16 treatment will be here, then a long pipe running over
17 the land, then a pipe going under the water on the
18 bottom of the water to the diffuser here; and that's
19 the mixing zone, the 81 metre by 15 metre mixing zone.
20 Thank you.

21 MR. ADRIAN PARADIS: Do you -- would
22 you want us to expand upon that, or is that a
23 sufficient place to end? Thank you.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you could turn
25 the lights on. Okay. Before we start I just want to

1 thank the Developer for their presentation and maybe
2 throughout the evening if you hear me saying the -- the
3 Developer, basically the Developer is Aboriginal
4 Affairs and Northern Development Canada and the
5 Government of the Northwest Territories, so -- and
6 they're AANDC and GNWT. So they're the Developers, so
7 when I say the "Developer," that's who I'm referring
8 them to.

9 Also, just to let you know as well, we
10 also have -- I just want to recognize a couple people
11 here again as well. It's always appropriate to do
12 this, recognize former Chief Fred Sangris if he's here
13 somewhere in the back. Yes. And former Chief Jonas
14 Sangris. He's here. Also, I -- Peter Lusk, former
15 Chief. I believe he's in the back. And also -- we
16 also ha -- I recognize Charlie Jim Nitsiza a former
17 Chief from Whati. I believe he's in the back.

18 Okay. And former Chief Leon Lafferty
19 from Behchoko. And also the former MP for the NWT
20 David Nickerson (phonetic), I believe he's in the back.
21 I just want to recognize him as well.

22 So just to -- as you can see, the
23 Developer has their own team. They have been on this
24 file for some time and the -- the application has been
25 in front of the Review Board for some time.

1 And to my side here we also have our own
2 team, but I just wanted to also recognize a couple
3 people on our team as well that -- that are here. I
4 just want to -- that will help out, because our -- what
5 we have to do is -- is take their -- their
6 applications, they come in, they go through a whole
7 process and it's really scientific. So our staff, we
8 usually have one (1) person assigned to this file and
9 in this case it's Alan Ehrlich. He's the fellow right
10 here that has the file and he's the one (1) that
11 corresponds between our -- our staff and to -- to the
12 Proponent or the Developer and -- and so on.

13 So that's why we're here today is to
14 talk about this public hearing. And part of our team
15 too, as well, we -- we bring in people sometimes around
16 the world and our job is to make sure that we, you
17 know, protect the environment in the Mackenzie Valley
18 for all citizens. And so -- so we brought in people
19 like Dr. Lukas Arenson. He's an advisor of the mine
20 engineering. I believe he's in the back here
21 somewhere. And he -- he -- we bring him in to help us
22 out as well.

23 And we also brought in Dr. Franco Oboni,
24 advisor of risk assessment. He came in from Italy. If
25 you could stand up. And Ms. Katherine Enns, advisor of

1 eco toxicology. I believe she's here. There she is,
2 yes. And we also brought in Dave Tyson. He
3 specializes in fish and aquatics.

4 So I just wanted to let you know that's
5 part of our team. Anyway, we're going to go -- now
6 we're going to go into the public hearing. We're going
7 to maybe ask the people in the community to come up and
8 -- to the podium or the table. If you could just
9 introduce yourself. And if you -- again, if you could
10 talk to us about the -- try to explain to the Board
11 exactly your concerns and your views about the proposed
12 development and potential environment -- environmental,
13 socioeconomic, and cultural impacts, and potential
14 significance to the -- of this project.

15 So I'm going to ask that -- don't be
16 afraid to come up. Introduce yourself. So feel free
17 to come up.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

20

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. And I
22 guess that we're keeping a list at the door for people
23 that want to speak. So I've got a list here and -- and
24 we could always add after they're done. Just put up
25 your hand and just come on up.

1 So I'm going to introduce former Chief
2 Peter Liske to come up to do the presentation. Okay.
3 Mary Rose Sundberg from Dettah. She's also a band
4 councillor. So I want to recognize her as well.

5

6 PUBLIC COMMENTS:

7 MS. MARY ROSE SUNDBERG: Mahsi cho. My
8 name is Mary Rose Sundberg of Dettah. I am one (1) of
9 the Band council for Dettah, but I'm here to represent
10 my future generations, my grandchildren that are not
11 yet born. I want to talk about some concerns that I
12 have regarding this project.

13 As an interpreter, I don't have a long
14 memory, I've got short memory, so I make notes last few
15 days as I was kind of hearing what's going on here, so
16 my notes are no -- they're not in order. They're just
17 concerns that's been coming up.

18 Like I said, I'm speaking on behalf of
19 my future generation that are not yet born. Our people
20 lived in this area for many, many generations, long
21 before even Wakaw (phonetic) the Metis people and the
22 non Dene people ever discovered our area. We lived
23 here on the land like a lot of other tribes in the
24 other areas.

25 We're taking about perpetual care

1 forever. A lot of concerns that comes up that I think
2 about. When we talk about forever, that's more than a
3 hundred (100) years, or a thousand (1,000), or five
4 thousand (5,000), a hundred thousand (100,000) years.
5 So how do we know, and how can we be guaranteed that
6 the Federal government will have money in place to take
7 care of this site forever. How can we be guaranteed
8 that there'll always be pumping water out of there.
9 And will the government have money the next thousand
10 (1,000), two thousand (2,000) years? That's a concern.

11 You talk about perpetual care, which
12 means forever. What about our people? We need
13 perpetual care forever because we're going to be
14 worrying about this monster -- I call it a monster
15 that's underground; that's going to be there forever.

16 Every time we drive by there, we worry
17 about it. So we worry about our health, and our
18 safety. And our emotional well-being. That is
19 forever. So have they thought about us Dene people,
20 what we'll be living through.

21 And I also worry about the communication
22 that has to be in place somehow. How do we communicate
23 to our future generation? How do we tell them that
24 this monster underground is dangerous, do not go there,
25 or even go near it. So that is a concern.

1 How -- how do we tell them, in the fifth
2 century, the English that is spoken at that time and
3 today's English, it's probably hard to understand the
4 fifth century English. How are we supposed to
5 understand the next thousands and thousands of years?
6 Maybe we won't even speak English, never mind our own
7 language. How do we create something, to put a
8 communication plan in place so that our future
9 generations will understand that that is absolutely
10 dangerous.

11 We drive by this site on a daily basis,
12 24/7, most people two (2) or three (3) times a day.
13 Not only N'Dilo that is directly affected by this mine,
14 but people in Dettah that drive by the tailings pond on
15 a daily basis, like I said, a few times a day.

16 A lot of times, we have to drive through
17 when the dust is flying around from the tailings pond.
18 Although we're in -- confining to our little vehicles,
19 we still smell the arsenic tailings pond as we drive
20 through. It gets into our vehicles. So, in fact, it
21 is killing us slowly. We don't know it, but it is
22 killing us slowly; that's the way I see it.

23 I think our business arm as well should
24 be guaranteed contracts. It's only right, I think,
25 that they give the contracts to our business arm of

1 Deton 'Cho, but I'm also concerned, if they are going
2 to get contracts, and even to hire our own people, it
3 has to come with a pretty big benefit package, because
4 we know in the future they -- they will eventually get
5 sick if they're working there, because you smell this.
6 It's on the ground, it's in the buildings. So I worry
7 about the health of our people, even though they're
8 going to be working there.

9 The environment is changing as well.
10 Everywhere we hear on TV other countries, even in the
11 Northwest Territories, the environment's changing, and
12 the Elders tell us they notice a lot of changes, and
13 they're -- they're also wary. They're going to
14 probably tell you that tonight, the changes that's
15 happening with the weather.

16 Never used to have tremors or
17 earthquake, but I heard there was a tremor in Nahanni
18 somewhere; that's in Northwest Territories. It's
19 pretty close. So have they even thought about what if
20 -- you have to have "what if" -- if something like that
21 happens here?

22 If anything happens like that, it'll
23 probably kill everyone down in Deton 'Cho, in this
24 world probably, with what's underground, the monster
25 that's there. The monster that was allowed to grow,

1 and the government let it grow, and never told us how
2 dangerous it was. They never said anything until
3 recently that we really found out that this monster
4 that's there is so dangerous nobody wants to touch it.
5 Can't even take it out of the ground.

6 But I'm sure, in future, there's always
7 new technologies happening all over the world. They
8 must be able to find something to neutralize the dust
9 that's down there. They shouldn't stop looking. They
10 should always continue looking for a solution, maybe
11 they might find it. Like I said, new technology's
12 always developing. And if they do, I would want that
13 out of our lands as soon as possible.

14 They say you have to have this diffuser
15 forever. You know what? We need water to live by
16 forever as well. The government has allowed this to
17 happen. They should allow us to have free water
18 forever. It's only right. Why do we have to pay for
19 water delivery every month?

20 I think about some Elders that are on a
21 really small budget of their pension, and some of this
22 money has to go towards the water. They have to pay
23 for water. Those kind of things, we shouldn't even
24 have to worry because of what the government has
25 allowed the industry to do to our people.

1 Our people have been ignored in every
2 aspect of this Giant mine. Now it seems like we're at
3 the 11th hour and finally you're here -- wow. It's
4 about time, but you should also make sure that our
5 First Nations are involved in what's happening with the
6 cleanup. Now you're here. They need to provide
7 funding for our people's participation not only in
8 traditional knowledge, but a lot of other areas that I
9 think they should be involved in.

10 As Dene people we're very tolerant, very
11 forgiving people. We respect the treaty we made with
12 the Crown. It states that we will live in peace and
13 friendship. We allow the non Dene to come and live in
14 our lands and look what they do to us. I don't see no
15 respect there. The governments supposed to provide
16 education, health benefits, housing, and they also told
17 us we would never be prevented from harvesting on our
18 lands.

19 We can't use that land anymore, everyone
20 knows that, how dead it is. If you look in that photos
21 it looks so ugly and dead, because that monster
22 underneath has killed the land.

23 They've broken -- the government has
24 broken the agreements on many levels. When I say the
25 "loss of land," I don't mean only the vegetation. Our

1 ancestors had trails all over in that area. Those we
2 don't use anymore. The loss of plants, medicine, the
3 migration route, the freshwater, the food, our animal
4 habitats, it's all lost.

5 Especially putting our Dene people's
6 health in jeopardy; that's the most important one that
7 I really have a concern about. As Dene people we all
8 had tribal agreements. Of the people that used to come
9 in this area, we -- everyone agreed that certain area
10 of Yellowknife would not be used to put camps up,
11 because they knew it was a good place for vegetation to
12 grow and it was a migration route for our -- for the
13 animals.

14 So everyone had an agreement until some
15 non Dene people came around and they thought, wow,
16 nobody lives here, there's money here and they just
17 helped themselves without consultation at all.

18 If the government is trying to pass this
19 area as safe as I hear after reclamation, I dare them
20 to drink the water there and go swimming there. Would
21 they do that now? If they're trying to pass it as a
22 safe. If money grew on trees, I would be a full time
23 advocator for all the things that were taken away from
24 us such as language, and -- and our lands, and I have a
25 whole list of things, so that this kind of devastation

1 would not happen to our people.

2 The monster that's underneath this land,
3 it's probably -- I hear that there's all kinds of
4 tunnels even under Dettah, maybe right here as we
5 stand. If there's a little tremor earthquake, we'll
6 all fall in. There's holes all over the -- underneath.
7 How can we guarantee that they will provide the funding
8 forever?

9 We need some kind of guarantee, because
10 we're not going nowhere like some people said. We're
11 living here forever and we have to live with that
12 forever. I just can't comprehend that, because it's
13 way too long into the future. We worry about a lot of
14 things for our future generation, but this is the ti --
15 the priority that is so dangerous. Like I said
16 earlier, how do we communicate this information into
17 the future? We have to develop something, something
18 that would tell the future that this area is dangerous.

19 I just about fell off my chair back
20 there when somebody said, This area can probably be
21 used for recreational use. Who in their right mind
22 would want to go there and camp out and have an outing
23 with their family on that kind of a land? I don't
24 think I would. Maybe you would. You probably say it
25 now because you won't live here the next hundred or a

1 thousand years, or your families.

2 So we need to communi -- communicate a
3 message to our future. Or somebody said maybe we
4 should write a legend about this thing that's
5 underground because legends can be told into the
6 future. It's a story, like Yamoria, but Yamoria's
7 story was a good story where he created the earth and
8 walked the world.

9 But this monster, we need to relay that
10 message to our future generations. And I think the
11 government needs to put a lot of money towards this to
12 help us create all these things, not only to -- to put
13 money aside to -- to care for the oper -- operation and
14 maintenance of it, a lot of other things that we have
15 concern about.

16 So these are some of my concerns that I
17 thought over the last few days and wanted to share with
18 the hearing. So mahsi cho.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Rose -- that was Mary
20 Rose Sundberg, from -- band council from Dettah.
21 Mahsi. I'll go to Fred Sangris, YKDFN and Akaitcho and
22 former chief.

23 MR. FRED SANGRIS: Mahsi. First of
24 all, I'm glad that the Board is holding a session here
25 in -- in Dettah. Mahsi for that. It's really

1 important for our people. You don't know how much it
2 means. It's very hard for our people to go and to big
3 public forum like in the city where we don't have
4 opportunity to -- to say what we want, but in our
5 village here, we're happy to do so.

6 And a lot of our people are sitting
7 here, too. They all have a chance to say something.
8 And I would encourage them to speak out about what this
9 mine means to us and what it has done to us for quite a
10 long time.

11 Also I welcome the -- the INAC and the
12 people here who are also holding the session. We've
13 been asking for this since 1961, I believe. And it's
14 been about fifty (50) years that we wanted something
15 done. And we have letters to back up -- back it up,
16 too. Letters were written to Ottawa in that time. And
17 letters were being exchanged in 1937 to Ottawa. Thank
18 you to Mr. Michele Zeeky (phonetic), who still kept the
19 archive of letters that he sent many years ago, in the
20 '50s and '60s. That we still our correspondence that
21 are with Canada that we try to communicate. The
22 problem started way back, so it's not new. But only
23 now the action -- development of this action is
24 starting to happen.

25 I might -- I might have to say that it's

1 -- it's a little too late because we cannot go back to
2 our lands to enjoy what we -- our ancestors enjoyed at
3 one time. I should introduce myself. My name's Fred
4 Sangris, former chief of the Yellowknives. But I'm
5 also a hunter and trapper and harvester and everything
6 else.

7 I've been acting as -- I've been
8 involved with the committee. Since January of this
9 year I've been a chair of the GMAC Committee. I work
10 with Elders and some of the committee members who are
11 involved in -- in looking at this -- this project, and
12 also to provide our advice and recommendations.

13 But I -- I have to let you know that for
14 several years, I believe a little over three (3) years,
15 the Yellowknives were not engaged, or not working in
16 this process mainly because the funding wasn't
17 available, and we haven't been involved. It's only
18 this year January that we finally put a committee
19 together, and the funding was made available so that
20 we've been working with it since, what I would say,
21 seven (7) -- seven (7) months or so, eight (8) months.

22 So for us, you know, it's -- it's
23 probably a little too late, too, because if the money
24 was available we would have been involved in this
25 process all the way through, but there was a break of

1 two (2) years in between where we were not involved in
2 any way.

3 But I have to speak from my heart
4 because I grew up in this area with many of my fellow
5 trappers who are sitting behind me, many of the Elders,
6 and I too speak the language. And many of us do speak
7 the language, and we try to communicate with Elders in
8 the olden days how this mine really affected our lives.

9 You could have a lot of Elders' voice at
10 -- at this forum here who would come and speak, but
11 many of those Elders aren't here. They have passed on,
12 and I -- I said it a few days ago, that the
13 Yellowknives Dene and N'Dilo had -- cancer cases were
14 very high in the 1970s and '80s. And -- and including
15 the event where children, Dene children died because of
16 the sulfur trioxide and arsenic trioxide in the
17 atmosphere, and we haven't had any inquiry. And I
18 think it's only fair to say that we should have an
19 inquiry. We need to know who was responsible, and why
20 there was so many ammonia and trioxide release in the
21 air and water even though Native people were living
22 right across the Bay here.

23 I don't know if there was a chance for
24 us to be exterminated once and for all, and it seems
25 like that to us, even to this day. Do we trust Canada?

1 Do we still trust Canada today after all those years?
2 Can we continue building that kind of relationship?
3 It's really hard because we -- again and again and
4 again, Canada has failed, has told us many things but,
5 then again, the -- the trust is not there anymore
6 because it's -- it's been too long, you know.

7 The mine -- remediation of this mine
8 started quite a while ago, although it may be a little
9 too late because I have to say that what we enjoyed one
10 (1) time, it's all gone. It's all gone. Everything we
11 -- we had. In that day -- in that day, that's the name
12 of that river. It's -- it's a place where our
13 ancestors, and my family and my grandfathers, where
14 they used to go and many of us Yellowknives, we used to
15 go there to enjoy the -- there is a rock in the middle
16 of a creek. There is a beautiful hill probably the
17 size of this room, and the old ladies used to go up
18 there and sit there while the men are hunting in the --
19 in the background of the creek. And along there we
20 were told that there were so many blueberries, all
21 types of berries grew there. Medicine plants. And --
22 and even burial sites in that area.

23 But all -- all that is gone. We've lost
24 it all. We'll never get it back. No matter how much
25 remediation takes place, how much you try to scrub and

1 clean that on your knees, you'll never get it back.

2 It's -- it's gone forever, you know.

3 It's -- it's something that -- it's
4 really sad how -- how greed has gone beyond. Greed has
5 really gone beyond the destruction of such people and
6 lands, it's unbelievable. It's probably going to be a
7 classic story for Canada and Canadian citizens to read
8 one (1) day, that this is something that happened to
9 us, but it never -- never in our wildest dreams we
10 thought it would go this far.

11 And not only that, but with -- with the
12 mining and the settlement here, we're dealing with so
13 much impact here. You know, we -- we can't even go on
14 our hunting trails. It's people, citizens who come to
15 this country who are on our trap lands and hunting
16 trails, on the fishing areas. Our -- right now, our
17 moose hunting areas here is all packed with people.
18 And we have a treaty, and this is our territory.

19 We -- we have survived in this area for
20 centuries, thousands of years, but then we're competing
21 with everything. It's -- it's unbelievable. And I
22 think this is probably a very classic story for -- for
23 Canada, for ourself to -- to tell.

24 But the mine itself has really impacted
25 our community, particularly the people of N'Dilo who

1 are no longer here. Unanswered questions have gone for
2 so many years, and we still live in fear. We don't
3 know what's going to happen.

4 We're told that two -- 270 tonnes of --
5 up here are -- poison arsenic is sitting underground,
6 and that's really hard to swallow; really, really hard.
7 All you need is a half a cup of the arsenic and -- into
8 this drinking water, and I'm sure the aquatic life will
9 -- fish and everything else would just disappear very
10 quickly.

11 It's -- it's very sad. We have to -- we
12 have to wake up every morning and watch the mines from
13 across the lake. And there is no alarm or bells or
14 anything, an emergency saying there's something going
15 on here or an earthquake or a flood or something.
16 We're -- we're just sitting ducks right across the bay
17 there. And if any disaster happens, no one will get to
18 us or send a message to us quickly, saying there's
19 something wrong. It might be a little too late for us,
20 you know.

21 At one time, the Yellowknives almost
22 became extinct, very close. And it happened with the -
23 - the people that arrive in this country who brought
24 epidemic with them. In Canada, on the other hand, gave
25 blankets out with those epidemic, not to help us, but

1 to get rid of us. We're in the way of the gold. We're
2 standing in the way of pros -- Canada's prosperity.

3 But we manage -- our forefathers managed
4 to fight back, and that's how they survived. We came
5 to about close to three hundred (300) people of the
6 Yellowknives who were over four thousand (4,000) people
7 at one time, and very, very close. But we managed to
8 save ourselves.

9 Some of our forefathers took their
10 children to the wilderness, to the barren lands, and
11 that's how they survived. We came very close, you
12 know, and Canada was not there to help us, to save us.
13 They weren't going to do anything like that. But we --
14 determination, our people survived.

15 Today we are about fourteen hundred
16 (1,400) strong now. Our numbers are going back up, and
17 the majority of them are young children. Now those
18 children have to live here, the same as ourselves. We
19 have to live in fear, and they may have to one day.
20 Once they understand what substance and what toxin is
21 underground, I'm sure they will be doing the same thing
22 we're doing, bringing their voice to the table, forum,
23 and at the political level. It may just go there one
24 day.

25 But I'd like to just explain a little

1 bit about this lake here in the front. Many -- many of
2 you, when you arrived here you had a chance to take a
3 look at the lake. Under the lake are valleys. Like
4 any big, major lakes, there are valleys and hills
5 underwater that we know.

6 Traditional knowledge tells us where our
7 ancestors fish on the waterfront. There are valleys
8 and hills. Those valleys, they make their way to the -
9 - to the river, the mouth of the river, and that's
10 where all the freshwater from the rivers is coming to
11 those valleys and out to the lake.

12 But within those valleys are fishes that
13 have -- make their homes in the water, and those are
14 our source of resources. We depend on those fish for
15 food. Now, if any contaminants or any toxin or any
16 unhealthy substance reaches the fish, or even the
17 sediment, the mud bottom, and there's going to be
18 health problems to the fish, eventually it will lead to
19 us. And we're the ones who will become ill and sick,
20 because this happened to our community in Dettah in
21 1970s and '80s, and it was devastating.

22 There's never been a compensation or
23 apology from anybody. Even though we begged Canada and
24 asked Health Canada to look into it, nobody came to our
25 rescue. And this is how -- how Canada operates.

1 And they have a -- they're a known
2 history, even though we're allies to the Crown in times
3 of hard times. We -- we've made an agreement, a treaty
4 agreement where we said we'll be allies. In return, we
5 will help each other.

6 I think our people have given their
7 voices over the years, but Canada never has -- never
8 come to our rescue, has never tried to look after the
9 indigenous people of this area.

10 I have to say thank you to United
11 Nations, because the Yellowknives of Akaitcho territory
12 are one (1) of the four (4) groups in Canada that has
13 been studied by UN. And we're the ones who really
14 pushed for that, the UN declaration, so that our
15 indigenous people here will be more respected and not
16 abu -- abused in the future again, never like this
17 again.

18 And UN will be watching, and I'm very
19 happy that they're -- they're about and that they're
20 here and studying our treaty and our relationship with
21 Canada and the Crown. And then hopefully in the future
22 we'll be respected more.

23 I've been listening to this forum the
24 last couple of days, and I've seen speaker after
25 speaker come up. And what -- what really disappointed

1 me is the people, the federal department people who are
2 involved; really, really disappointed.

3 Somehow INAC has it in their head that
4 they can go to other federal departments who have
5 agencies who have a legislation or are under an act --
6 namely, the Department of Fisheries and Ocean -- who
7 has their own authority, own department, own
8 legislation and -- that -- where they can enforce.

9 And somehow Canada, I see somebody's
10 telling them not to fight, not to say much. We have no
11 comments today. We have nothing to say. That is not a
12 way a federal department acts. There is something
13 wrong here. When a department like that can't stand up
14 and question the remediation team here on fish, on
15 water, on diffuser, then there's something wrong here.

16 This is -- this is a democracy. And I
17 really feel sad that this is happening at such a time.
18 I would like to see DFO really put a question in the
19 next two (2) days to the remediation team and challenge
20 them on some of those plans that they have. That's
21 their role. Otherwise, pack up your suitcases and
22 leave. The Yellowknives can take over and be in charge
23 if you can't do your job, because the Yellowknives have
24 their own government too, and the people's voice will -
25 - will push Canada to do the right thing.

1 But you can't have one (1) department --
2 a federal department control another federal
3 department. That's not democratic. That's -- that is
4 pure injustice, and this is what I see. And they're
5 getting away with it.

6 I hope federal department will speak up
7 in the next two (2) days in the interest of the
8 citizens and First Nation, really ask tough questions,
9 including Environment Canada, who have their own
10 department too.

11 These two (2) department agencies are
12 not asking enough, because somebody told them, Don't
13 ask. This is pure injustice. These kind of injustice
14 should not be practised here.

15 I'd really like to see them really
16 challenge that. And they need to really, really do
17 their job, because they are their own federal agency
18 and they have the job to do and they should do it, do
19 it right.

20 The valleys that you see, as I said,
21 underwater leads up to Yellowknife River. And a lot of
22 the fish migration underwater goes back and forth.
23 They work.

24 We eat a lot of fish here. We are lake
25 people, we are fish people, we are caribou people, and

1 fish is our main diet during this time of year. And
2 we're really concerned about it, because if the -- the
3 fish is contaminated and eventually we will, and
4 eventually there might be miscarriages and other
5 illness that might really come in the future. That may
6 happen.

7 And that's a really dangerous thing, you
8 know, if -- if -- if these things come up, then DFO and
9 then other departments are being questions: Did you
10 know about this? This is a danger where people really
11 have to watch. If they put a diffuser out there, which
12 I don't agree with, it's not going to do any good.

13 Just when we think the Yellowknife Bay
14 is going to be cleaned up and we can go and eat the
15 fish and set the nets and go swimming, well, there's
16 going to be another -- a diffuser right in front of us
17 which will destroy our Back Bay again, which means that
18 we have to pull our -- our nets out. We have a treaty
19 right to fish, but we can't fish in contaminated area.

20 That diffuser is not a proven
21 technology. It's not a pro -- a pro -- proven concept.
22 We all know, as Dene people, in our traditional
23 knowledge that whenever you create moving or current
24 water, there's a chance you'll fall in. There's a
25 change the ice will be thin. Any river currents, even

1 in front of the lake here further out, any water --
2 body of water in the current that's moving, you'll fall
3 in. Any hunters and trappers and -- behind me will
4 tell you that. Moving water is not good.

5 It creates thin ice. It may not be good
6 for the -- for the enjoyment of people who cross the
7 lake regularly. People will probably fall in. And the
8 diffuser, once it's in the water, moving; it's also
9 going to create problems for the fish that disappear
10 for seventy (70) years. The coney was here one (1)
11 time in 1930s until the first mine dynamite that went
12 off, and then they start to move out. They've never
13 been back for seventy (70) years.

14 The Yellowknife River in that land,
15 which is call Coney River, but there's no coney in
16 there. Gone, because the quality of water and the
17 pollution of Yellowknife Bay driven them out and they
18 have not returned to out here in the front here, right
19 in front of our dock here, but they're not going to
20 river anymore. There's something wrong. The lake
21 trout use to run the rivers here at one time. Them too
22 did disappear.

23 So what was one -- once our livelihood
24 and our way of life has been altered and -- and
25 violated. And we've been asking Canada. This -- this

1 is not good practice until, you know, the greed -- as
2 they say, greed could blind you. And this -- this is
3 what happened.

4 The mines and towns, the greed just took
5 people further and further until they finally realized,
6 Well, oh boy, that we got a big mess here. And it was
7 just devastating for us, but the remediation -- our
8 whole people ask a lot of questions. Remediation means
9 like cleaning up the area.

10 But I hope that many Yellowknives will
11 say something tonight, express their concern, what this
12 mine has done to us and is still doing to us today.
13 Even though there's children have lost their life and
14 many Elders have passed away with cancer, as the
15 remainder of Yellowknives who live in Yellowknife Bay
16 and N'Dilo, we're afraid to drink the water. We use to
17 go down there and get the water and bring it back to
18 our house and make soup and everything. We can't do
19 that anymore. Getting water and bringing it to your
20 house is a human right. No one should destroy your
21 quality of water and -- and make you sick - no one.
22 And it's happened to us. We're not allowed to use any
23 water. We can't drink it.

24 So I think Canada really owes us a lot,
25 the compensation. Some of those lands need to be

1 returned. Our archaeological sites in that whole area
2 has been destroyed and destruct. It may not be too
3 late to -- to look at it, but we do have heavy
4 archaeological site in that area.

5 And to restore the land to its original
6 habitat, it might not be even close, but the
7 Yellowknives need to be involved with full traditional
8 knowledge, their knowledge of that area, and how they
9 can help with the remediation. That only happens if
10 Canada puts money forward for us to -- to be involved.

11 You all know Canada. If they don't want
12 you, they don't want you. They'll just pull the money.
13 It's been like that for us the last thirty (30) years
14 I've been involved with them. And if they don't like
15 it, they pull the money.

16 I think there's a word called "forever".
17 For the Yellowknives Dene, that word "forever", we know
18 what it means. You look beyond the moon and the stars,
19 and you see nothing. You continue; that's forever.

20 But for the federal government,
21 "forever" means ten (10) years. After that, they're
22 hoping that people will forget the history here, turn
23 the page and go on with their lives, and they'll never
24 have to pay a price or a compensation or anything. But
25 they need to. They really need to give compensation, I

1 think, for the destruction that took place. And for
2 us, as people, we can't drink the water.

3 At one time, the City -- Dr. Stanton
4 (phonetic), who was here in 1940s, talked with the City
5 and the government here at that time. The territorial
6 council was a small body. He said, The Indian people
7 are dying, they're getting sick. You need to provide
8 free water for them, because the Yellowknife Bay is
9 contaminated at that time.

10 Canada paid for the water for a few
11 years, and then one day, they just stop paying. You
12 want water, you got to pay for it. Well, we don't want
13 to pay for the water. We'd rather go right to the lake
14 and bring it, but we can't.

15 So somebody's responsible for that.
16 Somebody should pay for clean drinking water for -- for
17 our -- our communities, because we can never get that
18 back. The quality of water that was there, we'll never
19 get it back. And the fish that we depend on, they may
20 not be safe in the future. We want it to be safe. It
21 can only happen if things were done right.

22 So I'm going to end it right there. I
23 have a lot of people who'll probably speak, but I -- I
24 really think those two (2) departments need to step up
25 and do their job. Mahsi.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to go to
2 Alfred Baillangeon. Then, after Alfred, we'll have a
3 short break. And then after that, I've got Peter D.
4 Sangris and Peter Liske.

5

6 (INTERPRETED FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

7

8 ELDER ALFRED BAILLANGEON: Thank you.
9 Thank you for coming to our community. People that are
10 sitting in front of us are working for us. I am
11 looking at all the Elders' pictures up on the -- on the
12 wall. It's their land. All those pictures that you --
13 that are up there, it's their land, and none of them
14 are alive.

15 I'm involved with the band council. And
16 I am seventy-seven (77) years old. My grandfather, my
17 mother's father, was a chief. On my mother's side,
18 uncle, Fritz (phonetic) Sangris, were form -- former
19 chiefs. It is their community. All their pictures are
20 up there.

21 Back in 1916, when the first White
22 prospector came, there were being seen -- they were
23 travelling by canoe, a birch bark canoe, and they never
24 saw White people. But they saw -- one (1) of the
25 Elders was telling me that they saw prospectors. And

1 he told them that, You're not doing that on my land, so
2 go away.

3 And then after the Elder passed away,
4 they started prospecting in that area. They found
5 gold. And right now, you're talking about
6 contaminants.

7 Back in 1955, we used to travel by dog
8 team in that area. There used to be a pipe over there.
9 And we didn't know at that time it was a tailing pond.
10 Is -- Isadore Char -- Sangris, his dog got into -- into
11 the water. And with that, we -- we -- then we found
12 out about contaminants. And they -- they never consult
13 with us at that time.

14 That's what they do towards -- towards
15 us. And all they extract -- they extracted all the
16 rocks, the royalties from our area. What -- what did
17 they do with all the money? It's all gone back to the
18 federal government, and you -- you are aware of it.
19 And that money -- you -- you guys have to give us half
20 of that money.

21 Right now, the youth that are alive -- I
22 got a lot of grandchildren, even my daughter's
23 children. What are they going to survive on? They got
24 their own family. And right now, my family are in
25 front of me.

1 You -- you guys have to look -- look
2 after us really good. Back in 1955, when we signed the
3 treaty, when we -- they made a agreement, they said if
4 -- the agreement was for friendship, and nowadays,
5 nothing. The -- the agreement has been broken.

6 And right now, they extracted a lot of
7 resources from our area. And they never told us, you
8 know, You guys are -- due to suffering and all that,
9 we'll compensate you guys. They never told us that,
10 and it's not right at all.

11 As a human being, and -- and if we ever
12 go to your hometown and destroy your land, we would end
13 up in -- we'll be incarcerated. You guys have to look
14 after us and support us. And you're talking about the
15 Giant Mine.

16 How many millions of dollars will be
17 spent towards that mine site? In the future, what's
18 going to happen? You're taking about the creek, Baker
19 -- Baker Creek. And -- and it's flowing out. And they
20 -- and they travel along the creek, and they used to
21 kill moose. It's not going to be the same like before,
22 even though you -- you do the remediation work.
23 Nothing will be the same.

24 All the Elders that are in here, they're
25 aware of it. As a non-Native, you guys don't care.

1 No, this is the situation that we're facing. And a lot
2 of chiefs had rotate. They had a lot of good words,
3 and right now they're all buried and we're still
4 standing here. And they don't respect us. It cannot
5 be like that.

6 Right now they're -- the people in front
7 of us are representing us. They're -- and you guys
8 have to take our words. That lake over here depend --
9 a lot of people depend on that water. People -- and it
10 -- it flows into other land -- a lot of people depend
11 on the -- the water, and even the animal depend on that
12 water.

13 And that con -- arsenic, you guys said
14 you guys going to do good work at cleaning up, and it
15 would be good if we can watch you guys do that.
16 There's going to be a pipe, there's water flowing from
17 -- from Yellowknife town. If you -- if you guys do the
18 diffuser, if you put diffuser over there, you guys
19 going to kill a lot of things.

20 And even my grandchildren, I want them
21 to -- are working with you guys. And about the Giant,
22 even about nine (9) people have died at the mine
23 because of the explosion. And we have to support one
24 another really good. And whoever's land we're talking
25 about, you have to consult with the chief really

1 thoroughly.

2 And the -- right now if they create
3 mines, they don't consult with -- with the people.
4 There's mine in barren land, and luckily they're
5 helping out with a little bit of money. And there's a
6 lot of arsenic due to the mine.

7 Back in 1962, we did brush cutting
8 around that area. All this time there was arsenic in
9 that area, and I was -- there was gravel that goes into
10 my mouth. At that time, I didn't know. We put
11 branches, and we made fire. And it just like there is
12 -- there's a big black smoke that went up, the flame.
13 And all this time, it was arsenic. It -- it's like
14 that in that area.

15 Everything is just black. And we lost a
16 lot of friends, and -- and no one of my friend is still
17 alive. That's how we survived. The rest of my friends
18 have passed away.

19 But I have -- I will direct my questions
20 to you.

21 Bill Enge, the Metis -- Metis Alliance,
22 we don't see them around. But they're claiming that
23 they're from this area, and they said they trap around
24 that area. They're from Fort Chip. Their -- and
25 sisters are from Fort Chip area, but then they're

1 claiming that they're from this area. You cannot take
2 their word for it. And they're -- they're not telling
3 -- telling -- giving you the truth. And you cannot
4 listen to them, because their information isn't -- is
5 not accurate, and the Board are aware of it.

6 And -- and it's not -- it's not nice to
7 give false information, because this is not their area.
8 But then they're claiming that it's their area, and I
9 really disagree with it. And then even Fort
10 Resolution, some Metis, we don't see them. And
11 arsenic, it flows through this area. Even the -- the
12 government cannot say anything about it because --
13 because of their greed. They don't care if a person
14 die from it. And I'm -- I'm really serious when I
15 speak about this, and it seems like my heart cry.

16 And my hometown is right here, and then
17 we live among all the arsenic. And then it's really
18 difficult to do all the cleanup. And then I used to
19 check out the pond over there. How they going to
20 restore everything? Even you guys are afraid of it.

21 We have to really discuss this among --
22 among ourselves. We have to have a good discussion
23 about it. And it doesn't take only one (1) discussion
24 and make decision on it. We really have to have a
25 thorough discussion over it, and it's kind of hard to

1 make a decision just like that.

2 And my -- my people thank you. People
3 do want to speak up. As Aboriginal people, we have to
4 speak for us, but why are you allowing Metis to speak?
5 Because they're giving a false information. And think
6 about it. And my people are aware of it. Even my
7 nephew, Rick, is aware of it, even Jimmy is aware of
8 it. And we cannot give false information and say, This
9 is my land, my area.

10 Yesterday, all day, I had a -- I wasn't
11 feeling well, and Rick had doctor. We should have got
12 his doctor today and -- and look at me. Thank you.

13

14 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

15

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll take a ten (10)
17 minute break and we'll come back.

18

19 --- Upon recessing at 9:27 p.m.

20 --- Upon resuming at 9:41 p.m.

21

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I think we'll
23 -- we'll go ahead and start. I'll get Peter D. Sangris
24 to come up -- either to stand up or sit down. It's up
25 to you.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

3 MR. PETER SANGRIS: My name is Peter
4 Sangris, Yellowknives Dene First Nation. (NATIVE
5 LANGUAGE SPOKEN)

6 I want to drink good water.
7 Yellowknives Chief Drygeese territory. Thank you, you
8 people come in for the meeting. Today we -- we have
9 meeting about the Giant Mine frozen arsenic forever.

10 What I like to know how long this good
11 for, frozen arsenic at the Giant Mine, on the ground.
12 It may be good for a thousand years, nobody know.
13 There might be the ground moving from the earthquake.
14 You never know what is going to happen.

15 Yellowknives Dene, they are worrying
16 about frozen arsenic because the world is changed. Too
17 warm -- too warm of weather. The frozen arsenic, some
18 these days, they might melt it and go down to
19 Yellowknife Bay and then pollution.

20 Giant Mine company, they're making
21 billions of dollar from Dene land. And the mine
22 company, they left behind a polluted, messy place.
23 Yellowknives Dene -- Dene people, they never see one
24 cent from Giant Mine company. Yellowknife Dene they
25 need good conversation from the Giant Mine NWT.

1 Yellowknife, Giant Mine, frozen arsenic is very
2 dangerous stuff. Careful to handle it. They're
3 powerful stuff. I know that because our work
4 underground -- our work underground Giant Mine for
5 seven (7) years. And few of my friends, they're
6 working -- they're working in Giant on the roaster.
7 And they told me the story about a arsenic roaster.
8 Dangerous stuff.

9 I hope Yellowknife and government people
10 make a good job and safety. At the Giant Mine, frozen
11 arsenic and about a Giant Mine roaster, arsenic, that
12 big height, arsenic smoke goes 10 miles around the mine
13 -- ars -- arsenic smoke. It is dangerous stuff for any
14 kind -- dangerous stuff for any kind of animal; fish
15 too.

16 Yellowknife Dene, they already knew the
17 Giant Mine company destroy our land of water, and the
18 water are polluted. And frozen arsenic maybe -- maybe
19 good forever, but would -- we won't know yet.
20 Yellowknife Dene people, they -- they don't want
21 nothing happen to the frozen arsenic in underground
22 ground. Keep it safety forever. Maybe a thousand
23 years or million of years, safety first.

24 And about frozen arsenic, maybe -- maybe
25 they'll cover -- whole thing to cover up good with a

1 strong cement that stays hard forever. No more
2 pollution in Yellowknife Bay. It is enough. Dene
3 people, they know Yellowknife Bay fish are sick from --
4 from last fifty (50) years ago -- the -- from the Giant
5 Mine north territory.

6 And all the fish, the stomach were all
7 red. The meat is too soft to eat. Before last fifty
8 (50) years ago, the fish good to eat. Today we're
9 scared to eat a fish. Somebody have to look -- to look
10 into it. Make sure the fish is soft -- I mean -- I
11 mean the -- the fish is too soft and the fish are sick,
12 and -- and another kind of animal around Yellowknife
13 area.

14 We'll work together safely to all
15 Yellowknife people about the Giant Mine. And mahsi
16 cho.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

19

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: The next speaker I
21 have is Peter Liske, former Chief.

22

23 (BRIEF PAUSE)

24

25 (INTERPRETED FROM DOGRIB INTO ENGLISH)

1 CHIEF PETER LISKE: This meeting this
2 evening, we're thankful. This is a very important
3 topic that we're talking about. In the past 1975,
4 while I was an interpreter when the Eld -- Elders were
5 speaking regarding how we would live, and I still keep
6 on to the knowledge that was passed on to me.

7 We were pass on to -- when we were
8 children, young men in the future, there will be many
9 foreigners coming onto the land. So when we look at
10 the future, you have to be careful. And with the Giant
11 Mine, it's been with us for many years. And there's
12 three (3) issues that -- and we're only talking about
13 only one (1) item, and that's the arsenic that's
14 contained. But there's three (3) different things
15 that's been ruined on our land.

16 When we go land, we breath the air, and
17 that's -- that's -- and also we drink the water. And
18 the water is contaminated now. And also on the land.
19 And the environment has been ruined. When you see it,
20 not -- not only here, we the people, the citizens of
21 N'Dilo and also the Yellowknives Dene First Nat --
22 Nation, this is -- we -- we consider this is 30-miles
23 radius is all the land that's been contaminated and
24 ruined.

25 And also I want to talk on many issues,

1 but I -- I've been only given only ten (10) minutes, so
2 I'll -- I'll be steadfast in my speech. And as we
3 speak to the issue of Giant Mine, we're talking about -
4 - when we speak to it in - in English, it's very hard
5 to understand. And when -- it's a very complex issue
6 that we're talking about.

7 When we're talking, we're talking
8 environment concerns, it's very complex word, and it
9 talks about the land, the water, and how we live. And
10 we're -- everything that's contained in the
11 environment. That's what we're talking about.

12 As for myself, the way I see it, it's
13 not going to be remediated right away. And I've been
14 listening three (3) days -- three (3) past -- past
15 three (3) days, and -- and every time when the
16 Developers are questioned since 1999 they -- they are
17 representing the government, and the yare the ones that
18 are -- and these past three (3) days they've been
19 saying only minor negative effects, and also good
20 enough -- and they did some work to remedy the
21 situation.

22 And there's -- these -- I still don't
23 understand these words that they're talking about, and
24 also when we talk about the water that -- so when they
25 say these things, what do they really mean? And the

1 best -- when you talk about the best solutions they're
2 talking about frozen block solutions. What are they
3 talking -- and when we talk -- we're talking about
4 arsenic trioxide dust that's going to be stored, and --
5 a hundred thirty (130) -- to -- to monitor the Giant
6 Mine.

7 And also these consultants as they are
8 saying, and it's been the past ten (10) years that
9 they've been working on this remediation work, and also
10 they put 23 million liability -- towards liability, and
11 so they want us -- how can we work alongside with them.
12 A hundred and thirty (130) -- a hundred and eight (108)
13 -- eighty thousand dollars (\$80,000), what kind of work
14 can we do with that? As they were saying, there's
15 unlevel -- and that's right insane that there's an
16 unlevel playing field with a hundred eighty thousand
17 (180,000) to work with.

18 I may not speak too long, but today I
19 found out something. In the past, the water's been --
20 early 1950s, and there were four (4) children that
21 passed away because they drank water from the -- this
22 one (1) guy's name is Michele Zeeky (phonetic). And he
23 lived to be a hundred years. And he had a document --
24 documented September 23, 1973. He talked to min --
25 wrote to Minister Chretien and Stewart (phonetic)

1 Alderman and see -- see these people. And today I
2 wanted to table this document. I want to table this
3 document to the Board.

4

5 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

6

7 CHIEF PETER LISKE: .. explain in that
8 letter in regards to the health -- the health issue and
9 why -- and why we're asking that Health Canada should
10 be involved. I think this letter will explain that.

11

12 (INTERPRETATION FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

13

14 CHIEF PETER LISKE: And also, since
15 1999, the beginning of the remediation, there's been
16 two (2) chiefs that made a document -- presented a
17 document on our land. The Giant mine is situated on
18 our land. And we, the citizens of the N'Dilo, Dettah,
19 and also the Smoky with regards to the socioeconomic
20 impact they wanted to do some work.

21 And Jane Stewart (phonetic) had made a
22 re -- regarding the closure and reclamation of Giant
23 Mine, there was a document that's been presented.
24 Also, when we present it to the government we have to
25 be involved as YKDFN. And today, we're not being a

1 part of the working group. And they're the ones that
2 make the decisions and we're not involved. And so, for
3 that, I want to table this.

4

5 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

6

7 CHIEF PETER LISKE: ... table another
8 letter that was sent by -- that was sent in April,
9 1999, by our two (2) former chiefs. And it'll be
10 tabled to the Board of Directors Board to Mackenzie
11 Valley Environment Impact Board, for the record.

12 So in all these things that were -- that
13 has been done in the past, none of it has been really -
14 - has been justified. If we are to work together,
15 we're going to be a partnership, we're going to have a
16 long-term relationship in this community and with
17 Yellowknife, we have to start -- you guys are going to
18 have to start looking closely to what we have to say.
19 And we have to be involved in every way.

20 The recommendations that I'd like to
21 make tonight, it's three (3) recommendations, what
22 Yellowknives Dene First Nation want. 1) We need a
23 commitment. 2) We want long-term funding for GMAC.
24 GMAC is Giant Mine Advi -- Advisory Committee. And
25 they can work on specific issues, example, monitoring

1 of air, water and land at Giant Mine site on a day-to-
2 day basis.

3 And will have a review every six (6)
4 months. And then we will inform the members about the
5 site and what's going on. I also want GMAC to -- to
6 negotiate the compensation package. This is a
7 different issue from the main table negotiations. And
8 they will take directions from Chief and council and
9 the members at large.

10 And thirdly, if you want the community
11 engagement we need your support. And YK Dene will have
12 to be involved 100 percent. Again, to recommend again
13 and what we're saying is that we -- we need long-term
14 commitment and funding arrangements as soon as
15 possible.

16 At this time we are engaged with the
17 City of Yellowknife on the main table negotiations, but
18 on the side Yellowknives Dene has continued to -- to
19 work on the commissioner's land and the boundary issue.
20 And that work will continue even though there's an
21 election coming up within the city on October 15th.

22 But I -- in our last meeting we said we
23 will continue that work. So we establish a committee
24 and we're hoping that we'll have some progress made
25 within the next six (6) months.

1 As you all know, Akaitcho main table is
2 ongoing and next week we're meeting face to face with
3 the federal and GNWT. So sort of in -- you know, like
4 we're so involved. If you think of -- you look at the
5 big picture, when I said very complex, we're being put
6 on a back burner all the time.

7 We're working with a verly -- very
8 little budget. I just mention here since 1999 the
9 government or the Developers have spent \$160 million to
10 date and there's put -- and then there's 23 million
11 liability that was put aside.

12 Well, we have to -- we have to be at the
13 playing field. If you guys want us to be involved and
14 then at the playing field, you guys are going to have
15 to really consider looking at this or else I don't
16 know.

17 If it's -- if you go the other direction
18 I think there's enough people here. We have good chief
19 and council. We have a lot of youth. You know, we may
20 take some action. We don't want to do that. We all --
21 we all said we want to work. We all want to work
22 together and try to resolve things.

