APPENDIX 1.II

Concordance Table

1.II.1 CONCORDANCE TABLE

Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
1.	Introduction	1.0
2.	Scope Considerations	1.2.1
3.	Terms Of Reference	Appendix 1.I
3.1	Considerations The developer will consider the following when developing the specific material the Review Board requests in Section 3.2 – 3.4 and related Appendices	
3.1.1	Issues Prioritization The purpose of scoping is not only to identify issues, but also to prioritize them and if possible, focus required additional work on the most important ones. Fortune will consider all the items described in Section 3.3 because every issue identified in this <i>Terms of Reference</i> requires a sufficient analysis to demonstrate whether the development is likely to be the cause of – or a contributor to - significant adverse impacts. However, three particular issues were identified during scoping as requiring increased attention, because of high impact potential and concerns raised during scoping. Fortune is required to give special consideration to the following key lines of inquiry in the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> :	
	Impacts of the NICO Project on water quality, particularly in relation to the quality of mine water and effluent released to groundwater and surface waters, and related impacts to human health and aquatic life downstream.	7.0
	Long-term impacts following mine closure and reclamation.	9.0
	Caribou and caribou habitat, including effects from the NICO minesite and NICO access road on habitat, disturbance and displacement effects and direct mortality.	8.0
	These key lines of inquiry are the topics of greatest concern that require the most attention during the environmental assessment and the most rigorous analyses in the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> . These are designated as key lines of inquiry to ensure a comprehensive analysis of the issues most likely to cause significant environmental impacts or significant public concern. Data collection and analyses for these key lines of inquiry in the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> should be at a level of detail appropriate for other interested parties to understand the technical material prior to any technical sessions on these topics.	
	These key lines will be presented in comprehensive stand-alone sections in the Developer's Assessment Report. This will facilitate close examination of the developer's response to these key lines of inquiry, and will require only minimal cross-referencing with other parts of the report and appendices.	
	All other valued components or issues identified in this document that require examination in the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> are treated as subjects of note . These issues do not have the same priority or expected level of detail as key lines of inquiry, but are nonetheless issues that require serious consideration and substantive analysis.	





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.1.2	Incorporation of Traditional Knowledge The Review Board values and considers both traditional knowledge and scientific knowledge in its deliberations. Fortune will make all reasonable efforts to assist in the collection and consideration of traditional knowledge relevant to the NICO Project. Where it is applicable, Fortune will make all reasonable efforts to incorporate traditional knowledge from aboriginal culture holders as a tool to collect information on and evaluate the specific impacts required in this Terms of Reference. The developer should refer to the Review Board's Guidelines for Incorporating Traditional Knowledge into the Environmental Impact Assessment Process and community/culture group-specific traditional knowledge protocols.	5.0
3.1.3	Assessing the Impacts of the Environment on Development Potential impacts of the physical environment on the development, such as changes in the permafrost regime, other climate change impacts, seasonal flooding and melt patterns, seismic events, geological instability, and extreme precipitation must be considered in each of the applicable items of this <i>Terms of Reference</i> . Any changes to the design or management of the NICO Project as a result of considering potential impacts of the environment should be noted in the relevant sections.	19.0
3.1.4	Use of Appropriate Media The Review Board encourages the developer to present information in user-friendly ways. The use of maps, aerial photos, development component/valued component interaction matrices, full explanation of figures and tables, and an overall commitment to plain language is encouraged. When it is necessary to present complex or lengthy documentation to satisfy the requirements of the <i>Terms of Reference</i> , the developer should make every effort to simplify its response in the main body of the text and place supporting materials in appendices.	
	The developer is strongly encouraged to visually represent the NICO Project and its surroundings using a diorama-type 3 dimensional landscape model to indicate scale, setting and direct footprint. For digital mapping, all Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data must conform to the standards set by the Government of the Northwest Territories' spatial data warehouse.	
	The <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> will be submitted as a stand-alone document. Relevant information and analyses from previous project descriptions should be incorporated into the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> and combined with the supplementary material and analyses required by this <i>Terms of Reference</i> . Information referenced should be made accessible where possible.	
3.2	General Information Requirements This Terms of Reference document describes the general information required on a subject-by-subject basis. For the sake of readability, detailed requirements are to be included in corresponding appendices for many of the following sections. The developer is encouraged to consider the information gaps identified and questions raised by interested parties on the public record in scoping submissions and comments on the draft Terms of Reference when determining the level of detail required in its Developer's Assessment Report for specific issues covered in this Terms of Reference.	





Report No. 09-1373-1004

		1
Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.2.1	Summary Materials	
	The following summary materials are required:	
	 English and Tłįcho plain language, non-technical summaries of the Developer's Assessment Report; 	Executive Summary ¹
	 An audio (.mpeg) translation of the plain language summary in the Tłicho language; 	N/A
	3) A concordance table that cross references the items in the <i>Terms of Reference</i> with relevant sections of the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> , and	Appendix 1.II
	4) A commitments table listing all mitigation measures the developer will undertake, including but not limited to those described in the project application. These should be organized by subject (e.g. water quality, wildlife) for easy reference.	Appendix 1.III
3.2.2	Developer	
	The following information regarding the developer is required:	
	 A summary of Fortune's corporate history and operational experience in Canada and the Northwest Territories; 	1.1.1, 1.1.2
	 How the developer will ensure that its contractors and subcontractors honour commitments made by Fortune; 	1.1.4, 3.13
	3) Fortune's environmental performance record during prior exploration and development work in support of the NICO Project and any other projects in the NWT. This will include discussion of regulatory compliance (for example, regarding land use permits and water licenses). List any situations where compliance was breached, the issue and cause, and how and when it was mitigated to the regulator's satisfaction; and	1.1.3
	4) A description of any corporate policies, codes of practice, programs or plans concerning Fortune's environmental, sustainable development, community engagement and workplace health and safety commitments or policies.	1.1.4
3.2.3	Developer's Assessment Boundaries The developer will provide a description, map and rationale for all of the chosen geographic and temporal boundaries used during its impact assessment. Certain minimum requirements and other instructions to assist in the determination of appropriate boundaries are discussed in Section 2.2 of the Terms of Reference. Separate boundaries may be required for cumulative effects assessment (see Section 3.6). The developer will describe and provide rationales for:	
	An overall environmental assessment study area and the rationale for its boundaries;	5.1.2, 6.31, 6.3.2, 7.1.3, 8.1.3, 10.1.3, 11.1.3, 12.1.3, 13.1.3, 14.1.3, 15.1.2, 16.2.1, 16.3.1, 17.1.3

¹ A translated version of the plain language summary will be submitted at a later date.