23 So in closing, I think what we want is
24 we're not going to resolve everything of what I've
25 heard and to date, and I don't think we're going to be

1 satisfied. To me alone I don't think I'll be ever
2 satisfied that in twenty-five (25) years the land will
3 be back to where it was. I don't see it. I don't
4 think anybody or all the consultants in the world and
5 all the engineers in the world will tell me that Giant
6 Mine will be 100 percent reclaimed.

7 And you look at these posts -- site and
8 everything else you guys are showing us, all green.

9 And you're saying that the water is going to be good to
10 drink, fish will be okay to eat, and you drink water.

11 You heard if from all the rest of the
12 presenters. I don't see it that way. To me the only
13 satisfactory will be if I hired -- if I -- we hired --
14 Yellowknives Dene First Nation hired their own
15 consultants or their own doctors and do our own studies
16 to our own satisfactory. I think that's the only way
17 that the Yellowknives Dene will be satisfied.

18 And then, like I said, in closing I
19 think key to everything -- key to everything of what
20 our people, my members and the rest of the presenters
21 have been saying, and especially Alternatives North,
22 they've been keeping a close eye on it.

23 I'd like to thank them. I'd like to
24 thank North Slave Metis Alliance. I'd like to thank
25 the -- the rest of the presenters. But key to

1 everything I think what we want as Yellowknives Dene --
2 Yellowknives Dene First Nation is that to do everything
3 right we need environmental impact -- environmental
4 independent review for this project.

5 So slow things down. You're not going
6 to resolve everything. So that's what we need to do.
7 And so that's all I'm saying tonight, because I just
8 didn't have time. I had lots written, but I can't go
9 through everything. But, hopefully, our message and --
10 from my Elders and from my Chief and my councillors,
11 hopefully the message will get through to the
12 Developers and to the minister. And -- and hopefully
13 things will -- we will work together in the future.
14 Masi.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Peter
16 Liske. Can I just go back to one (1) question you
17 mentioned. Of those three (3) points you just
18 mentioned, the commitments, can you expand on that just
19 briefly?

20 CHIEF PETER LISKE: When I'm talking
21 about commitment is that we need a long-term funding
22 commitment. We need dollars to work, to do our own
23 research. And we're going to give that mandate to
24 GMAC. And GMAC will be the Giant Mine advisory
25 committee -- we have a committee in place -- with the

1 Chief and council giving directions. So when I'm --
2 when I'm talking about long-term commitment is that
3 it's the -- regarding funding.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to go to
5 Board member John.

6 MR. JOHN CURRAN: I want to say thank
7 you for all the speakers tonight. I know it can be
8 very intimidating to come up. And it's clear everyone
9 is speaking from the heart and the Board very much
10 appreciates that.

11 Chief Liske, the GMAC that you're
12 speaking of, is that -- when you say that it takes
13 direction from Chief and council, does -- the Developer
14 would also have a -- a seat and a -- a voice there,
15 right, this -- in this group?

16 CHIEF PETER LISKE: Well, right now,
17 the way it's set up is the only members are involved is
18 our -- we have a -- a worker, and the key -- the
19 members of the com -- committee is the YK Dene First
20 Nation members. And they're taking direction from the
21 Chief and council.

22 So I don't know how we can re-establish.
23 Maybe look at different ways of establishing maybe one
24 (1) committee involving developers. And -- and key to
25 this is that -- what I'm saying is that we have to be

1 involved directly at decision making at every level
2 from now on.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Any
4 further questions, John Curran? Okay. I don't know if
5 any of the other Board members have any questions, but
6 I want to thank Peter Liske, former Chief. Oh, yeah,
7 Peter Liske, if you could maybe give that letters to my
8 staff on the table here, we could take that. Thank you
9 for sharing that information with us.

10 Is there anybody else in the back that
11 want to come up and -- from the public that want to say
12 anything about the Giant Mine remediation project? And
13 if I don't -- nobody comes up, then I think -- Chief --
14 okay. In the back. Just state your name for the
15 record, and then...

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

18

19 MR. GEORGE TACHECHELI: Hi. My name is
20 George Tachecheli. I'm from Dettah. I -- I grew up
21 here, and I'm just going to talk about what I -- I
22 experienced when I was young.

23 I remember when Giant Mine and Con Mine
24 was operating, probably around -- right around the
25 '60s. There's a cabin just down here. That's where I

1 -- I grew up in. And sometime I'm -- I'm sleeping, I
2 could hear the blasts. Sometime I -- I wake up from
3 the blasts from under the ground and sometime I hear
4 the drilling from underground when I was growing up.
5 It was all the way through, from around the '60s right
6 up to about -- around the '70s.

7 I noticed it sort of eventually started
8 to die down more and more. And then when -- when the -
9 - when the mine is closed I never heard it again. I
10 kind of thought that that was good, but I never thought
11 about what -- what really happened down there and
12 what's -- what's going on because we -- we never heard
13 about -- about things like arsenic back then.

14 And after shutdown, I realized that it -
15 - it starts to come up on the surface, like everybody
16 start talking about arsenic. But I -- I seen things
17 around the -- around the '60s. When we used to have a
18 dog team we used to go -- go to Giant Mine by -- by
19 dogs to -- to collect some scraps for -- for dogs.

20 There -- there used to be a spillage
21 going down. We had to cross it. Sometime if we do
22 cross it, the dogs -- the dog, they -- they stepped in
23 it. Some dogs stepped in it. And those dogs, they --
24 their feet will be just raw. They -- you know, because
25 -- because of that arsenic. I -- I remember that when

1 -- when I was growing up.

2 To -- to this point, that I think it's -
3 - it's still -- it's still in the air, and it's when --
4 when there's -- when -- when there's lot of wind it
5 goes all over. Maybe it goes further than maybe thirty
6 (30) miles more, and it goes all the way around.

7 And -- and on -- on top of that, I want
8 to add a little bit what Meryl (phonetic) said earlier.
9 There's -- there's a mons -- monster down there. And
10 that monster, it's -- it's creating something in us
11 because we're eating the fish, we're eating the
12 animals. So we're -- we're like a walking time bomb,
13 dying slowly because we -- we eat all that, the -- we -
14 - we eat the fish. We eat the caribou.

15 Even the caribou come down here back.
16 The latest time when the caribou came here was about ni
17 -- 1991 or '92. It came -- it came right -- right down
18 to Yellowknife River right -- right up the -- to Simps
19 -- Simpson Island. There was caribou all over back
20 then. Now the caribou is gone.

21 And for me, I think we should be really
22 serious about it to -- to work together and to -- to do
23 things step-by-step. If we miss something, just keep
24 going back to it until where we -- we left off from and
25 just continue to -- to work on it.

1 And I'm really thank -- thankful for --
2 for you guys to come here, to have a meeting here,
3 because in -- in town we don't -- we don't even have a
4 chance to talk, so now we do. So I thank you very
5 much. Mahsi cho.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, George
7 Tachecheli. Mahsi. If there's anybody else that want
8 to speak, they could come up. It looks like Eddie
9 Sikyea, Elder from N'Dilo.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

13 (INTERPRETATION FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

14

15 ELDER EDDIE SIKYEA: My name is Eddie
16 Sikyea from N'Dilo. And you guys are having a good
17 meeting regarding Giant Mine, and I've been listening
18 to you -- to you for the past three (3) days. And I'm
19 very familiar with Giant Mine.

20 When I was young, when -- back in 1936
21 this was my first time that -- that -- when I came here
22 I was five (5) years old when I first came here. Prior
23 to that, I have never been here. Drybone Bay, there's
24 a island, Bay Island. I was born there. That -- back
25 in 1931 I was born there.

1 And we've been living there in that area
2 for -- back in 1938 we moved to Wool Bay. In 1939 I
3 was sick, so I was sent -- medivac to -- to admitting
4 by -- back then they used to travel by dog team. We
5 couldn't come back so we moved to Rocher River
6 (phonetic).

7 We lived there for one (1) year. We
8 moved back in 1940. When we came back -- moved back
9 here in 1940, at Burwash we arrived there. That's
10 where we start camping. I was about ten (10) years
11 old.

12 At -- at the age of ten (10) I used to
13 do dog team. I used to haul water and check nets.
14 That was my chores. In 1942 my dad got a job at -- at
15 Con Mine. He worked there for sixteen (16) years. In
16 1948 when -- when I was eighteen (18) years old I
17 started working with my -- my father. One (1) hour we
18 work for one (1) -- one dollar (\$1) an hour.

19 Every day we work for eight (8) -- eight
20 dollars (\$8) a day, and it was ninety-six dollars (\$96)
21 every two (2) weeks that we were receiving. And we
22 didn't have to pay tax. And my dad had worked that
23 long. At those days things were really cheap. We
24 bought boards.

25 Back in 1950, the water was

1 contaminated. When we heard that the water was
2 contaminated around Scodra (phonetic), we moved to that
3 area. Back to 1950's to present I'm still paying for
4 water. 1996 that's when I moved to a -- a new -- a new
5 house and I pay for water seventy-two dollars (\$72) a
6 month. Back from tw -- two (2) years it -- it went up
7 to eighty-two dollars (\$82). Now it went up to ninety-
8 three dollars (\$93) a month and how's that? That's
9 because of Giant Mine. If Giant Mine didn't exist at
10 all we weren't going to pay for water at all.

11 It was -- it's my land. I was raised
12 here in -- in -- in Yellowknife river. I pay for
13 water. That's water that's coming from Yellowknife
14 River. Maybe it's -- I'm not the only one (1).
15 Diavik/BHP, if the water is polluted -- the water flows
16 to here. And we have to be very concerned and you have
17 to think about that.

18 1945 I used to travel by dog team, and I
19 used to travel Baker -- Baker Creek. And I'm not -- I
20 don't know what's it called in English. We used to do
21 -- get fish from that area by dog team. The Baker
22 Creek they contaminated, they destroyed the land, even
23 the trees, the branch, the plant. And two (2) youth
24 have died and two nine (9) minors have died.

25 What did they give us in return? And

1 all the -- the arsenic it's going to be in the ground
2 forever. What -- however time that blocks going to be
3 underground they should pay for our water and utility.
4 If they're not going compensate us they should do that.
5 That's my concern. And I wanted to let you know now I
6 have told you and for Metis people. If Ed Jones was
7 here, I wanted to tell him, Eddie Jones, back in 1939 I
8 don't think I ever recalled him getting any woods.

9 1939 I was in residence -- I mean in
10 residential school in Fort Resolution. We used to eat
11 fish at that time. Eddie Jones was really a small --
12 even his brother Henry -- Henry died in and Raymond is
13 still alive and were a little bit bigger. At that time
14 I saw him and how did he end up getting woods from
15 Giant Mine area. I could've told him that when he was
16 here. That is all I'm going to say for now. Thank
17 you.

18

19 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDES)

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

22

23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Eddie
24 Sikyea, mahsi. Is there anybody else in the public
25 that wants to come up and talk about Giant Mine

1 remediation project?

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

4

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't see anybody.

6 If not, I think Chief Sangris wanted to make closing

7 comments.

8

9 (INTERPRETATION FROM TLICHO INTO ENGLISH)

10

11 CLOSING COMMENTS BY CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS:

12 CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS: Thank you. It

13 was a long meeting. We had listened to all the

14 presenter and all the public concerns, and this -- that

15 we went on into the evening.

16 How things has been corrupt with us, and

17 that -- that's a concern that we're putting forward.

18 This -- this evening we listened to Elders and young

19 people, things that we love, important that -- to us

20 that we keep it safe. And that's how they had talked

21 from their heart, and what happened to our land. How

22 damaged the land and the water had been. And over that

23 the water has been contaminated. And a couple of kids

24 that died from the arsenic, and that's the concern to

25 us that were brought forward.

1 My dad had said at one (1) time I will
2 love the land. Everything that was on the -- this land
3 we survive by until the end of the earth, that we have
4 to negotiate and bargain for our land. In youth, young
5 youth, it will be up to you guys. There's something
6 that we love that we have to bargain, and we're still
7 keeping this word. So you -- you love something with
8 your heart, that things will -- won't go wrong with us.
9 That we have to keep talking about it.

10 We have listen to the public, and they
11 talk from their heart that they're really concerned.
12 Listen to their words. For the future, our kids and
13 their grandkids that we're talking for.

14 Same thing -- something that's really
15 dangerous that is -- that is going to be stored
16 underground for eternity, I can -- I don't feel good
17 thing about that. I'm sure people thinking the same
18 way. Our next generation of kids, how they going to
19 feel.

20 Some people are saying that our land is
21 being polluted, and it's like some people just went
22 back home and this is up to you guys to fix up that
23 place. This is how we're being faced today. Not only
24 our members, but the non-aboriginal people living among
25 us, I'm sure they're impacted, too.

1 Before the Giant Mine, how things used
2 to be. And then my mommy, my mom and my dad, they used
3 to say that - - that no -- a lot of stories, grandpa's.
4 It used to be a good place to go. There's like a
5 little island there, and the creek, Baker Creek. And -
6 - and they go to Martin Lake and fish. Is good place
7 for plants, for flowers, and berries. It was like a --
8 our store for us. Not today. Look at it. It's far
9 from a store. We used to get all the traditional food
10 from the land there.

11 And that's why we're saying today we
12 know that that -- whenever it take place -- how it used
13 to be at one (1) time, it will never come back to that.

14 The arsenic that's being stored
15 underground for eternity, we don't think it will be a
16 good place to -- for people to go again. That's why
17 the public at large are concerned. We're hearing them
18 today.

19

20 (INTERPRETATION CONCLUDED)

21

22 CHIEF EDDIE SANGRIS: I just want to
23 thank the Developers, the Review Board, and the staff.
24 I'd like to thank especially community members and the
25 public for coming out to this session.

1 The Board has heard a lot of concerns
2 from many of our members. Now, the purpose of this
3 hearing is to focus on the impacts and implementation
4 of the frozen block method, but it is important to be
5 reminded of the history of the site and how it was.

6 I've heard many stories from the Elders
7 in the past, how they have survived from being near
8 that place, the medicine that they get from the area,
9 the trees. Now it is all gone. The perpetual care
10 won't bring it back.

11 Elders who have worked the land spoke to
12 you about the realities of life when the mine was in
13 operation. Those -- as my opening comment mentioned is
14 that you have to listen to those people.

15 We have already said we do not agree
16 with the frozen block method, that it is not the
17 solution we want to live with forever. The mitigation
18 measures that Aboriginal Affairs and Northern
19 Development Canada have proposed can be improved on.
20 We cannot stop looking for solutions, because the
21 frozen block solution is not the only solution that we
22 seek as Dene that have been adversely impact by the
23 development and devastation.

24 Now, we as Dene must be meaningfully
25 engaged in the decision-making for the remainder of the

1 project. As you have heard earlier, we tried to
2 engage, but we were ignored, pushed aside. Now, when I
3 say we must be engaged, it's whether that takes the
4 form of GMAC, Giant Mine Advisory Committee, or other
5 oversights, models. Real commitment must be made, as
6 you heard tonight.

7 A lot of times, when we come to an
8 understanding, you have to make that commitment of
9 understanding. We have made an understanding with
10 Crown 1900: As long as the sun rises, the river flows,
11 and the grass grows, that we will work in friendship,
12 cooperation. But it seems today, in the modern era,
13 we're still negotiating on our claims. Meanwhile, the
14 reality of life, of Giant Mine legacy has come to an
15 end. A new legacy is about to begin, of recovery.

16 Now that's the thing that scares us the
17 most. Not us personally, but we are scared for future
18 generations and those yet unborn. You heard the fears
19 of the people that pass through there daily. You hear
20 of the fears of the people that live across it. And
21 you're going to keep on hearing the fears in the future
22 generations.

23 That's why we must -- like I said,
24 mining is a ancient industry. But the solution of
25 remedation -- remediation, this is a relatively new

1 concept. And whenever we care for the land the best
2 people to clean it up is the people that depend on it,
3 that sustain themselves on it, their livelihood. The
4 people that depend on the land for the food, the water,
5 sometimes those are the people that look after the land
6 the best.

7 And I'd also like to recommend that they
8 set up independent review of this project because I see
9 here tonight it's going to have adverse effects on the
10 people of Yellowknife Dene, not only us but to people
11 right across the north, right from Yellowknife Bay all
12 the way to the ocean, the Arctic Ocean. Think about
13 it.

14 So with that, I'd like to thank
15 everybody here. And, the Creator willing, someday I
16 hope that we can finally bring to closure of one (1) of
17 the most disastrous areas in Canada and how the people
18 survived through it. Mahsi cho.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Chief
20 Eddie Sangris. This concludes our meeting for tonight.
21 I -- first of all, I want to thank the host community
22 of Dettah, Chief Eddie Sangris and band council's
23 Elders, and all of your members that are here tonight.

24 Also I want to thank the Developers that
25 are here as well, and the -- and their support team and

1 staff. Also I'd like to thank the Review Board staff
2 that are here as well, and all the Board members. As
3 you can see, the Board members are -- are from
4 different parts of the Northwest Territories that are
5 here.

6 And we're still going to be meeting for
7 two (2) more days, tomorrow and Friday. And we're
8 hoping to make up a little more time tomorrow, because
9 we've still got to catch up on a couple of little items
10 that we'd like to do.

11 But with that, I also want to thank the
12 caterers that provided food tonight, Adeline (phonetic)
13 and Paul Mackenzie (phonetic). Thank you. Mahsi. And
14 our translators in the bac. We can't do it without the
15 translators, so I want to say thank you very much.
16 Mahsi.

17 And this concludes our meeting for
18 tonight and I'm going to ask the Elder Alfred
19 Baillangeon if he could come up to do the closing
20 prayer.

21

22 (CLOSING PRAYER)

23

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll start again
25 tomorrow morning, nine o'clock, Tree of Peace. And I

1 welcome all guests and visitors and Dettah as well.

2 Mahsi.

3

4 --- Upon adjourning at 10:45 p.m.

5

6

7

8

9 Certified correct,

10

11

12

13 _____

14 Lorraine Douglas, Ms.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>\$</u>	128:10,17	383:22	,19,24,25	321:22
\$.25 189:10	132:10	387:16	161:4	337:6
\$1 393:18	133:4	388:24	163:5	12:00
\$10 223:12	139:7	393:7,17,1	177:20	73:7,17
\$160 385:9	140:8	8 394:14	180:1	108:24
\$18.1 222:19	144:11	397:1	182:19	12:01 114:23
\$2 189:11	153:17	398:13	192:5	12:30
\$5 189:16	155:8	401:16	321:1	73:17,19
\$56 223:10	167:19,22	1,000	323:21	114:19
\$72 394:5	168:24	345:3,10	326:1	115:9
\$8 393:20	172:24	1,400 359:16	367:21	12:52 114:24
\$80,000	179:24	1,500 257:7	375:16	125 145:18
381:13	180:2	1,600 324:3	377:12	13 31:18
\$82 394:7	181:10	1.5 251:12	380:1	167:11
\$93 394:8	188:12,13	1/9 231:20	381:8	170:3
\$96 393:20	193:8	1:00 115:7	393:10,12	13.5 162:10
<u>0</u>	195:10,11	1:1,000 80:1	10:45 72:9	163:2
0809-001 1:7	208:4	103:7,20,2	403:4	173:5
303:25	211:17	2 104:5,19	100 27:18	130 381:5,12
<u>1</u>	218:23	1:10,000	94:11	14 16:22
1 13:12	221:2	104:3	124:20	243:15
16:22	223:3,20	1:250	154:24	324:14
17:15	224:8	85:3,7,16,	157:20	325:18,24
29:14	226:22	21 104:9	339:17	14th 64:25
34:24 35:1	230:16	1:40 15:2	345:3	200:17
36:13 37:8	231:7	1:50 15:2	384:12	15 10:10,11
38:6 47:8	246:20	1:500 13:16	386:6	11:3,9,10
51:15	248:20	14:24	100,000	17:3 31:11
54:18	253:20,25	16:6,13,15	345:4	109:6
55:23	254:13	79:18,20,2	101 44:24	139:6
56:10	256:20,21	4	108 381:12	161:23
61:23,24	258:15	80:4,10,17	11 5:7 21:16	163:5
62:19	263:22,23	84:12	72:17	193:12
63:24	266:19	85:18,22	161:18	221:1
89:13	269:4	86:15	234:24	226:14
91:15,16	275:3	87:10	11:00 9:23	323:20
94:1,2,4	280:11	103:4	305:7	339:15,16
95:22	285:1	105:1	11:01 72:10	340:19
96:24	288:1	106:17	119 111:21	150 324:1
99:3,11	305:4	10 10:11	112:13	338:14,16
100:23	307:21,22	33:7 44:22	11th 349:3	152 8:6
102:4	311:11	58:23 72:6	12 1:24 35:5	15th 384:21
103:1	333:15	103:9	44:16	16 109:6
106:2,4	335:10	125:12	62:21	324:9
121:14	369:24	157:25	122:3	393:15
	374:23	158:9,16,1	157:14	163 5:11
	379:13	8,22	192:19	
	381:22	159:1,6,16	198:8	
			234:25	

17 58:24 257:5	360:21	206:5,8	107:21	236 5:19
18 31:8,14 35:4 139:6 168:19,25 320:20,21 393:16	1973 381:24	211:5	205:8	237,000
180,000 381:17	1975 379:3	213:25	2000 322:15	136:4
19 18:25	1983 237:13	222:5	335:10	304:24
1900 400:10	1991 14:19	223:3,8,21	337:16	324:15
1916 369:21	391:17	225:18	2004 37:12	24 31:16
1919 184:5	1996 394:4	227:20	117:19	34:25
193 5:13	1999 302:3	234:1	2005 117:19	62:22
1930 183:18	317:20	235:22	323:4	151:4
1930s 214:4	323:4	245:13	2006 118:16	198:11
365:11	380:16	255:4,25	126:5	24/7 346:12
1931 392:25	382:15	256:4	242:18	249 5:20
1934 189:8	383:9	258:5	2007 113:13	24th 202:18
1936 392:20	385:8	278:13	195:3	25 11:9
1937 353:17	1st 290:8	287:22	2007/'08	14:24
1938 393:2	<hr/>	289:10,11	113:15	58:24
1939 184:5	2	300:1	2008 309:13	103:5,9
393:2	2 12:16	302:23	2010	105:16
395:7,9	13:17	307:22	195:10,22	220:25
1940 393:8,9	14:22,23	329:16	222:18	226:12
1940s 368:4	15:7,22	333:14	242:25	386:2
1942 393:14	16:18 25:7	336:3,16	257:1	26 21:17
1945 394:18	26:9	346:12	335:12	190:4
1948 323:2	36:1,13,15	355:1	2011 13:15	270 358:4
393:16	,23	362:19	15:20	28 22:22
1950 393:25	51:12,13	363:7,11	64:25	339:5,9
1950s 381:20	53:11,25	368:24	67:14	290 118:3
1950's 394:3	65:22	382:16	194:24	2-metre
1955 370:7	72:6,24	383:9,23	200:16	25:25 26:1
371:2	73:13	393:21	231:5	86:16
1961 353:13	79:24 84:6	394:6,23	243:6,7	<hr/>
1962 373:7	85:17 88:1	402:7	2012 1:24	3
1964 319:22	89:12	2,000 345:10	2013 202:20	3 1:25 12:23
1968 14:15	98:25 99:3	2,500 257:7	204 5:15	14:23
1970s 355:14	105:1	2.0 256:1	21 58:24	16:25 22:2
	106:2,3	2.5 33:8	210 5:16	31:9
	109:2	103:9	2100 126:16	33:5,9
	111:2	2:53 192:7	22 163:6	38:11
	113:16,19	20 58:24	220 118:1	45:12 47:8
	134:5,16	72:23	227 5:18 7:6	50:24
	137:22,23	86:17,25	23 27:16	51:13
	147:7	94:14 95:6	265:12	52:5,7,21
	154:14	96:22	270:16	89:13
	167:17	105:16	381:10,24	98:25
	168:15	124:10,23	385:10	109:7
	174:17	125:13		141:5,11
	175:4	126:18		149:12,25
	188:2,12	145:14		150:14
	195:2,10,1	265:15		
	1,22	270:16		
		200 13:13		

186:20,21	311 5:24	273:9,13	392:22	6.3 133:8
187:2	317 5:25	285:24	5,000 345:4	135:6,18
189:18,23	317,000	324:9	5:30 225:2	6:00 301:19
197:2	131:3	329:5	5:49 303:12	60 96:14
201:23	32 15:16	361:12	50 33:19	124:24
218:23	24:19	381:20	96:14	137:23
221:2	33 24:25	4,000 359:6	157:21	145:14
222:2	34 25:8	4,900 199:25	174:2	174:2
248:20	344 6:3	40 28:7 81:9	323:3	324:18
261:14,22	346 257:4	138:12	353:14	60s 353:20
311:18,19	347 130:3	174:2	378:4,8	389:25
336:4	35 20:6	180:19	500 81:7	390:5,17
338:2	25:22	403 6:9	83:25	66 163:6
346:12	115:23	409 200:6	87:13	<hr/>
354:14	205:18	41 28:21	103:1	7
379:12,14	208:5	42 29:2	104:18	7 5:3 51:12
380:14,15,	324:10	43 29:12	338:1	354:21
18 383:21	328:7	437 173:8	500,000	377:5
387:17	36 26:12	44 29:20	324:7	7.2 242:15
392:18	365 31:16	45 162:15	50s 353:20	7:00 301:20
3,000 131:6	238:3	163:5	51 34:5	310:3
257:4	37 26:25	46 30:10	52 34:16	7:19 303:13
3:00 11:11	27:14	47 30:22	53 35:8	70 365:10,13
3:08 192:8	94:24	48 31:21	323:17	70s 390:6
30 11:3	38 27:14	49 32:22	55 36:9	75,000 131:6
41:12	39 5:8 27:21	<hr/>	315:25	77 369:16
94:11	396 6:5	5	560,000	<hr/>
161:22	3rd 202:19	5 1:25 7:3	131:8	8
162:15	<hr/>	8:3 10:10	57 37:8	8 5:4 115:7
163:5,13	4	11:4 14:1	58,000 131:5	170:17
174:1	4 13:8,23	31:19 52:4	<hr/>	189:10,11
177:9	14:11	60:9 103:6	6	324:10
190:17	15:11	105:15	6 14:14 15:8	328:5
229:15	16:25	107:9	17:15	354:21
256:4	34:20	108:24	33:23	393:19
367:13	35:25 49:7	111:23	61:24	8.4 14:18
391:6	51:11 53:1	124:25	62:11	80 138:12
300	67:2,5	152:24	73:21	182:18,19
137:13,16	82:10	161:24	158:25	256:5
162:12	126:5	167:19,22	235:22	339:3
163:4	162:11	171:11	243:3,13	80s 355:14
185:1	168:22	204:17	244:2	360:21
329:7,8	233:1	224:13	245:13	81 339:3,15
337:24	242:17	227:4,8	256:5	340:19
338:1,3	256:4	235:22	384:3,25	87 182:14
359:5	261:21	245:13	6.0 256:1	
306 5:23		285:24		
30-miles				
379:22				
31 24:7				

<u>9</u>	175:9	292:19	225:11	292:20
9 5:6 15:15	203:16	317:15	accommodate	acknowledged
36:4	327:21	336:24	41:21	311:16
177:20	336:6	346:9	42:5,22	acknowledgin
244:6	able 124:8	abu 361:16	83:5,18,20	g 279:21
372:22	159:15	abused	206:13	acquainted
394:24	186:24	361:16	208:4	256:25
9:08 9:1	223:15	accept 56:17	accommodatio	across 58:3
9:27 375:19	253:3	105:15	n 207:17	64:18
9:41 375:20	259:8	172:17	accommodatio	117:23
90 265:13	261:1	197:4,7,11	ns 165:14	122:16
900,000	275:8,16,1	199:18	175:22	137:25
24:23	8 281:3	257:5	accomplish	138:10
92 391:17	286:23	acceptable	181:22	139:24
95 162:12	287:6	44:18	186:22	162:11
163:3	292:14	103:13	accomplished	163:3
329:6	294:18	125:5	19:23	277:8
960,000	340:6	127:15	accordance	355:22
131:2	348:8	164:2,18	208:6	358:13,16
99 182:15	aboriginal	166:15	according	400:20
185:4	65:2 70:10	207:7	253:11	401:11
<u>A</u>	80:24	208:7	297:11	act 22:16
a.m 9:1	205:18,22	267:12	account	111:22,24
72:9,10	206:10	287:14	30:20	113:12
A1 19:8	208:5	accepted	32:13	114:7
A2 14:8,9	209:10	107:9	80:15	197:1,13
19:2,8	246:12,17	199:16,18	231:19	233:21
AANDC 2:17	277:18,20	230:4,11	269:2	237:4
81:1 110:8	278:1	231:12	accounted	239:10
166:3	282:17,20,	232:12,17	32:1 33:11	240:18
174:16	21 289:3	233:5,12	accumulate	241:12
208:13	304:20	235:25	135:23	242:4,7
285:9	305:18	309:13,14	148:19	245:23
315:3	307:1	314:12	accumulates	246:4
341:6	308:21	access	87:7	248:15
ab 200:19	320:18	19:18,24	accumulation	263:15
abandoned	322:7	20:13 87:5	89:17	280:1
178:7	341:3	212:15,25	accurate	301:4
180:14	375:3	238:6	141:12	306:22
320:23	399:18	292:4,14	374:5	308:18
321:13	Aboriginals	313:22	achieve	362:5
ability	331:10	328:10,12	216:24	acting 354:7
75:24	absence	Accessed	245:18	action
125:18	271:9	200:25	acid 329:10	23:4,6
	absent	accessible	acknowledge	38:3 108:3
	127:24	197:20	172:13	168:7,8
	absolute	326:10		237:8
	94:14	accident		246:25
	absolutely	107:7		271:6,10,1
	59:2 62:2			2 353:23
	226:6			

385:20	119:6	391:8	320:7	166:5
actions	121:4	added 13:6	addressed	Adrian 2:18
53:21 55:7	138:2	16:10 20:9	24:5 59:15	48:7 49:20
56:14,18	223:18	85:18	82:23	50:13
57:16	333:15	addition	113:17	59:19
111:23	actually	26:12	165:5	60:19 63:6
197:23	18:4 20:6	27:6,15	171:4	65:15 67:7
199:11	46:18	33:13	176:6	68:10,15,1
267:18	59:16	37:16 38:5	259:16	6 69:13
272:20	61:12 65:4	43:2 46:7	282:16	74:23
305:3	70:25	56:1 84:13	283:23	77:13
active 40:22	75:25	129:13	addresses	90:23
198:16	83:23	174:23	75:21	109:23
232:22,25	97:16	208:8	172:15	110:17
233:8	109:18	244:4	Adeline	113:2
305:2	116:11,23	331:7	402:12	120:5,6
323:20	119:6	additional	adequate	121:25
actively	127:18	38:4,11	89:15	130:11
209:25	137:14	40:16	124:13	137:9,24
activities	138:1,8,11	64:15	207:16	138:7
18:11	,16 140:10	85:19	330:12	140:1
31:6,22	141:6	102:1	adequately	142:21
32:4,6,15,	168:25	112:17	206:16	149:18,19
16,21	194:25	132:20	adhere	152:9,10
33:1,16	195:12,24	133:17	208:16	162:4,6,16
35:14 37:4	196:19	233:14	adheres	,18,22,23
38:1,4,5,6	197:6,13	237:20	170:7	221:4,13
,9,20,25	198:1	240:11	adjacent	222:4
42:5 47:4	199:17	243:5	19:19,22	224:15,17
48:2 71:23	200:16	259:18	55:9	225:21
72:1	202:14	263:3	170:21	249:11
129:14,18	203:11	264:18	adjourn	273:25
199:13	221:13	275:7	303:4,9	274:6,21
231:6,8	226:12	280:12,18	adjourning	320:10,25
237:12	227:17	284:7	303:12	332:17,22
243:11	253:7	307:5	403:4	336:18
264:1	acute	333:25	adjust	338:16
331:3,16,2	228:10,18	address	148:21,25	340:21
2,25	ada 278:11	18:20	149:1	adult 238:10
332:13	adaptive	21:15 29:9	154:21	243:21
activity	228:10	44:5 88:2	administer	advance 88:3
64:12	272:25	131:10	240:18	259:9,19
212:3	333:5	134:17,24	administrati	advanced
241:15,24	add 10:4	140:3	on 281:14	167:13
242:3	70:25	164:4	admitting	adverse
244:15	71:20	165:7,10,2	393:3	29:23
acts 362:12	145:21	5 168:1	adopted	196:18
actual 31:17	199:11	219:8,20		239:2
100:17	231:17	267:23		241:6
105:9	266:17,23	283:20,21		248:8
109:16	274:1	284:17		256:10
	343:24	316:14		

401:9	314:24	366:16	361:3,4	355:21
adversely	337:14	374:20	371:3,4,5	379:16
399:22	383:24	aftermath	agreements	384:1
advertisemen	387:24	97:20	349:24	391:3
t 201:23	400:4	afternoon	350:8	Akaitcho
Advi 383:24	advocating	11:7	agriculture	352:21
advice 56:2	269:4	140:11	129:23	361:11
187:7	advocator	161:21	ahead 112:14	385:1
237:9	350:23	301:22	153:14	Alan 2:7
263:16	AECOM 3:11	afterthought	163:20	78:2,3,10
280:6	322:19	171:2	202:12	79:3,16
286:21	aerial	against	223:5	80:8,14
295:19	145:16	107:24	232:11	81:12,14,2
296:11	aesthetic	219:5	250:20	0 82:6
299:18	328:4	age 187:16	263:19	84:6
354:12	aesthetics	393:12	288:5	86:2,14
advise	207:11	agencies	300:13	104:9
112:23	Affairs 65:2	49:23	311:4	130:18
281:6	70:11	362:5	375:23	133:24,25
287:8	80:24	363:11	air 12:22	134:25
301:8	304:21	agency 30:24	30:8,12,17	211:3
advised	305:18	208:14	31:2	250:13,16,
330:4	320:19	363:17	33:4,10	21
advisers	322:7	agenda	34:4,13,18	251:3,18
211:2,4	341:4	10:1,12	35:6,9	253:4,5,24
advisor	399:18	41:11	36:3	255:2
2:11,12,13	affect	175:20	37:10,13,1	274:5,7
,14 78:6	125:14,15	177:9,10	7,21,24	342:9
91:11	238:12	220:23	38:2,7,18,	alarm 358:13
236:24	244:25	307:9	19 39:1	Alberta
250:12,15,	252:2	310:1	49:9,25	116:1
19 253:2	283:4	ago 60:9	50:17	208:25
261:6	332:14	87:1 92:9	62:18	Alderman
269:9	affected	168:15	116:5	382:1
273:7	208:5	175:4	118:10	Alex 192:25
321:9	281:1	176:24	128:9,10	alfice 89:16
322:14	346:13	177:20	129:9,11	Alfred 4:7
337:5	355:8	216:15	175:3,8,9	369:2,8
342:19,24,	affects 84:9	217:16	183:5,10	402:18
25	253:9	353:19	190:15,16,	alignment
advisories	285:8	355:12	17 194:6,9	18:10
280:8	afford	356:8	197:14,18	19:14,15
advisors	206:17	378:4,8	198:25	34:2,3
61:15 78:1	afforded	agreed 47:17	199:7,8,12	alive 369:14
advisory	206:19	350:9	230:19	370:21
281:7	afraid	agreement	231:4,25	373:17
282:9	186:10	40:4 315:2	232:2	395:13
290:24	343:16	340:13	313:5	alleviate
299:24		350:14	326:4	113:12
			329:22	
			331:3	
			339:4,6	

alliance	169:24	3:23 7:3	among	85:2,19
3:19 58:23	271:13	11:3 61:21	374:17,21,	86:16,18
59:3,21,24	313:21	62:15	22 397:24	105:2
60:8,16	334:20,23	64:22	amongst	ancient
204:17,22,	Allulu	69:13	27:17	400:24
25 205:17	192:24	73:23	185:15	and/or 18:17
207:23	alone 157:7	76:18 99:2	207:3	21:6 24:21
209:8	386:1	108:11	319:22	237:12
210:15	alongside	161:23	amount 87:6	275:1
220:21	381:11	173:12	99:9	angle 219:13
250:8	already	193:7,14,2	132:16	animal 181:7
373:21	24:17	3 194:1,15	136:3,23	185:2,15
386:24	28:24	210:14	166:4	214:20
allies	37:11 42:9	213:17	168:4	350:3
361:2,4	54:22	215:17	amplitude	372:11
allocation	55:16	220:20	98:7	377:14
84:1	98:17	222:11	Amy 4:12	378:12
allotted	111:25	226:24	61:4,5	animals
59:10	209:22	227:8	210:18,19	127:21
allow 21:8	210:3	250:2,4	227:16,17	128:5
90:24	225:7	276:4	230:15	181:6
95:15	258:18	293:13	251:9	185:2,22
132:2	259:13	295:20	268:22	329:3,22
175:13	266:12	309:15	269:14	350:13
224:7	269:1	314:4	270:5	391:12
226:3	278:10	315:1	295:6	Ankersmit
228:11	299:11	334:24	analogous	2:16
238:2	377:16	386:21	244:20	70:3,24
287:11	399:15	am 112:7	analogy	71:5,11,12
330:21	alright 86:5	144:23	278:12	317:11,13,
348:17	alter 240:11	149:15	analyses	19
349:13	alterations	185:4	92:18	318:10,15
allowance	208:10	218:15	analysis	Ankersmit's
15:22	altered	279:16	14:16	71:21
133:19	243:11	281:19	237:11	Anna 193:1
allowed	365:24	299:22	334:5	Anne 4:15
32:3,11,14	alternative	ambient	ancestors	227:22
221:18	5:12	37:17	184:8,13,1	anniversary
235:2	164:15	231:15,22,	5,19 350:1	160:1
276:9	257:12	24 232:2	354:2	annual
347:25	260:8	America	356:13	238:22
348:16,25	275:23	40:24	360:7	annually
366:22	276:8	American	anchor 13:17	324:8
allowing	293:9,12,1	137:18	15:20,21,2	anoth 364:16
302:9,16	6,18,20	ammonia	3	answer 46:20
304:10	294:3	228:14,22	16:3,7,14	52:11
316:13	alternativel	355:20	79:24,25	53:10 55:5
375:4	y 234:7,24	ammunition	80:3,11,18	56:9 64:9
allows	alternatives	184:9	84:1,13	
111:22				

67:5 71:21	244:14	152:17	82:12	328:19
81:6 82:16	anticipating	302:20	167:8	appropriatel
86:25	263:2	303:19	175:5	y-sized
87:19		343:5	applying	233:11
88:6,23	anticipation	anyways	77:6 127:2	appropriaten
98:17	16:13 18:5	135:24	appreciate	ess 55:24
101:5	23:6 102:1	210:7	116:16	approval
102:3	anxious 30:2	288:6,14	141:19	53:22 55:8
105:19	321:17	anywhere	142:4	56:19 57:4
117:15	anybody	83:3 92:19	214:14	196:23
127:1	88:25	94:11	216:2,17	239:10
131:22	225:1	175:20	282:23	245:22
150:10,12	294:16	apologies	317:17	approvals
153:19	360:23	50:8 54:8	appreciates	66:9
219:2	386:4	apologize	317:24	approve
224:12	389:10	212:9	388:10	174:21
225:18	392:7	249:11	appreciation	approved
227:25	395:24	274:22	15:25	65:1
260:19	396:5	276:3	215:22	173:19
261:2	Anyhow	apology	approach	200:16
262:25	295:24	360:23	16:9 94:19	245:4
280:19,22,	anymore	appear	218:12	approx
25 281:3	288:3	175:20	232:25	331:21
285:2	292:4	APPEARANCES	266:24	approximate
286:17	349:19	2:1 3:1	271:5	136:7
287:6	350:2	4:1	272:17	approximatel
291:14	356:5	appears	280:23,24	y 21:19
294:18	365:20	265:20	approached	36:1
answered	366:19	Appendix	188:3	137:13,15
68:3	anything	118:17	approaches	145:18
answers	42:3 89:2	applicable	116:10	163:3
53:8,12	92:15	48:9	187:6,7	223:10
142:4	114:9	126:22	appropriate	242:15
164:17	156:19	231:24	20:1 30:21	323:3
210:2	172:8	256:8	42:15	324:1,16
278:7	178:14	application	64:12	329:7
295:16	180:22	31:1 127:6	106:18	331:21
anticipate	181:21	262:19,22	125:20	approximatio
26:10 53:5	187:1	341:24	127:5	n 162:9
80:2	263:20	applications	160:21	April 290:8
100:17	281:14	342:6	173:16	383:8
108:16	296:3	applied	256:23	aquatic
112:12	304:1	204:9	265:25	124:5,11,1
264:17	347:22	applies	268:10	3 125:2
anticipated	348:2	240:19	269:7,16,1	238:19
19:3 22:21	358:14	270:25	8,19,22	240:1,4
31:9 63:13	359:13	apply 48:8	282:25	243:11
79:12	367:24		341:11	254:15
134:13,15,	374:12		appropriatel	
22 244:11	389:12		y 283:23	
anticipates	anyway			

265:13	58:7 64:2	355:4	401:17	36:18,22,2
266:10	93:11 97:4	356:22		4 62:22
269:6	104:1	357:19	Aren 101:5	91:24,25
272:24	105:5	361:9	Arenson 2:12	92:10 95:1
296:17,23	118:24	364:19	86:10	99:8,9,10
328:3	119:10	366:9	87:17	106:19
358:8	120:2	367:1,4,8	88:9,10	116:12,24
aquatics	121:10	370:4,8,16	90:4,5	117:6,16
343:3	131:25	371:7	91:2,3	124:9,19
ar 358:5	132:4	373:8,9,14	93:7,8	125:6
archaeologic	136:17	,23,24,25	94:23	126:9,17
al 367:1,4	137:12,21	374:1,7,8,	96:8,11,12	136:6,23
archive	141:5	11 375:9	97:11,12	143:1
353:19	145:1,18	378:13	100:8,22	144:3
Arctic	157:4	393:1	101:4,5,18	145:12
121:11	162:10	394:3,21	,19	148:19,24
146:18	164:10	395:15	102:8,17	149:5
147:15	169:8	399:8	342:19	153:24
242:19	174:13	areas 18:20	aren't	154:8,17,2
243:17,22	179:8	20:22	157:10	0,25
244:1,4	180:3,14,2	21:8,18,21	219:5	155:20,23
290:7	3 186:8,13	24:17,22	233:20	156:10,12
401:12	187:20,22	25:1,6	261:1	159:21
area	188:18	27:16,19,2	355:11	164:13,14,
14:3,10,12	191:9	0 32:2	argue 168:24	17
15:7,10,11	194:7	39:4	175:12	173:10,13
16:18	198:11,16	42:2,4,8,1	argument	177:23
17:10	204:4	1,14,18	272:16	178:1,11
18:10	205:10,20	43:18	arises	179:6,7,9
21:2,16	207:21	64:13	113:11	190:11,12,
22:2,5,14,	214:19,21	69:17	arm 92:12	18
20,24 23:1	231:16	89:12,14	346:23,25	198:12,17,
25:4 26:11	232:1	91:20	arrangements	25 199:25
28:22,23	233:9	105:6	384:14	200:7
30:15	246:21	109:17	arrive 176:3	206:2
31:25	247:2,19,2	111:6	358:23	207:20
32:4,9	2 248:4,10	129:16	arrived	208:17,21,
34:8,10,12	251:14	134:21	225:15	24
35:2,12	258:18	147:7	360:2	225:11,14
38:17,21	259:13	162:12	393:9	247:7,17
40:14,18,1	269:11,16,	194:2	arriving	265:11,21
9,20	18,23	197:19	166:21,22	266:2
42:9,11,23	278:4	200:15	ars 377:13	302:10
45:25	292:4,12	232:14,21,	arsenic 17:9	304:24
46:8,10,11	301:8	24 238:8	26:6 27:11	309:18
,25 47:20	314:1,2	259:10	28:17	324:6,12,1
53:22	319:25	328:15,20,	29:5,17	5,19
54:22,23,2	324:1,17	21 329:5	33:11	325:2,12,1
4,25	329:6	331:18	34:21	7,22,23
55:8,11,15	331:20,22	332:14		326:2,5,6,
56:20 57:5	339:13	344:24		15
	344:20,22	349:8		327:1,7,8,
	350:1,9,19	357:16,17		15 328:14
	351:18,20			329:11

330:2	55:25 56:3	198:19,22	252:23	32:20
331:5	70:21	199:23	282:4	267:4
333:17	117:14	201:9,11,1	298:15	assuming
335:9	334:19	5 203:2,25	309:1	144:24
337:25	assemblages	204:8	321:22	173:15
338:3,15	244:21	209:17,25	335:12	256:5
346:19	assert	224:19,21	assessment's	260:4
355:16	207:14	236:11,20	164:22	267:17
358:5,7	assess 30:8	239:18,23	assessors	assumption
372:13	102:13	240:9,23	144:2	279:16
373:6,8,13	119:19	245:10	assigned	assurance
374:11,17	263:9	248:3	342:8	293:7
376:9,11,1	293:11,14,	251:23	assist	assurances
6,17	22 294:1	254:10,14	237:10	166:18
377:1,7,11	assessed	255:25	245:12	300:17
,12,13,18,	261:19	256:9	247:10	assure 122:3
21,24	267:5,8	258:6	259:22,23	318:21
379:13	293:21	259:20	263:9	assured
381:4	308:4	261:12	268:3	49:13
390:13,16,	324:19	262:21	298:15	assuring
25 395:1	340:1	263:1,21	assistance	300:15
396:24	assessing	264:20	283:22	atmosphere
398:14	237:10	266:9	Assistant	83:10
arsenic-	267:3	272:22	319:17	355:17
contaminat	309:6,7	282:6,9	assisted	attempt
ed 91:20	assessmenis	284:9	322:8	92:15
238:1	202:23	285:21	associated	150:18
arsenic-	assessment	286:15	21:10	204:3
impacted	1:6	290:22,23	105:3	267:19
28:6	30:18,22	293:3,11,2	163:22	attempting
artificially	31:2,3,20	1 303:24	230:1	154:16
237:17	32:19	305:5,15,1	239:15	attend 60:1
238:22	33:19,25	7 307:17	241:9	178:5
asbestos	35:9,22,24	308:6	245:12	295:1
27:3,4	36:5,10,15	309:16	293:24	attention
28:3,11	37:6 38:19	314:14	330:1	163:24
29:6,19	55:22	321:12	Associates	204:14
55:19	59:23 64:4	322:18	81:6	209:23
324:6	65:10	323:12,19	assume 134:3	attenuate
330:2	67:17 72:2	324:25	146:12	260:6
ascribe	75:5	332:7	149:13	attenuated
203:5	76:10,15	333:24	165:13	257:1
aside 122:21	77:5	335:11,23	277:7,12,1	attract
352:13	108:17	339:25	4,25	255:19
385:11	112:15,20	342:24	278:24	attracted
400:2	118:16	assessments	279:13	266:12
aspect 171:9	119:1,14	67:20 70:8	314:24	
176:7	130:20	76:13	assumed	
349:2	131:1	84:18	31:15	
aspects	170:1,19	88:11		
	197:16,17	108:18		
		119:16,17		
		203:12		

attractive	210:3	288:24	187:3	83:8,18
125:21	221:17	370:18		84:25
127:18	224:22	371:25	bac 402:14	86:14
255:15	235:18,20	374:5	back-and-	88:16
attribute	247:21	375:6,7	forth	89:24
152:6	284:11	away 17:4,10	108:21	96:25
attributed	307:15	66:24 67:6	backfill	97:2,12,14
8:5 153:2	325:5	88:24	18:19	,21 98:21
attributes	329:19	121:21	backfilled	99:6,10,24
244:12,18	331:17,18	153:24	20:2,3,14	103:2
audible	354:17,19,	216:23	89:18,20	105:24
334:20	24	275:6	95:15	109:17
audience	avenues	276:10	backfilling	110:6
80:23	59:21	282:15	328:8	113:13
augment	average	350:23	background	116:21
128:18	14:22	363:5	162:20	117:7,16,2
August 65:5	64:18	366:14	269:1,11,1	5
66:2,5,16	135:25	370:2,3	6,22	118:2,3,11
74:5 201:2	141:25	373:18	270:15	119:22
202:18	162:14	380:13	356:19	120:12,18
aunties	163:4	381:21	backstop	121:4,21
184:16	averaged	axe 184:9	299:20	122:6,7
Austin	36:4		301:8	123:5,6,9
192:25	averages	<hr/>	backup	124:1,6,9,
Australia	80:19 82:7	B	151:15	12,19
99:16,18	avian 233:2	B1 19:8,21	backwater	127:2
authorities	avoid 37:3	20:1,8,13	97:4,6	143:18,19
196:23	170:23	24:11	backyard	144:17,21,
232:3	308:5	25:17	316:25	22,24
authority	avoided	27:12	317:8	145:9,23
74:11,16	241:7	32:14	bad 179:5	147:9,23,2
75:2 203:3	awaiting	58:10	Baillangeon	5
362:7	327:22	94:24	4:7	148:2,4,13
authorizatio	awarded	95:2,6,15,	369:2,8	,22 152:6
n 239:11	202:19	20 96:3	402:19	153:3
242:4,7	aware 82:7	131:5,17	Bak 148:18	155:15,21,
245:23	108:9	142:13,25	12:17,23	22 163:22
246:4	201:20	B2 19:8	15:5,6,22	164:9
262:18	202:11	113:14	17:14	167:4,18
297:8	224:21	B208 20:15	18:12,13,1	168:21,25
availability	235:1,17	95:16,24	6,23 32:15	169:1,7
237:19	251:22	B213 20:15	51:1,6	170:8
238:6,13	252:1	95:16	52:16	171:7
available	253:25	B3 19:8	60:11	172:2
24:18 58:7	254:7	B4 19:9	78:13	178:19
70:18	266:23	Ba 86:13	79:18,19,2	179:20
172:22	267:2	babies 180:7	3 80:9	182:21
	273:19	baby	81:8 82:13	187:17,18,
	277:18,23	186:20,21		24
				220:7,10
				222:17
				223:8,13,2
				0,24
				230:25

235:16,21	398:5	138:13	185:9,10	beams 107:4
236:16,17,	balance	146:11	191:24	Bearwash
22	107:24	158:20	192:2	189:8
237:14,16	108:19	160:21	205:6	beautiful
238:5,17,2	176:19	165:10	212:22	184:6
4 239:1,4	balancing	166:6	225:12	188:10
241:14,15,	174:14	203:11	237:22	356:16
25	ballasts	207:9	240:23	became
242:1,5,10	28:4	228:21	241:6	358:22
,14,18	band 344:3,9	237:3	246:22,25	become
243:3,7,10	352:20	240:9	248:1,3	360:19
,16,21,25	369:15	259:20	252:12,24	bedrock
244:3,7,16	401:22	266:7	278:4	89:14
,25	bank 12:24	282:9	302:5	beforehand
245:14,16,	bankruptcy	290:5,7	330:19	221:16
19	323:4	314:10	331:6	beg 65:20
246:1,9,13	banks 16:20	baseline	338:7,10	335:14
252:24	97:3,21	207:13,15	355:22	begged
254:2,9,23	98:21 99:7	230:2	358:16	360:23
256:10	156:25	basic 210:5	364:13,17	begin 39:25
257:2	bar 172:7	basically	365:17	74:7
258:2,5,19	Baralaba	83:17	366:15	313:10
259:25	97:24	88:15	368:8	317:14
260:9,24	bargain	105:13	376:19	321:17
261:4,13,2	397:4,6	143:24	378:2,3	400:15
4	bark 369:23	153:23	392:23,24	beginning
264:16,22	barren	200:22	393:2	170:18
265:8,9	373:4	341:3	401:11	314:1
266:9,12,2	barrier	basis 33:22	Bayha 1:12	382:15
267:4	22:16	36:7,8	135:4,5,21	behalf 48:8
268:1	292:15	56:5 77:17	,22	49:21
270:16	barriers	83:14	136:19,20	50:14
272:9	239:7	87:12	137:19,20	59:20
278:22,23	base 143:8	107:15	138:19,20	60:20 63:7
279:5	baseball	150:25	141:16,17	65:16 67:8
283:2,7,16	137:3	151:11	162:5,7	68:11,16
289:11,15	based 15:19	187:6	163:9,10,1	74:24
290:2,4,9,	16:1	202:3	2 173:6	77:14
11,25	22:12,20	263:5	217:9,10,1	107:15
291:21	63:12	285:15	5,21 219:2	109:24
292:3,25	80:2,18	286:3	220:16,17	113:3
293:3,13	81:8,16	346:11,15	295:13,14	130:12
312:8	85:19 87:1	384:2	296:8	137:10,25
324:11	100:17	bay 34:9	297:3,4,14	140:2
325:14	104:3	42:9 46:25	,18,19	142:22
327:11,12,		99:10	298:23,24	162:7
13 328:1,3		116:21	300:3,4,22	332:23
330:20		121:5	301:11,12	344:18
331:5		124:2	306:15	
337:25		144:6	Bayha's	
338:4,6,7,		176:15	163:1	
19,20		184:8,21	BC 277:10	
371:18,19				
394:19,21				

behaviour 233:4	37:7	186:14	BEVCanada 273:17	birch 369:23
Behchoko 306:8 341:19	benefit 13:6,20 175:25 180:21 189:2 264:23 307:3 316:2 328:1,11 329:20 331:4 347:3	214:23 219:6,10 227:25 258:14 302:21,24 305:8 330:21 340:6,13 381:1 401:1,6	beyond 41:2,3 196:2 245:1 263:4 357:4,5 367:18 BHP 299:11 bi 54:9	bird 232:11 233:4,19 birds 118:23 233:4,20,2 1 bit 10:16 23:20 24:3 25:4 39:25 46:22 73:15 77:1 92:6 104:16 105:9 106:8 116:3 117:24 122:13 127:16 141:18 194:11,21 195:14 196:25 198:9 200:13 202:6 260:17 263:11 295:15 300:1 302:14 316:18 328:14 337:20 360:1 373:5 391:8 395:13
belabour 161:1 255:12	benefits 157:9 175:24 275:14 327:6 328:25 330:9 331:8,9 349:16	best- engineered 121:18 better 32:23 123:10 141:18 154:25 198:9 211:22 258:21 284:5 286:7 298:14 299:16 308:19,20 309:21 314:3 338:12,13	bigger 15:14 395:13 biggest 153:18 biking 38:23 Bill 3:18 113:9 373:21 billion 188:20 billions 376:21 bin 176:24 binding 77:6 172:16 176:25 208:16 220:5 Binion 3:20 bioassay 229:16 biological 120:12 122:22 244:12,18 biologist 115:21 121:16 233:3 236:13 biology 72:23 115:22 biota 238:20	199:14 196:25 200:13 202:6 260:17 263:11 295:15 300:1 302:14 316:18 328:14 337:20 360:1 373:5 391:8 395:13 black 200:15 201:2,3 373:12,15 blacked 69:18,21 blank 201:1 blanket 173:8 blankets 358:25 blasts
believe 22:24 50:23 98:16 106:16 110:5 111:13 113:22 117:19 120:22 121:4 123:8,9,10 133:17 147:7 166:14,24 167:17 169:4 175:15,21 177:5 208:22 220:9 221:10 223:2,3 226:8 252:20 281:12,17 283:8 307:10 336:4 341:15,17, 20 342:20 343:1 353:13 354:14	benthic 120:15 229:22 240:2 247:4,6 berming 170:21 berms 19:24 328:9 berries 118:22 127:23 164:7 187:20 356:21 398:7 beside 15:7 19:20 188:1 besides 35:14 best 40:7 76:4 122:4 127:3 134:7 140:15 157:17 172:14	Bev 4:19 61:9 210:23,24 236:9,10 253:18 262:1 264:11,12 266:6 272:15 275:12 276:13 279:19 284:3 286:18 290:18,21 291:2,3,8 292:19 294:25 298:8 299:15 300:23		
bells 358:13 belts 10:15 benchmarks				

390:2,3	67:15,22,2	219:19	398:23	247:6,17
blending	3 68:18	220:13	399:1	340:18
282:2	70:19	227:10	402:1,2,3	360:17
blind 366:2	71:16 77:7	229:8	boards	bought
blinding	78:4	236:10,24	313:11	393:24
98:7	79:2,15	250:12,17,	393:24	bound
block 57:17	80:7,12	18 251:17	Board's 78:1	219:24,25
58:10	81:12 82:5	252:14	86:4	220:1
92:4,5,16	84:5	253:6,23	209:23	boundary
93:16,18	86:1,3,11	255:1,4	253:2	384:19
95:2 98:6	87:16 90:3	262:13	255:4	boy 185:10
107:7	93:6 94:22	263:10,17	306:24	187:23
144:15	96:10	264:25	boat 34:10	366:6
171:10	97:10	267:24	bob 3:12	branch
292:11	100:7,9,21	268:6	93:9	394:23
314:4,12	102:7	269:9,25	150:11	branches
333:16,21	104:15	270:11	body 148:25	373:11
381:2	105:12,14	273:6,24	282:8	brasis 187:6
399:4,16,2	107:1	274:7,9,17	306:21	break 11:4
1	108:8	275:24	365:2	73:16
blockage	109:18	283:19	368:6	78:15
292:3	112:23	284:10,13,	boggling	114:18,19
293:23	115:13	14,21	192:1	140:13
blocking	118:7	285:4,7,14	boils 171:19	190:24
293:13	123:18	,21	235:14	192:5
blocks 66:24	125:9	286:3,21	bomb 391:12	202:14
67:2,5	126:14	287:1,11,1	bond 48:3	354:25
94:4,6,11,	127:8	5 289:1	booklets	369:3
13	128:21	295:13,17	10:2	375:17
106:4,14,1	130:19,24	296:16,25	Boone 3:12	breath
6 143:3,5	131:23	298:19,22	150:11	190:15
150:5	132:8,10	303:1,21	bore 21:18	379:16
153:18	134:1	304:16	born 184:5	breathe
154:20	135:2,4	305:8,13,1	186:18	186:25
326:25	139:14	6,22	344:11,19	bridge 83:19
395:2	152:2	306:8,10,1	392:24,25	104:1
blow 190:17	163:24	3,21,22	borrow	brief 9:6,12
blueberries	165:24	307:8,13	21:12,14	11:19
187:21	166:20	308:3	32:16	12:11,19
356:20	167:1	309:9,13	111:14	14:6 23:22
Bluefish	168:18	310:15,19	boss 178:22	39:9,20
83:16	169:24	311:12	bottom 34:12	49:18
board 1:3,10	172:9,15	312:17	174:5	52:23
2:10 7:5	173:4,18	316:8,13	234:11	56:24
49:23	175:11,13	318:1	235:7	60:25
50:10,15	176:24	336:2,5	240:3	61:17 62:5
61:15	197:11	341:25	244:20	68:8,13
63:19	203:4,14	343:10		69:10 70:1
66:8,10	204:2	352:24		
	209:7	374:5		
	211:2,4,7,	382:3		
	8,15	383:10,11		
	215:24	388:5,9		
	217:9	389:5		

74:21	378:18,23	brown 3:3	173:8	141:5
82:20	389:17	339:17	183:2	C2 17:8
85:13	392:11	Bruce 3:4	324:4	C2-12 17:8
86:8,22	395:21	12:7	325:9	cabin 389:25
88:20	396:3	30:5,6	329:25	Cabinet
93:22	briefly 12:1	64:8	347:6	70:16
96:18	37:9	117:13	built 102:3	Cailin 2:9
98:13	113:21	118:14,15	125:2,3	calculated
102:21	116:2	119:13,14	214:5,6,25	207:22
103:17	138:22	120:10	325:24	calculations
111:13	221:5	121:1,8	bulk 134:16	138:8
115:4	317:5	122:2	bulkheads	calibrate
117:11	387:19	124:17	326:10,12	129:2
120:8	bring 72:23	125:25	bullet 96:5	CALPUFF
123:1,22	97:7 174:9	126:25	bumped	128:23
127:10	197:12	128:14	227:19	CALPUFF/
130:16	223:14	129:7,8	bunch 29:15	CALMET
133:1,25	255:13	143:23	201:1	30:25
136:13	336:19	145:7,8	burden	camp 351:22
138:5	342:15,21	146:16	148:25	camping
140:25	366:17	147:14	271:11	393:10
145:5	368:14	148:10	burial	camps 182:23
149:22	399:10	149:24	356:22	350:10
152:12	401:16	337:12,13	buried 372:3	Canada
154:4	bringing	338:2,16	burn 183:5	3:14,16
155:5,10	249:20	339:7	208:21	4:13 5:17
160:7	359:22	brush 373:7	burner 385:6	10:10 11:9
162:1	366:19	budget	burns 190:15	17:22 18:2
163:16	brings	348:21	Burwash	19:25 53:4
165:21	306:23	385:8	393:9	59:1
221:7,24	British	buffer	bus 224:25	61:3,5,10
222:9	115:25	233:11	busi 168:14	65:2 71:19
226:17	broad 92:13	build 27:1	business	74:3,7,10
230:13	broadly	98:1	301:4	75:12
236:5	97:22	107:4,17	346:23,25	80:25
248:24	broke 115:10	building	button	109:13
251:1	broken	26:19	163:19	110:10
254:5	349:23,24	28:14	bylaw 48:4	146:2,3
255:8	371:5	29:25	bypasses	169:12
257:17	brother	136:24	43:6	203:18
258:9	395:12	140:15	C	205:9
260:13	brought 50:1	211:20	C1 14:10	210:13,19
264:9	167:25	214:19	16:25	226:20
268:14	209:22	326:1	19:8,22	227:14,17
272:13	342:18,23	330:3		229:3,7
274:11,19	343:2	338:11		230:17
280:14	358:23	356:2		231:3,9
310:10	396:25	buildings		232:7
320:13		26:17		
332:20		27:15		
333:3,5		58:11		
336:12				
343:19				
376:1				

233:7,14,1 8 234:1,2,19 ,20,23 235:1,17,2 3 236:7,12,1 4 240:17 249:5,7,16 250:6,23 251:4,8,10 253:19 254:18 262:2 264:12 266:7 268:17,21, 23 269:3,13,1 5 270:4,6 272:6,16 275:1,13 277:8,10 279:15,20 280:1,5,7 281:5,19 282:3,10 283:1,12,1 5,22 284:4,5 286:19,20 288:12 290:19 295:1,4,7 296:2 298:4,9 300:19,24 304:21 320:19,23 322:6 323:9 331:12 332:4 341:4 353:21 355:25 356:1,4 357:7,23 358:24 359:12 360:23,24, 25 361:7,12,2 1 362:9,25	363:9 365:25 366:24 367:10,11 368:10 382:9 399:19 401:17 Canada's 228:13 229:18 251:5 282:6 287:10 359:2 Canadian 34:19 167:7 357:7 cancer 355:13 366:14 canoe 187:17 369:23 cap 23:2 206:6 234:15 235:14 capabilities 237:25 capability 87:3 204:6 capacity 13:12 31:8 132:18 203:16 204:6 206:11 231:20 244:24 301:7 327:16 capillary 78:15 capital 55:9 capped 25:1,5,7 42:5	capping 142:15 164:15 172:17,23 capture 108:19 119:16 162:25 captured 243:16 carcentra 327:14 carcinogenic 37:5 care 41:25 59:5 114:5,6 155:1 172:12 185:13 218:19 292:13 297:24 300:6 322:24 323:12 333:5,13 334:1,2 344:25 345:7,11,1 3 352:13 371:25 374:13 399:9 401:1 careful 71:5 91:13 116:9 183:6 309:1 377:2 379:10 carefully 200:8 263:23 318:21 caribou 185:11,13, 14,25 186:2,3,7	363:25 391:14,15, 16,19,20 carried 49:14 197:17 212:19,24 220:4 233:2 246:23 321:21 carries 153:24 carry 66:9 99:9 203:16 204:6 carrying 194:15 293:12 cartoon 326:20 case 2:22 41:18,19 43:21,22 45:19 48:23,24 51:17 55:14,15 57:1,2 58:1,2 75:22 80:24 94:7 99:14,23 108:14 110:2 147:5 168:15 229:5 254:1 282:2 285:16 295:20,25 297:7 319:12,14, 16 339:15 342:9 case-by-case 77:17 cases 26:8	355:13 catastrophe 278:5 catch 278:21 279:3 290:6 338:12 402:9 catches 78:13 catching 290:10 caterers 402:12 caucus 221:16 222:10 caught 118:22 290:14 cause 18:21 173:1,17 201:7 235:14 causing 237:15 271:7 cautious 130:7 cave-in 113:23 CBC 171:15 CCME 124:5,10,2 5 126:18,21 127:6 256:22 265:12 266:2 268:9,19 269:1,2,6 270:17 cell 10:19 27:9 133:10 308:1
---	---	---	--	---

cells 132:22 133:17	Certified 403:9	124:18 126:1	297:5 300:5	1:11 9:3,8,14,2
cement 378:1	cetera 33:3	127:1,13	301:13	1 11:21
cent 376:24	118:23	128:15	303:20	39:11
central	132:3	129:8	304:15	41:10
20:23	CFL 137:14	130:18	306:3,11	42:24
21:23	chain 90:10	133:24	317:12	43:19 44:8
28:10,23	chair	134:12	318:16	45:9,13,16
32:2 163:6	12:1,14	135:1,5	320:16	46:4
centre 1:22	23:20 30:2	136:15,21	332:16	47:7,11,21
41:24	39:23	141:17	336:8	48:5,10,21
205:16	41:18	142:9,24	351:19	49:4,15
310:2	43:2,21	143:24	354:9	50:2,6,11,19
cents 189:10	44:10	145:8	Chairman	51:3,10,23
centuries	45:19	146:17	39:6 78:21	52:1,8,13,19 53:6,24
357:20	47:16,23	147:15	95:25	54:5,17
century	48:23 49:6	148:11	98:15	55:2,12
346:2,4	50:4,21	149:25	103:20	56:6,12,21
cert 124:25	52:11	150:10	104:25	57:6,24
certain	53:1,9	151:3,24	109:1	58:16
119:8	54:4,20	152:15	110:23	59:17
166:4	55:14 56:8	154:7	114:15	60:5,17,21
267:14	57:1,9	155:7	122:1	61:1,7,12,19
271:17	58:1,22	160:10	123:16	62:2,7,10,13 63:21
298:11	60:7	161:12	141:3	64:6,19
350:9	61:4,11,23	162:25	144:21	65:13,17
certainly	62:9,15	163:20	146:10	66:18,23
27:25	63:10,23	165:24	147:5,22	67:3
29:7,21	64:9,21	177:6	149:11	68:2,23
37:2 43:5	69:1	193:13,25	150:23	69:5,8,22
54:7	70:4,25	204:21	151:8,20	71:2,7
120:17	71:12	210:18,23	152:4	72:4,12
121:9,12	72:20	211:3	158:7	73:9 74:17
122:6	73:22	212:1	161:16	76:6
124:24	76:17	213:14	211:12	77:9,21,25
176:8	77:23	216:1	213:12,20	78:8,19
192:21	78:4,11	217:10	223:25	79:1,8,15,21
194:10	79:4,10,22	219:1	226:22	80:6,12,20
276:14	81:15,24	221:4	227:3	81:11,18,22 82:4,17
299:25	82:7 86:5	222:16,20	262:17	84:4
321:17,25	95:14	224:4,7,18	265:3,7	85:10,25
340:10	97:16	225:4	268:8	86:19
certainty	100:15	236:9	273:9	87:15,23
139:13	101:1,12,2	248:16	275:4	88:5,17
169:17	102:24	249:12,24	281:10	90:2,22
262:3	110:4,17	250:3,14	282:14	91:1,7
Certificate	115:16	255:3	284:24	93:5,19
6:9	117:14	257:24	288:10,22	94:21
	118:15	268:16	306:1	
	119:14	270:20	311:7	
	120:11	273:25	336:21	
	121:2	274:5,22	339:16	
		276:24	Chairperson	
		295:15		

95:12	150:16,20	269:8,12,2	362:19	changing
96:9,15	151:1,6,12	4 270:3,10	363:16	309:21
97:9 98:9	,17,22	272:10	challenges	347:9,11
100:6,13,2	152:1,7,19	273:5,16,2	140:8	channel
0,25	153:6,14	3	chamber 94:8	13:21,25
101:3,10,1	154:1,10	274:13,24	chambers	15:9,16
7,23	155:2,17,2	275:10	17:9 90:11	16:6,19,21
102:6,16,1	4 158:4,13	276:6,12,1	143:2,9	,24 17:2
8 103:14	159:17	7,21,25	157:5,6	83:18
104:14,22	160:4,24	279:17	304:25	84:12 85:1
105:11,18	161:9,14,1	281:8,20	324:14	91:14
106:24	7	282:11	325:18,24	103:24
107:12	162:3,16,1	283:25	326:11,18,	106:5,15
108:7,23	9 163:8,12	284:20	21 327:1	107:20
109:20	177:7	286:8	chance 10:5	239:4,7,9
110:20	192:4,10	287:16	142:16	242:13
111:9,17	193:4,17	288:13	177:16	244:13,19
112:24	204:15	289:6,17,2	178:3	245:21
114:12,17	209:2	2,25	190:5	246:15
115:1,6	210:21	290:12	337:2	307:20,21,
117:8	211:1,7,24	291:1,4,9,	353:7	22
118:6,12	213:7,13,1	15 292:16	355:23	channelizati
119:11	6 215:23	294:5,9,23	360:2	on 80:9
120:3,20,2	216:5	295:3,8,12	364:24	channelized
4 121:6,23	217:8	296:7	392:4	89:14
122:24	218:21	297:2,13,1	change 13:24	channels
123:13,17	220:15,19	7 298:6,22	82:9,24	15:4 22:19
124:15	221:9,19	299:13	83:5	Char 370:10
125:8,23	222:1,12,2	300:2,21	149:11	characterist
126:13,23	1,25 223:4	301:11,15	153:11	ics 116:6
127:7	224:1,8,14	303:15	154:22	characteriza
128:12,20	,23 225:19	304:8	158:21	tion
129:5	226:6,19	306:19,20	190:22	65:7,11
130:9	227:5	316:15	207:7,15	229:20,23,
131:12	236:2	318:7,11	208:7	24
132:7,24	248:18	336:14,24	220:2	charette
133:22	249:1,14,2	340:24	229:13	48:16
134:10	2	343:21	290:4	charge
135:3,15,2	250:1,7,11	352:19	300:9,10	362:22
0	,20	369:1	364:25	charged
136:11,19	251:7,16	375:16,22	changed	302:4
137:7,17	252:7	378:20	156:14	charges
138:18	253:1,16,2	387:15	376:16	297:11
139:25	2 254:3,25	388:4	changes	Charlie
141:15	257:14	389:3	160:20	341:16
142:6,10,1	258:7	392:6	239:14	cheap 393:23
9	260:11	395:23	240:6	check 83:8
143:15,21	261:5,9	396:5	301:5	
144:9,18	262:12	401:19	318:24	
145:2	264:5,24	402:24	347:12,14	
146:8,14	265:5	chalk 213:11		
147:3,12,2	266:4,21	challenge		
0 148:8	268:6,11,2	140:18		
149:8,16	0			

84:2 129:3	384:8	2:6	248:21	286:5
334:21	385:18	Christina	249:2,4,15	clarity
374:19	387:10,20	192:16,17	,19 262:23	276:20
393:13	388:1,11,1	chronic	301:18	class
checked	3,16,21	254:1	323:25	72:16,17,2
93:25	389:6,13	Chuck 2:2	330:23,24	3,24
checking	396:6,11,1	circumstance	334:24	classed
179:23	2 398:22	s 100:3	353:3	133:11
chemical	401:19,22	112:4	368:3,4	classic
29:22	chiefs	122:5	384:17,21	357:7,22
146:1	187:14	citizen	city's 44:13	classified
chemically	369:19	159:5	47:20	27:10
241:21	372:2	citizens	248:19	314:2
chemicals	382:16	73:1 213:3	claim 308:17	clay 22:15
28:3,15	383:9	342:18	claiming	clean 26:5
chief 1:21	Chief's 5:24	357:7,14	373:22	111:6
53:16	6:5	363:8	374:1,8	156:15
54:12	child 187:7	379:20	claims	164:7
56:14	children	382:18	400:13	190:13
163:24	355:15	city 4:2	clarificatio	214:11
164:6	359:10,17,	10:11	n 50:5	218:6
169:20	18 366:13	11:10	91:12	247:18
170:13	370:23	23:15	110:5,23	291:24
172:16	379:8	31:12,17	136:16	292:9
174:7	381:20	39:17,24	148:12	312:19
176:2	Chip	42:21,25	222:8	325:4
178:4,22,2	373:24,25	43:2	257:22	328:23
5 219:9	cho 142:8	44:3,9,11	264:6	357:1
302:3	316:14	45:10	274:3	368:16
304:10,12	344:7	46:1,5,6,1	278:8	401:2
310:2	347:1,23	5,18	282:14	cleaned
311:1,6,7,	352:18	47:2,17,18	283:5	364:14
9 312:5	378:16	,19,22,24	286:9	cleaning
313:19	392:5	48:3,11,13	289:2	218:13
315:8	401:18	49:1	clarificatio	366:9
316:6,17	choice 275:5	128:16	ns 225:25	372:14
317:12	311:12	136:25	clarified	cleanup
319:15	choose	170:22	146:6	215:2
320:18	208:21	172:10	258:17	349:6
341:12,13,	275:9	174:19,20,	clarifies	374:18
15,17,18	chores	24	110:18	clean-up
344:1	393:14	176:11,14,	clarify 91:3	109:2
352:22	chose 168:7	19 200:5	96:2	277:22
354:4	chosen 172:7	211:20	109:25	clear 24:1
369:17	choses 25:13	212:20	154:18	55:20
372:25	Chretien	218:1	258:16	57:13
376:7	381:25	220:25	259:11	62:21
378:21	Christensen	224:19	270:18	77:11
379:1		225:5,17	281:23	
382:7,14		226:13	283:1	
383:7		231:19		

104:25	218:15,20	e 166:22	388:19	344:17
111:15	286:13	172:10		360:10
139:5	290:4		co-	369:9
167:21	347:19	collapse	management	379:9
169:4	358:22	18:17	306:21	384:21
176:19	359:5,7,11	collapsing	combine	394:13
194:13	367:6	18:21	51:14	398:25
196:1,5,10	386:22	colle 162:25	comes 29:25	commencing
197:7,25	closed 20:10	colleague	68:4 77:16	9:1 303:13
202:1	21:23	254:17	92:10	commend
209:13	189:24	268:17	136:5	249:20
212:9	214:10,22	280:11	174:14	comment
218:3	290:3,9	319:12	180:25	77:19
219:9	390:9	320:24	184:18	144:12,19
239:5			207:8	145:21
278:1	closed-mine	colleagues	277:13	150:8
283:13	229:5	94:1	279:7	176:12
288:16,23	closely	284:16	338:5,6,7,	215:21
309:11,19	383:18	285:9	9 345:1	218:19
388:8	closer 81:13	286:1	389:13	263:9
clearer	253:3	287:13,17	comfort	264:13
57:20	closes	318:25	166:17	266:23
141:19	202:18	320:10	168:2	280:12
219:3	287:14	collect	220:13	288:8
clearing		17:23	comfortable	294:12
233:2	closing 6:5	26:23	103:11	295:23
clearly 47:2	19:25	330:17	223:15	297:20
124:3	303:8	390:19	298:19	399:13
170:15,20	325:9	collected	coming 31:25	comments
176:14	385:23	20:25	32:1 40:15	5:24 6:3,5
195:13	386:18	22:20	44:17 45:2	45:18
199:22	396:6,11	241:1	69:6 71:8	109:5,25
201:14	402:19,22	259:1,18,2	73:11 86:3	140:3
202:4	closure	3 280:19	92:14	175:11
217:4	19:20	284:8	118:1,3	209:12
282:18	107:25	285:13	124:23	216:3
302:13	123:12	293:8	144:16	222:8
307:25	322:16	329:14	147:8	236:15,17
clears 213:6	327:13	collecting	153:13	237:2
climate	382:22	18:22	156:16	240:20
80:16,19	401:16	280:21	178:21	266:18
81:17	co 176:16	326:9	184:22	273:3,22
82:9,24	coal 178:16	collectively	192:12	275:22,25
83:5	cod 277:10	287:1	265:22	288:15
190:22	cognizant	Columbia	268:25	289:7
climatic	171:17	115:25	293:1	301:16
81:25	coincidental	columns	302:10	307:5
clone 229:5	83:24	29:7,13,14	316:18	311:2,6,25
close 129:17	cold 90:12	com 179:9	317:2,24	316:9,16
143:4	collaborativ	297:20	318:11	344:6
			332:24	362:11
			337:7	396:7,11

commercial	336:1	communicatio	company	112:15
43:17	committee	ns 65:23	75:17	134:8
205:15	297:23	67:8 140:9	376:20,22,	169:25
commercial/	299:5,8	communities	24 377:17	174:24
industrial	314:24	253:21	compared	228:11,20
-waste-	315:9,10,1	300:15	34:3,18	231:8
type 27:2	1,14	368:17	36:12	243:24
commissioner	354:8,9,10	community	119:6	246:14
's 384:19	,18 383:24	9:25 35:2	126:10	289:16
commissionin	384:23	48:15	145:19	completely
g 230:9	387:25	53:22 55:8	147:25	112:7
commit 77:6	388:19,24	56:15,19	244:23	161:2
152:22	400:4	57:21,22	comparing	195:25
155:23	committees	59:24	85:21	completion
319:3	297:22	123:11	comparisons	75:23
commitment	298:10,13	161:5,8	230:3	240:22
47:18	299:10,18	178:6,9,13	compatible	245:10
48:18 56:4	committing	180:15	40:11	248:2
66:13	47:25	182:17	compensate	complex
152:24	101:20	188:24	211:19,23	27:10 29:4
168:5	common	206:24	371:9	30:1,3
169:11	172:21	229:23	395:4	32:7 73:25
204:8	commonly	247:5,6,17	compensation	75:12,14
314:23	70:15	252:13	63:19	76:23
383:23	communi	262:10	208:9	95:1,8,21
384:14	352:2	283:19	242:21	96:13
387:21,22	communicate	287:25	242:21	194:12
388:2	70:9	288:25	360:22	200:7
400:5,8	141:24	292:21	366:25	202:5,8,17
commitments	141:24	302:2,17	367:24,25	279:23
5:4 8:1	185:25	303:22	384:6	380:5,8
49:13	186:1	304:11	competing	385:5
65:22,25	345:22	306:2	357:20	complexity
158:8	351:16	310:9,18	competitive	321:6
175:16	352:2	316:1	76:4	complicated
219:14	353:21	317:14	compiled	279:24
220:4	355:7	319:9	254:12	component
246:12	communicated	330:14	complete	29:2,4
314:20	70:22	331:19	48:1 51:20	47:6
387:18	202:4	335:3,16,1	98:17	261:13
committed	communicatio	337:17	168:6	components
48:14,24	n 67:11,25	343:7	169:17	28:5 84:19
49:1 56:1	71:13	357:25	207:6	129:15
171:18	135:12	360:20	233:10	323:24
201:17	139:1	369:9,19	337:13	333:15
228:22	141:22	383:16	completed	composition
230:4	218:8	384:10	26:21	243:2
319:4	334:5,18	398:24	30:12	comprehend
321:15,20	345:21	401:21	60:11	351:12
334:2	346:8	community's	109:9,10	
		262:11		

compromise 235:7	conceptually 267:8	9:24 140:3 164:5,17 165:2,4,7, 8,10,11 166:17 173:17 177:16 178:3,5 179:11 183:15 194:3 196:11 197:15 211:18 219:8,21 229:3 251:5,21 279:21 283:18,23 284:18 288:24 292:20,21 299:4 316:7 318:16 319:22 323:6 343:11 344:11,17 345:1 352:16 380:8 396:14 399:1	77:22 395:19 401:20 402:17 conclusion 146:11 201:4 207:19 225:15 256:6 conclusions 82:12 260:21 concrete 29:15 275:13 condition 51:2,7 98:22 167:19 168:21 325:14 conditions 24:14 37:1,4,7 63:13 64:18 127:4 207:13 237:16 244:23 272:21 292:25 297:9 323:18,19 326:23 conducive 44:6 conduct 75:17 301:4 308:25 conducted 76:3 132:11 231:15 242:18 243:1,5 conducting 75:20	232:2 308:7 coney 365:10,15 confer 280:10 Conference 1:22 confid 70:16 confidence 174:23 confidences 70:17 336:6 confident 321:23 332:5 configuration n 228:3 confining 346:18 confirm 19:7 334:21 confirmed 22:10 203:22 251:15 confis 70:16 conflict 154:20 conflux 169:14 confused 106:7 confusing 263:11 confusion 279:7 308:5 connected 143:1 connecting 43:15 145:11 connection
compromised 234:6	concern 99:5 113:12 154:15 167:25 169:7 172:20 178:11,19 180:12 181:6,15 182:7,13 189:3 196:16 201:7 214:2 216:18 252:11 259:15 278:20 279:2 289:4 316:21 324:4 325:17 326:4,13 327:15 345:10,25 350:7 352:15 366:11 395:5 396:17,24			
con 67:11 115:14 189:23 202:15 212:12 226:7 372:13 389:23 393:15	concentrate 76:13			
concentratin g 98:5				
concentratio ns 117:2,6 118:21 119:5 124:9 126:17 128:3,24 129:3 228:6 231:22 235:11 247:7 256:3,25 260:5 269:22 327:14				
concept 40:1,10 44:25 90:5 95:10 127:17 255:13 273:13 298:2 327:18 364:21 401:1	concerned 159:5 173:22,24 178:10 182:21 196:25 199:17 203:23 205:1 209:4 234:20 235:3 318:18 347:1 364:2 394:16 397:11 398:17	concise 209:19 conclude 38:18 39:6 107:2 concluded 183:24 191:16 215:11 217:6,19 264:21 313:17 316:4 375:14 382:5 383:5 398:20		
concepts 139:24 141:25	concerns	concludes		

175:7	208:8	199:14	186:10	197:19
consensus	considered	236:22	consumption	238:20
271:9	31:5,22	239:15,20	252:3	239:25
consequence	32:24 34:6	240:10	253:10,15	251:21,25
108:1,2	71:22	241:4	279:10,12,	252:2
consequences	90:10,18	247:24	22 280:7,9	253:8,9,11
13:2 89:7	93:15	248:9	281:5,7,15	,14 360:15
97:25	101:6,13	322:10	,17	370:6,12
98:2,8	102:25	constructive	282:9,19	contaminate
103:12	112:19	333:23	283:11	156:18
106:10	119:25	consult 66:1	286:22	contaminated
108:4,12	167:2	178:21	287:9	23:21,23
Consequently	171:8	179:4	290:24	24:2,9,21
206:25	208:9	190:7	292:22	32:8,10,13
conservative	298:2	197:5	293:1	41:1 42:13
16:8,12,21	considering	206:12,16	Con't 3:1	59:13
31:20	43:4	208:4	4:1	63:16 74:1
85:23	consistent	232:10	conta 51:7	110:25
86:16	16:17	233:23	contact 21:6	115:24
106:17	19:24 23:2	315:18	154:8	130:25
consider	45:21	370:12	329:21	131:3,8
43:8 59:10	54:24	372:25	contain	144:16,23,
85:23	330:24	373:3	327:14	25 147:25
122:19	consistently	consultancy	329:10	148:6
157:16,21	85:18	256:11	contained	156:10,16,
164:2	consists	consultants	29:18	17 179:5
168:20	333:14	173:21	145:12	200:8
194:8	constraints	381:7	324:14	207:6
204:12	334:6	386:4,15	328:19	237:7
207:12,25	constricted	consultation	379:14	241:18
232:4	105:5	72:25	380:10	252:17,21
238:25	construct	165:12	containing	258:18,19
245:3	125:20	178:24	324:15	259:13
260:7	223:12	206:19,24	containment	276:10
267:25	constructabi	207:16	326:15	278:14,17,
272:7	lity 78:24	253:21	contains	25
293:2	79:11	261:16	55:16	291:22,24
305:14	constructed	350:17	70:12	292:11
379:22	53:18	consulted	323:16	321:14
385:15	54:15	178:25	324:12	324:5,8,12
considerable	330:17	consume	contaminant	326:16
44:12	construction	119:3	120:17	327:24
consideratio	63:3,10,15	185:2	198:1	328:14,21
n 19:12	79:12	278:15	247:15	329:1
173:12	109:17	281:3	308:16	330:2,3,17
174:13	110:15	consumed	contaminants	331:24
305:19	132:5	146:13	119:9,24	332:9
consideratio	174:22	283:3	122:15	364:3,19
ns 207:10	194:10	consuming	146:2	368:9
				379:18,23
				394:1,2,22
				396:23

contaminatio	225:24	contracts	400:12	cost 8:5
n 26:13	273:1	70:16		152:5
46:16	278:2	76:11	cooperative	153:2
112:4	288:17	212:4	298:13	212:19,24
136:6	296:23	346:24,25	coordinate	223:12
144:25	303:5	347:2	69:3	costs 174:15
156:8,12	318:13		coordinated	176:16
235:15	319:8	contribute	330:22	201:6
252:11,12	327:4	33:4 44:2	co-	could've
258:2	348:10	296:3	proponents	395:15
259:15	356:2	contributed	322:5	council
260:1	367:19	33:17	copy 65:4	167:7
262:7	384:20,23	contributing	227:1	304:12
275:6	391:25	121:9	Cormier 2:20	344:9
281:2	continued	contribution	Corporation	352:20
308:9,23	176:4	117:16	83:16	368:6
cont'd 3:2	186:6	control	correct	369:15
6:1	231:5	92:25	51:2,25	384:8
content	247:4,12	105:3	62:12	385:19
195:7	308:10	196:3,14	71:10	388:1,13,2
256:5	333:10	205:10	79:20	1
contention	384:18	338:8,9	85:22	councillor
91:19,23	continues	339:12	87:22,25	344:4
CONTENTS 5:1	114:3	363:2	88:4	councillors
6:1	207:24	controlled	100:24	387:10
context	continuous	326:7	101:22	council's
135:9	150:25	Convention	125:11	401:22
136:23	231:14	233:21	128:11	counsel 2:10
141:4	continuously	conversation	144:23	71:19
contingencie	230:8	160:14	147:11,15	109:3
s 194:18	contours	183:11	148:7	135:2
197:23	138:14	376:25	149:15	211:5,8
continue	contract	conversion	161:13	255:6
10:3	74:7,16	122:7	279:16	262:13
38:8,24	76:4	convey 57:16	281:19	273:7
51:12	211:21	89:4	297:16	274:9
58:19	contracted	conveyer	403:9	284:21
61:14	195:2	28:14	correctly	305:23
73:17	203:21	convince	95:11	counterparts
76:13	contracting	199:4	281:11	206:20
102:13	74:11 75:1	convinced	299:22	countries
114:20	110:16	201:5	corresponde	347:10
115:7,10,1	202:15	203:8,20	ce 353:20	country
2 132:12	203:19	cook 187:22	corresponds	181:11
140:19	322:9	cooked	342:11	357:15
162:22	contractor	140:15	corrosion	358:23
163:13	25:13	290:17	29:8	couple 20:7
170:5	contractors	cooperation	corrupt	70:6 92:8
176:21,22	75:14		396:16	93:25
205:21				

130:21	134:3,4,9	127:19	51:1,6,8	23,25
132:14	137:22	144:13,15	52:16	157:10
142:13	172:25	256:16	60:11	163:23
190:1	183:4	258:17	79:18,19,2	164:9
195:20	194:2,5,22	259:12,14	3 80:9	167:5,6,18
200:3	,23 195:13	292:5	81:8 82:13	168:21,25
250:14	196:2,3,12	346:7	83:8,18	169:1,7
256:20	,17,19,22	352:12	84:25	170:8
301:16	199:13	364:23	86:14	171:7
310:6	230:25	365:9	87:5,7	172:2
317:4	234:2,3,5,	373:2	88:16	178:19
341:10	8,11,13,21	created	89:24 94:3	179:20
342:2	235:2,4,6	269:3	97:1,2,13,	182:22
361:24	247:10,16,	276:13	14,21	187:17,18
396:23	23	308:17	98:6,21	220:7,10
402:9	248:5,9,11	352:7	99:7,10,24	222:17
course 14:2	,13	creates	103:2	223:9,14,2
36:18	251:5,11	365:5	105:24	0,24 231:1
37:23	328:23	creating	106:14,15,	235:16,21
38:8,25	377:25	58:4	20,22	236:16,17,
67:17 73:3	covered	106:4,5	107:20	22
83:6 88:24	20:17 23:1	143:18	109:17	237:14,17
91:14	117:20	223:23	110:6	238:5,7,14
104:4,11	132:23	275:5	113:13	,18,24
107:17	177:23	278:9	116:21	239:1,4
112:8	183:8,9	391:10	117:3,7,17	241:14,16,
140:10	199:23	creation	,25	20,25
154:22	247:20	127:15	118:2,3,11	242:1,5,10
168:9	329:16	273:14	119:22,23	,13,14,18,
262:21	covering	276:9	120:12,18	19,24
271:17	30:13	creative	121:4,21,2	243:3,4,7,
340:8	329:6	116:11	2	10,17,21,2
court 107:8	covers	creator	122:6,7,13	5
302:4	42:6,7	187:5	,19	244:3,5,7,
cover	82:14	401:15	123:5,6,9	13,16,18,2
21:14,20	134:5	creature	124:1,7,9,	3,25
22:4,9	199:9	206:5	12,19	245:14,16,
23:7	233:25	creatures	126:20	19,20
25:14,18,2	324:1	127:22	127:2	246:1,10,1
5 26:2,4	329:18	creek 8:6	141:13	3 252:24
30:14	crabs 277:12	12:17,23	143:18,19	254:2,23
78:25	Crapeau 1:17	13:4	144:17,22,	256:3,10
79:6,14	142:7,8	14:3,9,13,	24	257:2,10
81:21	216:6,10	15	145:9,23	258:5
82:1,3	217:9	15:1,5,9,1	147:9,23,2	259:1,3,8
96:12	294:10,11,	2,22	5	260:9,10,2
100:10,23,	24	17:4,6,10,	148:2,4,13	4
24	295:9,10	14,25	,23 152:6	261:4,13,1
101:8,21	306:12,13	18:12,13,1	153:4	4,23,24
102:10	create 50:9	6,23 32:15	154:17,19,	262:8
111:3,6,16	70:13		23	264:17,22
132:5			155:1,15,2	265:8,10,1
			1,22	9,22
			156:11,15,	266:1,9,12

,25	107:24	305:11	267:7	181:3
267:4,16	108:5	313:12	281:2	182:7
268:1,25	125:5	cumulative	296:11	183:12
269:7	168:10	167:3	309:16,23	208:1
270:14,16	195:16	208:2	318:18	damaged
272:9	196:22	cup 358:7	323:10	179:19
278:22,24	219:16	curious	330:11,12	214:7
279:5	330:23	69:20	364:23	396:22
283:2,7,16	334:13	138:25	365:2	dancing
289:11,15	criterion	262:24	currently	104:17
290:2,5,9,	34:24,25	Curran 1:13	17:13	danger
11,25	36:20,22	7:5	24:22	364:10
291:21	critical	152:2,3	28:21	dangerous
292:3,14,2	19:19	153:7,8,15	58:15	179:15
5	35:18	,16	75:19 76:2	326:2
293:3,13,2	84:19	154:11,12	98:23	345:24
3,25 312:8	120:23	155:18,19	112:19	346:10
324:11	121:5	158:5,6	240:25	348:2,4
325:14	124:12	159:18,19	242:1	351:15,18
327:11,12,	critters	160:24,25	267:19	364:7
14,16,19,2	240:3	161:14,15	290:6	377:2,8,13
0	cross 365:6	211:10,11,	337:20	,14 397:15
328:1,3,4	390:21,22	25 212:2	currents	Danny 1:12
330:20	Crown 169:21	213:8,9	247:1	135:4,5,20
331:5	206:12	221:11	364:25	,22 136:20
337:25	349:12	222:7,13,2	curved	137:20
338:4,6,7,	361:2,21	2,23	188:14	138:20
20	400:10	223:2,6	custodians	141:15,17
356:16,19	crusher	224:2	237:9	162:5
371:18,19,	28:14	226:9,25	cutting	163:8,10
20	cry 374:15	227:6,11	373:7	217:9,10,1
394:19,22	cubed 14:18	274:25	cycle 84:16	5,21
398:5	cubic	275:3	cycles 84:8	218:21
creeks 82:1	14:23,24	276:7,8,18		220:17
122:14	21:19	,19 305:25		295:12,14
148:2	24:23	306:1		297:2,4,17
Creek's	131:2,4,5,	388:6	<hr/>	,19
78:13	6,7,8	389:4	D	298:23,24
cri 125:5	133:8	current 21:3	da 21:16	300:2,4,21
criteria	135:7	58:3 80:9	dad	301:11,12
34:4,18	199:25	82:8 96:25	393:14,22	306:15
36:14	324:7	98:22	397:1	DAR 16:24
79:19 80:9	cultural	106:8	398:2	19:15
83:7	205:12	121:21	daily 187:6	22:11
84:15,18	207:9	132:19	346:11,15	41:20
85:4,7,16,	208:8	212:25	400:19	49:14
19,24	343:13	234:9	dam 21:16	51:18
86:13	culture	238:21	113:14	52:6,18
103:1	142:2	244:15	damage	102:11
104:25		254:9	177:18	117:21
105:7,15		258:2	178:15,22	118:17
106:17,18		261:19		