FORTUNE MINERALS LIMITED

Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.2.3 (continued)	Fortune's chosen spatial boundaries for the assessment of potential impacts for each of the valued components considered; and	5.1.2, 6.3.1, 7.1.3, 8.1.3, 9.1.4, 10.1.3, 11.1.3, 12.1.3, 13.1.3, 14.1.3, 15.1.2, 16.2.1, 16.3.1
	The temporal boundaries chosen for the assessment of impacts on each valued component.	6.3.2, 7.1.2, 7.5, 8.1.3, 10.4.1, 11.2, 12.2, 13.2.1, 14.2.1, 15.4.1, 16.2.3
3.2.4	Description of the Existing Environment A detailed description of the existing environment is required, including current status and trends for all valued components. Wherever possible, the developer is responsible for providing a clear picture of what typical environmental conditions existed in the environmental assessment study area prior to any industrial activity occurring. This must consider the current state of the baseline conditions and the natural range of background conditions.	7.3, 8.3, 11.2, 12.2, 13.2, 14.2, 15.2, Annex A to M
	In addition, the developer is encouraged to provide a description of the methods used to acquire the information used to describe baseline conditions. This description should distinguish between techniques used to measure parameters in the field from information derived from the utilization of models.	8.3.1, 15.2.3, 16.2.2, 16.3.2, 10.2
	Describe the biophysical environment, including:	
	the geographic location	7.1.3, 8.1.3, 10.1.3, 11.1.3, 12.1.3
	air quality	10.2
	climate	10.2.1, 11.2.1
	hydrology	11.2.2
	surface water quality and quantity	7.3, 11.2, Annex C
	aquatic organisms	12.2
	• wildlife	8.3, 15.2
	vegetation	14.2
	landscape	14.2
	terrain and geology	13.2
	soil characteristics	13.2
	groundwater quality and quantity	7.3, 11.2.3
	Describe the human environment, including:	
	population demographics in surrounding communities	16.2.2
	existing infrastructure	16.2.2





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.2.4 (continued)	regional labour pool, skill levels and regional business capacity	16.2.2
	socio-economic conditions in potentially affected communities	16.2.2
	historic and present land use, including harvesting	16.2.2
	heritage resources	16.3.2
	other economic activities	16.2.2
3.2.5	Development Description Fortune will ensure that a description of all its planned facilities and activities is included in the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> , including any proposed or existing facilities and activities not listed in Section 2.1 of this <i>Terms of Reference</i> . In this section, Fortune is only asked to provide details on the NICO Project itself, not to comment on potential impacts from the development.	3.0
	Where the developer feels it would be helpful to reviewers, the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> should describe alternative development components, management systems, or alternative locations for physical works and activities considered for the NICO Project. Where applicable, the developer will provide reference to research that identifies the successful use of the specific technologies being proposed, and their relevance for this environmental setting.	2.0
	Describe the proposed NICO Project, providing details of all works and activities throughout construction, operation, closure and reclamation, and long-term monitoring phases, with a description of major activities by phase.	3.0
	This description will include:	
	mine components and facilities	3.5
	any on-site processing	3.6
	chemicals and explosives	3.6.2, 3.10.3
	tailings	3.6.2, 3.8, Appendix 3.II
	mine rock management area	3.7, 3.8, Appendix 3.II
	stockpiling of material	3.7.1
	water usage, management, and treatment	3.8.2, 3.9, Appendix 3.III
	waste management	3.9.5, 3.10.2, 3.11, Appendix 3.IV
	power generation	3.10
	transportation	3.10.5
	site infrastructure	3.10
	employment	3.13
	any other activities	3.10.4





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.2.6	Public Engagement Engagement with communities, Aboriginal groups, the Tłįchǫ Government, other governments, or other organizations with interests related to areas that might be affected by the NICO Project should be considered in this section. Aboriginal groups, government agencies and other interested parties may have information useful to the conduct of this impact assessment and all reasonable efforts should be made to engage with them. The Review Board encourages the developer to meet with interested groups outside the environmental assessment process, and to place any information from those discussions they consider may be relevant to the Review Board's decision on the public record.	4.0
	The following items are required for consideration of public engagement:	
	 An engagement log, describing dates, individuals and organizations engaged with, the mode of communication, discussion topics and positions taken by participants, including: 	Appendices 4.I. to 4.III
	 All commitments and agreements made in response to issues raised by the public during these discussions, and how these commitments altered the planning of the proposed NICO Project 	2.3.5
	 All issues that remain unresolved, documenting any further efforts envisioned by the parties to resolve them 	4.4, 4.5
	Description of all methods used to identify, inform and solicit input from potentially-interested parties, and any plans Fortune has to keep engagement moving forward;	4.2.1, 4.3, 4.4
	Discussion of the implications for environmental monitoring and management of any relevant agreement between the developer and other interested parties; and	N/A
	How Fortune has engaged, or intends to engage, traditional knowledge holders in order to collect relevant information for establishing baseline conditions and the effects assessment of potential impacts, as well as a summary table indicating where and how in which of the subsequent sections (3.3-3.6) traditional knowledge was incorporated (see Review Board's Guidelines for Incorporating Traditional Knowledge in Environmental Impact Assessment).	4.2.2, 5.4
3.3	Impacts on the Biophysical Environment	
3.3.1	Impact Assessment Steps and Significance Determination Factors In order to facilitate the consideration of the specific questions posed in this section, the developer is required to address the following impact assessment steps. In assessing impacts on the biophysical environment, the Developer's Assessment Report will for each subsection:	
	Identify any valued components used and how they were determined;	7.1.2, 8.1.2, 9.1.3 10.1.1, 11.1.2, 12.1.2, 13.1.2, 14.1.2, 15.1.1





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.3.1 (continued)	For each valued component, identify and provide a rationale for the criteria and indicators used;	7.1.2, 8.1.2, 9.1.3, 10.1.1,11.1.2, 12.1.2 13.1.2, 14.1.2, 15.1.1
	Identify the sources, timelines and methods used for data collection;	7.3, 8.3.1, 10.2, 11.2, 12.2, 14.2, 15.2.3, Annex A to M
	Identify natural range of background conditions (where historic data are available), and current baseline conditions, and analyze for discernible trends over time in each valued component, where appropriate, in light of the natural variability for each;	7.3, 8.3.2, 9.3 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 11.2, 12.2 13.2.1, 14.2.1, 14.2.2, 15.2.4
	Identify any potential direct and indirect impacts on the valued components that may occur as a result of the proposed development, identifying all analytical assumptions;	7.5, 7.6, 8.4, 10.3, 11.3, 12.3, 13.3, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5, 14.6, 15.3
	 Predict the likelihood of each impact occurring prior to mitigation measures being implemented, providing a rationale for the confidence held in the prediction; 	7.5, 7.6, 8.4, 10.3, 9.4.1, 11.3.2, 12.3, 13.3, 14.3, 15.3
	 Describe any plans, strategies or commitments to avoid, reduce or otherwise manage the identified potential adverse impacts, with consideration of best management practices in relation to the valued component or development component in question; 	7.4, 7.5, 8.4, 9.41, 10.3, 11.3.2, 12.3, 13.3, 14.3.2, 15.3, Appendix 3.III
	 Describe techniques, such as models utilized in impact prediction including techniques used where any uncertainty in impact prediction was identified; 	7.5, 7.6, 8.5, 9.4.1, 10.4, 11.3.2, 12.4, 13.4, 14.7, 14.9, 15.4
	Assess and provide an opinion on the significance of any residual adverse impacts predicted to remain after mitigation measures; and	7.12.2, 7.14, 8.7.2, 8.8.2,10.7, 11.3.2, 12.6, 12.7, 12.8, 13.5, 14.10, 15.8.2





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.3.1 (continued)	Identify any monitoring, evaluation and adaptive management plans required to ensure that predictions are accurate and if not, to proactively manage against adverse impacts when they are encountered.	7.14, 8.10, 9.4.1, 10.9, 11.5, 12.10, 18.0, Appendices 18.I & 18.II, 19.0
	The developer will characterize each predicted impact. These criteria will be used by the developer as a basis for its opinions on the significance of impacts on the biophysical environment. The Review Board will make ultimate determinations of significance after considering all the evidence on the public record later in the environmental assessment.	7.11.2, 8.7.2, 10.6.2,12.7.2, 13.5, 14.7.2, 15.7.2, 19.0
3.3.2	Key Line of Inquiry: Water Quality During the issues scoping process, potential impacts of the NICO Project on water quality was identified as a top priority by most interested parties, including the developer. The developer will consider all potential impacts on water quality in the watershed to the point where no mine-related changes can be measured and present this in a stand-alone section of the Developer's Assessment Report. The developer will:	
	Describe the impacts of the proposed project on water quality around the NICO minesite and downstream. Include discussion of predicted physical or chemical changes. This will include predictions of any changes in levels of contaminants, pollutants or other harmful or deleterious substances caused entirely or partly by the NICO Project. Discuss these in terms of:	7.6, 7.10, 7.11
	 changes to water quality and impacts on aquatic resources and wildlife; and 	7.6, 7.7, 7.8
	 changes to the quality of water for drinking in Behchok	7.9
	Describe any predicted changes from the NICO Project on:	
	 surface waterbodies in the Fortune claim block; 	7.6
	 surface waterbodies downstream of the project until no mine related changes can be measured; and 	7.6
	 Marian River, Marian Lake and Hislop Lake. 	7.6
	Predict potential impacts on groundwater flows from the project area.	11.3.2.2
	Describe mitigation measures to minimize impacts to water quality.	7.4, 7.5
3.3.3	Key Line of Inquiry: Closure and Reclamation During the issues scoping process, long-term impacts related to closure and reclamation of the NICO Project were identified as a high priority by most interested parties. Fortune will present its preliminary Closure and Reclamation Plan for the NICO Project in the Developer's Assessment Report. The developer should consider existing guidance, such as Indian and Northern Affairs Canada's mine closure and reclamation policy and guidelines for the NWT when developing its reclamation plan for the NICO Project (see http://www.ainc-inac.gc.ca/ai/scr/nt/ntr/pubs/MSR-eng.asp). The developer is also advised to work with communities and other parties to determine clear closure objectives and link them to measureable closure criteria and indicators. The formation of a Closure and Reclamation working group composed of regulators and other groups will assist in the development of closure objectives and reclamation standards for the Closure and Reclamation Plan.	





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.3.3 (continued)	The temporal scope of the Closure and Reclamation Plan should focus on impacts to water, fish, wildlife and people during the closure and post-closure phases of the project. Long-term project effects on caribou should specifically focus impact predictions in the context of the current serious decline in caribou populations, particularly the Bathurst herd. This discussion is not intended to duplicate the requirements of Section 3.3.4. The developer will:	9.0
	 Describe to what overall standard Fortune plans to reclaim the site, and how that standard was selected, including any recommended closure criteria and/or a process for defining closure criteria. 	9.1.3, 9.4.1, 9.4.4
	 Describe how and when the mine site will be reclaimed, including how plans will ensure that the site does not contaminate water or pose an ongoing hazard to people or wildlife. 	9.4
	Describe any alternative methods of waste management considered.	9.4.4
	Describe plans for reclaiming the NICO access road.	9.4.4
	 Describe consultations with governments and communities regarding reclamation, and how plans have been adapted as a result. 	9.4.2
	 Consider the role of climactic change in development of a closure and reclamation plan. 	9.4.4
3.3.4	Key Line of Inquiry: Caribou and Caribou Habitat The developer will:	
	 Describe impacts to caribou habitat, including degradation and fragmentation, with a focus on important wildlife habitat. 	8.5.2, 8.5.3
	 Describe potential for increased mortality from all sources including vehicle collisions and changes to hunting access. 	8.4.2, 8.5.4, 8.5.5
	 Describe effects of increased sensory disturbance from all sources (e.g. noise, odours, activity, vibrations, overflights and dust) and effective habitat loss resulting from changed behavior. 	8.5.4
	Describe any disruption of movement and migration patterns.	8.5.3, 8.6.2
	Describe potential for increased contamination of food and water, including bio- accumulation from all sources.	8.4.2
	Discuss energetic costs to caribou from disturbance and displacement effects.	8.5.4, 8.6.3
	 Describe mitigation measures used to mitigate impacts on caribou and caribou habitat. 	8.4.2, 8.10
3.3.5	Water Quantity The developer will:	
	 Describe the potential impacts of the NICO Project on upstream and downstream water quantity, with a particular emphasis on changes in: 	11.3.2
	 Lou, Peanut, Nico and Burke Lakes; 	11.3.2
	 Connecting waterways (including any streams from Burke Lake feeding Marion River) and ephemeral springs that form during freshet; and 	11.3.2
	o groundwater flows.	11.2.3, 11.3.2