124:8	188:8	201:23	decide 78:17	on 75:18
167:17	daughter's	233:1	172:15	decontaminat
170:8	370:22	238:3	309:9	e 26:22
171:5	Dave 2:14	287:22	decided 79:5	decrease
254:24	121:15	297:21	166:10	126:10
261:19	123:24	302:23	decision	185:21
263:5,8	125:10	311:18,19	105:14	decreased
285:22	126:15	344:15	214:18	102:10
323:16	230:20,21	352:17	216:12,14,	deda 247:24
dare 350:19	265:6,7	355:8,12	23,25	dedicated
Darren 3:2	268:7,8,12	361:24	235:19	27:9 28:19
Daryl 3:9	269:10	362:19	263:6	131:4
90:24	270:1,12	363:7	271:18	133:10
91:10	273:8	376:18	285:4,6,8,	deemed
93:24	343:2	380:14,15,	14,23,25	46:8,13,23
95:25	David 341:20	18 392:18	288:5	deep 93:15
96:20,21	day 1:25	393:23	302:23	328:22
98:15	10:12	402:7	303:3	deeply 308:9
99:17	11:14	day-to 384:1	305:15,16	default
105:21	18:22	de 21:4	310:20	207:13
107:14	31:16 74:4	55:24	314:21	defer 240:20
122:1	94:11	81:19	374:24	268:17
123:3,4,15	106:13	dead	375:1	deferred
,16 143:3	108:11	349:20,21	389:1	169:25
155:12,13	133:9	deal 68:19	decision-	define 78:22
156:1	135:7	84:18	making	defined
158:15	151:5	99:12,20	306:24	15:18
336:21	153:17	108:5	334:16	95:18
337:4,5,15	173:25	115:18	399:25	166:7
,18 339:8	186:1	133:21	decisions	definitely
data 14:16	190:21,24	136:8	17:24	16:12 18:8
17:23	224:10	277:10	104:21	23:13
81:25	225:18	324:20	177:1	211:16
100:18	255:11	dealing	217:22	degraded
195:9	269:4	130:21	260:23	125:22
230:2	282:1	136:10	271:14	degree 119:8
240:25	286:11	194:8	295:18,21,	122:15
256:7,8	287:20	328:25	22 307:3	206:18
259:21	303:1	357:12	308:20	delay 223:18
280:21	317:16	dealt 201:13	309:2	233:9
284:8	335:7	258:20	314:22	deleterious
293:8	346:12,15	debate 125:4	321:25	240:19
date 23:14	355:25	debris 12:21	325:5	deliberate
108:18	356:11	25:20	383:2	303:1
165:11	357:8	26:24	declaration	deliberately
251:24	359:19,24	27:16,19	361:14	
333:8	368:11	174:18	decline	
385:10,25	375:10	decades 89:6	262:8	
dated 64:25	384:2		265:14	
200:16	393:19,20		deconstructi	
daughter	days 31:17			
	92:8			

128:4	Dene 49:5,7	190:3	24:22	describing
deliberation	53:5 56:2	210:22,24	deposit	96:24
305:14	57:4	237:7	240:19	description
deliberation	164:2,5,12	250:23	deposited	7:2 8:2
s 211:15	,23 165:12	251:19	174:19	173:6
delicious	166:8	281:13	deposition	descriptions
317:15	168:24	287:18	118:10	318:6
Deline	169:9	289:12	145:16	desi 110:14
306:16	171:24	291:20	174:22	design 12:25
delineate	172:17,20	292:7	246:22	13:8,16
47:19	175:24	295:19	265:22	15:3,14,23
delineating	176:5,10	302:8,22	deposits	16:12,21
46:16 47:2	188:21	304:22	270:13	18:24
delivered	190:9	327:23	depth 25:25	19:12 21:4
110:13	191:8	330:23	26:1 95:6	22:9 23:6
113:9	206:23	362:1,6,7,	100:11,17,	46:1 78:22
delivery	210:14	12,13	19 111:2	79:19
348:19	211:19,22,	363:1,2,3,	137:22	80:9,10
demand 35:19	23 219:1	6,10,11	162:14	81:16,21,2
231:17	223:22	departmental	163:4,6	5 83:7
democracy	249:23	169:14	234:4,9,17	84:12,15,1
362:16	251:20	237:3	,21 235:4	8 85:1,23
democratic	277:24	departments	251:4,12,1	86:13
363:3	278:1,20	259:6	3	89:10 94:5
demolish	279:3,8	362:4	depths	100:10
26:14,21	304:13	364:9	137:25	101:13,16,
demolished	311:11,16,	368:24	138:3,11	22 102:5
330:5	21 313:14	department's	Deputy	107:24
demolition	314:17	263:5	319:17	109:7,9,12
12:21	344:22	depend	derived	121:18
25:20,21	345:19	277:19	117:22	122:4
26:21	349:10,13	295:18	describe	123:4
29:3,21,24	350:5,7,15	360:14	84:14	132:13,19
32:7 73:25	355:13,15	368:19	97:19	174:12,21
112:1	364:22	372:8,9,10	254:19	194:5,16,2
129:16	367:17	,11	325:16	2,23
194:6,11	376:4,15,2	401:2,4	327:12	195:13
199:21	1,23,24	dependent	described	196:17,22
202:12	377:16,20	146:17	51:21	234:8,13,1
203:7,25	378:2	251:13	71:23 84:7	7 240:10
232:20,22	379:21	depending	85:2	241:9
330:7	383:22	15:17	106:16	245:18,20
demonstrated	384:11,18	64:13	170:17	247:23
204:6	386:14,17	138:12	231:5	248:12
demonstratio	387:1,2	144:4	251:21	299:1
n 256:13	388:19	196:18	describes	321:10,25
	399:22,24	247:19	254:14	322:10
	401:10	331:19	323:18	327:18
	Dennis 4:3	335:6	designated	41:12
	249:18	depicted	designation	
	department			
	61:8 72:21			
	169:18			

54:25	detail 25:2	269:18	63:18	96:16
129:25	53:20 55:6	Deton	65:24	98:10
designed	97:19	347:1,23	129:14	100:14,25
14:24 16:6	254:19	Deton' Cho	167:6	101:11,24
53:18	detailed	322:23	195:16	103:15
54:14	75:15	Dettah 1:22	239:5	104:23
79:18,23	78:22	55:10	245:19	105:19
80:4,17	102:5	180:15	270:7,9	107:13
84:21,25	194:20	187:18	developer	109:21
85:3,6	details	188:1,2	2:16 3:2	111:10
88:16	37:23	212:25	5:7,25 8:3	112:12,25
91:15	51:19	224:25	10:25	117:9
125:1	52:15 53:2	294:22	11:24	118:13
171:9	64:10	295:2	39:16,18	119:12
196:19	130:21	301:19	41:11,16	120:4,25
245:5	200:12	303:6	43:5,13,15	121:7,24
292:24	246:5	306:2,4,14	,20	122:25
333:21	247:24	310:2	44:12,19	124:16
designing	258:24	311:12	45:4,10,17	125:24
82:13	264:18	316:23	46:14,20	126:24
designs	deteriorate	317:21	47:1,14,25	128:13
239:3,9	114:3	319:15	48:6,13,17	129:6
245:21	deteriorated	320:2,17	,22 49:16	130:10
246:15	29:15	337:8	50:12 51:4	131:13
desire 21:12	323:5	340:8	52:2,20	132:25
169:18	deterioratin	344:3,8,9	54:2,18	134:11
desk 200:21	g 114:2	346:14	55:13	135:16
despite	318:20	351:4	56:22	136:12
167:21	determinatio	352:20,25	57:25	137:8
destroy	n 143:24	360:20	58:21	142:20
97:21	144:1	382:18	59:7,18	145:3
180:23	146:4	389:20	60:10,18	146:15
364:17	240:13	401:22	61:6,10	147:13
366:20	359:14	403:1	63:2 64:7	148:9
371:12	determine	devastating	65:14 66:7	149:17
377:17	55:24	186:12	67:4	151:2,13
destroyed	218:14	360:21	69:15,19,2	152:4,8,24
214:8	243:6	366:7	3 70:20	154:2
367:2	245:15	devastation	74:4,19	155:3,25
394:22	258:25	350:25	76:23	158:7,14
destruct	259:6,24	399:23	77:3,10	159:14
367:2	261:17	develop 20:9	78:20	160:5
destruction	327:24	40:5	79:9,21	161:10
122:7	determined	160:17	80:21,23	168:22
233:1	229:2	197:17	81:2,4,23	195:1
357:5	234:17	198:25	82:7,8,18	196:20,23
368:1	260:5	242:11	85:11	197:2
det 64:10	267:11	351:17	86:20	199:8,15
	339:22	developed	87:24	200:9,19,2
	determining	29:8 58:13	88:18	0
			91:8,11	202:11,22
			93:20	203:15,24
			95:13	206:11,15,
				17,22,25

207:16,22	323:12	220:25	362:18	309:21
208:4,15	324:24	223:22	364:8	335:4
211:20	335:10	226:13	DFO's 237:23	339:2
218:5	developing	236:3,13,1	296:21	379:14
219:22	44:13	9 237:6,8	dialogue	384:7
221:10	64:10	238:25	139:2	388:23
222:2	165:1	239:3,9,13	201:17	402:4
223:19	237:10	240:5,21,2	Diavik	differently
224:2	246:17	2 241:2,8	84:21,22	56:17
225:20	334:2	244:14	299:11	difficult
227:2	348:12	245:3,9,14	Diavik/BHP	169:16
249:6	development	,17,22,24	394:15	260:19
264:3	37:23 41:5	246:11,16	die 184:24	374:18
274:14	42:10	248:2,6,12	191:2	difficulty
284:16	43:10	249:5,8,13	297:10	174:5
287:25	44:25	,16,20	374:14	285:17
296:1	45:24 48:1	250:6	390:8	diffused
297:11	65:2 70:11	252:5,8	died 355:15	117:23
309:14,19	77:4 80:25	253:7,12,1	372:22	diffuser
310:4	171:22	7,19,25	394:24	46:24
313:20	180:9	254:3	395:12	124:1
314:9	181:19	256:21	396:24	229:20
317:2,6,10	190:18	257:15	diet	230:7
336:15	236:25	258:7	277:18,21	236:15,23
341:1,3,7,	241:2	260:11	282:20	239:13,16,
23 342:12	245:11,24	261:9	364:1	17,21,22
388:13	248:6	263:13	differ 65:20	240:8,11,1
developers	304:18,21	264:7,15	difference	4,16,25
143:22	305:9,18	266:5,21	129:24	241:5,10
311:22	312:24	268:11	different	310:6
341:6	316:25	272:11	24:3 52:12	330:19
380:16	317:10	273:16	54:14	336:16,23
385:9	320:19	274:1,16	56:16	337:19,20
387:12	332:8	275:1,5,10	57:14	338:25
388:24	335:10	276:12	78:11	339:1,13,1
398:23	341:4	277:4,9,15	79:17 82:8	9,21
401:24	343:12	,17	84:7 86:12	340:7,11,1
Developer's	343:12	278:12,16	99:21	4,18
5:25 10:22	353:23	279:18	100:4	348:14
11:16	399:19,23	281:21	106:21	362:15
39:13	develops	282:25	119:9	372:18
109:15	334:12	283:6,10,1	128:25	diffusers
131:1	Devon 192:24	5,22 284:1	134:5	317:4
168:19	DFEO 249:7	287:8	142:2	339:11
197:17	DFO 4:17	289:18,25	157:25	diffusor
199:23	5:19 10:10	292:17,19	160:19	364:11,16,
205:1	11:9 17:22	294:24	185:17	20 365:8
206:1	18:1 53:4	295:1	261:14	dig 328:23
207:2	59:1	296:2,8	299:24	digs 278:16
239:18	109:12	297:14		
254:10,14	110:7,9	298:7,9		
261:12	127:15	299:14		
309:14		300:22		
		302:4		

dikes 13:11,15 84:21	374:9 disappear 358:9 365:9,22	374:21 discussed 37:20 67:13	26:23 53:13 54:9 132:13	121:21 223:20 Diverting 88:23
dimension 95:3	disappointed 176:5	68:17 140:15	disposing 57:11 142:14	divorce 105:24 106:25 119:22
dimensions 94:10	288:23 361:25 362:2	171:13 267:21 333:10	disrupting 242:2 267:6	D'Nilo 340:9,10
diminished 89:5	disaster 311:15 358:17	discussing 171:4 312:6 321:16 323:24	dissolve 99:8 154:8 326:6	dock 365:19
dioxide 33:18 34:21,25	disastrous 401:17	discussion 5:6 12:16 23:18 35:15 45:22 58:24 66:7 108:17 139:20 160:12 194:14 232:5 234:19 236:16 262:9 269:18,19 273:19 284:12,15 287:2,12 339:23 374:22,23, 25	dissolved 238:12	doctor 278:12 375:11,12
direct 56:11 173:1 175:23 218:22 223:10,20 238:16,17 247:16 329:21 373:19	discharge 124:1 228:3 237:18,20, 22 238:4,16,1 7,23 239:1 254:21 330:18	discussing 171:4 312:6 321:16 323:24	distance 225:12 233:15,19	doctors 183:19 386:15
direction 97:3,7 262:4 385:17 388:13,20	discharged 164:18 237:14	discussion 5:6 12:16 23:18 35:15 45:22 58:24 66:7 108:17 139:20 160:12 194:14 232:5 234:19 236:16 262:9 269:18,19 273:19 284:12,15 287:2,12 339:23 374:22,23, 25	distinct 333:14	document 61:23 63:18 64:24 65:7 66:1 67:10,24 69:4,14,16 ,17,24 70:4,11,18 71:8,9,13 118:17 200:24 243:1 381:23 382:2,3,16 ,17,23
directions 38:15 339:2 384:8 388:1	discharging 330:20	discussion 5:6 12:16 23:18 35:15 45:22 58:24 66:7 108:17 139:20 160:12 194:14 232:5 234:19 236:16 262:9 269:18,19 273:19 284:12,15 287:2,12 339:23 374:22,23, 25	distribution 97:25 116:24	document 61:23 63:18 64:24 65:7 66:1 67:10,24 69:4,14,16 ,17,24 70:4,11,18 71:8,9,13 118:17 200:24 243:1 381:23 382:2,3,16 ,17,23
directions 38:15 339:2 384:8 388:1	discharge 164:18 237:14	discussion 5:6 12:16 23:18 35:15 45:22 58:24 66:7 108:17 139:20 160:12 194:14 232:5 234:19 236:16 262:9 269:18,19 273:19 284:12,15 287:2,12 339:23 374:22,23, 25	disturb 42:6	document 61:23 63:18 64:24 65:7 66:1 67:10,24 69:4,14,16 ,17,24 70:4,11,18 71:8,9,13 118:17 200:24 243:1 381:23 382:2,3,16 ,17,23
directions 38:15 339:2 384:8 388:1	discharging 330:20	discussion 5:6 12:16 23:18 35:15 45:22 58:24 66:7 108:17 139:20 160:12 194:14 232:5 234:19 236:16 262:9 269:18,19 273:19 284:12,15 287:2,12 339:23 374:22,23, 25	disturbance 21:13 22:7 29:1 230:2 239:25 240:1	document 61:23 63:18 64:24 65:7 66:1 67:10,24 69:4,14,16 ,17,24 70:4,11,18 71:8,9,13 118:17 200:24 243:1 381:23 382:2,3,16 ,17,23
directly 11:15 96:25 212:11 256:7 308:22 338:21 346:13 389:1	disconnect 154:13 166:9	discussions 35:10 60:2 259:9,22,2 4 261:20 268:23 297:25 336:2	disturbed 24:17 28:22,24 315:15,16	document 61:23 63:18 64:24 65:7 66:1 67:10,24 69:4,14,16 ,17,24 70:4,11,18 71:8,9,13 118:17 200:24 243:1 381:23 382:2,3,16 ,17,23
Director 74:25	discovered 233:8 344:22	discussions 35:10 60:2 259:9,22,2 4 261:20 268:23 297:25 336:2	ditches 82:2,3 329:17	documentatio n 255:25
Directors 383:10	discrete 33:23	discussions 35:10 60:2 259:9,22,2 4 261:20 268:23 297:25 336:2	divergent 154:14	documented 243:18 244:2 381:24
dirty 182:6	discretionar y 271:14	dishonest 157:23	diversion 22:1 88:14 89:2 122:19 223:8 273:10,14, 15,18	documents 67:19 117:21 159:3 254:11 323:17
disagree 181:20 201:9	discuss 25:2 44:3 116:2 140:21 163:21 166:25 191:5 321:11 333:11	disposal 23:1 32:13 119:23 131:4,9 208:25 330:7	divert	dog 189:25 370:7,10 390:18,22 393:4,13

394:18,21	263:1	93:7 94:23	366:16,23	durations
DOGRIB	287:22	96:8,11	368:2	128:24
378:25	289:24	97:13,15	376:6	during 30:18
dogs	293:4	99:13	379:17	37:22
390:19,22,	303:7	100:8,22	386:10	38:19
23	309:15	101:4,18	drinking	50:22 63:2
dollar 45:1	330:20	102:8,17,2	176:6,11,1	89:25
376:21	343:24	3 104:16	5 180:16	97:20
393:18	353:9,15	105:13	225:14	101:6
dollars	366:12	107:2	358:8	128:25
188:20	368:21	108:9	368:16	137:10
189:11,16	383:13	319:14	drive 173:25	140:10
371:16	Donihee 2:10	342:19,23	345:16	150:4
381:13	108:24,25	368:3	346:11,14,	194:10
387:22	109:21	draft	16,19	198:16
393:20	110:21,22	17:16,19	driven 174:1	199:13
394:5,7,8	111:10,18,	110:7	365:17	207:4
domain 70:15	19,20	drainage	dry 121:22	229:13,15
dominates	112:25	21:23	Drybone	230:8,9
27:24	114:13,14	22:18,19	392:23	231:6
done 8:6	226:20,21,	82:2 118:4	Drygeese	232:20
13:14 18:2	22 262:16	134:23	1:21 53:16	237:15
23:7,14	264:6,25	265:21	54:12	239:20
24:16 26:6	265:2	draining	174:7	246:7
40:23	284:22,23,	134:15	310:2	248:9
51:20 55:7	24 286:9	242:16	311:10	332:12
57:3 63:25	288:7,9,10	265:19	376:7	364:1
74:6 75:25	door 307:9	drank 381:21	ducks 358:16	dust 28:17
76:5,20	343:22	draw 128:5	due 89:23	29:17
103:3	dots 201:3	256:16	145:15	31:24
108:1,18	double-bag	257:11	239:24	32:1,5,25
109:3	27:5	260:9	244:17	33:5,10
117:18	Douglas	321:8	371:8	63:4 92:20
152:6	403:14	drawing	373:6	94:19
153:3	downstream	321:6	dump	118:10
170:1	14:4,8	drawn 260:6	53:17,23	172:19
177:18	145:11,19	dreams	54:13,21	173:24
178:15	147:2	158:23	55:11	190:17
179:10	148:17	357:9	56:20	196:3,14
181:4,21	246:6	dredging	57:18	231:11
182:8	DPRA 3:16	47:4	83:11	304:24
183:12	Dr 41:18	drilling	135:18	324:15
191:8	43:21	24:12	137:4	325:2,18,2
198:3,19,2	45:19	32:12	174:8,20	3
2 200:11	48:23	390:4	200:3,6	326:5,9,11
202:3	55:14 57:1	drink	dumping 33:3	,16,17
221:18	58:1 86:10	180:3,17	duration	327:7
224:19	87:17	212:15	150:2	346:17
228:19	88:9,10	350:20		348:8
229:12,21	90:4 91:2			381:4
254:9				dusts 39:3
				dusty 198:3

Dyer 2:23	358:15	226:12	effected	167:23
dying 368:7	376:13	227:18	107:7	257:10
391:13	easier	economic	effective	321:6
dynamite	295:15	175:24	167:24	efforts
365:11	easily	208:8	172:24	46:19
	140:13	305:10	205:9	242:10
		331:9	209:15	eggs 147:17
<hr/>	east 16:25	economical	effects 31:7	Ehrlich 2:7
E	38:16	205:12	32:5 33:10	78:2,3,10
EA 1:7	Eastern	ecosystem	36:17,23	79:3,16
71:22,24	277:10	245:1	37:5 38:19	80:8,14
176:9	easy 105:23	Ed 3:21	64:4 93:16	81:14,20
261:21	327:9	208:19	98:5 119:6	82:6 84:6
303:24	333:22	395:6	125:7,17	86:2
earlier	eat 143:25	Eddie	207:20	130:18,19
45:20 56:4	144:13	304:3,8,10	208:2	131:23
96:7	146:5	311:7	237:18	133:24
116:16	149:6	312:5	239:20,24	134:1,25
135:6	223:15	313:19	244:15	211:3
137:5	275:8,16	315:8	245:3	250:13,16,
138:24	289:15	316:6,15	254:1,20,2	21
167:20	290:2,14,2	392:8,15	2	251:3,18
171:13	3	395:7,11,2	256:1,11,1	253:4,5,24
198:19	291:10,19	3	3,17	255:2
199:4	293:6,7	396:11,12	258:21,24	274:5,6,7
226:1	302:15,17	398:22	268:4	342:9
310:13	363:24	401:20,22	296:17,23	eight 115:7
317:22	364:14	edible	308:12	170:17
329:9	378:7,8,9	143:19	323:14	189:10,11
351:16	386:10	Edjericon	332:6,12	324:10
391:8	391:13,14	1:11	380:19	328:5
400:1	395:10	303:20	401:9	354:21
early 18:6	eaten 291:25	304:15	efficiencies	381:12
42:20	eating	Edmonton	174:25	393:19
44:25 65:2	290:10	321:8	efficiency	eighteen
66:15 74:5	293:3	educating	78:25	168:19,25
170:19	391:11	215:8	82:11	320:20,21
191:22	EC 249:13	education	efficient	393:16
201:17	eco 343:1	349:16	330:13	eighty
335:9	Ecole 192:17	Edward 4:5	effluent	182:18,19
381:20	ecological	EEM 269:17	227:22	339:3
earth 179:19	119:2	effect 85:4	228:4,7,9,	381:13,16
180:24	205:12	89:6 93:3	12,15,18,2	eighty-one
185:16	208:1	205:2	0,23	339:3
187:1	237:10	247:4	229:1,11,1	eighty-seven
190:21	258:6	257:3,4	7,19	182:14
352:7	266:8	263:14	237:13	eighty-two
397:3	Ecology	281:1	254:22	394:7
earthquake	220:24		305:2	either
190:23,24			effort 13:18	
347:17			59:4	
351:5				

15:17,18	354:10	eliminations	231:22,24	400:3
16:20	355:5,7,9,	140:6	emitted	engagement
18:17 22:4	11 366:14	else 114:9	116:25	65:23
41:25	369:11,25	141:10	emotional	67:9,10,11
45:24	371:24	144:16	345:18	,25 201:17
119:2	379:4	155:1,15,2	EMP 37:25	246:17
152:19	387:10	1,23	emphasize	267:25
168:7	396:18	156:11,13,	164:20	268:1
174:18	399:6,11	17,19	employed	311:24
197:4	401:23	162:8	231:11	333:8
223:6	elected	187:1	empty 314:11	334:18
232:14,16	302:3	201:6	EMS 322:1	335:22
282:1	election	286:12	333:7	384:11
289:14	384:21	292:6	en 167:17	engaging
292:1	electric	294:16	encourage	75:14
300:19	292:15	302:16,25	41:14	Enge 3:18,19
375:24	electricity	303:2	168:18	58:22,23
elaborate	97:25	354:6	310:8	60:7,8
311:25	element	358:9	316:22	204:21,22
Eld 379:4	112:19	385:15	320:5	250:9
Elder 9:15	elements	386:8	353:8	373:21
177:15	12:25	389:10	encouraging	engerrred
181:15	67:12	392:7	126:11	58:12
183:20	68:15,17,2	395:24	317:23	engineer
184:3,5,25	2 70:21	elsewhere	endorsement	28:9 107:8
188:7	86:12	278:24	57:21	186:15
208:13,19	314:8	279:6	endpoint	320:18,22
304:9	335:1	emails 66:4	166:22	engineered
369:8	elevated	emerge 272:1	end-uses	28:10,12
370:3	141:7	emergencies	23:13	57:12
392:9,15	145:12	112:7,9	enforce	58:12 82:2
402:18	228:17	emergency	362:8	203:10
elderly	238:22	74:11,15	enforceable	engineering
183:21	247:7,17	75:22,24,2	49:12 50:9	67:20
Elders	eliminate	5 76:24	175:10,16	116:9,10,1
173:23	26:2,15	111:23	engage 26:16	1 121:20
176:12	29:21	112:21	45:6 66:14	139:22
177:4,6,8	140:22	113:11	75:16,24	203:10
182:15,16,	209:1	202:3	246:12	255:22
17 184:5	238:1	203:9,19,2	291:2	321:9
191:20	247:16	0 204:5	400:2	322:20,21
212:12	eliminates	358:14	engaged	342:20
213:2,25	139:8,10,1	emergent	315:3	engineers
215:15	5,18 141:3	112:18	354:15	107:23
216:2	eliminating	244:21	384:16	113:17
220:21	30:14	emerges	399:25	115:20
224:24	141:10	emissions		157:23
294:20	elimination	172:19		159:8
304:12	39:3			174:21
316:20				330:3
347:12				
348:20				

338:24	127:12	68:1	323:8	303:23
339:11,19	128:22		324:24	304:16
386:5	129:20,21	environ	325:3	305:5,10,1
English	130:13	37:25	326:3	5,17
142:1	255:10	environment	327:2	307:16
177:13	258:4	4:12 5:17	329:15	309:1,16
184:1	260:3,4	10:10 11:8	330:15	311:15
188:5,7	261:7	17:22 18:1	332:7,10	314:14
213:22	266:19	19:18 53:4	342:17	321:11
216:8	270:19,20	59:1	343:12	322:25
217:13	273:2	61:3,5	347:9	323:6
307:20,22	342:25	91:22	363:9	331:8
312:3	ENR 2:23	109:12	379:19	333:6,24
315:6	enshrined	110:10	380:8,11	334:9,10
346:2,3,4,	170:11	127:24	383:11	335:11,23
6 369:6		167:7	environmenta	339:25
378:25	ensure	181:23	l 1:2,6	343:12
380:4	59:9,15	210:13,19	30:24	387:3
382:12	76:5	226:20	37:25	environment'
392:13	167:17	227:14,17	46:21 47:3	s 347:11
394:20	176:24	228:5,9,13	49:24	envision
396:9	228:17	,16,24	55:22	40:13,14,2
enhance	234:12	229:3,7,18	59:23,24	1 43:15
128:4	241:6	230:17	64:11	44:20
242:11	248:10	231:3,9	65:10	envisioning
267:20	332:1	232:7	67:17,21	299:21
enhancement	ensures	233:7,14,1	72:2 75:4	epidemic
260:8	58:13	8,25	76:10 77:5	358:24,25
enhancements	ensuring	234:2,18,2	84:17	equate
242:20	44:6 75:15	0,23	112:14	137:11
enhancing	321:15	235:1,17,2	113:7	equipment
241:18	322:24	3 236:14	130:20	25:12,15
257:12	enter 143:6	240:2,17	164:21,22	33:2,15
enjoy 354:2	148:6	249:5,7,16	169:6	132:5
356:15	169:13	250:6,22	170:1,9,19	era 400:12
enjoyed	197:5	251:3,5,8,	195:18	Eric 3:20
354:2	entering	10 252:19	196:18	Erlich 86:14
356:9	148:2	254:15,18	199:22	erosion
enjoyment	entertain	255:19	201:11,15	93:11,12
365:6	248:17,19	268:17,21,	202:23	94:18
Enns 2:11	276:4	23	203:2,25	206:7
109:1	enthusiasm	269:13,15	204:8	247:11
114:16	213:11	270:4,6	207:25	erosive 97:7
115:15,16	enthusiastic	271:8	208:1	especially
118:8	159:12	275:1	236:11,20	29:25
119:4,20	entire 44:21	279:25	239:20	350:5
120:21,22	83:18	295:4,7	241:3	386:21
121:3,13	202:7	296:2	245:25	398:24
122:9	entirety	298:4	248:7	
123:19		300:16,19	254:20	
		319:5,10,1	262:21	
		7,25	293:11,21	
		321:16	296:18	
		322:4		

essential 123:12 168:16 175:14	European 272:5	390:7	358:9	111:7
essentially 40:3,10 43:6 45:2 46:10 112:18 125:16,22 127:20 141:7,10 277:4,15 278:22	evaluate 101:16 242:21 246:9 275:18 323:13	everybody 9:9,10 19:7 21:1 72:13 115:1 136:3 141:21 189:15 192:11 193:8 302:16 307:8 390:15 401:15	366:18 373:15 374:20 380:10 385:24 386:8,19 387:1,2,6, 9 397:2	exceed 266:2 exceedance 87:21 exceedances 34:19 35:6,11 231:24
establish 37:13 384:23	evaluated 101:14 228:7 239:19 275:14	Everybody's 153:12	everywhere 26:8 347:10	exceeded 62:22 64:1
established 252:1 259:5 306:21 330:24	evaluating 237:11	everyone 27:22 55:9 116:7 192:16 252:10 308:14 332:23 336:10 347:23 349:19 350:9,14 388:8	evidence 126:1 204:5 234:9 272:2 286:4,12 310:18	exceeding 34:24 36:21 88:15 129:3 141:8
establishing 30:14 336:1 388:23	evaluation 228:11	Everyone's 153:12	exactly 107:25 159:23 200:17 218:4 286:9 343:11	excellent 19:5 317:17 322:23
establishmen t 336:5	evening 1:21 5:22 183:13,16 303:5,6,15 ,16,19 304:4,14 306:2 311:9 317:13 341:2 379:2 396:15,18	everything 107:18 162:8 179:19 181:2,17 183:1,8 184:21 187:4 190:14,24 196:4 214:21 215:1 286:11,12 302:20,25 303:2 307:7 310:15 312:9 354:5 356:10 357:21	examined 223:8	except 104:1
esti 35:24	event 13:13 79:18 83:10,23 87:13 94:20 97:5,24 98:8 107:18 243:8 355:15	everyone's 165:10	example 24:3 36:13 84:20 146:18 168:6 206:21 229:10,14 233:22 383:25	exception 85:1 excess 81:9 exchanged 353:17
estimate 24:23 104:2 132:16 222:17,19	events 80:16 90:10 92:20	everybody 9:9,10 19:7 21:1 72:13 115:1 136:3 141:21 189:15 192:11 193:8 302:16 307:8 390:15 401:15	excavate 23:23	excited 71:2
estimated 35:24 36:3 83:14 118:1	eventually 60:12 132:20 169:9 206:7 347:4 360:18 364:3,4	everyone's 165:10	excavated 24:11 25:1 42:15 107:21 111:1 328:18	excuse 166:21 265:7 268:8 291:1
estimates 117:21 131:16,20 133:5		everything 107:18 162:8 179:19 181:2,17 183:1,8 184:21 187:4 190:14,24 196:4 214:21 215:1 286:11,12 302:20,25 303:2 307:7 310:15 312:9 354:5 356:10 357:21	excavation 22:3 25:6 26:9 33:2	executing 323:14 execution 324:20
et 33:3 118:23 132:3				exempt 77:4 203:24
eternity 397:16 398:15				exempted 77:8 204:9 exemptions 65:8 exercise 204:3 205:22

exhaust	129:13	115:13	36:11,19	80:16
33:14	144:3	118:7	64:17	83:22
Exhibit 7:2	145:22	126:14	express	97:5,24
227:4,8	242:9	127:8	178:3	extremely
Exhibits 5:3	262:7	128:21	215:22	116:9
7:1	expected	135:2	301:24	205:25
exist	79:13 85:7	255:4	333:1	eye 386:22
185:15,18	229:14	274:9	366:11	
394:9	244:19,25	321:7,9	expressed	<hr/> F <hr/>
	335:14	322:12	279:22	face 140:19
existed	expecting	explain	284:18	385:2
184:12	72:20	69:20	292:20	faced 397:23
existing	experience	74:14	308:12	faces
12:17	15:20	136:22	expressing	317:22,23
34:2,13	72:25 87:1	139:23	294:2	facilitate
37:11,21	128:7	187:4	extend 60:15	24:12
43:23	158:20	337:19	295:4	43:24 46:2
104:8,11	169:13	343:10	extended	66:4 132:4
129:4	173:25	359:25	265:21	facilitated
160:20	233:3	382:7,10	extension	68:17
172:16	299:9	explained	95:23	facilities
231:18	306:23	156:7	247:9	112:11
237:16	320:21	explanation	extensive	305:3
240:9	321:1	199:3	101:20	facility
243:11	experienced	exploring	271:18	46:11
244:23	174:1	165:3	331:1,24	145:17
254:15	389:22	explosion	extensively	facing 372:1
258:18	expert 86:11	372:23	30:25	fact 16:11
272:21	87:18	expose 260:9	extent 24:20	31:17
275:20	230:23	exposed 29:5	43:24	57:17
298:9	236:24	132:22	45:23	79:25 83:2
323:18	237:6	148:23	82:23	116:16
335:24	295:19	164:11	101:14	126:9
exists 24:5	299:18	198:17	105:4	141:21
39:5	322:17	247:7	247:19	154:12
expand 41:23	expertise	exposure	248:4	212:13
340:22	215:18	26:3 33:20	exterminated	218:11
387:18	286:24	34:1 35:22	355:24	232:10
expanded	287:8	36:5,20,22	extinct	255:16
331:2	experts	,24 37:5	358:22	346:20
expect 63:3	86:3,4	62:21,22	extra 59:4	factor
100:11	88:8 90:3	63:13,25	extract	125:2,12,1
124:18	93:6 94:22	119:1	370:15	3
126:7	96:10	128:24	extracted	facts 110:12
133:14	97:10	198:12	370:15	fail 85:8
295:22	100:7,21	235:12	371:6	97:21
331:15	102:7	238:19	extreme	107:21
expectation	104:15	247:11,16		
13:4 18:2	105:12	271:22		
21:7	107:1	exposures		
	108:8			

failed 113:25 356:4	families 352:1	feedback 207:1	137:4,13,1 6 162:13 163:4	131:16,17 299:6
failing 153:20,21	family 351:23 356:13 370:24	feeding 117:7 243:25 244:8	324:2,3 329:8	filled 170:23
fails 207:25			fifteen 10:10,11 11:3,9,10 161:23 193:11 221:1 226:14 257:7 323:20	final 17:16,19 18:24 21:7 23:6 48:11,22 53:10 54:1 101:16,21 109:11 110:9 174:21 196:21 208:23 234:17 239:3 240:10,12 241:8 245:13 247:23 248:12 253:25 261:3,8,24 267:9 285:6 297:20 305:4
failure 75:22 89:1 106:20 107:10 108:1,2,4 113:14 157:1 171:6 330:4	fantastic 269:21	feel 29:23 103:11 107:11 159:8 206:5 219:17 223:15 267:24 268:3 297:1 343:16 362:17 397:16,19	fifth 73:21 74:18 77:12 325:13 346:1,4	
failures 100:2	farmed 131:7		fifty 157:20 174:2 323:3 353:14 378:4,7	
fair 65:7,11 76:3 88:12 119:7 153:25 209:14 300:1 355:18	fashion 203:17 204:7,10	feeling 98:4 375:11	fifty-five 315:25	finalization 48:18
Fairburn 4:14	father 369:17 393:17	feels 154:13,19 223:16	fifty-three 323:17	finalized 110:7,11
fairly 14:19 92:21 98:17 134:15 150:2 191:5	fear 358:2 359:19	feet 137:23 138:12 162:15 163:5,6 390:24	fight 359:4 362:10	finalizing 246:14
faith 165:12 166:4 314:10	fears 400:18,20, 21	fell 351:19	figure 84:9 137:14 258:14 329:9	finally 16:10 20:5 32:14,18 201:2 241:8 246:11 278:18 349:3 354:18 366:5 401:16
fall 36:20 78:12 85:5 234:24 351:6 364:24 365:2,7	features 19:19 89:17,19 239:5	felt 213:6	figured 170:3	
falls 271:12	fect 283:4	fence 292:15	file 227:4 288:4 303:24 341:24 342:8,10	
false 374:7 375:5,8	federal 200:25 233:21 237:7 282:18 287:12,17 304:19,20 307:2 345:6 362:1,4,12 363:2,6,17 367:20 370:18 385:3	fences 19:24 328:9	filed 200:12 226:24 263:3 285:5,23	financial 70:12 207:9
familiar 317:22 326:19 392:19	feed 186:5	fi 31:19 field 70:14 107:25 119:6 126:1 127:22 259:21 381:16 385:13,14	filing 66:10 fill 25:11 27:8 28:20	finding
		fields		

66:6,12	179:24	169:7,15,1	283:1	254:17
225:8	189:9	9 179:21	284:8	257:20
269:16	191:20,23	180:2	285:12	258:12
findings	206:19,23	185:18	286:7	260:16
34:17	210:14	186:11	287:9	261:11
36:10	212:6	187:21,22	289:15	262:2,18
49:22	213:5	214:14	290:2,10,1	264:12
82:12	222:13	223:11,16,	4,23,24	266:6
272:1	227:21	23 229:16	291:10,19,	272:15
finds 50:15	230:10,19	236:25	25	275:12
77:3	232:9	237:1,5	292:3,8,12	279:19
fine 102:9	249:23	238:10,11	,13 293:3	280:1,17
178:1	251:20	239:2,8,14	297:10	283:10
finish 10:6	274:15	240:6,14,2	302:10,12,	284:3
23:8	292:19	2 241:7,24	13,16,17	286:19,20
finished	301:16	242:2,4,7,	312:7,25	289:12,21
13:5 23:11	302:2,4	12,19,21,2	313:1	290:5,7,18
109:18	304:13	3,25	331:3	291:20
110:11	307:1	243:1,2,5,	343:3	292:7
167:12	325:1	6,13,15,21	358:9	294:25
195:13	349:5	,24	360:7,14,1	296:10
198:23	352:23	244:9,16	6,18	297:15
202:24	363:8	245:18	362:14	298:5,8
204:2	365:11	246:7,10,1	363:22,24,	300:23
fire 184:10	369:21	5 247:21	25	301:4,9
330:23	376:4	248:3,8,14	364:1,3,15	327:23
373:11	377:23	251:22	,19 365:9	362:6
firmer	379:21	252:12,24	368:19	fishers
104:20	383:22	253:8,9,10	377:14	169:10
firms	386:14	,15	378:3,6,8,	fishery
322:17,20	387:2	254:2,23	9,10,11	280:3
first 12:6	388:19	256:1,2,8,	386:10	fishes
13:10	392:21,22	9,13,14,16	391:11,14	312:23
22:25	401:21	,25 257:11	394:21	360:12
23:15	fish 13:23	260:9	395:11	fish-
39:17 49:5	120:14	264:23	398:6	friendly
54:4 62:16	121:5,10	266:11	fisheries	223:9
92:8 97:16	125:16,19,	267:17	61:8,9	fishing
102:12	21 126:3	273:14	121:16	205:14
109:4	127:15,21	275:6,7,8,	210:22,24	290:3,5,9
114:8	143:18,19,	17,20	236:7,11	292:4,5,13
130:25	24	276:9,14	237:4	357:16
140:2	144:5,8,13	277:7,14,1	239:10	fit 172:14
156:7	,14,15	6,19,21	240:18	186:14
164:12	145:25	278:2,8,9,	241:12	206:22
165:13	146:5,12,1	13,15,18,2	242:3,7	fitness
167:4	8	1	245:22	292:25
168:18	147:6,8,10	279:1,3,4,	246:4	five 10:9
169:19	,22,24	12,22	248:15	11:4 52:4
173:20	148:1,4,15	280:2,3,6,	250:23	60:9 81:7
	,20,22	9,18	251:19	
	149:2,4,5,	281:1,3,5,	252:10,22	
	7 164:9	15,18	253:18	
		282:20		

83:25	103:25	121:21	398:9	18
87:12	104:2	144:22	401:4	351:8,11,1
103:1	105:5	236:16	402:12	2 357:2
104:18	106:9,10	238:5,21	foods	367:16,17,
105:16	107:22	239:6	252:2,4	19,21
108:24	139:8,10,1	340:4,5	282:23	376:9
124:25	1 141:8,9	372:10	football	377:19,22
161:24	153:20	374:11	137:4,13,1	378:1
167:19,22	156:24	394:15	6 162:12	395:2
171:10	157:3,8	400:10	163:4	399:17
189:10,16	190:23	flues	324:2,3	forget 63:19
204:17	241:17	29:5,16,17	329:8	124:25
220:25	358:15	202:5	footing	215:17
224:13	flooded	flying	29:15	367:22
257:6	90:11	346:17	footprint	forgetting
285:24	flooding	focus 18:14	247:23	164:16
338:1	13:10	19:1 20:11	foraging	forgiving
345:3	17:6,11	22:6 30:17	238:10	349:11
392:22	18:16 90:8	117:15	forced	forgot 12:4
fix 104:13	106:20,21	159:2	166:19	forgotten
106:12	140:22	164:21	forefathers	94:2
190:13	156:23	167:25	359:3,9	form 242:10
312:10	169:3	308:6	foreigners	369:18
315:21	171:8	309:16	379:9	400:4
397:22	228:19	399:3	foremost	formation
flagged 52:4	229:15	focuses	322:12	89:11
flame 373:12	328:2	12:23	foreseeable	101:7
flexible	flow	focussed	266:3	former 26:11
51:15	13:7,22,25	36:14 52:6	301:2	28:23
flicker	14:9,17,22	209:16	foreshare	169:20
69:14	15:1	focusses	22:24	341:12,13,
floated	81:8,9	173:12	foreshore	14,16,18,1
201:22	87:8 88:24	focussing	21:15	9 344:1
flood 12:24	89:4,7	169:2	22:24 23:8	352:22
16:19	96:25	folks 140:20	236:23	354:4
79:18	99:23,24	142:1	246:20	369:18
83:2,5,7,9	105:1	295:18	248:1,10	378:21
,13,21	237:15	follow-up	249:20	383:9
84:2,13	238:12,19	63:24 64:7	forever	389:6
85:4 86:15	326:6	78:6	56:20	Fort
87:10	327:16	111:20	155:20	306:6,10
88:15	flowers	133:23,25	305:3	373:24,25
89:15	398:7	222:24	309:23	374:9
90:19	flowing	288:7	314:16	395:10
91:15,16,2	144:25	food 125:16	345:1,2,7,	forth 40:6
3 92:4	145:8	238:13	12,13,15,1	108:16
93:10,17	371:19	317:17	9	282:17
94:6,8,19	372:16	350:3	348:15,16,	363:22
97:20	flows 14:19	360:15		forthcoming
98:5,22	15:14			
99:7	22:21			

166:19	243:15	20:2,3,14	371:4	158:24
fortunate	324:14	24:12,13	400:11	frustrating
337:16	325:18,24	31:10	Fritz 369:18	302:14
fortunately	359:15	32:11 89:5	front 71:15	frustrations
148:19	fourth 53:7	92:19	107:8	295:17
329:10	68:25	95:16,18	115:19	fuels 328:15
forty 81:9	69:6,23	103:23	183:14	fulfill
174:2	73:21	143:5	275:19	122:12
180:19	325:11	150:1,4	290:16	159:15
forum 353:3	Fox 230:20	326:22	291:11	full 33:22
355:10	fraction	freezes	341:25	160:13
359:22	36:17	338:20	360:1	185:10
361:23	frame 41:13	freezing	364:16	200:3,4,7
forward	framework	164:14	365:1,18,1	202:6
16:23	40:5 44:13	304:23	9 369:10	203:11
41:9,20	207:7,8	309:17	370:25	229:20
42:17 44:7	208:7	326:17,23	372:6	350:22
45:7	Franco 2:13	331:23	frost	367:7
46:3,17	97:15	frequency	100:11,17,	fully 108:9
75:9	102:23	16:14	18 101:7	114:4
102:5,14	104:16	228:19	frozen 24:11	160:10
159:6,9	105:13	229:1,9,13	27:12,13	212:3
166:2,15	107:2	230:9	28:6,18	247:14
181:22	108:9	frequently	57:17	305:14
186:1	342:23	85:8 335:5	58:10	function
197:12	Franklin	fresh 312:19	90:11,19,2	78:24
211:15	34:15	freshette	0 91:21	242:2
228:2	37:18	87:8 88:3	92:4,5,9,1	267:15
247:25	Fred 4:8	freshwater	4 93:16,17	functionalit
267:23	169:20	269:6	94:4,6,13,	y 244:15
268:2	341:12	312:21	18	functioning
272:9	352:21,23	313:3	95:2,9,24	242:1
275:23	354:3	350:3	98:6	246:10
276:9	free 83:17	360:10	106:4,14,1	248:11
284:17	134:15	Friday	6 107:6	267:7,16,2
285:10,16	180:20	219:16	143:2,3,4,	0
286:16	343:16	286:11	10 153:18	functions
287:4,14,2	348:17	302:4	154:20	235:7
1 288:20	368:8	333:11	171:10	fund 208:14
291:6	freeboard	402:7	309:23	fundamental
296:1,24	16:11 80:2	friend 200:5	314:4,12	113:5
307:13	104:4	288:7	326:25	164:5
311:23	freedom	289:18	330:8	172:25
312:14,15,	168:6	373:16	333:16,21	fundamentall
16 320:6	Freeman 4:9	friends	376:9,11,1	y 119:18
321:16	163:19	373:16,17	6,17	144:7
333:9	191:18	377:5	377:1,10,1	174:6
335:21	freeze 19:21	friendship	8,21,24	
367:10		349:13	381:2	
396:17,25			399:4,16,2	
fourteen			1	
			fruition	

funding	300:7,11	general 18:3	132:1	179:13
193:21	301:1,2	27:25	247:9	180:3
315:2,11	307:4	48:15	Geotextiles	187:16
349:7	309:3,22	58:24	79:4	188:18
351:7	311:17	119:10	get-go 166:9	189:15,17
354:16,19	313:19,21	264:15	gets 92:19	190:10,18,
383:23	315:4	generally	156:10	20 192:3
384:14	316:12	14:19	158:24	205:7
387:21	318:6	generated	190:25	208:15,21,
388:3	329:3,23	25:20	277:9	23
Furthermore	344:10,19	63:4,12	326:3	231:7,17
92:20	345:23	generating	339:13	232:9
fut 309:3	346:8	329:10	346:20	234:2
future 21:8	347:4	generation	getting	236:21
23:24	348:6	180:5,6	18:23	237:14,21
40:9,12,24	351:13,14,	191:7	90:20	239:19
43:3,7	17,18	192:20	101:4	252:12
44:5,20	352:3,6,10	231:18	122:16	254:19
46:2 47:3	361:16,21	309:4	134:2	267:4
49:1 57:18	364:5	344:19	150:5	280:20
58:8 73:1	368:20	345:23	159:22	296:19
77:2,18	371:17	351:14	174:5	303:23
88:16	379:8,10	397:18	180:22	304:17
112:6	387:13	generations	218:2	308:11,16
126:7,10	397:12	205:22	221:10	309:7,10
130:4,8	400:17,21	307:4	255:11	311:14
139:19		316:12	262:10	313:11
159:11,16	<hr/> G <hr/>	334:6	284:15	314:1,23
164:23	game 108:13	344:10,20	288:23	315:1,20
165:15	gaps 259:20	346:9	315:2	317:20
170:6,24	267:23	352:10	325:10	318:17
171:13,16,	gar 54:21	400:18,22	327:1	319:4,10,1
21,23	garbage	generator	363:5	9,23 320:8
173:1	53:17	151:15	366:19	321:13,19
178:23	54:13	generous	368:7	323:2
180:11	57:18	84:1	395:8,14	325:20
182:9	gather	gentleman	Giant 1:5	326:5
192:20	182:22	136:16	23:22	332:5
212:10	187:21	271:3	38:16	337:7,9
217:23	310:14	303:16	39:14 40:2	349:2
219:12	gathered	gentlemen	46:9 58:4	371:15
220:2,12	118:18	16:1	59:23 65:9	372:21
230:3	gathering	113:22	73:4 76:8	376:9,11,2
236:1	205:14	George	77:14	0,24,25
239:4	gauging	389:19,20	111:14	377:1,4,6,
240:9	81:10	392:6	118:23	10,11,17
259:2,7	gears 153:11	geotextile	128:18	378:4,15
266:3	genera 334:6	78:15,18,2	129:11	379:10
273:1		3 131:23	130:3,12	380:3
285:13			145:1,9	381:5
298:1			148:14	382:17,22
299:2,3			165:14	383:24
				384:1
				386:5