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.3.5 (continued)	Provide a water balance for the project (with proposed water recycling).	3.9.3, 11.2.2, Appendix 3.III
	Discuss potential effect of pit dewatering on groundwater levels and water table drawdown.	Appendix 11.I
	Discuss potential changes to groundwater-surface water interactions resulting from project activities.	11.3.2.2
	Discuss how potential changes to permafrost resulting from Project activities may affect groundwater quantity.	11.3.2, Appendix 11.I
	Describe potential impacts of water withdrawals and the loss of littoral habitat.	11.3.2
	Describe potential effects of changes in water quantity on the Marian River and Marian Lake.	11.3.2
	Describe mitigation measures to minimize impacts to water quantity.	11.3.2
3.3.6	Fish and Aquatic Habitat Describe the following potential impacts of the NICO Project on fish and aquatic habitat	
	Identify the fish bearing lakes and rivers that the project may affect.	12.3
	Describe the potential impacts on aquatic life, including changes to water quality and quantity, riparian areas and any introduction of contaminants to aquatic food chains.	12.4
	Describe in detail the mitigations Fortune will do to avoid or reduce impacts to fish and aquatic habitat, and predict the effects from the NICO Project after those mitigations.	12.3.2
3.3.7	Wildlife The Review Board notes that Section 79 of the federal Species at Risk Act (SARA) requires that all SARA-listed species be identified and any adverse impacts of a development on them be thoroughly assessed and mitigated, regardless of whether the impacts are deemed "significant". Cumulative effects on wildlife are examined in section 3.6. The developer will:	15.4.4, 15.4.5
	Describe potential effects from the NICO Project on wildlife and its habitat. This will include impacts on hoofed mammals, large carnivores, furbearers (terrestrial and aquatic), and migratory birds. This description will consider:	15.4
	o direct and indirect habitat loss;	15.4
	behavioural disturbance from NICO Project activities;	15.3, 15.4
	o barriers to movements;	15.3, 15.4
	 energetic costs from disturbance and barriers to movement; 	15.3.2
	 impacts related to increased access; and 	15.4
	 any other sources of direct or indirect mortality. 	15.3, 15.4
	Special consideration is required when looking at potential impacts on species that are harvested, and for species of wildlife at risk (SARA and Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) listed species).	15.4
	Describe any mitigation proposed to avoid or reduce impacts to wildlife, and predict any residual impacts.	15.3.2





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.3.8	Terrain and Soils The developer will:	
	Describe the stability of the proposed mine rock management and tailings management areas and evaluate potential impacts.	Appendix 3.II
	Describe how Fortune will ensure the stability of all engineered structures against a range of climate, seismic and precipitation scenarios.	Appendix 3.II
	Describe plans to mitigate impacts on terrain, including plans for monitoring, evaluation and adaptive management of the mine rock management area, tailings management area the system of dykes and dams.	13.4
3.3.9	Air Quality The Developer's Assessment Report will evaluate the NICO Project's potential impacts on air quality due to project emissions. While considering impacts and mitigation on air quality, the developer is encouraged to enter dialogue with Environment Canada and the Government of the Northwest Territories about appropriate methods for modeling air quality and strategies for minimizing air quality impacts, and should consider the Guideline for Ambient Air Quality Standards in the Northwest Territories and Government of the Northwest Territories Guideline for Dust Suppression. The developer will:	
	Describe and quantify existing conditions with respect to air quality and meteorological conditions.	10.2
	Predict the emissions and potential impacts using an established air quality model, during all phases of the NICO Project and the components of its operations. The model shall predict both dispersion and deposition potential.	10.4
	Describe proposed mitigations and any plans for air quality monitoring, evaluation and adaptive management.	10.9
3.3.10	Vegetation The developer will:	
	Describe the total amount of land cleared (relative to pre-fire conditions).	14.4.2
	Describe potential impacts on rare plants.	14.4.2
	Describe how Fortune will prevent the introduction of invasive plants.	14.3.2
	Describe mitigation measures related to vegetation.	14.3
3.3.11	Biophysical Environment Monitoring and Management Plan Monitoring in the environmental assessment is to focus only on monitoring activities required for recognizing potentially significant impacts and ensuring that they are mitigated by adapting the management of the development. For clarity, this excludes monitoring details related to routine regulatory compliance monitoring and state of the environment monitoring, unless these relate to potentially significant impacts. Describe conceptual plans for monitoring, evaluation and adaptive management for biophysical impacts. Specify which phase of the development each plan is for. Show that monitoring plans have representative baseline information, consider the natural range of variability, and will detect any relevant impacts before they become significant. Describe how project management will be adapted if necessary to prevent significant impacts.	18.0





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.4	Impacts on Human Environment The Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act lists social impacts, cultural impacts, impacts on heritage resources and impacts on wildlife harvesting in the definition of impacts on the environment. In addition, the Guiding Principles of Part 5 of the MVRMA require the consideration of the social, economic and cultural well being of residents and communities of the Mackenzie Valley during every environmental assessment. The Review Board's Socio-economic Assessment Guidelines provide a context for assessing impacts on the human environment. The developer is encouraged to work with communities and responsible government authorities to identify valued components of the human environment, appropriate indicators and sources of information to measure change, pathways by which change may likely occur, and mitigation and monitoring strategies that may be required to maximize benefits and minimize adverse impacts. Mitigation may not be entirely the responsibility of the developer, as governments and communities have social, economic and cultural protection mandates. However, it is primarily the responsibility of the proponent of the project to initially document these issues in its Developer's Assessment Report. The developer will:	
	Describe employment, training and business opportunities from the NICO Project, and any plans to maximize opportunities for Wek'eezhii Settlement Area residents, Aboriginal peoples and other Northerners.	4.3.1,16.2.4, 16.2.11
	Estimate the total economic activity to be generated by the development (e.g. employment and income generation including multiplier effects and taxes) and associated socio-economic impacts, with a focus on the distribution of beneficial and adverse impacts. Include a description of any plans or strategies to mitigate adverse socio-economic impacts.	16.2.4, 16.2.5, 16.2.11
	Describe the social impacts of the NICO Project, focusing on community wellness and population health issues at regional, community, family and individual levels.	16.2.7, 16.2.8 16.2.11
	 Describe potential cultural impacts, including potential impacts on physical heritage resources, traditional land use (including hunting, fishing, gathering, use of the traditional Įdaà Trail and any impacts on activities at Hislop Lake). 	5.4.2, 16.3.4, 16.4.2, 16.4.3, 16.4.4, 16.4.5
	Describe research methodology (see http://www.pre.ethics.gc.ca/english/policystatement/introduction.cfm)	16.2.4, 16.2.5, Annex K
	Describe commitments and plans to monitor, evaluate and manage impacts on the human environment.	16.2.13, 16.3.7, 16.4.8
3.5	Accidents and Malfunctions The developer will:	
	 Conduct a risk assessment using best practices for the NICO Project, including components, systems, hazards, and failure modes. 	17.2
	 Assess likelihood and severity of each risk identified. 	17.3
	 Provide rationale for criteria used for decisions on the various risks related to malfunctions/accidents during all project phases from construction through post- closure. 	17.3
	 Describe contingency plans for accidents, malfunctions or unforseen impacts of the environment on the development. 	17.4, Appendix 3.VI





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
3.5 (continued)	Describe on-site containment features, such as concrete pads and dykes and detection systems used for early warning of spills.	17.3.2, 17.3.3, 17.3.4, Appendix 3.VI
	Describe all accident and emergency response plans that will be in place during the construction phase and operations phase, including emergency communication plans.	17.5, Appendix 3.VI
3.6	Cumulative Effects Pursuant to paragraph 117(2)(a) of the <i>Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act</i> , the Review Board considers cumulative effects in its determinations. Cumulative effects are the combined effects of the development in combination with other past, present or reasonably foreseeable future developments and human activities. In addressing cumulative effects, the developer is encouraged to refer to Appendix H of the Review Board's Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines. The developer will:	
	 Describe and provide rationale for which past, present or reasonably foreseeable future developments and human activities are being considered in the cumulative effects assessment. 	8.5.1, 10.4.1, 15.4.1, 16.2.3
	Identify which of the valued components may be affected by other past, present or reasonably foreseeable future developments and human activities.	8.5, 8.9.1, 10.4,15.4, 15.9, 16.2.10, 16.2.11
	Assess the likelihood, duration and magnitude of the combined effect of these human activities on the identified valued components.	8.7.1, 8.7.2, 10.5, 15.5, 16.2.11
	Describe any mitigation measures proposed to reduce or avoid the predicted effects, specifying if and how adaptive management will be used, and provide an assessment of any residual cumulative impacts.	8.7.2, 10.3, 10,4, 15.3, 15.4, 16.2.11, 18.0, Appendix 18.II
Appendix A	Existing Environment	
	Biophysical environment Describe the biophysical environment within the relevant environmental assessment study areas. The following description should be at a level of detail sufficient to allow for a thorough assessment of project effects. Describe the following:	
	 The physical location of the proposed development and identification of associated ecozones and ecoregions. 	10.2, 13.1.3, 14.1.3
	Ambient air quality.	10.2.2
	3) Background noise levels with a description of all human-caused noise sources.	8.5, Appendix 8.III
	4) Climatic conditions, including temperature, precipitation and wind patterns.	10.2.1
	5) Hydrology and hydrogeology, including surface water and groundwater amounts, direction of flow, likely surfacing points/discharge area (for groundwater and shallow subsurface water), and maps and descriptions of associated watersheds. Discussion should focus in particular on:	
	 a. the NICO Project mine site with sufficient data to capture spatial and temporal variations in water quality; 	7.3





Section in Terms of Reference		Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix A (continued)		 seasonal and annual variation in groundwater and surface water quantity around the mine site; including trends over time related to climatic change and extreme events (e.g. high flows); 	11.2.1
		 the relative contribution of water from the NICO Project mine site to the volume of Burke Lake and the Marian River; 	Appendix 11.III
		 surface water and groundwater flow regimes associated with the plateau on which the mine site is located including groundwater flow from the mine itself; 	11.2.2, 11.2.3
		e. relationship between the groundwater regime and permafrost conditions and how permafrost influences on-site hydrogeology;	11.2.3
		description of the methodology used to derive the components of the water balance and characterization of flow regimes including a discussion of any uncertainty;	11.2.2
		 g. provide a map indicating the location with rationale of all existing and planned wells, and seeps within the study area and other monitoring locations; 	Appendix 11.I
		h. provide location of seepage meters, if any, and evaporation pans installed in the study area; and	N/A
		 provide a water table elevation map and a map detailing drainage patterns for surface and groundwater for the mine site and mine workings. 	Appendix 11.I, Appendix 3.III
	6)	Current and historic data on surface water and groundwater quality for the NICO mine site area. This should include recent arsenic data and changes in baseline arsenic levels with reference to the recent forest fire, and should contrast baseline levels following the fire with the overall range of natural variability of background conditions.	7.3, Appendix 7.III, Annex C
	7)	Aquatic organisms and aquatic habitat in the environmental assessment study area. Include waterbodies on the mine site, water sources and downstream areas. Describe the following for key aquatic species:	12.2
		a. seasonal and life cycle movements;	12.2
		b. local and regional abundance and distribution;	12.2
		 known or suspected sensitive habitat areas for different development stages and times of year; 	12.2.3
		d. the food chain that supports the species; and	12.2
		e. any known issues currently affecting fish and other aquatic life forms in the area.	12.3
	8)	Wildlife (including resident and migratory bird species), wildlife habitat and migration corridors. Special emphasis will be placed on key harvested species including moose, caribou and furbearers. Where available, the following information is required for each species:	
		 a. population trends, including abundance, distribution and demographic structures; 	8.3.2, 15.2.4
		 habitat requirements, including identification of local areas of important habitat, attributes of the seasonal habitats that relate to how the species use them (e.g. travel routes, forage) and sensitive time periods; 	8.3.2, 15.2.4





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix A (continued)	 migration routes, patterns and timings including typical patterns and the range of known variation; 	8.3.2, 15.2.4
	 d. factors known or suspected to be currently affecting the species in the environmental assessment study area (e.g. harvesting, disease); 	8.3.2, 15.2.4, 15.2.5
	e. known or suspected sensitivities to human activities; and	8.3.2, 15.2.4, 15.2.5
	 gaps in current knowledge of the species such as the impacts of disturbance on behaviour or abundance. 	8.3.2, 15.2.4
	9) Wildlife at risk occurring in the environmental assessment study area. The developer will:	
	 identify any species present or potentially present in the environmental assessment study area that are listed under schedule 1 of the federal SARA; 	15.2.1
	 identify any species present or potentially present in the project area assessed by the COSEWIC; and 	15.2.1
	c. describe each species in terms of the requirements of Item #8 above.	15.2.4
	10) Vegetation and plant communities, including identification of any areas where rare plants are known or suspected to be present.	14.2.3
	11) Terrain, surficial geology, structural geology, mineralogy, bedrock geology (type, depth, composition, and permeability), seismic activity records and risk factors, permafrost locations and types within the environmental assessment study area. In particular:	
	 describe the structure, permeability, stability, and other relevant characteristics of the plateau on which the mine site is located; 	13.2.2
	 describe permafrost conditions at the site including thermal conditions and ground ice/moisture contents of underlying material, particularly if maintenance of frozen conditions is required; 	13.2.2
	 identify the chemical composition of host rock and ore bodies at the mine site including potential for acid rock drainage; 	3.4, Annex A
	 d. describe and map the ground composition underlying the proposed mine site; 	13.2.2
	 e. identify the location, amounts and type of granular material deposits including any information on ground ice; 	13.2.2
	 f. describe the ground conditions under and around the mine site and road proposed by Fortune, with emphasis on identifying areas susceptible to erosion, and permafrost instability; 	13.2.2
	 g. provide complete references for historical data and indicate when historical data is used to provide geological descriptions; 	Annex A
	 h. provide sources of information for geological descriptions and provide relevant information on sampling timing, frequency and duration; and 	Annex A
	 i. include maps, cross-sections and figures to illustrate geological features, where appropriate. 	Annex A