387:24	GNWT/ENR	317:20	356:13	103:22
389:12,23	233:23	319:18	grandkids	104:5
390:18	goal 168:20	322:6	182:11	207:22
392:17,19	169:3	331:12,13	190:10	229:15
394:9	170:7	332:4	397:13	234:4
395:15,25	171:1	341:5	grandmother	262:3
398:1	216:25	345:6,9	216:19	314:24
400:4,14	242:5	348:1,16,2	grandpa	336:5
gifts	307:2	4 349:23	184:16,24,	greatest
186:20,22	324:22	350:18	25 185:3,5	176:1
187:2	goals 166:7	352:11	grandpa's	263:19
given 88:2	167:20,22	362:24	398:3	greatly
112:3,10	gold 188:19	367:20	graph 14:18	89:5,8
119:5	359:1	368:5	grass 181:8	91:22
130:6	370:5	370:18	400:11	greed 357:4
154:12	Golder 2:24	374:12	grateful	366:1,2,4
174:16	81:6	377:9	215:6,8	374:13
223:13	Golders	380:17	gravel 22:15	green 328:20
256:22	322:19	382:24	206:6,8	386:8
275:5	gone 15:15	385:9	373:9	Greg 2:25
278:8	191:13	governments	gravity	grew 350:22
289:3	356:10,23	113:12	22:18	355:4
361:6	357:2,4,5	197:10	grayling	356:21
380:1	358:1	300:9	121:11	389:20
giving 162:8	365:16	307:1,2	146:19	390:1
177:16	370:17	321:14	147:16	ground 25:14
298:14	391:20	323:9,13	242:19	104:20
374:3	399:9	349:15	243:17,22	331:23
375:5	goods 331:11	government's	244:1,4	347:6
388:1	gov 220:1	167:10	290:8	348:5
glad 93:25	government	grab 71:3	great 65:19	376:11,13
189:3	23:16	grade 72:17	116:22	377:22
352:24	74:7,10	192:19	142:18	390:3
GMAC 314:24	75:1,11	graded	143:10	395:1
315:9	80:25	329:17	144:6	ground's
354:9	153:20,21	gradient	146:22	94:12
383:23,24	180:21	90:14,15	147:8,10,1	groundwater
384:5	202:16	128:3	9,23	157:1,2,4,
387:24	203:18	gram 257:4	148:1,5,24	7 235:14
388:11	205:9	grandchildre	157:10,11	326:6,10
400:4	220:1	n 344:10	205:11,19	327:8
GNWT 2:22,23	255:22	370:22	242:16	group 15:24
24:10	279:24	372:20	278:6,19,2	41:7,8
34:14 35:9	281:6,12,2	granddaughte	2 279:1	59:25
81:1	4	r 188:6	312:20	115:19
128:16	282:5,7,18	grandfather	313:1	146:2
146:3	300:24	216:19	326:7	182:1
232:5	304:19,20	369:16	greater 25:7	192:18
281:19	305:20	grandfathers		195:18
341:6	315:12			
385:3				

296:19	300:5,6,18	295:21	256:14,16	131:11
297:5	343:22	312:22,24	257:12	140:21
383:1	guestimate	313:6,8	258:17,19	333:18
388:15	103:3	318:8	259:12,13	338:16,17
groups	guests	370:19	260:8	358:7
209:10	72:14,16	371:1,8,9,	264:23	370:19
246:13	403:1	13,25	265:25	hand 106:5,6
361:12	gui 130:1	372:7,13,1	266:10,14	121:15
grow 312:9	guidance	4,15,17,18	267:7,17	188:4
347:25	36:12	,21 374:20	272:24	285:22
348:1	299:19	383:17	273:14	286:11
350:12	guide 211:14	385:13,14	275:6,7,20	343:25
growing	guideline	386:8	276:10,14	358:24
181:8	124:25	392:2,16	278:9,10	handle
390:4	125:1	397:5,22	280:3	13:12,15,1
391:1	198:13,17	guy's 381:22	292:5,8	6 377:2
grows 181:8	313:8	<hr/> H <hr/>	327:19	handling
182:5	guidelines	ha 341:16	328:3	33:3
244:22	27:6 34:19	habitat	329:2	159:21
400:11	124:5,11	13:23,25	367:6	hands 93:8
growth 234:6	126:18,21	120:13,18,	habitats	167:10
guarantee	127:2,6	23 121:5	243:13	hang 162:16
351:7,9	129:4	123:9,10	278:14	happen
guaranteed	167:6	124:12	350:4	18:5,13
345:5,7	197:19	125:21	Halbert 3:4	89:2 114:7
346:24	199:1,5	127:15,19	12:8	179:18
guess 41:6	233:16,17	128:4	64:8	196:14
43:13 65:1	265:12	143:18	117:13	198:20,23
76:19	266:3	144:13,15	118:14,15	201:18
99:22	268:9,19,2	147:6	119:13,14	225:11
101:19	4	223:23	120:10	258:25
112:2	269:1,2,5	236:13	121:1,8	259:7
115:22	270:17	237:1,5,19	124:17	294:19
122:16	guiding	238:6,10,1	125:25	308:24
133:7	130:1	1	126:25	314:6,7
135:6,23	272:8	239:2,5,8,	128:14	336:3
137:21	guilty 302:6	14	129:7,8	348:17
139:12,13,	guys 10:13	240:6,11,1	143:23	351:1
18 144:12	56:17	4,22	145:7,8	353:24
145:21	61:13 88:6	241:7,11,1	146:16	358:3
159:6	136:22	8,24	147:14	364:6
161:6	139:24	242:2,4,8,	148:10	368:21
203:8	178:23	12,19,20,2	149:24	371:18
274:14	183:22	1,22,25	156:7	376:14
279:2,6	193:5,17,1	243:2,7,18	198:18	377:21
284:5	8 225:5,17	244:1,4,8,	337:12,14	happened
289:10	249:2	16	339:7	13:22
291:20,22	287:18	245:5,6,19	half 15:21	97:23
297:20,21	291:7	246:7,10,1	45:1 65:16	113:13
299:1,15		5 247:21	100:19,23	178:16
		248:3,8	114:18	194:14

214:9	180:12	355:17	169:12,15,	318:3
308:15,17,	206:14	having 79:24	19	333:1
23 357:8	256:25	112:12	170:9,16,2	337:10
358:22	271:7,16,2	213:9	4	341:2
360:20	2 272:2	300:14	198:20,21	347:10
366:3,22	harmful	301:7	205:3	350:19
390:11	260:10	303:22	277:6	351:3
396:21	271:10,11	310:22	279:14	390:2,3
happens	harvest	337:11	280:5,7	400:19
83:10 96:6	277:14,17	392:16	281:4,5,13	heard
151:10	278:2	Hayden 2:5	,18,19	56:4,14
158:25	harvested	130:20,23,	282:3,4,6,	60:8 73:24
191:3	251:22	24 132:9	9	82:11
195:5	253:15	hazard	283:1,4,12	119:21
261:17	harvester	164:13	,15,22	167:5
338:9,10	354:5	170:16	284:5	168:1
347:21,22	harvesting	hazardous	286:22	173:5,21,2
358:17	252:3	26:19	287:9	3 198:18
367:9	253:10	27:24	288:11	203:17
happy 56:10	278:4	53:14 54:9	290:22	214:2
107:14	279:7	55:18,19,2	319:9,24	216:17
108:5	282:19	0 57:11	321:15,24	217:4
191:9,12	349:17	58:2,9	323:6	228:1
212:13	harveting	96:12	324:23	252:13
248:17	278:3	208:24	331:7	264:11,12
275:24	hat 213:10	324:4	345:17	268:1
276:3	285:18	hazards	347:7	277:25
337:9	hatch 147:18	26:15	349:16	302:11
353:5	hatched	170:15	350:6	310:23
361:19	27:19	324:21	360:18,24	314:19
harbour	324:17	325:10	382:8,9	333:14
46:12	hats	328:8	healthy	335:24
hard 190:19	299:24,25	head 72:22	146:12	347:17
213:9	haul 33:2,14	337:13	148:6	385:25
301:24	393:13	362:3	149:3	386:11
321:18	haven't 16:3	heading	184:23	390:9,12
346:3	156:14,15,	78:12	185:5	394:1
353:2	23 160:10	headlamp	189:1	399:1,6
356:3	167:12	320:21	216:16,20	400:1,6,18
358:6	170:3	heads 333:4	217:1	hearing 1:6
361:3	197:24	headsets	278:15,25	57:13
374:25	198:5,22	307:20	279:10,13	76:15
378:1	218:9	health 36:17	280:6	115:8
380:4	256:12	63:11 64:4	315:21	126:19
Hardisty	270:7	113:6	hear 81:2	140:11
1:16	273:17	143:25	127:14	207:4
151:23,24	293:25	146:2	162:20	209:14
213:13,14	354:17	149:4	164:25	251:23
276:22,23		154:15	165:4	262:4
306:3,6			216:18	270:2
harm 127:20			279:11	283:19
			307:8	285:5
			310:11	288:25

294:12,17	321:3	74:25	hiking 35:23	336:21
303:24	Hello 71:18	75:10	38:23	337:4,5,18
304:11	130:23	395:12	hill 356:16	339:8
305:6	192:16	here's 24:3	hills 208:25	Hodson
307:10,14	help 10:14	25:3	360:4,8	230:24
311:13,20	21:22	180:23	hire 347:2	hold 153:11
312:1	25:15	he's 182:16	hired	159:14
320:6	47:19	341:12,14,	386:13,14	301:25
322:13	57:2,7	15,17,20	historic	holders
342:14	67:5 96:1	342:9,10,1	21:15	206:11
343:6	105:21	9,20	26:17	208:5
344:15	154:18	Hi 165:23	236:22	holding
352:18	169:18	389:19	243:11	83:11
398:17	187:9	high 18:10	246:20	352:24
399:3	205:25	31:14	248:1,4,9	353:12
400:21	258:25	34:15 37:4	265:22	holds 157:24
heart 316:22	259:2	72:17,22	270:13	hole 328:24
355:3	264:4	87:10 90:8	historical	holes 351:6
374:15	285:14	148:20	80:19 81:8	home 397:22
388:9	286:5,6	192:18	82:7	homes 73:3
396:21	305:8	235:11	205:11	360:13
397:8,11	307:6	325:21	246:21	hometown
heartfelt	310:10	327:14	254:8	371:12
320:4	322:1	355:14	historically	374:16
Heather 3:14	342:4,21	higher 94:6	138:9	honest 159:8
71:18,19	352:12	97:5 144:5	history	hope 92:11
heavily 41:1	358:25	148:23	99:14	146:6
91:20	359:12	228:19	174:16	157:24
243:10	361:5	230:9	311:15	159:10,15
324:5	367:9	231:21,22	361:2	213:6
330:3	helped 189:3	257:1	367:22	219:2
heavy 367:3	316:1	330:14	399:5	220:13
heck	350:17	high-flow	hits 153:24	295:16
135:8,13	helpful	327:16	Hockley 3:9	307:6
hectares	136:25	highly 74:1	90:24	308:22
162:12	137:5	75:14,17	91:10	314:10
163:3	138:23	95:7,8	93:24	316:8
324:2	141:20	200:7	95:25	335:14,15,
329:7	163:11	322:21	96:20,21	16,19
heels 278:16	276:2	high-risk	98:15,16	363:6
height	284:9,19,2	29:3 70:8	99:17	366:10
377:12	5 285:3	highway 15:7	105:21	377:9
Heisenberg	286:25	18:8,10	107:14	401:16
271:1	293:20	19:14,15	122:1	hopefully
hel 21:6	295:21	83:20	123:3,4,15	217:22
held 1:19	helping	190:3	,16	281:22
67:14	86:11	high-wind	155:12,13	295:15
he'll 320:25	373:5	37:1	156:1	361:21
	helps 172:18	hiker 34:1	158:15	
	220:14			
	Henry 3:7			

387:9,11,1 2	184:21	4 48:12,13	hydraulic	163:23
hopes 318:6	Hull 2:24	201:19	241:17	189:11
hoping 41:13	12:6,8,13,	202:25	Hydro 83:16	194:2
197:6	21 14:8	hundred	hydrograph	195:20
215:3	50:23	13:13	14:15	211:12
220:12	51:5,16	27:18	hydrologic	215:13,14
221:14	52:3,25	31:16 81:7	238:24	216:1
367:22	53:1	83:25	hydrology	221:11
384:24	78:21,22	87:13	82:24	222:7,9,23
402:8	79:10,11,2	103:1,10	hypothetical	224:6
hospital	2,23 80:10	104:18	77:18	236:2
278:13	81:24	105:16		262:17
host 304:10	95:14	118:2		273:25
401:21	99:16	137:13,15		306:4
hosting	100:15	154:24		319:11
306:2	101:1,12,2	157:20	I	337:19
319:16	5 109:25	159:3,16	IBA 179:2	359:25
hour	110:4	162:12	ice 13:17	363:15
34:24,25	130:2	163:4	15:20,21,2	383:20
35:25	134:12	173:7	3	386:23,24
36:13	136:15	177:25	16:3,8,14	398:24
62:22 73:6	142:22,24	179:16	79:24,25	401:7,14
114:19	Hull's 109:4	185:1	80:3,11,18	402:1
189:10	human 113:6	200:6	83:17	idea 11:10
198:11	116:5	205:8	84:1,13	57:20 98:2
349:3	170:16,24	238:3	85:2,19	100:12
393:17,18	181:23	257:7	86:16,18	136:7,9
hourly 33:21	186:24,25	301:3	87:4,7,10	139:14
hours 31:16	208:1	324:3	88:1 89:11	155:15,20,
36:1 151:5	279:10,12	329:7,8,25	103:23	22 162:9
189:11,12	281:5,15,1	338:1	105:2	171:10
house 25:19	7 282:3,19	345:3,4	330:22	198:25
48:14	283:3,11	351:25	331:3	202:10
213:1	286:22	359:5,15	340:4,5	297:22
339:17	290:22	381:5,12,1	364:25	299:7
366:18,20	299:9	6,23	365:5	ideas 141:22
394:5	321:15,24	hundred-year	iceland	313:21
housekeeping	323:6	160:2	101:7	identificati
226:23	324:23	257:8	I'd 9:14	on 13:11
houses 67:15	366:20	hunter 354:5	10:13	42:18
housing	371:11	hunters	12:14	identified
349:16	humans 21:6	365:3	18:25 19:6	13:14
how's 394:8	23:12	hunting	30:3	14:22 17:1
hub 205:15	186:5	205:14	61:24,25	20:6,7
Hubert 2:2	279:13	356:18	72:14 73:9	21:18,20,2
Hudson's	humble 4:2	357:14,15,	76:13,14	1 22:2
	39:23,24	17	86:5 89:9	24:21
	43:1,2	Hurst 3:16	94:2 99:13	27:16,19,2
	44:10,11	hurting	107:14	3 41:3
	45:12,15	188:24	119:22	42:20
	46:6		120:11	46:11
	47:10,23,2		153:17	75:13
			158:12	

111:25	115:14	303:9	86:19	200:1,11
113:18	117:14	305:24	87:15,23	201:5,20
143:4	118:6,12	320:9	88:7,17	202:22
199:10	119:11	332:16	93:6,8,14,	203:4
239:23	120:3,25	336:8,9	19,25	204:16
242:23	121:6,23	352:21	94:22	209:3,4,5
298:17	122:25	375:23	95:12	210:7,12
identifies	123:18	380:2	98:10,25	211:1,8,9,
16:24 34:5	124:15	386:1	101:4	21
38:13 53:1	125:9,23	illness	102:18	218:2,19
identify	126:14,23	364:5	103:15	221:20
13:2 28:15	127:16	illustrate	104:16,22	225:6
33:24 38:3	128:12,20	137:2	106:25	227:17,19
100:16	129:5	illustrates	107:5	236:10
223:22	130:9	168:23	108:8,9	243:20
287:3	131:12	im 19:11	109:14,21	247:3
ignored	132:7,25	239:20	112:16	249:3,6
120:19	135:15	I'm	115:9,21	250:12
349:1	137:8	10:2,4,11,	117:8	252:5
400:2	140:2,4,20	16 11:13	121:15,17	255:11,21
ill 51:21	142:19,22	14:14 18:4	122:13,16,	257:14,20
360:19	143:21	30:6 37:9	18 126:19	258:13
I'll 11:8	146:14	41:13,14,1	128:8	260:4
12:1,3,8	149:18	6 45:16	130:24	262:12,13,
23:21 25:1	150:7	47:13	131:10	24
33:24	152:7	48:22 49:4	135:3	264:6,13,2
42:24 46:5	153:10,11	50:4	137:18	4 266:4
48:6 49:9	154:1	52:1,10	138:25	267:13
50:11,22	155:2,24	55:12	139:17	270:2,24
52:8,11	158:14	56:9,10,13	144:19	274:17,24
53:7,25	175:3,12	57:15,19	145:2	275:4
54:1,5,17	177:4,18	59:17	148:8	277:11
55:2,8	184:3	60:6,17,22	150:9	279:11,17
56:9	193:8	61:2,7,13,	152:1	280:10
57:10,25	194:10	20	153:13	281:8
58:17	201:24	62:17,20	157:9	282:23
65:13,17	218:23	63:1 65:6	158:24	284:20
72:17	224:2	67:3	159:4	286:18
76:25	225:20	68:3,24	166:1,23,2	287:5
79:8,16	241:13	69:2,15,19	5 167:4	288:6,14,2
80:7,20	243:23	,20	168:17	2,23
81:4,23	248:18,19,	71:1,19	170:12	289:18
82:4,17	21	72:21	175:2,18	291:20
84:8 85:25	249:1,2,4	74:9,18	177:3	292:2,17
90:3,6	251:7,16	75:11	182:14	294:18
91:8	252:7	76:21	183:18	298:6
96:10,16	254:25	77:11	184:4	299:7,13
97:10	260:11	78:1,19	185:5	302:1
100:13,21	261:5,9	79:1	189:3,4	303:4,20
107:12	270:3,10	81:2,15	190:7	304:2,15
109:25	272:10	84:4,11,14	191:13	305:23
114:15	273:23	,24 85:10	192:11,17	306:6,8,10
	283:25		193:5,6	,12,13
	289:4		198:7,9	310:23

316:9	238:15	179:2,9	improve	19:21
317:2,21	239:2		13:15,23	35:13,17
319:16,18	263:21	implementati	24:14 51:6	53:16
320:17	285:15,20	on 173:19	68:24	54:12
333:3,4	286:22	399:3	127:4	104:4
337:5,9,13	303:21	implemented	140:19	122:6
,14 341:7	304:16	133:15	145:24	123:5,6
343:15	309:10	205:9	147:7	229:21
344:1,9,18	315:23	234:25	245:5	246:5
347:1	357:13	245:6	247:21	331:2,23
348:6	382:20	246:6	291:23	
352:24	383:11	implements	292:24	included
354:4	387:3	173:16	327:20	17:15
358:8	399:22			31:2,7
359:21	impacted	implies	improved	32:18
361:18	170:10	271:20	13:6 18:19	33:23
368:22	357:24	important	30:13 39:2	78:13
369:1,15	397:25	36:16	169:22	89:10
374:14		78:17 79:5	266:15	172:12
387:7,20	impacts 20:2	89:22	328:11	314:5
388:1,2,4,	29:23	92:13	399:19	includes
25	30:8,18	107:17	improvement	43:10
389:20,21	33:4	115:19	122:20	49:24
390:1	43:5,9	121:12	172:18	277:21
392:1,18	46:21 47:3	158:19	272:20	282:22
394:3,14,1	118:11	160:1	322:3	304:23
9 395:16	119:9	181:3,18	improvements	305:2
397:17,25	122:14	187:13,14	160:20	325:21
402:18	156:19	194:7	318:22	334:4
imagine	173:2,17	199:21		including
127:14	194:9	206:21	improves	37:3 48:2
imi 230:8	196:18	229:25	328:2,3	53:16 59:1
immediate	197:16	232:1	329:1,22	70:10
154:14	213:5	252:6,16,2	330:9	96:13
immediately	218:4	0	332:9	121:11
19:10	236:25	253:7,13,2	improving	127:22
265:10	239:7	0 260:18	237:24	167:6
284:11	240:13,15	262:3	241:17	205:4
332:10	241:6,23	267:24	266:14	208:9
impact 1:3	242:8	297:1	332:6	218:1
18:11,13,2	245:15	312:6,18,2	INAC 353:11	235:23
1 19:11	246:7	1 313:6	362:3	240:2
67:14,22	248:8	332:25	inaccessible	243:25
68:18	264:1	350:6	326:12	248:4
71:16	275:20	353:1	inadequate	305:20
76:12,15	305:11,12	379:2	170:20	311:12
84:18	309:7	396:19	incarcerated	322:11
112:20	314:22	399:4	371:13	355:14
176:21,23	316:24	importantly	inches	363:9
194:19	343:13	324:13	137:23	incorporate
212:10	399:3	impoundment	include	25:11 45:7
218:14	implement	96:5		49:22
	46:1 114:3			50:17

228:5	212:20,21	infinity	254:11	301:17
incorporated	247:3	133:18	257:23,25	initiative
37:24	indicates	inflow	258:1,23	45:1
43:11	131:2	124:22	259:1,18,1	injustice
49:25	224:19	in-flows	9,23	363:4,13
incorporatin	272:22	228:8	260:20,22	input 18:23
g 44:24	indicating	influent	261:16,20	21:9 23:14
increase	234:16	228:15,23	263:3	31:23 56:2
18:15	indication	infor 287:3	264:4	58:25
20:12	46:17	inform 49:3	266:8	59:10
29:22 83:4	indications	228:9	268:18	60:4,12
89:20	171:15	229:25	272:21	110:14
131:25	indicative	241:10	273:20	161:8
237:15	233:4	248:14	280:25	229:8
increased	indigenous	259:2,18	284:10,15	235:22
229:12	361:9,15	264:19	285:7	252:22
incremental	individual	284:8	291:14	259:5
36:20	36:6	296:12	293:22	262:10
indeed 146:5	individually	315:24	294:1,19	296:20
indefinitely	166:25	384:4	298:15	331:19
132:12	indulgence	information	302:21,22	334:17,25
independent	61:23	8:3 16:2	307:11	336:1
87:7	335:15	49:2 64:16	310:14	340:11
208:14	industrial	70:12	351:16	inputs 33:13
321:10	24:10	81:17	374:4,7	333:23
336:2	25:25 26:8	82:25	375:5,8	inquiry 49:8
387:4	41:3,5	85:20	389:9	355:17,19
401:8	42:3,13,19	92:18	informed	insane
Indian 368:6	54:22,25	98:19,20	283:17	381:15
indicate	55:16 57:5	99:2	315:19	informing
51:19	128:6	101:15	298:14	298:14
53:15	129:25	118:18,25	infrastructure	insect
54:11	130:1	150:17	re 26:14	244:20
231:23	171:19	152:20,25	44:1,4	insects
243:9	313:24	165:1	330:1	247:5
251:4	314:2	168:11,13	infrequently	inside 95:22
272:22	industry	194:25	335:5	200:7
278:17	74:3,4	201:1	infringement	insightful
indicated	99:19	207:10	s 206:14	320:4
19:16 37:6	348:25	214:15,17	Ingraham	inspect
45:20,25	400:24	215:4,5,7,8	34:2 35:23	326:10
46:15	infiltrate	216:11,13,14,17,18	38:23	inspected
53:13 54:8	235:13	225:17	inhabit	232:21
55:15 58:2	infiltrating	226:8	247:5	installation
78:14	234:14	229:25	initial	95:15
79:17	infiltration	233:13,14	230:8	150:4
80:15	22:13,16	235:18,20	initially	172:22
129:8		240:10,12	22:23	instance
145:15		245:14		127:5

206:15	94:3	382:5,12	inundation	389:1
instances	interest	383:5	89:23	involvement
34:19	159:5	392:13	invertebrate	319:6
instead	205:20	395:19	229:23	involves
141:10	331:19	396:9	247:5,6	111:25
206:2	363:7	398:20	invertebrate	241:16
208:18	interested	INTERPRETED	s 127:23	308:21
250:15	26:16	213:22	240:2	involving
292:11	138:15	312:3	247:19	137:21
330:20	160:16	315:6	invest 46:18	322:2
338:21	161:4	369:6	223:23	388:24
instruct	192:22	378:25	investigatio	ion 228:6
209:18	235:23	interpreter	n 116:17	ions
instruments	314:9	344:13	271:23	228:15,23
264:19	322:2	379:4	investigatio	IPCC 82:12
insufficient	interesting	interpreters	ns 118:19	IR-12 13:1
224:20	116:8	307:24	120:12	IR-17 99:2
225:9	273:11	interruption	321:21	ironclad
intake	297:25	274:23	investing	165:6,9
212:22	interests	interruption	275:7	ironic 46:23
225:13	285:9	s 10:21	invitation	IRs 40:4
integrate	Intergovernm	interview	60:15	94:2 223:7
48:19	ental 82:9	171:14	invite 72:15	Isadore
intend	interim	intimidating	inviting	165:19
59:8,14	326:7	388:8	306:4	177:6,15
105:4	intermediate	intro 49:25	317:14	191:21
169:1	25:18 27:8	introduce	involve	370:10
313:23	131:17	12:1,8	60:12	Isidore
intended	145:10	72:16,18	involved	213:25
130:4	internal	73:8	146:3	island
248:11	71:9,13	192:14	209:11,25	187:19
intends	internally	305:22,24	218:10	391:19
60:14	71:12	343:9,16	253:12	392:24
intent 18:2	international	344:1	277:9,11,1	398:5
23:22 42:7	1 321:8	354:3	6 283:7	isn't 104:12
110:6	322:20	introduced	296:10,24	157:11
111:12	international	12:2	337:15	219:11
131:16	lly 322:17	introducing	349:5,9	262:25
197:11	INTERPRETATI	336:23	354:8,11,1	269:1
intention	ON 177:13	introduction	7,24 355:1	275:17
49:21	183:24	5:23 56:15	362:2	374:4
50:15 58:4	184:1	170:17	367:7,10,1	isolate 21:5
109:24	191:16	306:19	4 369:15	23:11
110:1	215:11	332:18	382:10,25	130:7
160:16	217:6,13,1	337:1	383:2,19	issuance
220:10	9 313:17	introduction	384:12	262:17
340:12	316:4	s 337:13	385:4,13	
interactions	375:14		388:17	

issue 44:17	Italy 99:15	116:8,12	215:21	350:4
131:24	342:24	117:20	218:6	351:3,12
135:11	It'd 92:23	119:18	219:6,25	352:6,25
149:4	item 226:23	120:6,18	224:4	353:2,13,2
168:16	379:13	123:6	226:22	2,25
171:1	items 70:9	125:11	235:3	354:1,17,2
172:23	402:9	127:5,20,2	241:20	2 355:18
175:14	it'll 26:5	1	250:4,16	356:3,6,10
176:12	190:25	130:18,23	252:16,19	,12
199:21	193:15	131:19	253:5,20	357:2,3,6,
204:23	347:22	133:11,25	255:11,21	14,21
211:18	383:9	137:15	256:15,18	358:11
212:10	it's	139:1,2,6	258:22	364:12,21
218:7	16:18,19	140:18	259:13,14	365:8
234:18	21:1 31:19	141:10,12,	260:18,19	366:22
249:20	44:23	23,24	261:21	367:13
252:6,16,2	46:11	149:2,19	262:1,2	369:12,13
0 253:7,14	50:23	150:3,14	263:10,13	370:17
280:8	51:11	152:16,17	265:16	371:10,19,
282:8,15	53:11	153:19,20	266:14	21 373:13
285:18	54:22,23	155:14	267:7	374:6,8,17
287:4	57:2	156:10,15,	272:6,19	,25 375:24
316:14,21	62:20,21	16,19	277:16,25	379:11
326:8	64:25	158:24	278:10,11,	380:4,5,8,
380:3,5	66:21 69:2	159:8,13	25 279:13	12 381:8
382:8	71:9,18	160:10	282:1,17,2	383:21
384:7,19	72:22	165:2,25	1 283:8	385:17
issued 246:4	73:23 74:1	169:4	285:3	388:3,8,17
290:24	76:8,12,14	172:8	286:23	391:2,3,10
issues 18:22	,19,25	174:8	288:3,9,16	394:11,14
40:9 59:15	77:3,17	177:22	,19	395:1
82:24	78:17	178:1	289:10,24	397:21
116:3	79:12	179:5,15	290:6,16	398:8
139:6	83:24	181:3,20	291:6,10,2	400:3
154:14	84:22 86:4	182:2,8	3	401:9
163:21	87:4	183:2,8	292:7,9,14	I've 38:14
165:3	90:8,17	185:7,14	,24 296:4	39:2
166:20	91:17,18	186:12,15	297:1	44:21,22
167:1	92:12	187:15	301:24	78:11
175:5,19	93:4,9	193:14	302:14,19	82:11
176:8	95:4,9	194:13	305:14	84:18
194:5,23	96:5,21	195:6,25	308:19	85:16
200:19,20	97:5	196:1,5,10	312:18,21	86:11
209:17,21	99:2,25	,15,19	315:14,17	100:9
219:6,7	103:2,3,22	197:7,25	316:6,19	115:21
267:1	104:1,5,19	198:9,14	327:8	116:4
296:20	105:22	199:23	332:25	119:21
299:3	107:25	200:2,6,16	337:23	121:16
324:21	108:24	201:5,13	341:11	123:24
325:16	110:14	202:3	342:7,9	129:21
379:12,25	115:6	203:20	346:3,24	137:14
383:25		204:5	347:6,18	145:14
		206:4	348:18	146:6
		208:20	349:3	

205:19	45:12,15	95:14	395:6,7,11	14,15
225:15	46:6	96:24		63:23
255:14	47:10,23,2	99:16	judge 107:8	64:20,21,2
273:9	4 48:12	100:15	jump 98:21	2 65:19
317:19	Jenny 192:24	101:1,12,2	99:7	66:21 67:1
319:21		5 108:25	263:18	69:1,2,7,1
320:1	jeopardy	110:4,22	jumps 156:25	2 73:22,23
328:5	350:6	111:13,19,	June 195:24	76:7,17,18
337:6,15,1	Jesus	20 114:14		77:23
6 343:23	186:18,19,	130:2	jurisdiction	193:13,14,
344:14	20,21	134:12	50:16	25 194:1
354:7,9	187:3,5,11	136:15	204:4	204:15
361:23,24		142:22,24	Justice 3:14	217:24
367:14	Jim 341:16	150:8	71:19	220:17
369:3	Jimmy 375:7	152:2,3		250:3,4
380:1,13	Joan 3:24	153:8,16	justified	key 17:3
385:24	Joanna 2:16	154:10,12	383:14	19:9,17
392:17	70:3,24	155:19	juvenile	22:13
399:6	71:5,11,12	158:6	238:11	52:5,7
	317:11,13,	159:17,19		65:24
<hr/> J <hr/>	18	160:24,25	<hr/> K <hr/>	87:13
Jackfish	318:10,15	161:15	Kat 118:8	113:9
31:7 32:20	319:14	211:9,11,2	119:4,20	225:8
33:16	job 107:23	5 213:7,9	266:19	325:17
231:18	159:13	221:11,22		327:6
jail 107:10	189:9,14	222:6,13,2	Katherine	331:12
James 1:15	190:5	2,23	2:11,19	334:19
144:19,20	250:5	223:2,6	115:15,16	386:19,25
147:4,21	286:7	224:1	118:8	388:18,24
149:10	309:15	226:21,22	119:4,20	kick 288:2
150:22	322:23	262:16	120:21	kid 189:18
151:8,19	330:12	265:2	121:3,13	kidding
213:16,19,	342:16	274:25	122:9	61:14
24	362:23	275:3	123:19	137:19
215:13,23	363:17,18	276:6,8,17	127:12	kids 172:1
230:24	368:25	,19	128:22	180:11
276:25	377:10	284:21,23,	129:20,21	182:9,11
277:2	393:14	24	130:13	190:10
281:9,10	jobs 331:10	288:9,10	255:10	191:1
282:12,13	John 1:13	305:25	258:4	396:23
288:22	2:10,24	306:1	260:3,4	397:12,18
294:18	12:6,8,13,	388:5,6	261:7	kill 347:23
306:7	21 14:8	389:4	266:19	371:21
Jane 382:21	23:19	joint	270:19,20	372:19
January	34:14	87:9,11	273:2	killed
202:19	37:18 51:5	jointly	342:25	349:22
354:8,18	52:3,25	304:19	Kefalas 4:3	killing
Jeff 4:2	53:1 78:21	Jonas 4:10	249:18	346:21,22
39:23,24	79:10,11,2	341:13	Kennard 3:2	kilograms
43:1	2,23 80:10	Jones 3:21	Kevin 3:23	118:1
44:10,11	81:24	208:19	61:22	
		211:13	62:3,9,12,	

337:24		24 358:13	340:17	366:25
338:1,3,15	<u>L</u>	360:1,3,11	344:23	language
,16	la 246:25	363:24	349:19,22,	139:23
kilometres	labour	365:1,7,20	25	172:14
35:25 87:5	189:10	368:13	351:2,23	306:16
145:19	lack 165:12	372:8	365:14	307:23
242:15	175:8	398:6	367:5	311:8
kinds 128:2	lacking	lakes 138:10	369:12,13	346:7
134:5	271:19	360:4	370:1	350:24
180:23,24	ladies 271:3	land 23:1,24	371:12	355:6,7
181:1,7	289:11,14	29:1	372:10,24	376:5
351:3	303:16	40:1,5,9	373:4	lar 142:25
kings	356:17	43:3,7,16	375:9	large 40:20
186:19,21	lady 181:11	44:14,18,2	376:21	80:3
187:2	187:24	0,22	377:17	113:17,19
knees 357:1	188:3	45:5,6,21	379:9,15,1	131:19
knew 157:19	lady's	46:7	6,18,23	142:25
350:11	188:4,6	48:15,19	380:9	161:5
377:16	Lafferty	49:1 54:25	382:17,18	170:23
knife 184:10	341:18	58:12	384:1,19	322:20
knowledge	laid 189:13	66:8,10	386:2	327:21
16:2	222:18	67:23	394:11,22	329:5,6
113:4,16	316:19	131:7	396:21,22	384:9
134:7	lake 15:6	138:14	397:2,4,20	398:17
183:22	34:11	164:7,14	398:10	largest
186:16	35:2,12	170:24	399:11	324:4
187:11	84:23	171:13,25	401:1,4,5	larval
191:14	116:22	177:19	landfill	238:11
211:13	142:18	179:3	25:19	last 9:23,24
215:9	143:11,13	181:6,9,21	27:2,5	49:9 67:18
216:13	144:6	182:8	28:10,12,2	70:23,24
217:4	145:10	184:4,7,13	2 34:11	73:13
262:6	146:23	,15,22	57:12	84:21 85:5
271:19	147:9,10,1	185:22	58:5,12	111:20
306:23	9,24	186:23	96:4	144:12
318:5	148:1,5,18	187:8	131:6,18	158:2
349:8	,24 205:20	205:13	132:14,20	159:9
360:6	212:14	207:21	133:10,17	161:18
364:23	231:16,25	208:10	174:22	165:7
367:8	242:16	214:4,5,7,	330:7	171:14
379:6	243:5	11,18,19,2	landfilled	177:20
known 81:1	244:9	0,25	27:4	197:9
113:19	246:25	262:20	lands	203:20
116:23	278:6,19,2	265:19	205:21,25	261:21
179:1	3 279:1	308:10,17	206:2	262:24
232:14	302:6,10	311:17	308:13	287:20
244:7	312:20	313:4,15	348:13	294:14
361:1	313:2	315:12,15,	349:14,18	305:7
Kuyek 3:24	326:7	16 318:4	350:24	310:5
	338:22,23,	326:4	354:2	311:18,19
		329:3,24	357:6,15	318:23
		332:1	359:10	
		334:6		

321:22	270:14	led 228:13	147:5	265:11
344:14	lead 28:4,16	232:4	148:22	299:3
352:17	48:18	legacy 73:4	221:3	325:21
361:24	227:22	164:11	222:12	349:24
367:13	231:24	308:16	288:13	liabilities
378:4,7	304:21	400:14,15	letter	46:21
384:22	319:19	legal 206:16	382:8,10	liability
Lastly	360:18	255:5	383:8	381:10
324:13	leader	262:13	letters	385:11
334:23	185:23,25	272:4	353:15,16,	licence
lasts 84:20	186:2,3	273:6	17,19	229:6
late 191:1	leaders	274:9	389:7	262:20,22
255:11	187:12,14	284:21	level 23:3	297:9
354:1,23	leading 41:6	legend 352:4	35:5 40:8	licensing
356:9	187:15	legends	41:19	174:25
358:19	leads 363:21	184:17	45:20	lichens
367:3	leaked	352:5	84:23 87:3	127:23
later 122:13	225:11	legislation	88:13 94:6	lieu 144:16
127:15	learn 318:4	48:9 301:3	103:12	life 78:25
138:21	learned	362:5,8	124:19	79:4
153:10	335:23	legislative	125:6	84:7,16
194:11	least 20:7	297:6	126:9	124:6,11,1
221:18	57:22	301:7	143:7,10	4
226:5	68:23	legislators	148:20	132:18,19
234:5	70:23 85:8	300:25	157:4	150:3
264:4	121:19	legitimate	160:17	184:6
285:3	124:20	59:9 202:2	169:11	186:10
295:11	145:15	length 84:7	198:3	243:2,24
315:23	172:24	112:3	223:14	257:8
latest 92:2	199:24	242:14	259:15	265:13
391:16	200:23	Leon 341:18	262:7	269:6
launch 34:10	201:3	less 23:5	267:12,16,	358:8
law 206:12	231:7	26:9	20 298:25	365:24
272:4,6	251:12	33:7,8	326:8	366:13
lay 297:11	267:18	35:20 60:9	359:23	399:12
layer	286:5	83:21	389:1	400:14
78:16,18	287:3,13	87:11 95:4	levels 26:7	lifespan
234:5,11	leave 57:5	104:1	33:5	79:7
235:7,8	58:18 71:1	105:9	37:6,14	lifestyle
247:18	155:22	133:14	38:3 112:5	179:21
layers	183:3	135:18	129:25	216:16,21
258:13	201:24	186:7,8	144:4	light 207:4
329:17	210:8,10	331:4,5	145:12,13	lights
layout	230:22	lets 98:1	148:23	340:25
326:20	289:4	let's 86:12	149:5	likelihood
leachable	328:24	91:25	228:17	87:20
28:4,16	362:22	103:3	231:10	91:5,6
leaching	leaves 131:7	137:22	238:7,13	likely 92:19
	174:10		247:17	
			256:24	
			257:3,5,6	
			260:1	

93:10	304:17	302:14	312:7	229:20
94:17	306:5	316:18	living	240:24
133:16	310:11,16,	339:3,5	171:23	339:24
145:15	17 313:13	346:18	172:1	340:1,2,14
251:22	316:9	351:5	180:24	locations
252:1	318:5	354:1,14,2	181:2	19:8 33:24
limbo 46:22	333:1,4	3 356:8	182:12	34:6,22
limit 62:21	374:4	358:19	191:9	35:7 36:4
64:1 207:7	397:10,12	359:25	217:1	38:13
limited 79:4	399:14	373:5	240:3	112:12
195:12	listened	385:8	345:20	238:7
307:7	396:13,18	391:8	351:11	long 19:13
limits 208:7	listening	395:13	355:21	30:11 42:1
323:25	73:11	398:5	393:1	47:12
327:4	361:23	402:8,9	397:24	78:25 87:5
line 15:5	380:14	live 73:4	Liza 188:7	90:21
84:9 86:12	392:17	164:10	lo 19:19	91:17 99:6
153:22	lists	174:13	load	101:8
156:3,4	185:20,21	178:12	117:2,21	106:13
174:4	literature	184:22,25	120:17	117:4
195:24	36:12	186:4,12	loading 33:3	132:22
198:10	127:17	187:8	116:20	134:7
211:20	251:15	214:18	118:1	150:7
253:25	litre	216:15,20	loadings	157:18
liner 247:9	124:20,24	291:7,13	117:16	158:2
lines 26:22	145:14	302:1	118:9,10	159:9
49:7	little 10:15	306:13	120:1	179:17
158:11	23:20 24:3	313:14	loads 117:24	182:12
Lisa 2:23	25:4 73:15	348:15	135:10	183:5
4:13	85:17	349:12,13	137:4,5	185:8
Liske 344:2	91:12	351:11,25	200:3,6	189:6
369:4	104:16	358:2	local 23:15	191:10,20
378:21	106:8	359:18,19	118:24	202:3
379:1	116:3	366:15	134:21	205:8
382:7,14	117:24	374:17	201:24	216:15
383:7	122:13	379:5	located	217:16
387:16,20	127:16	380:9	28:10	219:12
388:11,16	134:22	399:17	34:11	255:23
389:6,7	141:4,18	400:20	62:24	260:25
list 5:3,4	180:7	lived	132:21	287:23
7:1 8:1	191:2	184:6,7,13	323:25	298:18
343:22,23	194:11,21	344:20,22	329:6	300:7
350:25	195:14	381:23	location	301:9
listed 51:18	196:24	393:7	34:9,13	313:15
52:17	198:9	livelihood	35:1 37:19	314:19
170:7	200:13	365:23	44:4 54:22	315:14
233:20	209:4	401:3	58:7	317:16
listen 9:24	215:17	lives 182:10	154:23	318:23
	219:3	350:16	223:23	325:1
	263:11	355:8		326:14
	287:5	367:23		331:21
		livestock		338:19
				339:15

340:16	350:2	373:6,16	,19	378:15
344:13,20	losses 245:5	385:19	102:8,17	392:5,7
351:13		391:4	342:19	395:24
353:10	lost 73:15	398:3	lunch 11:4	401:18
356:6	104:17	399:1	73:16,18	402:13,16
376:10	350:4	400:7	114:18,19	403:2
381:18	356:23	lots 185:6	115:10	main 19:4
393:23	366:13	387:8	lurks 206:5	25:3 30:17
396:13	373:15	love 190:9	Lusk 341:14	172:23
400:10	lot 25:8,16	191:2		323:16,24
longer	27:24 29:7	396:19		326:4
84:20,21	32:21 53:2	397:2,6,7	<hr/> M <hr/>	331:16
176:25	63:3 78:13	low 10:20	ma 55:20	364:1
358:1	87:11	13:21,22	machine	384:7,17
longnose	115:17,24	14:20 26:7	182:3	385:1
243:22	117:18	34:18,23	339:1	mainly 32:25
long-	133:4,14	36:11,21	machinery	159:20
standing	146:23	90:8	87:6	354:16
318:16	167:5	91:5,6	mack 244:21	maintain
long-term	178:5,8	lower 23:2	Mackenzie	11:6 13:21
29:24	183:21,22	32:15 87:2	1:2,10	22:7 114:6
79:13	184:16	102:25	66:8	327:9
116:20	189:6,16	173:9	67:21,22	333:17
134:18	190:6,8,21	238:5	71:15	maintained
154:16	191:11	242:16	111:22	143:8
196:12	200:2	243:4	197:1	237:17
245:2,7	203:1	lowering	303:21	maintenance
297:23,24	217:22	238:7	304:15	42:1 114:6
298:3	254:8,10	lowest 172:7	306:22	322:24
300:6	256:13	low-flow	308:17	352:14
315:1,2,22	258:13	15:8 16:19	342:17	major 12:25
321:24	315:20	Lowman 4:13	383:10	28:1 43:5
323:12,19,	316:10	low-	402:13	45:1
22 326:25	319:6	solubility	magnitude	228:6,14,2
327:10	333:14	329:11	257:1	3 360:4
329:22	335:7	Lu 91:3	mahsi 9:21	majority
332:11	336:3	luckily	73:12	359:17
333:19,22	344:23	373:4	142:8	makers
383:16,23	345:1	Lukas 2:12	151:24	271:13
384:13	346:16	86:10	163:12	Makin 2:9
387:21	347:12	87:17 88:9	213:14,20	man 183:21
388:2	349:8	90:4	217:8	184:11
loose	351:13	91:2,3	304:8,9	186:10
29:6,19	352:11,14	93:7 94:23	306:3,15	187:17
Lorraine	353:6	96:8,11	311:7	191:22
403:14	355:9	97:11,16	316:13,14,	manage 15:14
loss 153:21	363:21,24	100:8,22	15 344:7	24:24
223:24	366:8,24	101:4,5,18	352:18,21,	26:25 28:3
287:5	368:23		23,25	87:3,14
349:25	370:22		368:25	
	371:6			
	372:1,2,9,			
	10,19			

121:22	320:18,22	184:10	170:24	359:19,23
246:6	322:8	material	maximum	364:5
273:1	managing	15:17	14:25 31:4	365:5
280:3	70:6	21:20	64:17	367:2
334:1,12,1	157:17	22:2,6	83:2,4,6,9	368:19
4 359:3	174:17	24:10	,11,13,21	376:12
managed	241:18	25:9,10,15	84:2 85:3	381:18
53:19	268:4	,17,18	95:5	385:19
54:15	mandate	26:1,2,5,6	103:25	maybe 9:16
318:22	237:3	27:3,24	104:2	10:14
323:7	275:19	28:1 55:19	107:18	45:17 57:7
326:8	286:23	66:11	141:9	72:15,18
327:3	287:7,10	69:21	233:1	76:25
332:15	296:4	95:8,21	may 18:20	80:22
359:3,7	302:8	113:23	24:18	81:12
management	387:23	117:1	42:18 44:1	95:10
10:7 11:13	mandates	131:21,24	49:13 62:1	122:16
12:15 13:1	94:5	132:2,3	63:24	134:5
29:24	manger	134:13,23	67:15 69:4	138:2,20,2
37:25 38:4	186:19	196:8	78:14	1 140:15
49:24	manner	247:20	104:9,10	153:11
63:15,16	75:18,21	285:22	110:4	154:18
64:11	76:4 167:3	286:2	116:8	157:8
70:10	172:16	materials	122:11	159:15
108:10	map 24:19	26:20,22	129:3	162:4
111:22	243:2	28:16	133:25	178:25
122:14	Margaret	32:13 33:3	136:15	179:1
157:2	4:14	42:15	148:1	182:19
195:18	marina	55:18,21	153:16	187:25
197:1,24	46:12,19	58:9,10,14	159:3,15	188:11
228:10	47:4 172:2	111:1,12,1	160:1	189:11
237:5,12	mark 2:21	5 208:25	162:21	190:15,25
245:25	3:6 159:24	309:10	172:15	192:12
248:7	218:15,19,	matrix	173:9	217:24
272:9,25	20	108:15	174:25	218:18
304:25	Martin 15:6	matter 60:22	196:14	219:10
305:1,2	145:10	164:25	197:3	223:3
306:22	148:18	169:24	198:16,20	226:9
308:18	242:16	171:3	201:21	249:1
321:3	243:4	196:21	203:24	254:18
323:11,22	398:6	263:15	225:23	262:14
329:13	Mary 186:18	271:19	229:14	268:18
331:22	344:3,7,8	272:18	231:24	289:10
332:11,18	352:19	356:24	237:18	295:3
333:6,7,19	Masi 387:14	matters	238:5,12	298:4
334:2,5,9,	match 108:21	201:14	240:11	299:9
10,11	matches	321:2	256:10	302:18
335:9		max 162:15	266:15	308:1
managements		163:6	282:25	311:1
290:5		maximize	298:3	317:4
manager			299:2	336:14,21,
236:11			332:12	25 337:1
			356:8	340:24

341:1	380:25	5,16 37:2	241:22	295:13
343:7	395:9	49:11	310:19	296:18
346:6	meaningful	59:8,14	320:2	298:23
348:10	66:14	64:12 77:6	327:12,16	306:8,10,1
351:4,24	319:5	89:10,21,2	330:13	3,23
352:3	meaningfully	2 157:22	meeting 9:23	315:25
377:18,22,	399:24	164:24	124:5	388:5
24 388:23	means 100:23	165:6,10	177:21	members
389:7	141:7	166:15	179:12	38:22
391:5	149:6	172:15	183:13	167:1
394:14	160:18	194:17	201:21,22	171:22
Mayorta	173:10	197:3,11	205:15	175:13
192:25	176:25	204:8	301:20	176:17
McIsaac	199:19	208:16	303:5,7,9,	211:9
192:25	206:11	219:20	22 304:2	213:3,6
McPherson	255:18	220:5	305:6	236:10
4:20	256:15	230:5	309:24	252:15
236:12	293:12	241:4,9	317:22	274:17
252:9	315:2	242:22	319:16	304:12
254:7	319:6	246:2,3,5,	330:12	305:22
257:19	345:12	8 248:7,13	376:8,9	306:25
258:11,12	353:2,9	314:15	379:1	310:8
260:15	364:17	399:18	384:22	315:19
261:10	366:8	measuring	385:2	316:7,9,13
266:22	367:18,21	37:14	392:2,17	334:25
268:16	meant	228:22	396:13	354:10
280:16,17	197:6,8	meat 184:20	401:20	384:4,9
281:22	meantime	185:2	402:6,17	386:20
289:19,20	226:11	186:5	meetings	388:17,19,
290:1,20	Meanwhile	378:7	67:16	20 389:5
291:12,17	400:13	mechanical	178:5	397:24
296:9	measure	93:12	megawatt	398:24
297:15	33:5,9	mechanism	31:18	399:2
meal 317:15	50:9	42:22 50:9	megawatts	401:23
mean 67:10	170:11	92:3	31:8,9,11,	402:2,3
68:3 88:13	175:16	298:18	14,18	membership
93:11	198:2,5	mechanisms	35:4,5	176:10
128:1	245:7	49:12	149:12,25	membrane
135:11	296:17	335:24	150:15	134:6
141:20	measured	medicine	melt 376:18	memory
252:13	228:15	350:2	member	344:14
255:14,16	measurement	356:21	1:12,13,14	men 356:18
256:14,17	229:21	399:8	,15,16,17	379:8
267:14	measurements	medium-sized	7:5 135:4	mention
277:5	117:22	170:18	152:2	12:3,5
279:23	measures	328:6	165:24	95:5
287:18	13:3,24	medivac	173:6	138:22
288:4,19	30:20	393:3	175:6	317:3
291:5	35:13,14,1	meet 52:16	215:24	385:8
301:24		201:19	217:9	mentioned
349:25			227:10	22:22
378:10,11				

25:16,22	MERX 202:14	207:17,21,	11:25	90:19
26:4 27:15	Meryl 391:8	23 208:5	47:15	147:1
28:18		209:8	54:19 63:9	migration
30:15	mess 315:1	210:15	152:14,21	90:10,15
32:9,19	366:6	213:18	153:12	101:6,8
33:1,16	message	215:16	154:6	148:16
35:21	87:13	220:21	155:7	247:12
37:16	113:9	250:8	160:9	350:3,12
38:14 39:2	352:3,10	334:24	161:11	363:22
59:12	358:18	344:21	222:15	migratory
70:5,20	387:9,11	373:21	224:3,11	232:11
87:19		374:10	320:15	233:3,4,19
94:10	messy 376:22	375:4	Michele	,21 238:6
113:21	met 9:23	386:24	9:15,22	Mike 12:4
116:16	164:3	395:6	165:19	47:16
117:25	298:17,18	metre 15:21	184:3,4	54:20
119:21	305:7	16:11	191:22	63:7,10
120:14		100:19,22,	213:24	152:18
124:22	metal 37:14	23 340:19	353:18	154:6
126:3	229:1	metres 13:17	381:22	155:8
133:11	metals	14:18,23,2	micrograms	160:10
168:20	180:25	5	124:20,24	161:12
187:24	235:10,11	15:9,16,22	145:14	222:16
199:24	meteorologic	21:19	256:1	224:4
222:9	al 97:24	24:23 25:7	257:4	320:10,17
224:18	method	26:9 79:24	micron 33:8	miles 190:17
266:11	154:25	88:1 94:12	microphones	377:12
280:17	157:19	95:6	294:15	391:6
310:5,13	158:22,23	96:14,22	middle	milestones
316:17	172:21	105:1	14:9,10	335:8
317:18	309:21	107:21	15:10,13	mill 25:4
387:17,18	314:4,13	111:2	58:5 95:17	Miller 193:1
399:13	399:4,16	131:2,4,5,	327:2	million
Menzies 2:8		6,7,8	331:20	21:19 45:1
Mercredi	methods	133:8	356:15	131:11
1:14 2:3	159:21	135:7,18	mid-June	162:10
142:11,12	160:18	137:23	146:20	163:2
143:16,17	172:25	163:5,6	mid-May	173:5
144:10,11	Metis 3:18	199:25	146:19	188:20
175:6	10:9 11:4	206:6,8	migrate	222:19
215:24,25	58:19,20,2	251:12	142:18	223:10,12
289:8,9,22	3	324:8	147:17	256:2
,23 290:13	59:3,9,21	339:15,16,	148:17	324:9
291:15,18	60:6,8,15,	17	169:8	377:23
292:17	22 61:2	mi 13:10	242:24	381:10
294:6,7	161:24	163:1	243:21	385:9,10
306:9,10	193:7	mic 71:3	migrates	millions
mercury 28:4	204:17,22,	81:13	149:1	304:25
merely 87:18	25	90:24	migrating	371:16
313:23	205:3,8,13	114:15		
merge 323:22	,17,18,22	253:3		
	206:10,13,	Michael 2:17		
	17,18,25			

millpond	142:17	308:11,16	393:15	200:21
14:12	143:8,11	309:7,10	394:9	minor 17:18
min 381:24	145:1,9	311:14	395:15,25	19:3 20:8
mind 59:7	148:14	312:9,10	398:1	113:23
142:4	156:9,10,2	313:11	399:12	134:22
154:13,18	3,25	314:23	400:4,14	380:19
160:1	157:3,8	315:20	mined 324:18	minors
183:15	164:11	317:20	325:20,25	394:24
192:1	165:15	318:17,19	328:6	minus 256:3
205:24	169:3	319:4,10,1	mineral 28:5	minute 192:5
206:8	174:6	9,23 320:8	mines	375:17
217:2,25	177:21,22,	321:13,19	178:6,7,8	minutes
220:2	23	322:12,17,	183:7	10:10
292:4	178:7,16,2	22	320:23	11:3,9
308:1	0	323:2,25	358:12	41:12 60:9
336:15	179:13,23,	324:8	366:4	72:6 115:7
346:6	25	325:9,10,1	373:3	138:17
351:21	180:1,3,13	5,21	minimal	161:23
mine 1:5	,14	326:5,9	38:20,22	163:14
12:25 16:1	181:12,13	328:10	minimize	177:9
17:12	186:11	329:14	13:18	193:12
18:17,18,2	187:16	330:18	22:12	204:18
0 22:7	188:18	331:21	28:25	220:25
23:22,23	189:13,15,	332:5	239:7	221:1,15
24:2,4,16	17,23,24	337:7,9,21	246:6	224:13
25:4	190:10,18,	338:13	247:12	226:13
26:14,24	20 192:3	342:19	325:11	311:25
27:7,18	198:10	346:13	minimizes	380:1
38:16	205:7	349:2	332:10	MIRKS 203:22
39:14 40:2	208:15,21	353:9	minimum	miscarriages
43:7 46:9	214:5,6,10	355:8	96:12	364:4
54:23 58:4	,11,13,19,	356:7	168:4	miss 391:23
59:5,13,23	22,24,25	357:24	mining 16:2	missed
62:23 64:2	228:8,25	365:11	24:18	156:3,6
65:9 73:5	229:4	366:12	84:22	310:23
74:2	231:7,17	371:15,17	99:18	mistake
76:8,10	232:9	372:22	229:1	159:12
77:14 87:2	234:2	373:4,6	357:12	misunderstan
88:24	236:21	376:9,11,2	400:24	d 82:15
89:4,14,17	237:13,17,	0,21,24,25	minister	misunderstan
,23	21,22	377:1,4,10	65:1 70:10	ding
91:24,25	238:4,17,2	,11,12,17	305:18	156:22
92:4 93:1	2 239:1,19	378:5,15	319:17	mit 165:13
98:23 99:6	243:17,19	379:11	381:25	Mitchell
107:25	246:21	380:3	387:12	113:10
111:14	252:12	381:6	ministers	mitigate
118:23	254:19,21	382:17,23	167:7	29:10
119:10	265:23	383:24	305:20	
123:12	267:4	384:1	Minister's	
128:18	273:15	386:6		
129:11	278:6	387:24		
130:12	280:20	389:12,23		
	303:23	390:9,18		
	304:18	392:17,19		

35:11	mode 308:2	Monday	243:5	260:15
89:11	model	163:25	245:2	261:10
105:4	33:21,22	170:13	246:2,3,9	266:17,22
242:7	128:23	171:15	254:20	268:16
248:8	129:2	309:25	272:24,25	280:16
mitigated	modelled	money 188:22	296:2,11,1	281:22
164:13	37:7	275:7	5,17,19,21	289:19,20
mitigating	modelling	345:6,9	,22,23	290:1,20
268:4	30:23	348:22	297:1	291:12,17
mitigation	82:10	350:16,22	298:11,12,	296:9
13:3	231:23	352:11,13	16 312:24	297:15
35:13,14,1	265:9	354:23	330:22	morning
5,16 37:2	modelling's	367:10,12,	331:1,25	9:3,4,8,10
231:11	63:25	15	334:11	,21
241:4,9	models 119:5	370:17,19,	335:1	10:18,22
246:2,3,5,	400:5	20 373:5	383:25	12:13
8 248:13	modern	moni 37:21	mons 391:9	58:25
399:17	400:12	monitor	monster	72:12,14,2
mitigations	modification	129:11	345:14,24	3 103:21
173:16	s 17:18	248:10	347:24,25	105:1
175:23	modified	312:11	348:3	109:5
mitigative	14:3 37:22	313:4	349:21	113:22
30:20	modify 197:5	327:9	351:2	138:25
mix 339:4,9	moisture	333:22	352:9	161:20
mixed 42:12	90:10,15	334:14	391:9,10	200:15
43:17	101:6,7	381:5	month 17:20	284:13
271:2	195:7	monitored	148:23	358:12
339:13	256:5	228:8	348:19	402:25
mixed-use	mom 398:2	230:7,8	394:6,8	mortality
40:14	moment 17:16	242:20	monthly	229:16
mixes 338:8	69:3 71:17	245:7	229:13	mostly 213:1
339:10	90:23	246:8	months 92:24	mother's
mixing 124:4	138:1,16	318:22	158:25	369:17
148:5	151:25	monitoring	237:16	motions
239:16	280:11	34:14	285:25	92:12
240:7,8	285:18	35:12	335:18	motives
330:19	308:5	37:10,13,1	354:21	203:5
339:1,12,1	336:19	7,22	384:4,25	mountains
4,20	Momentarily	38:2,7,13	moon 367:18	206:7
340:19	120:5	59:25	mooring	mouth 242:17
mixture	121:25	128:10,17	46:11	338:7
40:11	149:18	129:2,10,1	moose 357:17	360:9
MMER 228:25	152:9	4,18	371:21	373:10
229:4	moments 30:7	199:8,13	Morag 4:20	move 10:12
mobilization	mommy 398:2	208:14	236:12	17:1,4
239:25	mon 335:18	229:6,9	252:9	25:11
247:15		231:4,15	253:6	34:16
		232:2	254:7	36:1,6
		234:11	257:19	37:9 45:7
		241:3,4	258:11,12	49:5 56:10
		242:18		

58:6 64:22	215:17	103:19	233:3	172:11
68:25	MVEIRB 2:2	104:24	naturally	384:7,17
76:7,14,21	MVRMA 112:14	106:15	325:21	Negus
78:1 79:16	262:19	140:5	nature 40:17	189:21,23,
112:14,17	Myles	141:2	navigate	24
132:2	72:19,21	Nation 49:5	169:17	neither
146:19	myself 67:16	164:12	N'Dilo 34:9	211:3
147:19	139:14	165:13	55:10	nephew 375:7
154:9	231:2	206:19,23	212:25	nesting
155:15,21,	292:1	210:14	302:2	232:14,15,
23 170:12	293:2	212:6	316:23	17,21
197:14	301:25	213:6	337:8	233:5,10
199:20	354:3	249:23	346:13	nests
241:13	380:12	251:20	355:13	232:11,16,
365:12		302:5	357:25	22,25
moved 189:21		304:13	366:16	233:8,10,1
393:2,5,8		307:1	379:21	9
394:2,4		363:8	382:18	net 264:23
		376:4	392:9,16	nets 164:11
movement		379:22	near-field	169:9
29:6 132:5		383:22	129:18	278:21
134:13		386:14	necessarily	279:4
moves 228:2		387:2	64:18	364:15,18
296:24		388:20	219:5,18	393:13
moving		national	256:7,18	network 39:4
16:23,25		167:6	268:9	43:12,14,2
17:10 36:9		277:16	necessary	2,23
46:17 51:8		321:6	35:12	neutral
123:25		Nations	75:16 77:4	301:25
136:2		23:15	119:23	neutralize
156:22		169:19	305:3	348:8
157:9		349:5	necessitate	neutralizes
174:4		361:11	262:9	247:14
211:15		native	negative	Nevin
287:3		251:14	207:20	35:2,11
288:20		306:16	323:14	Newman 2:25
307:13		311:8	332:12	NFL 137:15
364:23		355:21	380:19	ni 391:16
365:2,4,8		376:4	neglected	nice 99:25
376:13	namely 362:6	natural 24:4	193:15	139:17
MP 341:19	name's	106:22	neglecting	374:6
mud 360:17	236:10	107:20	257:20	Nickerson
multi-part	354:3	138:14	negotiate	341:20
49:8	narrow 171:6	157:3,7	384:6	Nico 168:14
multiple	Nat 379:21	207:12	397:4	175:7
31:3 59:20	Nathan 81:5	235:3	negotiating	
129:22	82:22	238:19,24	400:13	
municipal	85:15	244:13,25	negotiations	
34:10 40:8	86:24	319:17		
mustn't	87:25	325:14		
	88:22 97:4	naturalist		

night	350:15	59:2,21	20:18	371:5,23
9:23,24	non-	60:1,6,15,	21:24 22:5	377:21
161:18	aboriginal	22 61:2,21	23:16	notice
171:14	181:24	62:15	31:24	201:23
294:14	182:10	64:22	55:10	347:12
305:7	191:9	69:13	80:25	noticed
310:5	397:24	73:23	83:15	58:23
night's	non-	76:18 99:2	113:25	197:9
165:7	carcinogen	161:23	116:1	390:7
nine 36:4	ic 36:22	193:7,14,2	192:21	noting 30:11
177:20	none 315:18	3 194:1	195:2	Notwithstanding
200:6	369:13	204:17,25	199:1	ing 207:5
244:6	383:13	205:3,7,13	257:12	November
372:22	non-fish-	,17	281:6,12,2	65:3
394:24	friendly	206:10,13,	4 282:5,8	200:16
402:25	223:21	18,24	319:18	nowadays
ninety 118:3	non-frozen	207:17,21,	321:2	371:4
265:13	37:15	23 209:8	322:7	nowhere
394:7	nonhazardous	210:14,15	323:9	91:24
ninety-nine	174:18	213:17,18	331:13	351:10
182:15	non-	215:16,17	332:4	Nox 33:18
185:4	hazardous	220:20,24	341:5	np 3:18,24
ninety-six	27:3,10	222:11	347:11,18	4:15,18
393:20	28:1,8	226:12,24	402:4	NSMA 5:14
ninth 231:20	53:14 54:9	227:9,18	note	204:20
nitrogen	57:11	246:24	14:20,25	206:17
33:17	58:5,10	250:2,4,8	17:8	207:7
34:24	133:11	252:22	19:9,13	223:22
Nitsiza	non-Native	273:13,18	31:13	NT 1:20,23
341:16	371:25	280:4	64:15	nuisance
Niven 34:11	non-point	307:3	92:12	127:18
231:16,25	265:21	330:18	noted 13:2	255:15
NO2	non-soil	334:24	20:13	numerous
231:15,22	117:1	378:5	33:18	31:5
nobody	nor 32:1	386:21,24	102:9	243:13
107:22	211:4	401:11	239:13	244:7,9
348:4	normal 35:5	northern	240:5,17	Nuna 322:23
350:16	63:14	65:2 70:11	247:2	nurse 147:18
360:24	north	80:24	notes 183:14	nutshell
376:12	3:18,24	244:6	226:7	157:13
389:13	5:12 7:3	251:25	344:14,16	NWT 27:4
nod 192:19	10:9 11:3	253:11	nothing	35:10
nominated	20:18,22,2	304:21	161:16	341:19
306:25	3 21:23	305:18	170:1,6	376:25
non 27:23	31:23 32:2	320:19,23	177:18	
55:17	34:7 38:15	341:4	180:21	
344:22	40:24	399:18	181:4	
349:13	58:19,20	Northerners	184:11,12	
		205:5	195:18	
		306:25	276:20	
		331:10	362:11	
		northwest	367:19	
				<hr/> O <hr/>

Oak 323:3	observations	Oceans	official	104:3
object 202:2	126:20	61:8,10	282:7	105:6
207:24	129:19	210:22,24	307:14	106:24
objected	obtain 21:11	236:7,12	offset 242:7	108:7,23
207:23	76:3,4	250:24	245:5	110:20
objective	101:15	251:19	offsetting	114:17
52:17	111:15	252:10,22	245:18	115:18
89:25	207:1	253:19	off-site	117:8
124:13	obtaining	254:17	33:23	120:24
167:16	59:11	257:20	34:6,22	122:10,24
325:8	obvious	258:12	35:6 38:22	125:8
objectives	84:22	260:16	oh 27:14	126:13
13:9 21:5	obviously	261:11	66:23 95:4	127:13
50:25	78:13	262:2	193:20	132:9,24
51:6,18,22	80:14	264:12	221:19	133:22
52:4,17	135:7	266:7	246:19	137:7,20
53:2	165:8	272:16	264:11	138:18
124:4,6	172:2	275:13	266:20	142:6
166:7	224:5	279:20	366:6	143:15
168:10	283:6	284:4	389:6	144:9,18
171:11	284:4	286:19,20	okay 11:25	147:5
196:22	occasional	289:12,21	37:9 41:10	149:8
207:14	151:10	290:19	42:24	150:20
219:16	occasions	291:21	43:19 45:9	151:17
220:7	158:18	292:7	46:4 47:7	152:1,19
237:23	occupied	295:1	49:4 50:19	156:20
240:17	205:7	296:10	51:23	158:4,13
241:22	occur	297:16	52:1,12,19	161:19
259:3,5,8,24,25	16:1,15	298:9	53:6,24	162:23
261:3	31:15	300:24	56:21	192:10
270:7	36:25	327:23	57:24	193:5,21
290:6,7	75:7,22	o'clock	58:16 60:5	209:2
298:12,17	80:3	161:18	64:19 67:3	210:7
324:25	occurred	402:25	68:23,24	211:1,8
obligation	14:19	Oct 85:5	69:8,22	213:16
122:12	75:23 88:3	October	72:4 76:6	220:19
314:15	114:1	64:25	77:21,25	221:9,19,21
obligations	145:16	67:14	78:7 80:6	1
206:16	278:5	194:24	83:20	222:1,12,21,25 223:4
Oboni 2:13	occurring	200:17	85:25	224:8,14
88:10	31:6	202:18	87:4,9,13,15,23	225:19
97:13,15	32:4,15,21	384:21	89:17 90:2	228:1
99:13	33:14 37:3	offer 132:1	91:1,7	237:13
102:23	325:21	175:1	93:5 94:21	249:14
104:16	occurs	288:11	96:8,9,11,15	250:7,11,18 268:20
105:13	232:20	office	97:5,9,11	269:8
107:2	ocean 362:6	66:19,20,24,25 68:5	100:6,8,20	286:18
108:9	401:12	302:1	101:3,18	288:13
342:23		officer	102:16	290:12
		130:20		291:9,18
				300:4
				311:4

318:11	254:22	328:7,10	210:5	order 10:2
320:15	259:24	oper 20:4	211:22	14:22
337:4	272:25	352:13	212:4	51:20 78:7
338:1,16	297:1	operate	266:15	80:1 89:6
340:25	329:13	149:14	opportunity	96:22
341:18	385:2	operated	23:13,24	128:4
344:2	on-site	31:17	25:9,18	145:13
375:22	28:12	34:14	26:10	210:12
386:10	131:9	128:16	28:25	216:24
389:4,14	132:14	145:17	55:24	228:16
old 38:16	133:10	operates	58:17 73:7	232:3
113:25	Ontario	360:25	134:21	240:12
182:14,15	198:13,17	operating	154:21	241:22
183:2,18	onto 40:20	35:5	175:18	245:15
185:5	131:3	389:24	204:23	263:9
187:24	379:9	operation	222:9,24	264:19
188:3,4,6	onwards	20:4,20	225:9,22	272:25
255:21,22	73:17	22:25	246:19	280:19
301:3	oops 240:7	31:7,10	273:18	283:21
310:9	op 54:15	32:16,19	316:6,8	291:14
318:19	open 12:17	33:2,14	320:2	302:19
332:9	19:1,11,12	35:3 53:19	353:4	323:7
337:23	,17,20,25	54:16	opposed	339:25
338:4	20:12	150:2,7	293:16	344:16
356:17	37:15	230:10	opposition	orders 257:1
369:16	48:14	239:22	294:2	ore 140:16
392:22	67:15	240:16	optical	180:25
393:11,16	170:12,15	241:5	129:19	324:18
olden 355:8	171:16,21	245:2	optimized	325:22
older 182:16	172:14	328:13	22:12	O'Reilly
Olivier 4:17	202:16	352:13	option 219:6	3:23
ones 52:5,7	304:2	399:13	options	61:21,22
159:1,6	opened	operations	16:23	62:3,8,9,1
188:14	202:18	14:2 19:10	18:23	2,14,15
191:2	opening	32:12 46:2	35:11	63:22,23
278:23	5:23,24	323:1	59:10	64:20,21,2
315:3	9:15,19,22	operators	159:10	2 65:18,19
360:19	113:23	118:20	170:25	66:19,21,2
361:13	209:12	opine 301:10	197:2	2 67:1
380:17	304:3,6	opinion	223:8	68:22,25
383:1	306:19	201:19	232:4	69:1,2,7,1
one's 225:1	311:6	202:25	235:21	2,13 72:6
ongoing 56:4	316:16	203:3	245:12	73:14,20,2
134:18	399:13	293:15,17	246:13,15	2,23 74:18
145:25	openings	294:3	261:14,22	76:7,17,18
150:6	20:5,9	opp 225:9	267:5	77:11,22,2
201:17	29:8,17,18	opportunitie	269:23	3 111:21
208:2	324:10	s 40:16	322:16	193:11,13,
229:21	325:9		329:3,23	14,25
232:6			opts 229:4	194:1
253:21				204:16
				224:16

250:3,4	239:12,15,	overdue	403:4	50:13
O'Reilly's	21	314:19	pack	59:19
64:16	240:11,13,	315:14	185:24,25	60:19 63:6
ores 28:13	24	overflow	362:21	65:15
organisms	241:5,10	98:6 243:8	package	67:7,8
120:15	outing	overlap	30:23	68:10,15,1
organization	351:22	300:1	347:3	6 74:23
219:24	outlet	overlooked	384:6	77:13
299:9	242:15	61:20	packed	90:23
organized	outline	overriding	357:17	109:23
168:4	284:14	166:8	pad 94:5	110:17
original	outlined	oversight	pads	113:2
20:22 22:5	261:12,15	297:22,23	101:15,19	120:5,6
102:11	267:5	298:10	102:2	121:25
113:8	296:14	299:5,8,10	195:2,11,2	130:11,12
171:10	outlines	314:25	1,22	137:9,24
367:5	241:3	321:4	page 5:2 6:2	138:7
originally	246:1	332:18	7:2 8:2	140:1
85:3	248:7	333:8,19	65:22	142:21
145:17	output	335:24	98:25	149:18,19
originating	31:8,11,14	336:2	167:17	152:9,10
32:25	,18 35:4	oversights	367:23	162:6,18,2
242:15	129:2	400:5	pages 99:4	3 171:14
others 21:10	outputting	overtop 94:8	201:1	221:4,13
23:15 31:1	35:20	overtopping	paid 175:25	222:4
158:10	outside 18:8	12:24	176:13	224:17
197:12	46:13,23	18:17	368:10	225:21
215:15	60:3	overview	pail 180:17	249:11
251:20	162:22	5:25 12:15	paint 28:5	273:25
328:9	201:10	40:1	painted	274:7,21
otherwise	202:6	236:19	28:16	320:10,25
308:15	275:19	317:10	Palmer 2:21	332:17,22
362:21	303:18	319:1	3:6	336:18
Ottawa 321:8	328:17	320:11	panel 12:2	340:21
353:16,17	outstanding	overwinterin	82:9	parallel
ourself	219:7,8	g 244:8	320:16	99:19
357:23	234:18	owes 366:24	321:10	107:6
ourselves	260:23	oxides 33:17	paper	parallels
84:3 98:7	overall 19:4	oxygen	9:15,22	99:21
149:7	119:16	238:12	165:19	parameter
301:7	141:14		183:14	36:14
359:8,18	245:15,20		184:3,4	parameters
374:22	264:15,21		201:24	34:20
outages	298:16		211:12	37:19
151:9	322:8	p.m	213:24	paraphrase
outfall	324:22	114:23,24	Paradis 2:18	155:14
236:15,23	overarching	192:7,8	48:7 49:20	parapr
	167:20	303:12,13		155:13
		375:19,20		parcel 72:1
				pardon 99:17

176:22	49:13	40:22 42:5	98:13	348:18,22
parents	59:25	129:1	102:21	367:24
216:19	67:17	past 177:2	103:17	368:12,13,
park 34:8	70:23	185:23	115:4	16 393:22
40:17	160:16	207:25	117:11	394:5,10,1
partic 96:1	161:5,7	214:9	120:8	2 395:3
participate	175:8	308:4	123:1,22	paying 161:5
59:22	201:20	316:11	127:10	368:11
298:21	209:24	318:6	130:16	394:3
299:17	210:4,20,2	320:3	133:1	PCBs 28:4
participatin	5 211:6	379:3	136:13	peace 1:20
g 48:25	226:3	380:14,18	138:5	98:2
236:20	235:23	381:8,19	140:25	309:25
participatio	268:2	383:13	145:5	333:11
n 251:23	279:21	392:18	149:22	349:12
349:7	296:20	399:7	152:12	402:25
participatio	308:6	path 96:25	154:4	peak 14:17
ns 59:22	310:16	102:14	155:5,10	peer 321:10
particle	314:10	284:17	160:7	penetrate
33:7 36:16	322:2	paths 134:23	162:1	196:8
particular	333:24	pathway 26:3	163:16	234:10
31:10,13	334:20	patience	165:21	235:6
32:9 36:10	partners	336:10	221:7,24	penetrating
47:5	65:24	Patrick	226:17	235:10
96:2,3	partnership	192:18	230:13	pension
108:14	383:15	Patrick's	236:5	348:21
127:5	party 175:14	72:22	248:24	people 36:17
128:19	pass 16:6,12	Pat's 72:17	251:1	66:1 81:15
212:8,10	23:17 30:4	Paul 2:3	254:5	87:1 100:2
219:20	79:23 80:1	402:13	255:8	119:3
256:21	140:4	PAUSE 9:6,12	257:17	128:3
266:1	184:13,17	11:19	258:9	135:13
271:17	191:14	12:11,19	260:13	136:24
282:25	327:21	14:6	264:9	139:2
318:18	350:18,21	39:9,20	268:14	159:1
particularly	379:7	49:18	272:13	162:19
39:4 65:22	400:19	52:23	274:11,19	165:9
124:4	passage	56:24	280:14	166:3
147:24	239:8	60:25	320:13	173:2
266:2	passed	61:17 62:5	332:20	178:15,17,
357:25	355:11	68:8,13	336:12	24 179:23
particulate	366:14	69:10 70:1	343:19	180:1,8
33:7	370:3	74:21	376:1	181:18,24
parties 10:8	373:18	82:20	378:18,23	182:10
11:1,7	379:6	85:13	389:17	183:12,19
26:16	381:21	86:8,22	392:11	184:24
39:16	passing	88:20	395:21	185:12
41:14	83:22	93:22	396:3	188:22,25
	103:25	96:18	pay	189:2,7,16
	passive		176:18,25	190:6,9,12
			184:19,20	
			212:16,19	
			213:2,4	

,19	353:1,2,6,	338:3,15,1	period	345:11,13
191:1,8,9	12 355:21	6	5:8,16,20	399:9
192:2,12	357:5,14,1	percent	15:2 19:21	perpetuate
193:9	7,25	31:19	38:9	213:5
198:15	358:23	103:6,9,10	39:2,22	perpetuity
202:4,8	359:5,6,14	105:15,16	63:3 72:23	164:15
205:4	361:6,9,15	107:9	79:20	208:18
206:10,13,	362:1	229:15	90:21	person 59:16
18,23,25	363:25	256:5	103:5	92:11
207:18,21	364:10,22	324:18	105:2	136:1
216:15,20	365:6,7	384:12	110:3	141:25
219:23,25	366:5,8	386:6	117:19	187:6
223:15	367:22	perception	124:21	342:8
275:8	368:2,6,23	253:14,19	147:16,18	374:13
277:13,17,	369:9,24	perceptions	210:17	personally
18,20	372:6,9,10	252:2	231:6	85:16
278:2,15	,22 373:3	253:9	249:10	400:17
279:8	375:2,3,6	Percy 1:16	279:9	perspective
282:17,21	376:8,23	151:23,24	periodic	121:20
283:3	377:9,20	213:13,14	159:13	191:19
285:25	378:3,15	276:21,23	periods	196:15
289:3	379:20	306:3,5	35:18	215:21
302:12,25	382:1	perfe 241:11	84:20	263:11
306:5	385:18	perfect	229:13	300:18
308:13,21	386:20	99:19	permafrost	pertaining
310:17	395:6	perform	93:11	287:19
313:14,22	396:19	196:19	permanent	Peter 341:14
314:2,18,2	397:17,20,	performance	173:13	344:2
1 315:3	21,24	48:3 79:14	176:4	369:3,4
316:18,23	398:16	161:3	permission	375:23
317:1,7	399:14	194:6,17,2	78:4 227:3	376:3
319:5,15,2	400:19,20	2,24	permit 42:10	378:21
2,24	401:2,4,5,	195:16	262:20	379:1
320:1,16	10,17	196:12,22	285:19	382:7,14
323:7	people's	199:9	permits 48:1	383:7
326:3	180:23	230:7	permitted	387:15,20
329:22	216:13	241:17	54:15	388:16
336:23	252:3	performing	176:23	389:6,7
337:9,10	311:15	234:13	permitting	petroleum
339:24	349:7	perhaps 57:3	174:25	28:3
340:10,13	350:5	160:1,20	perpetual	phase 30:19
341:10	362:24	194:18	155:1	79:12
342:3,15,1	per 14:18,25	196:2,17	172:12	102:5
8 343:7,22	48:3 118:2	283:14	297:24	194:16
344:19,21,	124:20,24	284:12,13	300:6	239:21,22
22	133:8	287:1,11	301:9	245:1,2
345:12,19	135:7	perimeter	333:5,13	264:18
346:12,14	145:14	38:14	334:1,2	phenomenon
347:2,7	148:14	129:12	344:25	103:6,13
348:25	173:9			
349:1,10,1	234:13			
1	256:2			
350:7,8,15	257:4			
351:1,10				

phone 288:11	187:20	18,22	157:24	planner
phones 10:19	picture 96:6	324:10	158:1,2	44:21
308:2	218:3	328:6,9,12	164:3,4	planning
phonetic	323:23	pla 201:16	166:2	21:11
4:14 17:9	326:19	placed 22:23	167:11,24	42:23
89:16 93:9	328:15	23:7 24:11	168:23	44:24
113:10	331:14	25:17	170:20	50:1,18
173:8	337:22	27:11	172:12	73:2 75:6
188:7	385:5	28:11,14,1	175:21	89:19
189:8	pictures	9 95:2,22	200:10,14,	129:1
192:24,25	243:21	131:24	21	172:10
193:1,2	369:11,12,	132:4,6	201:10,12,	232:11
211:13	19	133:9,17	16	295:1,4
304:3	pieces 202:5	placement	202:8,25	296:1
316:11	pike 244:6	25:13	219:4,10	334:7
341:20	pipe	places 26:11	220:9	335:6
344:21	176:6,13	40:23	231:4	plans 21:7
353:18	188:12	65:21,22	234:11	44:15 45:8
368:4	340:16,17	180:22	237:8,21	48:20
369:18	370:8	335:4	239:8	63:11
381:22,25	372:16	plain 16:19	241:3,23	64:11
382:21	pipeline	89:15	242:11	127:14
391:8	212:19	105:5	245:5,17,2	131:11
393:6	pipes 24:12	139:23	1,25	151:9
394:2	95:16,19	plan 13:18	14,16	164:23
402:12,13	228:4	18:2 19:17	248:7	194:16
photograph	339:4,5,9	20:20 21:3	252:17	197:24
243:4	pit 17:1	25:11,23,2	261:4,8,11	199:5
photos	19:2,3,12	4 26:16,19	,24 263:12	237:11
195:20	20:1,12,13	27:7,8	295:25	245:13
349:20	24:11	28:9,21	296:4	246:2
phrase	27:12	29:9	313:23	263:13,14
168:17	32:14,17	37:24,25	319:7	267:9
physical	58:10	38:2 40:1	321:23	335:2,9
18:15	94:24	41:9,20,21	322:16	362:20
29:21	95:2,8,15,	42:14	323:18,20	plant 21:2
236:25	20 96:3	44:14,19,2	324:20,22	27:9
239:14,25	99:25	0,22	325:1	28:19,24
240:1,6	131:5,17	45:5,6,21	326:14	31:8,10
241:6,18	141:5,6	46:12	327:6	32:20
333:15	142:14,25	48:19 59:6	328:1,8	33:17
physically	143:6	60:11	329:16,20	35:4,19
241:21	pitiful	64:24	330:6	133:20
pick 140:21	188:23	65:12,21	331:4	143:13
142:23	pits 12:17	67:13	334:3,11	149:14
340:6	19:1,8,11,	70:22	346:8	150:1,7,23
picked	17,20,25	71:21 72:1	planned	,24
187:25	89:18	111:15	18:11	151:4,16
picking	170:12,15,	114:4	89:22	237:25
		133:14	150:24	312:9,13
		155:19	151:4,16	328:25
			234:9	330:11,16

337:21,23 338:4,12,1 4,18 394:23 plants 159:23 164:8 180:20 181:7 196:7,8 244:21 333:16 350:2 356:21 398:7 plant's 231:20 plausible 271:24 play 299:16 playing 70:14 172:1 185:12 278:12 381:16 385:13,14 please 12:9,16,23 13:8 14:1,14,21 15:3,15,19 16:5,22 17:3,13 18:7,14,25 19:6,16 20:11,16,2 1 21:4,17 22:8,22 23:10 24:7,19,25 25:8,22 26:12,25 27:21 28:7 29:2,20 30:10,22 31:21 32:22 33:19 34:5,16 35:8,21	36:9 37:8 38:12 52:13,14 54:4,11 56:12 62:7 75:9 78:8 94:24 97:19,21 117:5 155:8 162:17,18 176:22 202:7 209:19 250:13 251:4 265:5 274:23 275:9 286:1,4 318:14 pleased 317:21 pleasure 72:22 165:25 plots 101:21 102:13 plus 13:17 79:24 80:18 83:25 231:7 PM10 33:7 34:21 PM2.5 33:8,18 35:1 36:15,19 231:15,23 PMF 104:2 pockets 41:4 podium 9:17 12:9 72:15 192:13 304:4 311:3 343:8 point 17:22	31:13 50:5 54:21 83:20 96:23 99:13,22 120:11 125:6 133:8 135:18 139:13,16 143:7 146:4 148:12 161:1 167:9 168:12 193:9 203:14,21 206:9,21 210:8,11 212:7 251:11 255:12,24 256:4 257:22 261:2 262:17 265:14 270:8 285:13 287:24 391:2 pointed 175:6 Pointing 20:21 points 228:13 318:1 387:17 poison 358:5 policy 271:6,10,1 3 300:9 polishing 228:5 political 209:4,21 210:9 359:23	politician 51:11 polluted 376:22 377:18 394:15 397:21 polluting 302:5 pollution 365:17 376:19 378:2 pond 15:6 20:18,23,2 4 21:16,24,2 5 22:5 28:10,15,2 3 31:24 32:2 54:23 58:6 113:25 163:7 182:4,5 195:3 228:5 346:14,17, 19 370:9 374:19 ponds 40:19 42:4 131:5 138:10 194:9 238:8 324:9 328:17 pools 238:7 poor 177:1 population 185:21 186:7 populations 290:8 portion 41:24 84:12 96:24 142:25	143:1 portions 65:8 241:16 242:12 243:10,16 pose 38:20,22 266:10 272:23 posed 311:22 poses 319:24 330:4 position 5:10,12,14 ,17,19 43:13 44:14 109:15 110:18 163:18 166:5,6 170:9 193:23 220:8 236:7 273:22 284:4 positive 13:24 22:18 238:15 332:6 possibility 16:16 17:11 93:3 235:9 271:16 possible 26:18 43:24 76:4 103:12 107:18 167:19 205:2 209:20 221:17 256:16 274:4 330:5,21
--	--	--	---	---

348:13	343:12,13	pre 41:17	prepare	213:18
384:15	potentially	132:17	199:8	215:16
post 19:20	47:5	preauthorize	305:16	220:22
289:20,23	133:21	65:8	prepared	227:14
290:9	173:11	precautionar	323:13	230:16
post-Hudson	219:21	y 270:23	preposterous	236:3,7
184:8	256:10	271:4,5	206:4	241:14
post-	257:11	272:8,17	prerequisite	248:19
remediatio	276:15	280:24	s 164:1	249:6,17
n 39:1	Potter 3:14	precautions	prese 218:16	270:22
258:3,5	71:18,19	75:24	present 76:1	274:16
posts 386:7	Potter's	precipitatio	116:18	275:2
posturing	201:9	n 80:16,19	119:24	277:3,24
209:5	power 15:14	81:17	130:4	301:14,18
210:10	31:7,11,14	precise	172:20	310:4
poten 308:12	,18 32:20	116:10	309:9	317:3,10
potential	33:16	predict	322:13	318:9
16:14	35:4,10,17	34:23	334:4	336:9
17:1,6	,19,20	112:6,8	336:20	341:1
34:1	83:15 97:7	128:23	382:24	344:2
35:11,22	149:25	predicted	394:3	presentation
40:17,21	150:6	34:20 35:5	presentation	s 10:9
43:17	151:9,15	36:11,19,2	5:7,10,12,	11:1
46:12	153:21	0 124:10	14,17,19,2	114:21
89:11,16	231:16,18	198:12	5	138:22
93:16	powerful	257:6	10:6,14,23	139:4
113:14	99:24	258:3,4	,25	161:21
121:19	377:3	predictions	11:7,16,24	215:15,20
125:17	powerfully	34:4 82:13	12:3 23:18	218:1,17
129:22,25	308:12	194:19	39:7,12,13	225:24
130:8	PowerPoint	197:18	,18 50:22	226:1,4
174:14	270:22	232:1	52:7,15	227:20
175:7	powers 76:24	pref 113:3	55:20	311:22
196:17	practice	preface	58:18,21	presented
197:16	19:25 83:3	113:3	62:20,25	99:13
212:10	93:3	preference	73:24	105:1,8
230:1	108:11	207:5	109:5	122:22
234:6	366:1	preferences	129:9	186:20,21
235:6,12,1	practised	207:9	130:3	187:2,3
3 236:25	363:14	prefers	133:13	301:22
239:6,7,13	practising	207:7	138:25	382:16,23
,19,23	115:21	pre-impact	139:20	presenter
240:6,13,1	pray 187:5	207:12	149:12	396:14
5	prayer	preliminary	161:19	presenters
247:12,15	9:16,19,22	22:10	163:14,18	216:1
252:11	303:8	101:13	168:19	301:21
256:12	402:20,22	195:15	193:15,23	311:3
259:9			194:3	316:17
261:15,22			198:8	386:12,20,
305:10,11			204:16,18,	25
323:14			20 210:15	presenting

59:6 122:4	price 176:1	pristine	347:14,23,	73:11
presently	177:1	223:14	24	75:13,19,2
13:11	367:24	private	351:3,20,2	3 76:2,8
214:9	primarily	168:13,14	4 354:23	83:17
preservation	150:1	pro 37:22	356:16	112:15
40:17	278:4	51:1	357:6,22	166:12
preserve	primary	113:11	365:7	170:19
26:17	50:25	176:5	368:23	171:14
Press 163:19	51:17	232:9	389:24	172:10
pretend	52:4,17	364:21	problem	173:18
172:8	99:11	probabilitie	75:13	174:25
pretty 83:23	125:14	s 87:10	105:23	197:6
84:3 85:23	167:22	102:25	106:9,11	203:4
103:24	220:7	108:12	112:21	207:17
347:3,19	244:20	probability	166:8	209:11
prevent	prime	34:23	173:1	219:23
292:5	89:24,25	36:21 80:3	174:9	229:3
309:21	principle	87:11,20	292:13	242:4
325:1	199:17,18	91:13,17	310:22	277:9
327:1	270:21,23	103:6,12	315:21	282:10
328:10	271:1,4,13	105:9,15,1	353:22	284:14
prevented	,20	6 107:9	problems	285:21
156:23,24	272:6,8	probable	208:23	286:10
349:17	principles	83:2,4,6,1	209:1	287:2
preventing	116:11	3,21 84:2	310:22	306:24
247:11	271:2	85:3	316:11	319:6
prevents	prior 37:25	103:25	360:18	322:2
327:7	75:22	104:2	365:9	335:23
previous	132:22	141:9	proceed	342:7
28:8 67:23	144:25	257:4	11:22 20:4	354:16,25
82:16	184:21	probablistic	38:5 50:6	processed
84:17 85:1	214:18	119:17	52:13	28:13
145:22	246:14	probably	56:12 62:7	processes
211:5	250:19	11:11 60:8	76:24 78:9	109:19
247:2	331:12	67:1 83:9	226:3,4,11	processing
274:6	392:22	88:10	265:5	324:18
293:2	priorities	90:7,8	268:21	procurement
previously	201:12	92:23	274:23	70:14
28:22	302:18	127:14	291:16	produce
30:16	331:12	144:7	proceeding	111:16
37:17 39:2	prioritize	148:13	227:4	132:12
46:16	41:15	158:19	proceedings	produced
48:14	45:13	198:15	192:23	132:17
120:14	prioritizing	200:3	307:6	325:22
124:22	47:9	203:24	proceeds	producers
145:14	priority	277:23	234:8	125:14,15
222:7	173:18	284:24	process 28:5	producing
337:17	267:11	300:12	42:21	66:5
	351:15	326:18	44:16,23	307:14
		339:16,17	45:3 55:22	production
		346:3	72:25	