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix A (continued)	12) Physical and chemical makeup of soils and water body sediments.	13.2.1.3, Annex I, 7.3.2.2, Annex C
	Human environment Describe the following:	
	13) Any other physical infrastructure present in the environmental assessment study area, including habitations, roads, buildings, quarries, power lines and industrial works.	16.2.1, 16.2.2
	14) Available information pertaining to the project area from land use planning in the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area.	16.2.1, 16.2.2, Annex L
	15) The availability and average training or skill levels of people in the local Wek'eezhii Settlement Area and the other Aboriginal and Northern resident regional labour pool.	16.2.2
	16) The local and regional business capacity available to support the Project.	16.2.1, 16.2.2, Annex K
	17) Current socio-economic conditions and relevant trends in the potentially- affected communities and the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area as a whole, using appropriate indicators of well-being and quality of life.	16.2.2
	18) A summary of historic and present land use in the study area, including identification of traditional land use groups, areas used and traditional travel routes and timings.	16.2.2, 5.3.6, Annex B
	 Traditional harvesting activities, relevant species (wildlife, fish and plants), observed trends and any traditional values expressed about harvested species. 	5.3, Annex B, 16.2.2
	20) Known physical heritage resources locations, areas of high potential for unfound physical heritage resources and cultural values associated with the environmental assessment study area.	16.3.2
	21) Other current economic activities in the environmental assessment study area	16.2.2
Appendix B	Development Description	
	Provide a development description including descriptions of:	_
	General Items	
	 The estimated lifespan, illustrated by a Gantt chart, of the NICO Project broken down into construction, operation, closure and reclamation, and long-term monitoring phases, with a description of major activities by phase. 	N/A
	 The direct physical footprint of the NICO mine site (outlining efforts made to reduce the footprint), with locations and descriptions of all structures and all aboveground and underground infrastructure. 	1.2.7, 3.2
	 A list of all regulatory permits, licenses and other authorizations required to carry out the development. 	1.3.3
	 Land tenure and any existing or anticipated agreements related to access to facilitate the proposed development. 	1.3.3
	 A list of any other required developments that need to be constructed or improved in order for the NICO Project to proceed. 	1.3.3





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix B (continued)	Specific Items	
	6) All underground and open pit facilities, including ramps, portals, declines, location of infrastructure, machinery requirements, and water management facilities and methods.	3.5.3
	 Mine rock management area (whether separate or co-mingled with tailings) including location, underlying ground conditions and volume of waste rock. 	3.7, 3.8, Appendix 3.II
	8) Tailings management area including a description of dams and dykes with techniques utilized to ensure their stability and containment, a description of how closure considerations affected the weighing of alternative locations, and if frozen conditions are to be relied upon, describe techniques utilized to ensure maintenance of these conditions.	3.8, Appendix 3.II
	The volume and management of sludge produced at the Effluent Treatment Facility.	3.9.4
	 The types and estimated amounts of explosives to be used, their storage, handling and application, both aboveground and underground. 	3.10.3, Appendix 3.V
	 The mining, crushing, and ore transportation methods used in the open pit and underground works. 	3.5, 3.6
	12) The location, contents and estimated amounts of mined materials, soil and overburden at all surface storage facilities, along with estimates of storage requirements, storage capacity limits, separation of materials, and maintenance of materials to facilitate reclamation.	3.7
	 A description of the milling process from initial separation to concentrate, including primary and secondary crushing and flotation and filtration processes. 	3.6
	14) Location(s) and proposed activities of aggregate production and storage, with an estimate of the amount of aggregate that will be produced per year over the life of the mine, by location.	Appendix 3.I
	15) The siting and design of the waste disposal facility and landfarm and management of all wastes generated including storage and disposal plans with contingencies, treatment and testing programs.	3.11, 3.10.2
	16) The type, volume, storage (location and method), handling, and disposal of all potentially hazardous materials used on site.	3.10.3
	17) List the storage location of mill reagents including maximum volumes and concentrations of reagents to be stored on site.	3.10.3
	18) The water collection, management and treatment system and all of its component parts, including drainage and other control structures, water and sewage treatment facilities, water storage facilities, and water transport components.	3.9, Appendix 3.III
	19) The total amount of water in cubic meters estimated to be collected from the mine and other mine site components and eventually be released into local watercourses, with consideration of changes during the life of the NICO Project and the range of seasonal fluctuations.	Appendix 3.III
	 Water intake locations, withdrawal methods and estimated amounts of water required for all on-site activities. 	Appendix 3.III





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix B (continued)	21) Energy requirements and generation sources.	3.10.2, 3.14.10
	22) Fuel storage facilities including a justification for the fuel storage container type selected, on-site fuel transport and handling procedures.	3.10.3, Appendix 3.V
	23) Construction and operation of the airstrip, frequency of use, type of aircraft and estimated number of passengers and volume of materials.	3.10.5
	24) The proposed NICO access road and any roads within the mine site, including construction (width of right-of-way, vegetation removal, road bed type) and maintenance.	3.10.5
	25) Proposed NICO access road water crossings, construction schedule, amount of water and other materials required and a description of techniques to be utilized to minimize erosion and bank instability.	3.3.1
	26) All other infrastructure and activities at the NICO mine site including intensity and type of on-site vehicle traffic.	Section 3.10
	27) The expected number of single, one-way trips per day to and from the NICO mine site by truck, type and weight of load, any related storage, transfer and handling, and estimated duration of the annual haul season.	17.3.5
	28) The number of full-time job equivalents and person years of work associated with the NICO Project, broken down by life cycle phase.	3.13.2
	29) Worker transportation and proposed work scheduling.	3.13.2, 3.13.7
Appendix C	Water Quality	
	In predicting the impacts on water quality from NICO Project, the developer will:	
	 Identify, describe, and estimate amounts of contaminants from all potential sources at the NICO mine site. Predict the likelihood and consequences for each of the following, alone or in combination, to leach metals, create acid rock drainage, or otherwise affect water quality: 	7.5, Appendix 7.II
	a. mine water from the underground workings and open pit;	7.5, Appendix 7.II
	b. the mine rock management area, unless co-mingled with tailings;	7.5, Appendix 7.II
	c. the tailings management area, or co-mingled tailings management area;	7.5, Appendix 7.II
	 reagent chemicals, hydrocarbons, explosives, and any other potentially hazardous products used at the mine site; 	7.5, Appendix 7.II
	 e. any other materials stored on surface at the NICO mine site, including aggregates; and, 	7.5, Appendix 7.II
	f. other site runoff.	7.5, Appendix 7.II
	This discussion will include estimates of how much of the waste rock will likely be placed in the mine rock management area, delineation of all potential contaminant pathways and receptors, and post-closure locations, predicted amounts, and management systems for all surface materials storage systems.	3.7, 3.8, 3.9, Appendix 7.II
	Predict the water quality and quantity of final effluent discharged to the environment during all phases of the NICO Project life cycle, incorporating:	