244:20	56:1,3	173:16	354:11	175:1
productive	57:10	176:13,20,	387:4	176:6,21,2
51:2 123:9	59:20	22 200:23	389:12	3 225:16
145:20	60:20 63:7	208:2,15	396:1	228:22
164:7	65:9,16	212:5,11,1	400:1	230:1,4,11
167:18	67:8,12	8 214:24	401:8	231:10,13
168:21	68:11,16	219:11,14,	projected	232:5,10,1
244:24	70:21	17 220:3	117:4	2,18
311:19	74:24 76:9	228:2	126:17	233:6,9,12
productivity	77:14	231:17	projections	,15,23
125:18	83:16,24	232:9	126:16	234:16
products	84:16,20	236:21	projector	235:25
27:25 28:3	85:17	242:6	318:9	249:12
119:3	102:11	252:25	projects	264:17
program	104:7,21	256:23	84:6	280:20
20:14	105:24,25	265:25	100:18	283:9
24:13	106:1	266:24,25	project's	295:25
26:13	107:6	267:3	13:5 169:3	342:12
29:3,20	109:24	268:5,10	project-specific	proponents
37:10,22	110:1	280:23	237:9	222:3
38:7	113:3	282:25	promise	310:16
128:19	116:9,19	283:7,16	314:11	Proponent's
129:10	119:22	285:11	promising	165:11
199:8,13	120:1	287:19	313:21	177:1
222:17	121:19,20	289:16	promote	266:8
237:8	122:3,4,21	292:24	169:19	272:21
251:25	123:4,7	293:12	prone 89:12	proposal
253:11	126:22	299:1	proof 271:11	41:21
254:20	129:11	300:13	273:13	157:17
296:17	130:12	303:23	proper	168:14
331:1,8	132:13,18,	304:18	108:11	205:1
332:18	19 133:15	308:3,5	204:4	206:1
programs	137:10,25	309:6,8,12	330:7	207:2
296:23	140:2	,17 311:17	properly	273:18
progress	141:14	313:9,12,2	283:17	275:14,20
104:21	142:22	1 314:8	330:5	276:13
311:23	145:1	315:4,13	property	304:23
384:24	147:6	316:24	16:4 41:24	320:11
project 1:5	150:3	318:2	42:1	proposals
8:5 18:9	152:5	319:1,3,20	proponent	295:20
21:10 23:9	153:1	320:18,22	50:8 53:13	propose 27:5
30:8 35:16	154:15,23	321:5,12,1	54:8 57:16	284:17
37:12	157:15	7,20	71:20	320:7
44:2,7	162:7	322:3,8,9,	170:7	340:1
45:2,22,23	164:2,23	14 323:15	171:12	proposed
46:14,24	165:3	324:19	174:11	15:4 25:19
48:8,24	166:13,14	332:5,9,10		27:1 34:3
49:3,11,21	167:8,10,2	,23 333:9		37:11
50:14 51:1	3	334:9,17		38:10 43:5
53:21	168:3,6,11	335:8,18		55:15
55:6,23,25	169:12	336:7		84:15,22
	170:2	337:6,15		
	171:2,7,8,	343:14		
	9,18 172:6	344:12		

85:9 123:7	323:7	220:13	51:19	137:3
129:10	324:23	224:6	168:2	143:25
234:21	329:15	225:6	187:11	179:16
235:4	342:17	226:9	252:22	183:13
238:23	protected	227:6	254:16	196:16
240:23	95:10	229:7	329:3,23	197:20
241:5,15	199:2	230:2	providing	201:21,22
247:25	233:10	234:4	39:25 48:1	202:16
248:5	protecting	235:5	89:15	203:17
264:1	124:13	236:15	105:4	210:4
283:16	205:20	243:13	174:23	246:12,17
298:11	332:7	244:7	223:17	252:15
304:17,19	protection	247:18	237:9	259:6
305:9	12:24	263:16	264:17	267:25
309:8,17	30:24	268:18	296:11	268:1
339:24	113:6	272:2	299:18	271:8,22
340:2	124:5,11	273:22	322:19,24	286:12
343:11	176:16	275:24	323:12	287:24
399:19	212:23	280:6	provision	300:15
proposes	224:20	286:21	31:11	301:20
237:21	234:22	288:11	provisions	302:25
proposing	235:5	299:23	241:11	303:22
57:10 84:8	248:15	320:6	248:15	304:11
265:17	265:13	322:21	proximity	305:5,6
319:2	301:9	332:17	141:5	307:10,16
pros 359:2	321:15	333:5	prudent 88:2	308:21
prospect	322:25	349:6,15	ptarmigans	309:20
176:3	protections	351:7	185:20	321:24
prospecting	271:24	354:12	pubic 9:24	322:8,24
370:4	protective	368:7	public 6:3	324:23
prospector	50:17	provided	17:17,20	328:11
178:21	64:12	35:9 59:20	18:3	329:2
188:2	125:1	68:22	19:2,17	330:10
369:22	330:15,25	69:15	20:12	333:7,8
prospectors	prove 234:14	82:25	26:15	334:25
191:21,23	proven	133:5	29:22	342:14
369:25	364:20,21	146:2	33:20	343:6
prosperity	provide 8:3	168:11	38:21,23	344:6
359:2	23:24	227:2,23	45:7	353:3
protect	26:7,9	230:18,22	48:14,15,2	389:11
12:24	40:10	232:7	5 66:11	395:24
19:18	49:2,12	233:14	69:24	396:14
63:2,5	52:14	234:1,10	70:15 71:9	397:10
199:6	68:21	245:4	72:25	398:17,25
225:14	131:17	247:14	74:6,10,25	publicly
234:22	150:16,19	248:14	75:11	71:14
271:21	152:24	275:21	109:10,11	published
290:7	166:17	298:16	110:8	36:12
319:9	168:4	317:17	115:8	pull 364:18
321:23	206:22	402:12	130:2	367:12,15
		provides		pump 213:1
		25:9 28:25		pumped

143:12	35:6,9	50:3,20,23	143:18	387:16
pumping	36:3	,24	144:21	questioned
345:8	37:10,13,1	51:4,13,15	148:3,12	380:16
pure 324:18	7,21,24	,24	149:11	questioning
363:4,13	38:2,7,19	52:20,21	152:4	77:22
purpose	39:1	53:7,8,11,	153:9	84:10
122:8	49:10,25	12,25	155:13,14	115:13
195:3	50:17	54:1,4,18,	156:8	174:4
196:2	62:18	20 56:22	162:5	253:25
325:24	116:5	57:2,3,8,1	163:1	questionings
399:2	124:4	5 59:7	168:18	114:20
pursuant	125:22	60:18	171:20	questions
241:11	128:9,10	62:17	204:11	10:24
248:14	129:9,11	64:7,20	210:17	17:17
pursue	145:23	65:18	211:17	39:15,18
282:21	175:3,9	66:22 67:4	212:2	41:12,15
pursuit	183:10	68:3,25	217:25	45:11
282:22	190:16	69:6,23	218:22	47:8,9
push 202:12	194:6,9	71:8 73:21	219:2	49:9 51:11
362:25	197:15,18	74:18,19	221:16	58:21
pushed	199:1,7,8,	77:12,15	222:6,17	59:18
361:14	12	81:7,16	224:5,15	60:23
400:2	228:12,20	85:11	226:25	61:5,10,13
puts 154:17	229:14,17,	86:25	227:9	,24 62:11
296:1	22 230:19	91:8,9	249:10	64:23 72:7
367:10	231:4,25	92:2 93:20	251:19	73:14
putting 28:9	232:2	95:13	252:5	76:11
88:14	239:24	97:6,12,14	253:13,17	78:5,6,11
156:12	240:16	,16	256:19,21	86:2,12
212:21	245:6	98:10,11,1	258:13	102:3,15
268:2	265:9	8 100:10	261:2	109:1,2
282:17	266:1	103:15	264:13	114:15
314:14	270:6	105:19	265:24	115:11
350:5	280:2	106:7	266:20	116:4
396:17	313:5	107:3	270:4	123:20
PWGSC 3:6	329:1,23	109:22	274:16	130:14,21
	365:16	110:24	275:11	132:14
	366:21	111:20	279:9,18	133:4
	368:18	112:2,25	282:18	135:1
	quantity	113:1	284:1	142:9,13
	245:6	114:8	286:17,21	144:10
	quarries	121:14,16,	287:21	146:7
	21:22	24 122:25	288:8	151:25
	quarterly	125:24	289:7,13,1	156:4,5
	229:12	128:9	8 290:13	158:12
	question	129:22	291:5,9,14	161:20
	5:8,16,20	130:25	,19	179:14
	7:4 39:22	131:22	292:17,18	193:6,8,9
	41:8,11,17	132:11	293:2	194:22
	43:20 45:4	133:4,23,2	294:16,18,	209:3,16,1
	48:6,11,22	5 134:17	21 295:15	9
	49:16	135:6	297:20	210:2,6,13
		136:16	299:17,22	
		142:23	362:14,18	

,19,25	221:17	rainfall-	41:18,19	116:17
211:5,8	243:23	induced	43:21,22	real 40:8
213:11,17	249:3	83:10	45:19	98:7
221:2,12,1	358:10,18	raise 210:5	48:23,24	169:3,18
5,18	quiet 81:15	raised	55:14,15	179:11
222:2,10,1	303:17	194:22	57:1,2	181:15
4,22,24	quite 40:20	197:15	58:1,2	400:5
226:15	52:11 69:2	211:18	282:2	realigning
227:24	87:11	283:18,23	319:12,14,	241:16
248:17,20	92:7,13	288:25	16	realignment
249:3,7,13	93:4	289:3	Raymond	242:17
,16,19,25	105:9,23	394:11	395:12	realignments
250:5,9,14	106:18	raising 93:9	RCMP 189:25	239:4
,15,19,22	108:5	ramification	190:1	realities
255:3,5	124:18	s 122:22	re 19:7 32:3	399:12
256:20	141:7	Randy 4:9	34:7 68:6	reality
260:20	159:11,12	9:16	186:9	108:19
265:4	171:2	163:19	238:9	400:14
273:4,6	199:19	165:23	313:24	realize
274:8,15	203:8	191:18	382:22	112:6
275:1	284:25	range 92:14	reach 13:23	192:1
276:23	288:23	119:18,19	14:11	255:11
277:3	328:13	124:20	15:7,11	realized
278:8	353:9	256:1,3	16:18,24,2	366:5
280:19,22	356:8	ranges	5 17:15	390:14
286:16	quote 133:6	162:14	22:2 83:18	really 32:20
287:20	quoted	163:5	87:4 89:13	41:14
288:15,19	131:15	rapid 172:22	99:8 109:7	47:12 55:5
289:10		raptors	126:5	62:16 65:8
294:8,15		233:22	141:5,6,11	72:20
295:9,10,2		rather 53:17	145:19	97:17
4 311:22		54:13	147:2	100:2
358:1		122:7	235:7	107:3
363:8		154:22	242:17	112:3
364:9		166:20,21	243:4	115:18
366:8		171:2,10	273:9,12	116:8,11,1
373:19		202:13	reached	2,23
389:4,5		204:12	305:4	117:14
quick 63:24		263:23	reaches	127:18
101:4		332:8	17:15	141:4
109:2		368:13	235:22	157:22
110:24		ravelling	243:3,12,1	159:2
175:3		19:3	8 244:2	180:10
236:19		ravens	245:13	182:13
301:16		233:22	261:14,18	195:4,12,1
320:11		raw 390:24	360:16	6 196:3
quicker 88:7		Ray 2:22	reading	197:2,7,22
quickly			94:25	199:4
27:22 91:3			ready 11:21	202:24
175:19			29:10	203:1
196:13				
202:13				

210:9	238:11	222:19	98:24	252:23
214:14	242:22			272:24
215:6,20	243:18,25	recently	recommend	280:18
216:16	244:2,8	174:24	108:3	296:16
218:13,14	266:16	348:3	208:3	
221:21		receptor	234:7	recommending
256:24	reason 26:1	33:23	253:21	235:19
264:4	42:19	34:6,9,22	297:7	251:12
278:14	113:5	35:7	384:12	recommends
281:14,18	123:8		401:7	83:4 233:8
285:13	126:21	receptors	recommendati	239:3
286:16	214:6	38:22	on 102:12	240:22
287:20	277:15	119:10	196:20	241:2
289:17	290:2	recessing	199:16	245:9,17,2
295:17	reasonable	72:9	228:14,21	4 246:11
301:9,24	107:15	114:23	229:11,19	248:2,6
312:18	208:9	192:7	230:3,6,10	298:19
332:25	reasonably	375:19	231:4	re-condition
342:7	85:7 225:6	recipe	232:4	313:24
348:3,21		170:22	233:5,13	reconfirm
350:7	reasons		234:24,25	48:17
352:25	84:22 92:7	reclaimed	235:24	
355:8	253:20	386:6	240:21	record 30:6
356:3	308:25	reclaiming	312:16,18	81:8 98:16
357:4,5,24	rebuilding	171:18	313:12	102:9
358:6	327:19	reclamation	recommendati	130:2
361:13,25	rec 172:7	53:15	ons 110:13	149:20
362:2,17,1	recalculatio	54:12	164:22	152:10
8	n 137:18	56:16	204:13	158:11
363:8,15,1	recall 83:1	57:14	227:21,23	161:17
6	85:4,16	173:19	229:9	201:8
364:2,5,7,	133:12	350:19	230:18,20,	209:6
10 366:24		382:22	22,23,25	210:4
367:25	recalled	recognize	231:12	286:2
368:24	395:8	40:25	232:8	287:13
371:2		176:7	234:1	288:20
372:24,25	receive	258:20	237:3	307:25
374:9,14,1	186:23	304:9	245:9	309:20
7,21,24	received	341:10,12,	263:20	310:18
380:25	68:19	16,21	267:22	383:11
383:13	85:20	342:2	268:3	389:15
385:15	receiver	344:4	296:15,22,	recorded
390:11	145:11	recognized	25 312:15	15:1
391:21		229:5	313:7	288:19
392:1	receivership	322:17,21	354:12	302:20
393:23	323:4		383:20,21	records 81:9
395:11	receiving	recognizes	recommended	191:12
397:11,14	120:1	172:10	144:7	286:12
realtime	228:4,9,16	209:7	199:7	334:4
129:19	,24 393:21	recognizing	231:9,14	recourse
rear 266:13	recent	119:18	232:13,19	112:13
rearing	120:12	recollection	233:18,24	recover
			234:3	

26:19	91:22	refined	283:16,18	regulator's
recovering	108:4	22:11	310:6	285:17
120:16	133:12	refinements	382:8,19	regulatory
126:3	238:9,10,1	102:2	regenerate	49:23 66:9
243:12	2	refixed	185:18	165:17
recovers	244:12,17,	181:10	regime 13:25	196:23
244:14	19,24	refrain	238:19,25	208:16
245:1	reduces	108:20	region	229:8
recovery	139:11,15,	209:20	100:18	232:3
126:11	18 141:13	refuse 53:22	205:11	241:10
245:7	328:2	55:11	208:6	246:18
400:15	329:2	168:7	regional	264:18,19
recreation	330:10	refuses	236:10	277:9
40:22	reducing	174:20	registry	290:4
330:25	17:5,11	reg 13:25	66:11	296:13
recreational	18:16	regard 62:17	200:12	298:14
42:6 43:17	35:17	64:23	224:22	299:19,20,
45:24	88:14	73:25	225:7	25 321:2
331:18	reduction	206:22	307:16	reha 13:5
351:21	22:12	regarding	regraded	51:8
recycling	157:11	7:4 8:4	22:17	rehabilitate
25:10	reductions	59:11	regrading	325:13
red 24:22	140:6	116:5	134:14,20,	rehabilitate
195:24	reestablish	119:8	22	d 13:5
198:10	134:23	126:19	regret 308:9	51:8
328:16	re-establish	128:9	regular	rehabilitati
378:7	388:22	130:21	36:7,8	ng 257:10
redactions	refer	150:23	74:12	reiter 164:3
71:14,25	270:19,20	152:25	203:18	reiterate
redemption	reference	205:2	regularly	19:7 21:17
117:1	59:2 81:3	227:9	298:9	164:4
redesigned	149:12	233:15	365:7	264:14
234:3	225:6	235:20	regulate	reject 197:4
251:11	269:22	303:22	283:10	relate
redistribute	referencing	344:12	301:8	136:24
d 246:24	257:23	379:5	regulating	180:12
reduce 13:9	referred	382:22	174:17	related
22:15	30:24	388:3	regulation	36:17
35:18	262:22	392:17	175:9	70:12
88:24	referring	regardless	regulations	76:12
89:16,23	47:20	168:8	27:4 28:11	84:15
125:17	64:16	regards 68:6	229:1	109:6
140:22	110:5	144:21	300:10	212:11
232:11	128:15	212:8	regulator	236:22
238:5,19	341:7	229:19	236:21	237:4,24
241:17	refers 96:6	277:4	263:7,24	241:15
325:8	refilled	278:8	297:6	252:12,25
reduced 89:8	42:15	279:9		254:21
		282:19		260:24

263:22,23	186:9	170:8	214:12,24	330:6
268:19	277:20	321:18,20	223:19	331:8,15,1
296:22	280:5	remediation	227:15	6 332:5,13
327:6	rem 177:8	1:5	228:2	356:7,25
335:8	remain 38:24	5:7,11,13,	231:1,6,8	362:14,19
relates	42:19	15,18,19	232:9,13,2	366:7,8
309:11	164:17	10:23	0,22	367:9
relation	165:15	11:2,8,17,	235:17	371:22
75:5 109:6	175:12	24 12:15	236:8,17,2	381:9
129:15	176:5	13:9,19	1	382:15
140:22	234:8	17:24	237:11,21	389:12
158:12	remainder	18:15	239:19	396:1
240:16	131:21	19:17	241:14,15,	400:25
263:4	366:15	20:12 21:5	23,24	remedied
relationship	399:25	24:6 25:24	242:3,6	267:2
356:2	remains	30:11,18	244:11,14	remeding
361:20	58:11	31:5	245:1,13,2	170:8
383:16	228:25	32:3,8,16	0,25	remedy
relative	309:23	37:24	246:7,13,1	380:20
27:22	remark 107:3	38:1,8,10,	5 247:25	remember
relatively	Remarks 5:23	20,25	252:17	94:10
87:4	306:19	20,25	259:2,4,25	100:3
400:25	reme 233:7	39:13	260:24	133:7
relaxed	330:6	41:20	261:3,8,11	149:19
271:25	remed 53:1	42:14,17	,13,15,23,	173:4
relay 352:9	remedation	43:25	24 263:12	192:2
release	400:25	44:2,7,15	264:16,22	316:20
290:6	remedial	45:8,21	265:10	389:23
305:1	235:21	48:20 53:2	266:24	390:25
325:2,11	245:12	56:3	267:6,12	remembering
327:8	267:18	59:6,12	268:4	168:9
355:20	remediate	60:11 65:9	289:15,20,	remind 51:17
released	186:16	76:9	24 290:10	55:9 116:7
70:13	275:21	103:24	291:21	167:1
71:14	316:10	104:8	296:12	177:8
relevant	remediated	114:4	303:23	308:6
76:14,20	24:9 41:2	128:25	304:18	309:5
relied 82:9	126:5	129:15	308:4	reminded
relies 282:6	136:18	130:3,22	309:8,11	399:5
relocating	164:9	139:6,9	311:17	reminds
293:13	242:12	154:15	313:23	278:11
relocation	265:17,20	161:22	315:12	remote
17:4	266:25	163:18,22	318:1,17	16:15,16
reluctant	267:1,10	164:3	319:19	removal
99:1	380:13	166:2	320:22	26:13
rely 174:13	remediating	168:8	321:12,19	113:13
176:10	126:7	172:7	322:13,16,	123:5,6
	127:3	173:15	18,22	207:6
		193:24	323:11,14,	208:24
		194:4	18,21	
		198:16	324:20,22	
		204:20,24	325:1,16	
		205:1,2	326:14	
		207:8,14	329:15	

238:16,23, 25	216:22	78:14	296:21	206:2
remove 25:24	225:8	97:17	327:17	Resolution
removed	231:5	131:9	requires	374:10
164:13	239:18	134:20	116:9	395:10
170:15	254:10,14	172:9	197:13	resolve
208:18	261:12	173:12,18	207:15	88:14
327:25	305:16	175:15	220:5	154:16
removing	323:13,16	241:8	240:8	385:22,24
173:1	324:25	245:14	321:6	387:6
206:3	334:15	283:5	requiring	resource
237:19	335:11	296:15	131:9	111:22
238:4	reports	322:10	rerouting	197:1
325:9	203:10	331:21	327:19	277:17
repair	242:23	required	rescue	306:22
330:21	273:9	20:3	360:25	308:18
332:8	represent	21:22,24	361:8	resources
repeat 99:1	7:6 157:16	31:9 38:4	research	15:24
repeated	170:15	44:1 47:5	164:19,20	107:19
66:3,16	205:18	49:12	165:3	238:13
68:4	227:12	50:10 60:2	314:3,5	319:18
repeatedly	344:9	79:13	387:23	360:14
212:12	representati	132:20	researchers	371:7
repeating	ve 64:17	134:24	118:19	respect 37:5
209:21	326:21	149:13	reserved	109:5
rephrase	representati	165:4	41:25	120:22
57:7	ves 161:4	168:13	residence	139:21
rephrased	represented	173:20	42:11	149:4
52:20	227:1	175:23	395:9	181:7,25
replace 79:6	representing	206:12	residency	185:14
replacement	372:7	208:14,16	144:4	239:12
111:3	380:17	233:13	resident	241:14
reply 97:17	represents	234:19	146:21	263:19
98:25	172:18	240:12	319:21	264:2
288:24	reprocessing	242:11	residential	280:8,12
289:5	28:24	246:1	24:18	349:11,15
repopulate	request	254:21	34:12	372:4
185:23	68:4,6,18	259:21	40:15,16	respected
repopulation	82:25	281:7	42:10,16	361:15,22
185:14	98:20 99:2	333:17	43:16	respectfully
report 82:10	requested	requirement	45:25	201:9
110:12,15	258:23	112:13	171:16,21	209:18
118:16	259:17	149:13,25	231:16,25	225:23
131:1	293:10	239:10	331:18	respecting
143:11	requests	242:6	395:10	229:9
197:18	66:3,16	245:22	residents	respectively
199:12,24	194:25	246:4	307:4	103:9
	248:12	requirements	316:23	respond
	require 21:9	35:18 48:3	residue	142:23
		150:6		162:4
		231:18		

224:9,12	368:15	327:18	188:20	126:14
258:14	rest 73:5	restrict	238:18,24	127:8
265:1	105:25	19:18	361:4	128:21
responded	274:2	restricted	394:25	130:19,24
186:3	373:17	148:15	returned	132:8,10
196:24	386:11,20,	restricting	51:7	134:1
199:15	25	19:23	365:18	135:1
234:16	restate	20:13	367:1	158:9,17
responds	158:8	restrictive	returning	159:20
197:2	restoration	207:24	163:23	160:2,17,2
response 7:3	51:20,21	result 29:23	reuse 25:10	2 175:13
58:25	52:16	30:13 40:3	27:7	203:4,14
68:5,19	122:6,12	176:20	revegetate	204:2
133:6	167:24	231:21	235:2	207:25
145:22	169:5	238:9	revegetated	209:7
196:25	223:13	272:3	196:6	219:19
221:11	239:8	332:6	329:18	220:9,13
222:6,16	242:4,10	results 35:8	revegetation	236:24
224:6	245:4,7,17	126:9	195:15	241:10
225:13	,21	168:9	review	248:14
226:24	246:1,9,14	231:23	1:3,10	250:17
227:8	,16 259:9	243:9	17:21 18:1	251:17
268:18	260:2	246:16	49:23	253:2,22
279:20	261:4	247:3	50:10	255:1,3
335:1	262:10,11	254:16	67:15,22	262:13
responses	264:16,22	256:9	68:18	263:10,14,
39:16	265:8,18,2	290:22	70:19	16 264:25
41:13	5 266:9	314:20	71:16 77:7	268:6
82:25	267:13,14	327:22	78:4	269:9,25
92:18	268:1	resuming	79:2,15	270:11
165:11	272:23	72:10	80:7,12	273:6,18,2
175:15	restore 51:1	114:24	81:12 82:5	4 274:7,9
responsibili	168:21	192:8	84:5	275:24
ties	214:25	375:20	86:1,3	284:21
169:14	220:8	retain 196:4	87:16 90:3	285:21
263:24	242:11	retained	93:6 94:22	286:2
280:1,2	267:19	22:3	96:10	303:21
responsibili	275:21	re-treat	97:10	304:16
ty 263:7	278:17	312:12	100:7,21	305:8,13
271:21	325:14	retroactive	102:6	306:21
277:6	367:5	204:9	104:14	307:8,13
279:14	374:20	retroactivel	105:11,14	308:3
281:18	restored	y 77:7	107:1	309:13
283:8,11,1	92:24	return 15:2	108:8	311:11
2 292:8	167:18	50:22	109:18	313:11
responsible	169:2	105:2	110:9,11,1	316:7
232:3	265:16	143:20	4 115:13	318:1
277:5	restoring	148:5	116:18	321:10
305:19	170:9		118:7	336:18
323:10	220:10		123:18	341:25
355:19	267:14		125:9	384:3

402:1	rings	risks	75:3,12	299:16
reviewed	95:17,22	29:4,10,11	76:23	room 115:16
63:18	riparian	,22 99:12	95:1,8,21	133:18
109:12	244:21	113:17,19	96:13	308:14
110:9	riprap 22:20	139:10	112:1	356:17
161:8	23:4	140:22	113:18	roots 196:8
reviewing	247:10,20	157:17	114:10	235:6,10
159:24	rises 400:10	198:21	116:25	251:13
reviews	risk	201:12	129:17	Rose
158:19	13:1,2,9	230:1	173:23	344:3,7,8
159:13	17:5,11	232:11	194:6,12	352:19,20
160:11	18:16	237:10	199:20	Ross 4:19
161:6	38:20,22	275:14	202:5,17	61:9
revolving	67:20 70:8	293:24	203:7,14,2	210:23,24
194:5	88:11,12,1	299:4	5 208:22	236:9,10
ri 186:9	5,25	320:8	324:5	253:18
Richard	89:5,23	325:8	330:2	262:1,2
1:11,14	90:7	329:2	377:6,7,11	264:11,12
142:10,12	91:4,13,21	330:10	roasters	266:6
143:16,17	97:14	332:10	140:14	272:15
144:10,11	106:9	river 34:8	roasting	275:12
215:24,25	107:16,24	144:15	325:22	276:13
289:8,9,23	108:10,13,	179:20	robust 94:13	279:19
290:13	15,17,18	205:6	333:22	284:3
291:18	118:16	213:2	Rocher 393:5	286:18,19
294:7	121:19	269:17	rock 15:18	290:18,21
303:20	139:8,11,1	313:1	19:5 21:21	291:2,3,8
304:14	7 141:13	356:12	22:20	292:19
306:9,10	144:2	360:9	23:23	294:25
Rick 4:18	157:11	363:21	24:2,4,21	298:8
375:7,11	170:24	364:25	27:7 59:13	299:15
Ricki 3:16	173:9,11	365:14,15,	91:21	300:23
Ricky 193:19	174:15	20 391:18	92:9,14	rotate 372:2
rid 325:10	197:16	393:5	142:15	rough 155:16
359:1	233:20	394:12,14	181:1	162:9
ride 225:1	237:12	400:10	187:25	roughly
rights	241:17	rivers	188:8,9,11	137:11
169:23	255:20,25	360:10	,17 302:6	155:16
205:22	256:9,10,1	365:21	325:20	223:12
206:10,14	2 258:6	road 39:4	326:24	339:18
208:5	259:20	43:14	356:15	round 99:3
279:7	266:8,10	158:1	rocks 182:6	223:7
282:19	271:7,24	roads 169:21	370:16	244:5
rights-	272:22,23	roadway	role 263:22	route 74:13
bearing	273:1	40:21	278:12	148:16
205:18	284:9	43:10,12	296:2,13	203:19
ring 95:18	290:22,23	roaster	299:6	223:9,11,2
	319:24	27:10	314:24	1 240:24
	322:18	29:4,25	362:21	350:3,12
	328:2	30:3 32:7	roles 298:4	
	330:4	73:25		
	342:24			

routed 293:24		324:23	109:11	103:19
routing 293:25	<u>S</u>	328:7,11	386:1,2,17	104:24
Royal 323:3	sa 22:14	330:10,22,	satisfy	141:2
royalties 370:16	sad 357:4	25 333:18	251:5	Schmidtke
royalty 316:2	358:11	345:18	285:19	3:11 12:7
Rudy 3:11	362:17	377:10,22,	save	23:19,20
12:7	safe 75:18	23	359:8,12	54:3
23:18,19,2	114:5	Sahtu 306:16	saw 92:8	111:11
0 30:5	143:25	sake 225:23	94:12	131:14
32:8 54:3	146:5	226:2	126:16	133:3
96:3	164:8,14	282:14	206:22	135:17
111:11	170:14	salmon	369:24,25	150:9,18
131:14	171:25	277:11	395:14	151:3,14
133:3	172:4,5	samples	scale 154:22	school 34:15
135:17	180:11	146:1	245:15	37:19
140:5	182:3	285:13	scales	72:17,22
150:8,9,18	206:5	286:7	158:22	192:14,18
151:3,14	252:19	sampling	scared 378:9	395:10
173:22	258:22	145:25	400:17	science
ruined	293:7	229:22	scares	72:21
379:15,19,	317:20	237:11	400:16	340:6,12
24	319:4	Sangris	scattered	science-
rule 272:8	330:14	4:5,8,10	27:17	based
run 33:21	332:2	163:24	58:14	237:6
150:24	350:19,22	164:6	328:16	scientific
151:4	368:20	169:20	scenario	251:15
196:5	396:20	170:13,14	31:4 77:18	271:9,18,2
315:11,13	safeguarded	304:10	94:14	3 272:1
338:18	169:22	311:1,6,7	97:20	342:7
365:21	safeguards	312:5	98:20	scientifically 232:25
running	300:16	313:19	147:6	scientist
124:23	safely 76:5	315:8	334:5	158:23
145:13	378:14	316:6,16	scenarios	scientists
308:11	safest	319:15	122:14	186:15
331:23	300:13	341:12,14	schedule	Scodra 394:2
340:16	safety	352:21,23	11:6 18:4	scope 18:9
runoff	19:2,17	354:4	scheduling	46:8,14,23
117:22	20:13	369:4,18	18:12	47:17
runs 33:22	26:15	370:10	scheme 44:25	71:21
242:14	29:22	375:23	169:16	123:7
313:1	63:11	376:3,4	173:19	176:8,9
324:11	113:7	396:6,11,1	Schmidt	199:22
rut 132:2	125:2	2 398:22	81:5,6	201:10,15
	169:2	401:20,22	82:22	207:22,24
	170:16	Sarah 4:17	85:15	309:6
	205:3	sat 137:14	86:24,25	313:23
	293:4	satisfactory	87:25	scoped
	319:9	386:13,16	88:22	
	321:24	satisfied		
	322:25			

160:10	110:24	110:6	169:11	1:24
scoping	143:7,17	116:6,17	189:19	195:22
113:8	155:8	167:12	265:9	381:24
scrapers	156:21	229:23	335:3	sequence
25:12	162:17	230:2	361:24	109:15
scraps	166:24	231:1	369:22	series 22:19
390:19	193:16	235:16	390:16	153:19
screen 41:22	195:25	239:24	seep 142:17	serious
62:1 64:25	223:7	240:2	seepage	106:10
69:16	229:18	245:10	13:18	374:14
screening	230:15	247:8,18	18:18	391:22
262:18	241:13	258:24	selected	seriously
scroll 69:4	270:24	259:7	103:8	292:22
scrolled	291:18	327:13,22,	108:16	312:16
200:14	318:8	24 360:17	267:12	serve 273:13
scrub 356:25	325:4	sediments	selecting	serves 121:9
sculpin	333:18	116:21	108:11	172:25
244:10	secondary	156:18	245:12	service
se 111:24	125:15	235:20	selection	79:13
118:2	second-last	241:19	84:15	services
148:14	175:2	257:2,6	107:16	74:7,10
seal 206:1	Secondly	260:5	235:21	75:1,12
sealed 91:21	173:15	324:12	Senate 177:6	202:17
106:19	section	seeing 45:2	send 305:17	203:18
208:18	15:8,12	103:6	358:18	281:13
328:10	111:21	seek 66:8	SENES 3:3	282:4
searchable	112:13	204:7	231:5	322:10,22
307:17	145:10	222:7	322:14	331:11
season	201:5	225:25	senior 70:9	session 1:21
232:15,17,	205:18	226:1	320:17	5:22
21 290:15	208:5	399:22	sense 70:14	48:14,25
seasonal	240:18	Seeke	144:14	183:16
243:1	244:18	304:3,8	160:22	200:18
seasonal-	254:13	seeks 106:1	277:5	295:2
based	sections	seem 116:8	279:8	352:24
239:6	327:20,25	144:14	287:17	353:12
seat 388:14	sector	seems 144:12	328:22	398:25
seats 9:9	168:14	167:21	sensitive	sessions
second	secure 53:22	169:3	125:13	75:6 85:5
14:18,23,2	55:7	174:6,8	sent 28:17	104:11
5 18:18	56:2,19	185:19,21	353:19	113:8
48:6	65:24	200:2	383:8	165:8
50:2,20	securing	218:7,9	393:3	194:24
64:20	326:16	263:13	separate	set-back
103:4	security	349:2	22:16	233:15,18
	172:3	355:24	33:25	set-backs
	sediment	374:15	249:4	233:24
	17:14,19,2	400:12	September	setting
	3 46:16	seen 16:3		
		44:22		

162:4	103:25	shoot 339:5	386:8	y 99:23
settle	share 17:21	shore 42:8	shown 14:17	139:15
134:21	187:10	180:17	19:14	331:5
settlement	308:20	216:20	42:12	Sikyea
134:16,19	317:25	shoreline	92:17	392:9,15,1
357:12	319:22	40:15	120:13	6 395:24
settling	320:5	244:23	149:25	Silcock 2:19
134:4,8	352:17	short 49:8	246:22	silent 308:2
seven 51:12	shared 40:1	50:24 87:4	328:20	silt 22:15
173:8	41:7	92:21 99:5	shows 20:17	silty 22:15
354:21	214:15	100:9	24:19	Silzer
377:5	215:5,6	147:16	31:21	192:16,17
seventeen	shares	150:2	63:25	similar
257:5	308:20	160:15	94:24	13:22 26:5
seventy	sharing	161:12	169:18	81:16,17
365:10,13	211:13	201:13	171:6,9	171:7
seventy-	215:9	221:12	323:23	Simon 2:4
seven	217:3,15	323:19	shutdown	211:12
369:16	389:9	344:14	37:3	simple 44:23
seventy-two	shee 146:9	369:3	390:14	64:9
394:5	151:7	shortcoming	shuttle	97:17,18
several 14:3	213:17	207:2	224:25	168:17
21:18,21	215:24	shortcomings	shy 316:18	171:19
65:21 87:5	277:1	207:3	si 113:20	289:12
111:24	286:16	shorten	sick 347:5	291:6
117:14	289:7	45:18	360:19	simply 12:3
120:14	sheer 173:10	208:12	366:21	110:2
121:10	sheet 232:10	short-lived	368:7	127:1
126:3	shell 93:17	332:14	378:3,11	164:14
128:17	shellfish	short-time	393:3	170:23
200:24	277:12	146:21	sight 15:6	334:10
261:13,23	she's	shot 20:17	signage 20:1	Simps 391:18
296:22	227:22,23	57:10	signed 371:2	Simpson
304:25	257:25	248:21	significance	306:6
354:14	343:1	285:21	305:12	391:19
severe 257:3	344:3	should've	343:14	simultaneous
sh 134:19	shims 29:16	57:14	significant	ly 307:19
shake 188:3	shiners	shovel	99:9 108:3	singing 71:4
190:21	244:10	135:10	169:6	single
shaky 202:6	ship 179:10	189:19	172:19	168:24
Shania 193:2	227:18	190:14	173:11,17	sink 195:6
Shannon 2:5	shipped	shovelling	196:16,17	sinkhole
130:20,23,	28:16	189:20	207:2	20:8
24	179:14	showed 28:7	219:21	siphons
132:9,10	188:23	97:23	224:5	94:15
135:6	shook 179:19	showing	311:14	
shape 83:24		14:14 93:8	322:3	
		126:2,8	significantl	

sir 34:14	,23 67:13	233:22	207:14	31:16
37:18	70:7,22	243:17,19	269:5	238:3
90:23	74:2 89:4	245:1	270:6	size 33:7,8
109:3	104:12	252:17	site-wide	36:16
111:19	106:2	265:10,16,	38:6	137:15
120:5	111:1,7,8,	23 267:1,4	171:11	247:20
121:25	13,14,16	268:24	sits 98:23	321:6
143:7	112:5,10	269:6	sitting	339:14
152:9	113:5,17	273:15	72:24	356:17
sisters	114:2	276:10	140:11	skip 198:7
373:25	116:25	278:18	189:5	Slack 4:6
sit	117:23	291:22,23	214:1,2	49:6,7
177:17,21	118:2,5,20	292:11	301:23	50:4,7,21
187:21	,23	313:22,24	302:15	51:14,25
301:6	119:2,10	318:17,18,	353:6	52:10,14
310:15	124:23	23	355:5	53:9 54:7
356:18	127:4	319:10,23	358:5,16	55:4
375:24	128:10,15,	320:8	369:10	56:8,13
site 21:13	17,18	321:14,18,	situated	57:9,22
22:6,7	129:12,23	20	382:17	165:17,23,
23:22,25	130:1,5,7,	322:12,18,	situation	24 211:18
24:5,8,9	25 131:7	22,24	84:3	212:1
25:4,20	137:11	323:5,10,2	102:13	218:25
26:11,23,2	138:1,11,1	4 324:7,12	103:23	222:8
4 27:18,23	3 142:14	325:4,12,1	104:9,12	224:18,24
28:16,17,2	144:23	5,17 327:3	106:8	225:3,4
0 29:1	145:9	328:17	203:9	249:24
30:12,15	148:6,14	329:2,13	277:20	Slave 3:18
31:6,10,25	155:1	330:9	372:1	10:9 11:4
33:15,21	156:16	331:15,17	380:21	58:19,20
34:2,7	163:3	332:11,13	situations	59:3,21
35:23 36:1	167:14	333:18	112:22	60:1,6,15,
37:11,13,2	170:13,14	334:1,12	169:13	22 61:2
3	171:15,18,	345:7	271:15	116:22
38:4,9,15,	23 172:4,5	346:11	six 33:23	142:18
16 39:3,5	173:6	367:4	61:24	143:10
40:10,12,1	174:9,12,1	371:17	62:11	144:6
3,25 41:1	7,22	384:1,5	73:21	146:22
43:4,7,8,9	177:21,22	386:7	133:8	147:8,10,1
,12,16,22,	179:13,23	399:5	135:18	9,24
24,25	180:1	sites 112:17	158:25	148:1,5,24
44:21	194:10	115:24	244:2	161:24
46:7,9,19	197:21	128:2,6	256:5	193:7
47:4 48:2	198:10,21	237:8	384:3,25	204:17,25
53:14,18	199:14	252:21	sixteen	205:3,8,13
54:10,14,2	200:14	356:22	324:3	,17,20
3	201:10,12	367:1	393:15	206:10,13,
55:16,17,2	202:3,25	site's	sixty 174:2	18,24
1 57:5	203:11,12	167:13	sixty-five	207:17,21,
58:2,4,6,1	205:7	site-specific		23 209:8
5 63:2,16	208:25	63:11		210:15
64:5,11,18	214:11,13			213:18
	223:19			
	231:7			

215:16	198:8	99:25	130:25	159:11
220:21	336:16,18,	138:10	328:18	190:16
242:16	22 337:19	150:14	solace 172:3	224:10
250:8	slides 25:2	smell 346:19	sole 67:25	390:1,2,3,
278:6,19,2	58:23	347:5	solid 199:5	21
3 279:1	62:19 92:8	smelter	solution	somewhere
312:20	99:14	115:24	208:23	138:12
313:2	109:6	128:2,6	212:5	141:9
326:7	168:19,25	Smith 306:10	214:23	144:15
334:24	310:6	smoke 373:12	223:16	155:1,15,2
386:24	317:4	377:12,13	315:1	1,23
sleeping	slightly	Smoky 382:19	348:10	156:11,12,
390:1	52:12	smoothly	399:17,21	17 292:6
slide	83:21	307:7	400:24	341:13
12:16,23	99:20	snow 182:24	solutions	342:21
13:8	104:1	SO2 33:18	173:13	347:18
14:1,14,21	slimy 244:10	social 208:8	176:4	sorry 12:4
15:3,15,19	slope 19:3	271:21	315:22	16:25 37:9
16:5,10,22	slopes 15:18	281:13	381:1,2	50:4 52:10
17:3,13	19:4,13	282:4	399:20	57:22
18:7,14,25	slow 387:5	305:10	solve 112:20	61:20,21,2
19:6,13,14	slowly	socioeconomi	172:19	2 66:21
,16	307:23	c 175:19	somebody 9:4	73:21 74:4
20:11,16,2	346:21,22	176:8	135:8	81:22
1 21:4,17	391:13	211:18	191:12	88:22 91:3
22:8,22	slow-moving	212:3	218:19	102:19
23:10	238:8	343:13	351:20	105:21
24:7,8,19,	sludge 27:9	382:19	352:3	110:8
25 25:8,22	28:19	soft 25:14	363:12	123:15
26:12,25	132:12,13,	378:7,10,1	368:16	153:12,14,
27:14,21	17	1	378:9	16 166:21
28:7,8,21	133:5,9,12	soil 15:18	somebody's	191:18
29:2,12,20	sludges	23:21	362:9	193:14
30:10,22	132:22	24:21,23,2	368:15	198:9,20
31:21	small 13:21	5 26:3,13	someday	200:1,4
32:22	15:12	59:13	401:15	202:22
33:19,24	54:24	111:6	somehow	212:21
34:5,12,16	90:17 98:3	131:3,8	94:15	221:19,20
35:8,21	170:17	207:6	345:22	226:19
36:9 37:8	327:2	328:14,21,	362:3,9	246:20
38:12,18	328:5	23 329:1	someone	257:20
51:19	331:20	soils 23:23	35:23,25	258:11
52:15 53:1	332:14	24:2,9	122:2,12	260:16
62:24	339:13,19	32:8,10	212:25	264:21
94:23,25	348:21	110:25	someplace	266:17,20
96:2,3,7	368:6	111:3	111:8	275:4
111:13	395:11	116:25	sometime	286:18
139:5,7,9,	smaller	117:7,16	18:5	289:20
11 140:23				291:17
149:19				307:22
150:1				308:14
166:24				309:3,14
168:22				

339:5	Sparks 4:12	374:15	282:20	spent 44:11
sort 15:11	61:4,5	375:3,4	283:1	333:25
25:3 77:1	210:18,19	380:3,4	specific	371:17
134:3	227:16,17	381:18	38:6 53:20	385:9
138:25	230:15	392:8	55:7	spill 89:24
139:7,12	251:9	speaker	56:14,18	97:2 141:9
180:10	268:22	181:11	57:16 64:3	spillage
195:23	269:14	274:6	67:10	390:20
200:19	270:5	361:24,25	100:16	spilled
218:2,15	295:6	378:20	102:3	328:14
259:21	spawn	speakers	127:2	spillway
300:18	147:9,23,2	388:7	129:10,14,17	21:22,24
310:25	4 148:1,4	speaking	138:2,15,1	spillways
326:20	164:10	59:16	7 141:12	329:17
385:3	266:13	155:13	166:1	spined 244:6
390:7	278:18,22,	184:3	198:25	spoke 117:23
sorting	23,24	190:7	199:11	169:20
200:20	279:5	253:7	219:21	170:13,14
sorts 198:5	283:2	274:6	253:8	214:13
sound	spawning	320:25	324:25	282:2
216:11,25	121:10	344:18	383:25	399:11
232:25	238:10	379:5	specifically	spoken
263:11	242:20,22	388:9,12	20:2	213:25
272:2	243:17,25	speaks 80:22	53:4,18	220:21
sounds	244:1,8	142:1	54:14	306:16
255:16	266:16	special	60:13	311:8
294:13	279:6	72:14	83:1,8	346:2
soup 366:18	spawns	specialist	95:24	376:5
source 111:5	312:25	165:17	143:1	spokesperson
212:23	spe 121:10	specializes	237:4	s 60:10
224:20	129:10	343:3	320:23	spread
225:13	speak 30:6	species	specificatio	162:11
265:21	59:11 75:1	118:21,22	ns 29:11	308:23
360:14	140:5	119:2	234:13	spring 87:8
sources	166:1	120:14,15	specifics	242:24
30:14 31:3	169:12	121:10	166:2	243:6,7
32:17,23,2	177:4,16,1	125:2,7,13	specified	317:23
5	8 183:22	126:4	81:21	square
33:12,13,1	189:3	127:20	specifies	145:19
7 111:14	190:6	146:18,23,	149:6	squirt 339:4
117:23	204:23	24 147:15	speculative	squirts
south	284:5	148:6,20	77:15	339:2
20:7,8,23	307:23	185:17	speech 380:2	SRK 3:9
21:16,23	316:19,21	233:20	speed 200:22	322:14
32:4	318:25	242:23	spend 146:22	SS 71:21
space 40:17	343:23	243:1,14,1	spending	St 72:17,22
span 257:8	346:6	5 244:5,9	331:11	
spans 79:4	353:8	256:8		
	355:3,6,10	279:10		
	363:6	281:15		
	368:23			

192:18	269:9,25	171:19	353:22	218:5
stability	270:11	178:13	356:8	station
18:16	273:6,24	231:25	370:4	34:14
89:20	274:7,9	313:24	390:7	81:10
132:2	288:16	330:24	393:17	stations
230:7	303:16	standing	starting	38:13
327:15	305:23	359:2	40:13	128:18
stabilizatio	307:11	372:4	163:14	statistician
n 64:23	321:7	standpoint	202:6,23	84:24
67:13	342:7,11	140:9	203:21	status 229:5
70:22	389:8	Stanton	263:10	statutory
200:14	398:23	368:3	353:24	272:6
201:10	402:1	stars 367:18	starts	stay 73:6
202:25	stage 159:20	start 9:9,10	232:23	169:8
323:21	234:17	10:19	390:15	stays 378:1
326:15	stages 45:1	30:10 49:9	state 107:6	steadfast
stabilize	243:2,24	71:4 72:13	123:13	380:2
106:2	305:5	74:24	243:6	steep 16:20
stabilized	stake 311:16	115:2,8,9	260:21	223:11
241:21	stakeholder	120:11	277:5	step 150:11
stabilizes	18:23	162:24	307:25	162:21
228:20	58:25	163:23	318:19	297:10
229:17	60:12	178:20	319:23	368:24
stabilizing	stakeholders	192:12	323:5	step-by-step
326:16	21:9 42:21	199:12	389:14	391:23
stabin	44:4 53:3	221:16	stated 60:10	stepped
326:16	59:1 65:25	223:18	124:3	390:22,23
stable 19:4	66:14	279:20	163:24	steps
134:9	110:14	304:2	164:6	59:8,14
Stacy 2:8	325:7	310:3	170:14,20	75:16
staff 2:2	334:23	317:1	172:17	153:21
66:7,10	stamped	318:24	175:14	272:9
78:5,7	203:10	336:22	187:16	314:6
79:15	stand 342:25	337:1	212:18	stewards
80:7,13	351:5	338:11	241:22	205:24
81:12 82:5	362:13	340:25	242:5	Stewart
84:5	375:24	365:12	324:24	381:25
86:1,3	standard	375:23	statement	382:21
87:16,18	19:24	383:17,18	113:4	stick 86:13
130:24	25:25 41:3	390:16	122:11	91:5
132:8	42:10,20	393:10	130:6	177:10
135:2	83:2	402:24	153:25	stickleback
193:18	137:15	started	256:22	244:6
211:4,7	207:15	22:25	statements	Stocktin
250:14,18	327:13	176:21,23	130:2	193:1
251:17	330:12,14	179:24	161:13	
252:14	standards	190:3	165:1	
253:6,23	24:10	195:14	states 271:5	
255:1,4	42:13,16	197:10	349:12	
	50:17 63:4	290:23	stating	
		319:7		
		323:2		

stomach	79:24	247:6	195:12	334:13
378:6	80:1,3		246:23	335:19
stop 72:5	story 294:13	structures	247:10	336:6
164:24	326:1	26:20,22	248:13	successful
318:7	352:6,7	27:18	submission	266:15
348:9	357:7,22	213:1	48:2	succession
368:11	377:7	232:15	296:14	235:3
399:20	stovepipe	struggles	submissions	sucker
stopes 18:20	188:12,15	269:15	146:1	243:22,23
19:10	straight	struggling	285:6	suckers
20:15	135:12	189:22	submit 44:19	244:10
90:11,20	180:13	Struvic	196:21	suddenly
95:16	188:13	193:2	submitted	90:19
136:4	228:4	students	17:19	suffered
324:14	straightforw	72:18	67:21	315:20
325:19,25	ard 53:12	73:10	70:19	316:1
326:22	strange	192:15,19	175:11	suffering
stopping	144:13	studied	239:9	188:22,25
328:11	strategies	361:13	245:21	371:8
storage	231:11	studies	subsequent	sufficient
20:19	strategy	36:16	117:3	132:18
154:17,24	40:6 65:23	243:9	335:11	133:18
179:16	stream 13:6	246:21	subsidence	167:25
183:7	125:18	247:2	114:1	174:12
238:1	127:22	254:1,8,9,	134:3	176:15
store 183:8	141:6	17,23	substance	225:12
398:8,9	239:5	269:17	117:4	234:22
stored	244:25	285:3	359:20	235:5
177:23	streams	339:22	360:16	340:23
178:1,2	121:9	340:3	substances	sufficiently
190:11	street 135:8	386:15	116:14,24	209:13
325:18,23	136:1	studying	128:25	suggest
381:4	strengthened	361:20	240:19	49:11
397:15	335:25	stuff 174:9	255:19	88:25
398:14	stretching	292:9	260:10	168:5
stories	40:20	377:2,3,8,	substantial	225:24
184:25	stretching	13,14	208:10	256:9
185:6,7	40:20	subject	209:16	336:25
186:17	strive 176:4	71:23 72:2	substantiall	suggesting
187:10	strong 217:2	79:17	y 30:13	50:8
189:4	359:16	111:23	substrate	279:14
191:11	378:1	130:22	247:18	suggestions
217:16	strongly	165:2	success	174:18
316:9	164:20	262:18	121:19	suitability
398:3	struck	263:15	122:21	41:4 287:9
399:6	148:11	314:22	166:16	suitable
storing	structure	subjected	242:21	42:3 43:14
159:21	89:2 107:5	242:3	245:8	223:23
storm 13:13		submerged		
16:7,13,15				

242:22	296:16	340:10	surficial	Swan 208:25
suitcases	313:20	342:16	117:1	swim 279:1
362:21	322:15	348:6	surprised	swimming
sulfur	371:14	349:4	279:11	350:20
355:16	372:23	358:8	surrounding	364:15
sulphate	384:11	359:21	148:22	switch 265:3
228:14,22	401:25	378:10	268:24	switches
sulphur	supported	397:17,25	325:12	28:4
33:18	30:23	surely	328:9	system 43:6
34:21	194:20	116:18	surroundings	49:24
sum 113:24	supporting	surface	106:23	78:25 93:1
219:11	117:20	5:7,11,13,	survey	99:20
summaries	118:17	15,18,19	167:12	120:15,16
254:16	239:9	10:23	242:25	121:12
summarize	254:11	11:2,8,16,	surveyed	125:6
47:13	291:21	24 12:14	232:24	126:2,4,6,
summarized	292:3,10	18:21	surveying	8,12
254:12,24	323:17	19:19	190:4	145:20
summarizes	supportive	20:5,10,24	Surveys	146:5,19,2
32:22	264:16	22:13	233:2	0,22,25
118:18	276:11	24:14	survive	150:4
summary	supports	26:7,23	91:15,16	154:9
332:3	44:6	27:16	370:23	157:2
summer	334:16,17,	39:13	397:3	160:20
113:24	18	44:17	survived	161:3
237:15,16,	supposed	78:12	357:19	243:12
19	346:4	93:10,12	359:4,11,1	244:13
238:5,12,2	349:15	94:12	4 373:17	308:19,24
1	suppression	110:25	399:7	326:22
sun 400:10	231:11	113:24	401:18	331:23
Sundberg	sure 18:4	136:6,8	sus 33:6	333:7,18
344:3,7,8	56:9 57:19	137:12,21	Susan 3:19	334:9,10
352:20	69:2 94:5	161:21	58:22,23	337:19
supper	97:8 159:4	162:9	60:7,8	systems
301:19	161:1	163:18,22	204:21,22	43:10,12,1
supply 31:12	169:1	193:23	250:9	4 272:4
98:1	171:2	194:3	suspect	333:15,20
support	196:13	204:20,23	170:5	
25:15 29:7	199:2,19	205:2	suspected	<hr/> T <hr/>
57:21	201:5	206:6	33:6 271:7	table 5:1
65:24	225:6	207:6,8	sustain	6:1 72:13
125:18	255:21	208:17	401:3	86:6 115:2
193:18	277:11	227:14	swales 82:1	140:21
234:4	282:23	236:8	swallow	158:3
237:7	289:2	238:1	314:10	187:13
256:8	292:8	239:24	358:6	214:1,3
276:16	307:6	304:25		337:3,13
	310:23	325:4		343:8
	314:15	329:6		359:22
	316:10	330:17		382:2
	332:1	390:15		

383:3,7	199:9	267:13	333:4	337:6,15
384:7,17	230:25	286:1	370:5	341:23
385:1	233:25	287:17	371:14	342:2,3,14
389:8	234:2,3,8,	303:17	372:24	343:5
tabled	11,12,21	316:24	379:3,12	362:14,19
383:10	235:2,4,10	317:5	380:3,6,7,	370:8
Tachecheli	,14 236:23	335:20	11,23	390:18
389:19,20	246:20,22,	340:12	381:2,3	393:4,13
392:7	23	342:14	387:20	394:18,21
tail 44:23	247:10,11,	343:10	388:2	401:25
tailing	13,15	344:11	390:16	teams 189:25
129:16	248:1,4,5,	345:2,11	397:9,13	tech 273:7
182:2,3	10,13	379:25	talks 136:3	techni
251:4	249:21	380:24	201:12	337:14
370:9	251:11	381:1,3	380:9	technical
tailings	305:1	389:21	task 314:25	2:11,12,13
12:17	324:9,10	392:4	tax 393:22	,14 67:14
20:16,17,2	328:16,17,	395:25	teach 187:10	78:1,6
2	18	397:11	teacher	85:5 90:13
21:6,14,15	329:5,9,12	talked 23:20	72:15,18	91:11
22:4,9,17,	,16,18,21	25:23	192:13,17	104:11
23	346:14,17,	91:4,5	teaching	123:18
23:8,10,11	19	123:25	215:7	140:7
25:5,14	taking 15:3	167:20	team 40:2	141:24,25
28:15,23	80:15	175:4,8	48:8 49:21	194:24
31:24 32:4	92:11	220:6	50:14	199:12
39:4 40:19	182:9	368:4	59:20	200:18
42:4 54:23	202:4	381:24	60:20 63:7	210:6
55:17 58:6	269:2	396:20	65:16 67:8	211:2,4
59:12	271:12,16	talking	68:11,16	215:18
78:15 79:5	280:23	15:23 16:1	74:24	237:2
81:16,21	302:24	92:21	77:14	250:12,15,
82:1,3,14	344:25	106:3	110:1	19 253:2
96:4	371:18	125:12	113:3	261:6
100:10,11	388:20	127:16	123:18	265:3
101:8,21	talk 9:4	135:13	130:12	269:9,25
113:25	12:14	139:3,17	137:10,25	270:11
131:5,25	18:25	149:3,5	138:3	273:6,7,24
132:4	37:10 94:3	168:15	140:2	296:14
134:2,9,14	106:25	175:21	142:22	310:22
136:9,17,1	122:13	179:7	162:7	321:9
8 138:9	139:22	180:4,5,6	171:22	322:14,15
142:14	162:21	182:2,8	223:7	337:5,14
162:8,11,1	167:4	190:10	239:19	technician
4 163:3	168:3,25	191:6	317:20,24	61:15
164:16	175:3,19	200:18,20	318:17	techniques
172:16,18,	180:15	202:8,21	319:3	83:15
24	184:17	258:1	321:9,10,2	technologies
173:5,14	194:11,21	265:7,18	0,21	133:20
194:8,9	200:13	267:14	322:11,15	348:7
195:3,6	217:16	277:22	332:23	
196:9,12	219:15	300:7		
	260:1,17	321:3		

technology	92:22,23	Terra 193:1	228:10,18	73:7,9,10
158:9	term 19:13	terribly	229:2,10,1	74:17
159:23	30:11 42:1	194:19	2	76:6,16
164:19,21	92:22	territorial	tests 229:16	77:8,9,12,
309:22	97:18	307:2	Tha 107:16	20,21,24,2
364:21	101:9	368:5	thank 11:25	5 78:10,19
technology's	106:14	territories	15:15	79:1,3,8,1
348:11	117:4	15:24	16:22	0,22
teens 191:22	119:17	23:16	23:17,19	80:6,20
telecom	150:7	37:18	24:8 27:14	81:11,13,1
97:25	157:18	55:10 81:1	30:4,5	4,18,22
temperatures	201:13	83:15	39:11,23	82:4,6,17
195:7	219:12	116:1	41:18	84:4 85:10
229:22	255:21	192:21	42:24	86:19
temporary	260:25	199:1	43:1,21	87:15,18,2
107:5	318:23	257:13	44:10	3
157:21	325:2	281:6,13,2	45:9,16,19	88:5,7,9,1
332:12	326:15	5 282:5,8	46:4	7
ten 10:11	331:22	319:19	47:13,15,2	90:1,2,4,2
44:21 72:5	terms 10:15	321:2	0,21,23	2,25
125:12	11:6 40:9	322:7	48:5,9,10,	91:1,7
157:25	46:15 47:3	323:10	21,23	93:5,19
158:9,16,1	82:13	331:13	49:4,15	94:21
8,21,25	83:22	332:4	50:1,7,11,	95:12
159:6,16,1	85:21	341:5	18,19,21	96:9,15,21
9,24,25	135:10	347:11,18	51:3,10,23	97:9,15,16
161:4	136:8,22	402:4	52:1,8,19	98:8,9
177:20	137:3,22	territory	53:6,9,25	100:6,13,2
180:1	139:22	53:17	54:1,3,17,	0
182:19	140:7,12	54:13	19,20,25	101:10,17,
192:5	141:25	173:3	55:2,4,11,	23
321:1	198:21	174:7,8	12,14	102:6,14,1
323:21	212:5	304:20	56:6,21	8,24
325:25	219:9	305:20	57:6,24	103:14
367:21	232:8	311:10	58:1,16,22	104:13,14,
375:16	233:18,25	314:18	59:16,17	22
380:1	235:16	357:18	60:4,5,7,1	105:10,11,
381:8	240:15	361:11	7,21	18 106:24
393:10,12	255:22	376:7	61:1,4,6,7	107:12
tender	259:25	378:5	,14	108:7,22,2
202:16,18	264:20	test	62:3,13,14	3,25
tendered	277:18	101:15,19,	63:9,21	109:20
109:13	292:21,23,	21	64:6,19	110:18,20,
tendering	25 293:9	102:1,2,12	65:12,17	22,23
109:16	297:9	,13 126:6	66:16,18	111:9,16,1
tennis	298:4,5	195:2,11,2	67:3,6	7,19
108:21	299:18	1,22 199:9	68:1,22,24	112:24
tens	300:5	testify	69:8,21,23	114:10,12,
term-service	331:10	107:15	70:3	14,17,21
78:25	term-service	testing	71:7,11	115:6,14,1
		146:1	72:3,4,7,1	5 117:8
			9	118:6,12,1
				4
				119:11,13

120:3,20,2	,11,20	270:1,3,10	375:2,12	53:10,19,2
4 121:6,23	177:7,15,1	,18 272:10	376:7	4 54:1
122:10,24	6 191:14	273:3,8,16	386:23,24	61:1 62:12
123:14,17,	192:3,4,10	,23	387:15	63:17,18
19 124:15	193:3,4,5	274:13,21,	388:6	64:24
125:8,23	204:13,15,	23,24	389:3,6,8	66:11
126:13,23	21,22	275:10	392:1,4,6	69:5,22
127:7	208:19	276:5,6,12	395:16,23	70:14 74:6
128:12,20	209:1,2	,17,19,21	396:12	75:18
129:5,7,20	210:18,20,	277:2	398:23,24	77:8,11
130:9,14	21,23	279:17	401:14,19,	81:2 85:8
131:12	211:1,11,1	280:16	21,24	88:3,6
132:7,9,24	2,23,24	281:8,11,2	402:1,11,1	92:6,7
133:9,22	213:7,19	0	3,15	96:3,4
134:10,25	215:14,22,	282:11,13	thankful	97:8
135:3,5,14	23,25	283:23,25	189:4	98:24,25
,15,19,20,	216:1,4,5	284:20,23	379:2	99:11,15,1
22	217:3,10,1	286:8,17	392:1	8 102:8,14
136:1,10,2	5,17	288:9,20		103:13
0 137:5,7	218:20	289:4,5,6	thanking	104:6
138:18	219:1	292:16	317:14	106:11
139:25	220:15,18,	294:5,9,22	thanks 49:6	107:16,18
141:14,15,	19,20,22	,23	56:8 57:9	108:12
17	221:12	295:8,11,1	62:9 63:23	109:7,8
142:5,6,10	222:15,16,	2,14	64:5,21	110:2
,19,23	19	296:6,7	65:19	112:19
143:15,21	223:4,25	297:2,4,12	69:1,12,13	115:17
144:9,17,1	224:1,3,7,	,13,17,19	71:1 73:22	123:12
8,20	14,17	298:5,6,22	74:16	124:24
145:2,7	225:19,21	,24	76:17	125:21
146:8,10,1	226:15,21	299:11,13	77:23	128:7
4	227:5,6,16	300:2,4,20	79:16	129:16
147:4,12,2	236:1,2,9	,21	91:11	135:24
1 148:8	246:19	301:12,13,	131:11	136:7
149:8,10,1	248:16,21	15,21	153:13	137:14
6	249:12,14,	303:10	156:2	141:18
150:15,20,	22	305:25	158:16	146:23
22	250:1,7,9,	306:1,4,8,	165:23	150:2
151:1,5,6,	11,21	11,20	193:13,25	151:20
9,12,17,19	251:7,16,1	311:11	212:1,2	156:2
,20,22	8 252:7	317:8,11,1	219:1	157:15
152:1,3,7,	253:1,6,16	2	225:4	158:2
14,18	,22,24	318:13,15	249:24	163:11
153:6	254:3,25	319:14,15	250:3,6	177:18,24
154:1,6,9,	255:2	320:15	that'll	178:1,15
10	257:14	332:16,23	137:21	181:17
155:2,17,2	258:11	336:9	that's 10:1	182:3,4
4	260:3	337:11	15:1,11	183:12
158:4,6,13	262:12,16	340:20,23	19:14	184:6,12
159:17	264:5	341:1	21:22	185:2,15,1
160:4,9,23	265:2,6	343:21	37:10 43:9	8
161:9,11,1	266:4	353:17	46:8,10,11	186:12,17,
3,15,18,19	268:7	361:10	47:8 52:12	23
163:7,8,10	269:10	369:8,9		187:1,8,11

,23	341:7	30:17 31:5	25 181:3,6	366:13
188:13,19	342:13	36:3 95:23	182:16,18	367:16
189:8	343:4	116:22	184:23	372:16
190:11	344:17	147:22	185:6,16,1	373:4,5,12
193:20	345:2,10,1	214:6,24	9,20	379:11,14
194:14	5 346:22	238:25	186:6,7	380:22
198:17	347:14,18,	241:23	187:19,20	381:14,15
200:10,11,	25 348:4,9	248:2	189:4	382:15
17 202:24	350:6	268:9	190:22	384:20
203:6,13	351:2	282:24	191:11	385:10,18
209:5	352:4	therein	192:1	389:25
214:2	356:11	71:23	194:4,16	391:4,9
215:3,4	358:6	there'll	195:11,22	392:7,23
217:1	359:4,11	92:9	196:16	397:5
218:10	360:9	331:17,24	198:15	398:4
219:19	362:20	336:5	199:5,25	thermal
220:3,11,1	363:3	345:8	200:12	90:14
2 221:17	364:7	there's	201:4	93:11
224:4	365:2	13:17,20	202:15	94:15
225:15	367:19	16:20	210:9	thermistors
245:11	370:14	17:1,18	212:22	100:16
252:20	373:17	21:13,19,2	222:5	thermosyphon
254:21	379:13,15,	4 22:10,23	224:20,24	82:11
256:11	17,23	23:5 25:17	225:25	thermosyphon
263:6,7	380:10,11	29:7 32:9	226:8	s 159:22
267:7,11	381:4,15	37:16,17	235:12,13	327:5
268:19	382:23	49:8 61:23	243:22	they'd 111:1
273:9	386:16	63:11	252:17	they'll
275:18,21	387:6,7	65:21	254:19	367:12,23
276:1	389:25	69:17	258:13,18	377:25
277:15	393:9	73:24	259:21	they're
279:6	394:4,8,13	87:5,20	260:22,23	23:12 42:4
282:10	395:5	90:7 93:2	263:2	47:11
283:11	396:17,20,	97:6	265:20	63:14
285:16,17,	24 397:14	99:3,19	268:23	72:24 73:1
23 286:2,9	398:11,14,	100:1	269:20,21	74:15
289:17	16	105:2	270:13	90:12
293:5	400:16,23	117:25	272:16	101:19
297:8,16,2	themselves	119:7,15	273:19	109:16
4 298:10	32:6	125:11	279:9	131:15
299:10	118:20	132:14	285:6,15	138:7,8
300:8	277:9	134:3,20	287:19	143:9
301:13	283:6	143:9	288:15	146:19,21,
302:10	350:17	148:12	296:4	25 147:17
310:11	401:3	154:13	299:15	162:4
311:3	theoretical	156:2,6	300:10	169:2
313:6	93:2	158:8,17	348:6	170:3
316:25	theory	160:12	350:16	172:1
318:11	107:17	162:10	351:3,5,6	177:24
337:22	thereafter	163:2	358:14,18	179:5
338:3,10,1	38:11	179:18	360:17,22	180:8
5	therefore	180:13,24,	362:15	
339:18,19			364:15,24	
340:18			365:15,20	

181:14	365:12	158:17	tied 168:10	163:21
182:18	380:18	160:3,21	199:10	167:5
183:3,4,6	381:9	215:2	Tier 255:25	168:1
187:15	386:22	309:15	258:5	172:18
196:6	thickness	374:25	tighten	180:4,5
202:12	102:10	thoroughly	10:15	184:4,18
203:18	331:3	232:24	till 9:23	185:1,4,19
212:13	thicknesses	373:1	305:7	186:6,7,9,
214:12	22:9	thoughts	timbers	12 187:4
215:17	thin 364:25	262:11	113:25	188:25
218:8,12	365:5	296:5	timely	190:5
256:15	thinned	300:20	203:17	191:6
263:21,23	115:16	thousand	204:7	194:3,14
266:13	third 51:24	104:18	timer 183:18	198:19
298:18	65:18	257:3,6	tired 221:21	199:4
312:11,12	223:7	345:3,4,9,	tissue 149:5	203:22
322:13	230:6	10 352:1	252:24	215:1
332:1	325:8	359:6	280:18,21	216:2
341:6	334:20	376:12	284:8	219:10
343:24	thirdly	377:22	285:12	220:6
344:16	384:10	381:13,16	286:7	227:24
347:5,7,13	thirteen	thousands	tissues	228:2
350:21	167:10	184:18	256:3	230:21,24
361:1,19	170:2	346:5	title 63:20	236:18
363:4	thirty 11:2	357:20	Tlicho	294:13,17
365:19	41:12	threat	177:13	301:6
367:21	161:22	153:18	184:1	302:7
368:7	163:13	164:16	213:22	308:8,24
371:24	173:7	165:14	216:8	310:1
372:3,6,7	174:1	thresholds	217:13	312:6,10
373:4,22,2	177:9	168:10	307:20,23	317:16
3,24,25	190:17	197:23	312:3	321:17
374:1,2,8	256:4	199:10	315:6	329:9
375:5	367:13	334:12	369:6	330:21
376:20	381:5,12	throughout	382:12	342:13
377:2,5,6	391:5	30:15 38:8	392:13	356:1
380:23	thirty-five	57:13	396:9	359:15
381:1	20:6	210:1	today	362:11
383:1	115:23	328:17	10:1,13	366:12
388:20	324:10	333:10	12:14,16	375:12
395:4	328:6	335:22	24:20 29:5	376:8
397:11,25	tho 51:21	341:2	39:5 59:6	378:8
they've 16:3	60:3	thrown	73:10,24	381:18
41:22	131:11	103:21	122:5	382:1,25
110:10	Thompson	thus 166:19	126:11	397:23
161:22	193:2	172:6	150:17,19	398:8,11,1
166:3,5	thorough	173:11	152:20	8 400:12
170:2	75:15	207:3	157:16	today's
195:14		ti 351:14		307:6
196:5				346:3
204:17				Todd 4:6
220:24				49:6,7
349:23				50:4,7,21

51:14,25	173:5	113:24	349:8	373:23
52:10,14	304:24,25	348:22	360:6	trapper
53:9 54:7	324:9,15	352:11	364:22	354:5
55:4	358:4	370:14	367:7	trappers
56:8,13	Tony 3:3	371:17	398:9	355:5
57:9,22	Toogood 2:4	381:10	traditionall	365:3
165:17,23,	tools 246:18	town 26:11	y 251:21	trapping
24 211:17	297:7	40:13 46:9	253:15	205:14
212:1	298:14	372:17	trafficabili	travel 370:7
217:24	299:19	392:3	ty 131:25	371:20
218:24,25	top 16:7	towns 366:4	tragedy	393:4
221:17	78:16	tox 119:1	170:22	394:18,19
224:18,23	93:17	toxic 95:8	trail 34:2	travelling
225:3,4	132:6	206:5	35:23	369:23
249:24	162:24	255:18	36:4,7	travels
tolerable	195:5	toxicity	38:23 43:9	183:5
175:22	391:7	116:3	trails 350:1	traversing
212:5	topic 49:9	119:1	357:14,16	34:1
tolerant	127:13	125:5,7	tran 307:15	treat 133:14
349:10	171:12	228:10,18	transcript	330:17
tomorrow	175:2	229:2,10,1	6:9	338:5
108:16,22	177:24	2	307:14,15	treated 21:1
150:17	297:25	toxicologica	transcripts	123:25
152:20	379:3	l 118:11	92:11	178:7
160:13	topics	207:10	162:24	181:16
168:2	166:23	toxicology	transitional	237:13,22
219:16	230:17	116:12,13	334:7	238:17
224:10	333:9	117:3	translate	239:1
284:13	total 8:4	343:1	142:2	305:1
333:11	33:6 152:5	toxin 359:20	TRANSLATED	328:18
402:7,8,25	153:1	360:15	216:8	329:15
tonight	162:8,10	tradeoffs	translation	337:21,24
294:22	242:14	174:14	307:19,21	treating
295:5,7,11	243:15	tradition	310:21	326:9
303:21	totally	184:14	translators	treatment
309:24	157:25	traditional	139:21	10:6 11:13
317:25	touch 348:4	16:2	140:8,12	20:20 21:2
332:24	touching	205:21,25	402:14,15	28:19 93:1
335:20	86:14	207:21	transportati	132:11
337:11	336:15	208:10	on	133:20
347:14	tough 108:17	252:2,3	43:4,6,8,2	143:12,14
366:11	141:24	253:10	2,23	149:14
383:21	363:8	277:21	205:15	150:7,23,2
387:7	tougher	278:3	transported	4 151:16
388:7	142:1	282:22	120:17	159:22
400:6	tour 137:11	308:13	trap 357:15	175:7
401:9,20,2	towards 18:5	311:10		209:9
3	22:19 51:8	314:17		228:3
402:12,18		318:5		237:24,25
tonnes 136:4				
162:10				
163:2				

238:2	trim 62:16	177:10	351:4	151:4
330:11,16	trioxide	181:20	turn 10:19	198:11
331:24	27:11	190:13	45:23 55:8	twenty-six
333:16	28:17	191:3	56:19	190:4
337:21,23	29:5,17	194:2	114:15	twenty-three
338:4,12,1	92:10 95:1	196:4	115:14	27:16
4,18	99:8	200:9	165:16	265:12
340:16	173:10,13	203:24	166:15,19,	270:16
treats 324:7	200:1,7	274:1,2	20 167:23	twice 85:8
treaty	206:2	280:19	308:1	104:3
169:23	207:20	287:13	319:11	type 27:5
349:11	208:17	328:23	320:9	31:1
357:18	304:24	340:13	332:17	types 36:23
361:3,20	324:15	343:10	340:24	260:21
364:18	325:17,23	353:21	367:22	356:21
371:3	326:5	355:7	turning	typical
Tree 1:20	327:7	356:25	54:12	93:13
309:25	335:9	385:22	turnout	99:24
333:11	355:16,20	trying 40:7	335:20	128:5
402:25	381:4	76:21	TV 183:19	146:23
trees 181:8	trivial	81:15	347:10	325:15
350:22	255:16	84:11,14	tw 394:6	typically
394:23	trout 256:7	99:12	twelve 44:16	23:3 31:3
399:9	365:21	106:11	122:3	33:5 36:24
tremor	truck 135:18	138:8	157:14	137:10
347:17	137:4	139:2,24	321:22	146:20,25
351:5	200:3,6	140:12	337:6	148:17,20
tremors	truckloads	147:7	twenty	325:25
347:16	135:10	190:7	86:17,25	Tyson 2:14
trend 197:9	trucks 25:12	196:2	94:14	121:15
trends	33:2,15	200:19	105:15	123:24
82:8,10	true 171:1	204:1	124:10	125:10
tribal 350:8	truly 321:14	212:7	125:13	126:15
tribes	trust 164:25	258:13,17	126:18	265:6,7
344:23	174:11	259:12	189:9	268:7,8,12
tried 62:16	219:22,23	263:25	220:24	269:10,11
119:15	220:11	270:18	265:14	270:1,2,12
166:13,14	355:25	299:7	270:16	273:8
361:8	356:1,5	300:14	twenty-eight	343:2
400:1	truth 374:3	329:8	339:5,9	<hr/> U <hr/>
trigger 38:3	try 11:6	350:18,21	twenty-five	ugly 349:21
231:10	23:21 66:4	Tsetta	11:9	ultimate
triggered	84:8 98:1	165:19	103:5,9	13:16
262:21	99:1	177:6,15	226:12	15:16
triggers	117:14	Tuesday	386:2	ultimately
197:22	128:4	302:3	twenty-four	13:22
334:12	136:22	309:25	31:15	16:17
	138:16	tunnels	34:25	231:21
	140:2,20		62:22	

323:4	309:17	259:15	265:25	96:5
un 92:6	324:11,17	264:1	undertaken	115:17
225:10	325:2,18,2	266:7	17:14	208:20
361:13,14,	4	267:10	30:8,23	225:10
18	326:17,24	277:8	33:20	unfortunatel
unable 83:3	328:7	281:11	37:18	y 53:11
unacceptable	330:8	284:6	52:16	230:21
245:4	345:15,24	291:23	53:21	unhealthy
266:10	347:24	292:6,23	56:19	360:16
272:23	352:5	294:12,13	57:16	uniform
330:4	358:5	299:17	64:14	134:19
Unanswered	359:21	305:9	129:18	Union 272:5
358:1	377:4,21	317:7	208:6	unique
unavoidable	390:4	319:2	232:14	116:10
241:25	395:3	346:3,5,9	246:21	unit 173:9
unbelievable	397:16	359:20	261:17	United
357:6,21	398:15	380:5,23	296:12	361:10
unborn	undermined	understandab	298:12	unknown
400:18	279:8	le 140:14	undertakes	300:11
uncertain	undermining	understandin	282:3	unless 76:12
299:3	203:3	g 15:25	undertaking	unlevel
uncertainty	underneath	57:22	38:1 230:5	70:13
119:8,15	19:10	94:25 95:7	262:15	381:15,16
270:21	349:22	135:25	283:15,17	unlikely
271:1	351:2,6	141:19	284:2,6	92:7 93:4
298:25	understand	146:13	undertakings	unre 105:5
uncle 369:18	40:8	191:25	285:5	unremediated
uncomfortabl	57:2,8	213:10	undertook	99:6
e 206:1	66:22	237:23	33:25	unresolved
undergoes	74:9,12	239:6	35:22	194:5
301:5	76:21	241:20	37:13	unusual
underground	84:11,14,2	242:9	underwater	141:4
13:10,18,1	5 90:5	259:19	360:5	update 333:5
9 17:5,6	95:10	261:16	363:21,22	upgrade
18:19 19:9	112:1	272:19	underway	13:24
20:25	122:11	281:25	29:11	upgrades
24:13	135:13	282:10	245:11	14:13
26:20	136:24	284:7	280:21	upgrading
27:13 75:4	139:3	293:10	undesirable	237:24
89:8,19	140:17	299:22	175:22	upon 9:1
90:1 95:2	156:5	400:8,9	unfamiliar	42:22
99:8	161:2	understood	298:10	72:9,10
113:19	169:19	102:24	unfold 298:1	114:23,24
114:10	188:5	103:8	unfolding	138:12
136:23	190:6	172:21	218:16	140:19
143:2	195:4	188:6	unforseen	
188:21	210:1	undertake	225:10	
304:24	240:25	31:3	unfortunate	
	245:11	35:12,17,2		
	252:18	4 168:7		
	257:24	206:16		
	258:21,22	207:16		

146:17	67:21,22	118:9,21	200:23	162:10
192:7,8	71:15	234:4,6,10	202:9	173:10
237:3	111:22	,14 235:11	203:7	volumes
277:19	197:1	240:4	207:1,19	27:22
303:12,13	303:21	244:22	252:6	131:15
321:7,8	304:15	251:14	253:14,19	138:16
335:6	306:22	349:25	266:14	162:8
340:22	308:18	350:11	305:11	327:21
375:19,20	342:17	vegetational	313:14	
403:4	383:11	22:14	318:1	<hr/>
upper 23:5	valleys	vegetative	viewing	W
upstream	360:3,4,7,	30:14	257:25	wa 20:24
15:5 118:4	8,11,12	vehicles	views 168:1	Wah 146:8
124:23	363:20	346:18,20	253:7	151:6
144:22,23,	value 81:7	venue 311:12	305:9,14	213:16
24	83:14,21,2	venues 60:3	320:4,7	215:23
145:9,20	5 87:2	ver 178:3	333:1	276:25
147:23	103:2,20	verified	343:11	286:15
148:14,16,	104:2,3	232:2	village	289:6
17 156:9	123:11	verify 129:2	353:5	Wah-shee
265:22	195:12	verly 385:7	violated	1:15
uptaking	205:12	Vern 2:6	365:25	144:19,20
235:10	328:4	version	Virgin	146:10
urgency	valued 223:9	56:15,16	186:18	147:3,4,20
73:25	values	versus	vision	,21
75:5,21	108:15	275:15	167:13	149:9,10
76:22	119:19	vertical	visit 177:22	150:21,22
urgent 75:13	258:3,5	95:18	visited	151:8,18,1
108:3	306:23	vested	186:19	9
useful	Vancouver	205:20	visitors	213:19,24
120:13	321:7	viable 40:24	403:1	215:13
172:6	variable	41:9	visits	277:2
usually	33:11	45:5,6	203:11	281:9,10
125:11	variety	164:15	visuals	282:12,13
342:8	243:13	vibrate	32:23	285:1
utility	various	10:20	voice 316:7	288:18,21,
26:22	35:14	308:2	355:9	22 302:12
395:3	43:16	video 100:1	359:22	306:7,8
utilize	70:23	videos 100:1	362:24	Wah-shee's
111:13	117:22	view 76:19	388:14	156:8
utilized	118:19	125:6	voices	wait 270:24
131:22	128:24	139:13	314:19	285:24
<hr/>	238:6	171:6	361:7	288:3
v	243:24	194:20	volume 28:1	waited
vague 204:12	vary 137:25	198:14,24	131:19	287:23
Valley	138:11,13		133:12	waiting
1:2,10	vegetation		136:17,21	150:9
66:8	116:25		137:12	336:22
				Wakaw 344:21
				wake 358:12

390:2	4 21:1	240:16	394:1,4,5,	203:9
Walbourne	23:3 28:18	262:20,22	10,13,15	221:15
4:18	66:8,10	265:9	395:3	225:22,25
walk 9:16	67:23	266:1	396:22,23	248:16
walked 352:8	83:11	268:25	401:4	249:19
walking	89:25	270:6	waterfalls	250:14
35:25	90:18	280:2	148:15	293:19
391:12	92:3,10,14	297:9	waterfowl	299:1
wall 369:12	,15,18,24	305:1	185:20	312:7
warm 317:12	93:1	308:10	waterfront	313:2,4
376:17	109:18	312:12,19	360:7	315:10
wary 347:13	124:1,3	313:5	waterline	368:13
wash	125:22	324:8	212:8	402:10
91:24,25	132:11	326:4,8	waters 164:8	Wednesday
wasn't 72:20	133:14,20	327:13,21	water's	10:2
79:25	142:16	329:11,14	381:19	week 150:13
139:5	143:3,5,7,	330:11,16,	watershed	305:6
225:12	11,12	18	83:12	307:10
293:9,20	144:16	331:2,24	145:18	333:10
314:1	145:8,23	332:2	164:8	385:2
354:16	151:16	333:16	wave 23:4,5	weeks 92:23
375:10	153:24	337:20,24	246:25	168:15
waste 23:23	154:7	338:5,13,1	ways 66:14	175:4
24:2,4,21	156:9	5,19,21,23	93:25	393:21
27:1,7,10,	159:22	,24	100:5	weigh 107:23
24 28:6,9	164:18	339:1,4,7,	160:19	weighing
29:24	170:10	8,9,10,12	183:5	108:12
53:14 54:9	174:24	340:4,5,15	217:1	welcome
55:17	176:6,11,1	,17,18	257:12	60:1,3
57:5,11	6	345:8	388:23	73:10
58:5,6	180:16,17,	348:15,17,	wear	193:5
59:13	20 181:2,4	19,22,23	299:24,25	311:9
96:13	182:20,21,	350:20	wearing	317:12,16
142:15	24	355:21	213:10	353:11
324:17	186:4,11	358:8	weather	403:1
325:23	195:23,25	360:13	290:14	welcoming
wastes 27:11	196:4	362:15	347:15	311:2
28:2,5	211:20	364:24	376:17	we'll 11:4,5
58:3 95:1	212:14,15,	365:1,2,4,	web 307:18	12:6,7
watch 358:12	17,23	8,16	website	25:14
364:11	213:1,3,4	366:16,17,	70:19	33:25
372:15	214:7,11,2	19,21,23	71:16	39:15 44:8
watching	0 224:20	368:2,8,10	202:14,15	45:25 48:8
361:18	225:14	,12,13,16,	203:22	56:6 57:6
water 10:6	227:21	18 370:11	307:16	58:18
11:13 12:2	228:8	372:9,11,1	we'd 47:1	62:24 72:5
13:7 15:23	229:6,14,1	2,16 376:6	99:22	73:18
20:19,20,2	9,21,22	377:17,18		77:16,19
	235:13	379:17,18		81:18
	237:18,22,	380:9,24		86:13
	23,25	381:21		
	238:2,4,7,	384:1		
	17,22	386:9,10		
	239:1,24	393:13,25		

90:14,24	83:23 87:9	224:20	372:1,3,24	43:11
106:14	88:13	235:19	378:8	45:25
114:18,19,	89:18	236:14	379:2,3,12	47:17 52:4
20	92:21	251:12	380:3,6,7,	56:4 81:9
115:7,9,13	94:17	258:17	10,11	83:25
127:7	95:5,6	259:12	381:3	85:18
129:14	99:12	260:16	382:9,25	92:17
134:10	103:24	262:4	383:2,15	98:16
149:16	106:3,11	263:25	384:13,24	104:8
160:4	113:5,7	264:3	385:2,4,5,	119:15
161:9,21	114:5	265:7,10,1	7,24,25	123:7,25
171:25	115:12	8 272:19	387:23	131:20
183:16	125:12	273:19	391:11,12	156:24
192:4,11	127:1,2	277:22	396:17	158:2
213:10	136:9	279:25	397:6,13,2	166:12,14,
219:15,18	146:18	281:23	3	16 168:1
222:13	149:3,4	284:4	398:11,17	169:11
226:11,15	155:21	285:2	400:13	173:23
227:4,24	156:12	286:10,14,	402:6,7	195:4
258:7	163:13,21	22 287:22	west 14:11	197:15
259:8	165:1	288:1,3,4,	17:2 20:24	219:19
268:20	166:19	16,20	38:15	225:5
269:8,12,2	168:3	293:15	118:4	228:1
4 281:20	170:11	294:2,12	Westermann	231:14
297:14	171:2,3	296:18	3:7 74:25	252:13
310:15,19	172:14	300:7	75:8,10,11	258:23
312:20	175:20	301:18	199:4	259:17
319:13	179:7,13	302:9,16,2	western	261:21
322:2	180:4,5,6,	3,24	246:24	265:8
323:24	20,21	303:2,5,7,	wetland	267:3,23,2
338:2	182:2,8,9,	21	175:7	5 269:4
345:20	16,20	310:3,11,1	238:8	280:23
351:5	183:9,13	7 312:5	wetlands	296:10,16,
356:24	185:1,19	313:13	156:18	25 297:21
361:4,22	186:10	334:13	we've	298:17
368:18	188:22,23,	335:6,7	14:16,21,2	322:11
369:2	24 190:7	336:22	3	335:24
371:9,13	191:2,6	337:1	15:4,15,22	353:12
375:16,17,	194:8	340:10	16:6,7,23	354:20
22,23	195:17	342:13	17:1,17	356:23
378:14	196:13,24	343:5,6,22	20:6	361:3
384:24	197:6	344:25	21:18,20	365:25
402:24	198:2	345:13	23:14	393:1
well-being	199:17,18	346:18	27:18,22	402:9
345:18	200:20	349:2,10	29:6 30:20	whatever
we're 11:5	202:21	351:10	31:15	25:12
17:10 26:4	203:8,19	353:5	32:3,12,14	35:14
32:25 43:7	204:1	357:12,20	,18,20	88:16
45:2 46:22	214:1	358:4,16	37:19	111:12
67:5 69:16	215:3,8	359:1,22	40:1,6,7	129:17
72:5 73:16	218:6	360:19	41:3,6	137:2
75:19,20	220:8,12	361:2,13		172:14
76:8,9	221:9	364:2		186:22
	222:1	366:16,22		

203:5	179:3	47:1	109:14	204:1,7,9
295:25	372:24	298:20	131:10	214:12,24
297:10	whole 17:22	401:15	211:21	216:22,24
298:16	38:9 86:11	willingness	291:20	223:19,21
302:24	106:1	169:18	292:2	225:17,22
Whati 341:17	121:12	317:25	294:18	226:10
whatsoever	156:3	Wilson 4:15	wood 58:11	232:14,20,
263:21	167:2	227:22	wooden 29:16	22 233:9
whenever	228:18	win 188:1	woods 182:22	267:6
11:21	229:11	wind 37:4	184:20	296:20
90:12	286:15	190:17	395:8,14	298:3,9
178:14	307:10	391:4	Wool 393:2	299:2
364:23	342:6	windblown	wor 198:20	312:16
398:12	350:25	31:24	work 8:6	319:8
401:1	366:8	32:1,5	13:14,19	321:18
where'd 14:4	367:1	33:1 194:9	18:12,19	323:11
where's	377:25	window 188:1	24:16	337:8
338:6	who'll	winds 198:4	30:3,7	354:9
wherever	368:23	winter	40:5 42:7	363:23
156:19	whom 7:6	146:25	46:1,15	371:22
whether	227:11	189:14	47:2,19	372:14
46:18	who's 236:12	330:25	51:20	377:3,4
76:25	who've	338:20	52:15	378:14
78:23	252:15	340:3	63:11	380:20
129:15	wide 15:9	wisdom	64:4,14	381:9,11,1
143:24	92:9	211:14	66:9 67:10	3,17
196:6,13	107:21	217:21	74:6,8,16	382:20
269:19	308:23	320:4	75:3,4,7,1	383:14,25
279:7,9,13	widely 210:3	wish 71:6	4	384:19,20,
280:6	wider 16:19	wishes	76:5,20,24	23 385:21
281:15	width 15:16	308:15	77:8,16	387:13,22
283:1,2	wilderness	witness	84:19	391:22,25
285:15	359:10	178:16,23	89:25	393:18,19
292:14	wildest	wolf 185:24	117:18	400:11
293:22	357:9	186:2	138:21	worked 53:3
327:24	wildlife	wonder 279:4	152:6	179:22,25
400:3	21:7 23:12	302:7	153:3	189:6
whim 300:24	118:22	wondered	160:16	190:1,2,19
whip-smart	170:10	111:4	166:13	194:18
166:3	185:17,20	wonderful	179:24	315:17
white 184:11	186:8	192:19	181:18,22	320:1
186:10	230:23	wondering	182:1	393:15,22
243:23	232:8	63:1 65:6	189:10,13,	399:11
244:10	235:12	68:3	17 190:19	worker
369:21,24	277:19	69:15,19	194:15,17	388:18
whitefish	312:6	74:9	195:3,9,13	workers
244:10	330:10		,15 196:11	63:2,5,12,
whoever's	willing 44:3		198:23	19 64:2,5
			200:8	190:20
			202:2,19	197:20
			203:1,16	198:20,21
				199:2,6

working 31:4	world 107:20	yare 380:17	330:18	359:6
40:2	128:1,7		331:6	361:11
41:7,8	342:16	ye 92:23	333:12	362:22,23
49:1 56:1	347:24	yellow	334:25	366:10,15
59:25	348:7	324:16	337:7	367:7,17
63:13	352:8	Yellowknife	350:10	376:4,7,15
94:16	376:16	1:20,23	363:21	,23 379:21
116:19	386:4,5	4:3 9:25	364:13	383:22
157:14	world's	34:8 38:21	365:14,17	384:18
179:6	107:19	39:17,24	366:15	386:14,17
181:19	322:12	42:25 43:2	368:8	387:1,2
182:4	worried	44:11	372:17	Yellowknivie
189:9,19,2	183:10	46:7,25	376:19,24	s 302:11
5 190:3	worry	47:24	377:1,9,16	yesterday
195:18	345:16,17,	48:4,13	,20	10:5 11:13
198:15	21 347:6	53:5 73:3	378:2,3,12	12:2 23:21
235:25	348:24	99:10	,15 383:17	25:23
296:19	351:13	116:21	384:17	73:15
317:19	worrying	121:5	391:18	97:23
321:1	345:14	124:2	394:12,13	117:24
327:23	376:15	128:16	401:10,11	120:14
332:1	worst 32:10	136:25	Yellowknifer	123:25
334:8	worst-case	144:6	s 205:4	133:13
337:6	94:14	151:10	219:24	169:21
347:5,8	98:20	165:9	Yellowknives	181:11
354:15,20	wow 349:3	174:20	49:5,7	226:25
369:10	350:15	185:9,10	56:2	227:19
372:21	wrap 11:11	191:24	57:4,12	228:1
377:6	177:5	192:20	164:1,5,12	236:16
381:9	write 352:4	205:6	,23 165:12	282:1
383:1	written	212:22	166:7,10,1	294:14
385:7	353:16	215:14	7 167:21	375:10
393:17	387:8	226:13	168:23	yet 22:10
workings	wrong 97:3	231:19	169:9,22	161:7
17:5,7	358:19	240:23	171:24	195:17,19
326:17	362:13,15	241:6	172:11,17,	197:25
works	365:20	246:22,25	20 173:2	198:6
17:17,20	397:8	248:1,3	175:24	219:15
18:3	wrongs 176:3	249:15,19	176:5,10,1	261:25
74:6,10,25	wrote 381:25	262:23	1,17 205:4	270:9
75:11		269:17	206:23	302:16
99:19		277:24	210:14	313:22
109:10,11		278:1,4,5,	213:4	344:11,19
110:8		20 279:3	219:1,5,17	377:19
202:16		291:7,13	223:22	400:18
203:17		305:7	249:23	YK
322:9		306:1	251:20	211:19,22,
workshop	Yamoria	309:25	304:13	23 384:11
49:3	352:6	311:11,16,	308:11	388:19
workshops	Yamoria's	21 314:17	354:4,15	YKDFN 4:5
67:14	352:6	319:21,23	355:13	5:10 11:2
		321:7	356:14	
		324:1	358:21	

50:2,20	192:14			
51:24 52:9	305:24			
53:7 54:6	343:9,16			
55:3 56:7	yourselves			
57:7,23	300:20			
58:17 59:2	youth 370:21			
60:13	385:19			
161:22	394:23			
163:14,18	397:4,5			
169:4	you've 72:6			
170:5,6,19	79:4			
172:9	84:7,25			
173:11,17,	85:2 102:4			
24	112:3			
174:11,17,	131:15			
24	134:5			
175:16,21	146:11			
176:25	283:12			
211:25	312:17			
213:17	333:13			
218:24	335:3			
220:20	336:16			
222:11	Yukon 115:25			
311:25				
314:4,9,12	<hr/>			
333:23	Z			
334:24	Zeeky 353:18			
352:21	381:22			
382:25	zero 88:15			
YKF 53:5	89:1			
Yose 2:20	243:3,4,12			
you'll	245:13			
14:20,25	zo 22:13			
109:3	zone 22:14			
357:1	23:3,5			
364:24	24:11 92:9			
365:2	94:18			
young 185:9	95:17,20,2			
187:17,23	3 124:4			
191:22	239:16			
310:9	240:7,8			
316:22	330:19			
359:17	339:14,20			
379:8	340:19			
389:22	zoning 48:4			
392:20				
396:18				
397:4				
younger				
189:18				
yourself				