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix C (continued)	identification of the constituents of, and quantity likely to come out of, each on-site water source;	Appendix 7.II
		3.9.5, Appendix 7.VII
	predicted changes over time in the amount or quality of mine water outflows;	Appendix 7.II
	concentrations of metals, nutrients, total suspended solids, major ions,	3.9.5, Appendices 7.II, 7.IV, and 7.V
		3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 7.5
		7.13, Appendix 7.II
	affect this range of conditions. Describe how arsenic solubility under site	7.3, 7.6, Appendix 7.II, Annex C, 9.3, 9.4
	4) Assess potential impacts of effluent discharge in Peanut Lake, Nico Lake, Burke Lake, Marian River and Marian Lake (to the point that no changes are measurable) including the predicted likelihood and severity of:	7.6
	a. changes to pH in downstream watercourses;	7.6
	b. increasing sediment levels and water turbidity;	7.6
	 increasing contaminant concentrations (including arsenic and mercury) in the sediments, fish and other aquatic organisms, including consideration of bio-accumulation effects; 	7.6, 12.4
	 d. discharge of ammonia and other nutrients, including possible changes in nutrients available in the food chain in downstream water bodies; and 	7.6, 12.5
	any other impacts which may alter water quality or aquatic ecosystem integrity downstream of the mine.	7.6, 12.5
	5) For Peanut Lake, describe:	
	a. method and location of effluent discharge; and	Appendix 7.IV
	 b. plume behaviour of effluent including an estimate of mixing behaviour and an estimate of where the plume will be sufficiently mixed so that there is no chronic toxicity. 	Appendix 7.IV
	6) For Peanut Lake, Burke Lake and water bodies in between, describe:	
	a. contaminant mobility in water under likely environmental conditions;	7.5, 7.6
	 b. effects on dissolved oxygen and nutrient levels, especially during winter; and 	12.4.2
	potential increase in sedimentation and erosion (including lake bed and banks).	7.5.2,12.3.2





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix C (continued)	7) Identify any potential sources of contaminated groundwater not captured in the mine water management system. This discussion should identify:	7.5, 7.6
	where losses to the groundwater system could occur;	7.5, 7.6
	b. estimated quantities of contaminated groundwater loss; and	7.5, 7.6
	 potential impacts of contaminated groundwater on the environment and their likely geographic distribution. 	7.5, 7.6
	 Describe potential effects on project effluent from incoming groundwater quality, and resulting impacts on the environment. 	Appendix 7.II
	9) Describe:	
	 a. site-specific characteristics of the receiving environment; 	7.3, Annex C
	 b. proposed site-specific water quality objectives for all stressors of potential concern, effluent quality levels, limits and proposed thresholds for water quality that the developer is committed to meeting in order to protect the downstream environment; 	Appendix 7.VII
	 Fortune's proposed draft framework for aquatic effects monitoring and environmental effects monitoring programs, considering historical arsenic levels. 	7.14, 18.5, Appendix 18.I
	10) Describe Fortune's evaluation of water treatment alternatives. For the proposed water management and treatment facilities, provide an analysis of the adequacy of:	
	 a. the effluent treatment facility, specifically to meet site specific water quality objectives for: 	2.3.6, 3.9.4, Appendix 7.VII
	i. Metal Mining Effluent Regulation metals; and	2.3.6, Appendix 7.VII
	 other applicable parameters such as selenium, iron, cobalt, bismuth, total suspended solids, ammonia, cyanide and radium-226. 	Appendix 7.VII
	 all water collection systems, including that surrounding the mine rock and tailings management areas; 	3.9
	c. the sewage treatment system; and	3.9.5
	d. any water storage facilities.	3.9
	This discussion should emphasize the ability of these facilities and the system as a whole to handle expected increased mine water inflows and retention capacity timelines and contingency plans for greater than expected outflows, the ability to handle greater than predicted concentrations of contaminants in pre-discharge waters or other treatment upsets, and impacts of any identified failure mode. Include discussion of seasonal effects on the effectiveness of the effluent treatment facility.	3.9
	11) Describe the likelihood and consequences of accidents, malfunctions, or impacts of the environment on the development influencing water quantity and quality and the ability of the water management system to function. This discussion should include the required circumstances for a failure to occur, and what monitoring, evaluation and adaptive management systems will be in place to identify, proactively avoid and address them. The following scenarios, at a minimum should be considered:	17.0
	 extreme short-term precipitation events, snowpack buildup or other factors leading to flooding events; 	17.5





Section in Terms of Reference		Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix C (continued)		 geologic instability or seismic activity causing slope failures at or near the NICO mine, impacts on the mine workings, or compromising of the mine rock management area; 	17.3.2, 17.3.3
		c. failure of existing dams/containment structures;	17.3.2, 17.3.3
		d. freezing effects on water transportation systems;	17.3.4
		e. how mine water will be managed if the water treatment system malfunctions, with a focus on retention capacity timelines for water storage facilities and contingency water treatment plans;	Appendix 3.III
		f. potential impacts to water from accidents in transport of processing chemicals and other dangerous goods; and	17.3, Appendix 3.VI
		g. potential impacts to water from tailings spills or leaks.	17.3, Appendix 3.VI
	12)	Describe the effect of water recycling on water quality for different water recycling scenarios.	2.3.6
	13)	Describe water quality monitoring and management during operations including:	
		a. contingency plans in case metals leaching or acid rock drainage occurs;	Appendix 3.I, Appendix 3.II
		b. contingency plans for unacceptable effluent quality;	Appendix 3.III
		c. spill contingency plans on site and along transportation routes;	17.3.5
		d. conceptual plans for surface water and ground water monitoring; and	Appendix 18.I
		 e. whether and how Fortune will incorporate Wek'eezhii Settlement Area residents in environmental monitoring, and how it will report monitoring results to potentially-affected communities. 	18.2
Appendix D	Clo	sure and Reclamation	
	1)	Describe policies, regulations and industry standards that Fortune considered in the development of its <i>Conceptual Closure and Reclamation Plan</i> .	9.1
	2)	Provide a preliminary <i>Conceptual Closure and Reclamation Plan</i> , which will include:	9.4
		 a. identification of the overall reclamation objectives, standards and criteria the Closure and Reclamation Plan is designed to achieve and over what time period; 	9.4.1, 9.4.4
		 a list of closure and reclamation components and activities including alternatives considered, a rationale for why Fortune chose a particular alternative and how it best meets the developer's reclamation objectives; 	9.4.3, 9.4
		c. a description of how climatic change was considered in the development of the Closure and Reclamation Plan in order to ensure long-term physical integrity of permanent structures;	9.4.4
		d. an outline for the methods and locations for re-use or disposal of materials during reclamation;	9.4.4
		e. a conceptual program and schedule for any progressive reclamation envisioned; and	9.4.4
		f. a conceptual post-closure monitoring plan that includes a reporting strategy and a rationale for an "end-date" for monitoring.	9.6





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix D (continued)	In the Conceptual Closure and Reclamation Plan, discuss management and monitoring programs for any materials/locations (including the underground works) that may cause acid rock drainage or metals leaching. Include:	9.4, 9.6
	a. creating a sufficient barrier for the prevention of tailings and waste rock oxidation at closure;	9.4.5
	b. the likely rate of movement of water (including groundwater) through the tailings, mine rock management area and underground workings, associated uptake of acids, metals or any other contaminants into groundwater or surface waters, and monitoring location requirements and contingency plans for greater than expected rates of contaminant release;	9.4.5
	 the long-term physical integrity of permanent features including dams and open pit; and 	9.4.5
	 d. monitoring coverage required to track any other reasonably foreseeable post-closure contamination pathways. 	9.4
	4) Visually show how the mine site is expected to look at one, ten and 25 years after closure and reclamation of the mine compared to its present and operating conditions. Include a plan view of the site and an illustration of visual impacts on the viewshed as seen from Marian River, Hislop Lake and other points along the Įdaà Trail.	Section 3.2.1 (Photos 3.2.1 to 3.2.4) Section 9.4.4.6 (Figures 9.4-1 to 9.4-5)
	5) Describe Fortune's plans for establishing the viability of a self-sustaining vegetation community at the mine site after closure, including:	9.4.4
	 a. re-vegetation techniques, with a discussion on what species the developer will consider for this activity; 	9.4.4
	 b. an outline for how soon the area will return to a natural state of vegetation, if ever; and 	9.4.4
	 c. discussion of how revegetation objectives will ensure wildlife is not attracted to the site where they may be exposed to risks. 	9.4.4
		9.6.2, Appendix 18.I
	Describe closure and reclamation plans associated with decommissioning of the NICO access road, including stabilization and re-vegetation of banks near water crossings.	9.4.4
	Describe closure and reclamation plans associated with the airstrip.	9.4.4
	Describe how reclamation will manage ongoing hazards to wildlife on the mine site, and how reclamation will affect wildlife movements.	9.4.4
	section 3.2.6), identify where there arose any concerns related to closure,	4.5, Appendices 4.I to 4.III





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix E	Fish and Aquatic Habitat	
	When assessing impacts on fish and aquatic habitat:	
	 Describe fish and aquatic habitat in Lou Lake, Peanut Lake, Nico Lake, and any other water bodies within the mine site on the Fortune claim block, Burke Lake, Hislop Lake and any water bodies the NICO access road crosses or that the development otherwise affects. 	12.2
	Describe the impacts of the NICO Project on aquatic organisms and habitat, including potential impacts from:	
	 changes to flow or habitat, including alterations to banks, shores and riparian areas of waterbodies near road water crossings, and associated changes in habitat availability; 	7.3.1, 12.3.2
	b. reduced oxygen concentration;	12.3.2
	 increased concentrations of metals, nutrients and other contaminations (including arsenic and mercury) in water, sediment and the aquatic food chain; 	12.3.2
	 d. increased sedimentation in watercourses and Burke Lake, especially from the mine rock management area, the mine site, airstrip and road activities; and 	12.3.2
	e. alteration of pH.	12.3.2
	3) Describe the developer's commitments to:	
	a. mitigate any habitat losses (such as habitat creation); and	N/A
	 specific management activities and plans, such as the adoption of relevant Operational Statements of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans. 	18.0, Appendix 18.I
	4) Identify best management practices to minimize impacts on fish in this type of environment (including specific consideration of activity timing windows to avoid spawning and incubation periods and proper sedimentation and erosion control measures in close proximity to water bodies), a listing of all commitments to mitigate impacts on fish, fish habitat and other aspects of the aquatic ecosystem, and, where the two differ, a rationale for why certain management practices have not been adopted.	12.3.2
	 Describe the potential for the NICO Project to affect fish in Hislop Lake, or to affect fish downstream of the project which may migrate to Hislop Lake. 	12.4.2
	6) Describe all water crossings along the NICO access road and roads on the mine site, providing details on flow, fish passage, sediment and erosion control measures and any monitoring plans.	Annex C
	 Describe potential impacts to fish and fish habitat, including riparian zones, arising from construction, operation, maintenance and decommissioning of the Marian River crossing. 	12.3.2
	8) Discuss how accidents, malfunctions or impacts of the environment on the development could create additional impacts on fish and aquatic species, and how the developer will minimize the potential for these scenarios to occur and manage them via contingency plans if they do occur.	12.3.2





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix F	Wildlife	
	For potential impacts to wildlife, the developer will do the following:	
	 Describe the impacts the NICO Project is likely to have on wildlife and wildlife habitat. For each species, and/or species group consider the following: 	
	 potential impacts to habitat, including degradation and fragmentation, with a focus on important wildlife habitat; 	15.4.1, 15.4.2, 15.4.3, 15.4.4, 15.4.5
	 potential for increased mortality from all sources (including from vehicle collisions and changes to hunting access); 	15.3.2, 15.5
	 potential for increased attraction to the NICO mine site, risk of bear-human encounters, risk to people and associated carnivore mortality; 	15.3.2
	 d. potential for increased sensory disturbance from all sources (e.g., noise, odours, activity, vibrations, overflights, dust). Predict effective habitat loss resulting from changed behaviour; 	15.4.1,15.4.2, 15.4.3,15.4.4, 15.4.5, Appendix 8.III
	e. potential for disruption of movement and migration patterns;	15.3.2, 15.4.1, 15.4.2,15.4.3, 15.4.4,15.4.5
	f. potential for increased contamination of food and water, including bio- accumulation, from all sources; and	15.3.1, 15.3.2
	g. potential energetic costs to wildlife from points d through f above.	15.3.2
	2) Describe the potential adverse impacts of the NICO mine on any "wildlife at risk" species known or suspected to reside in the environmental assessment study area or potential adverse impacts on their habitat including residences. Describe any management plans and specific mitigation commitments and monitoring proposed for any potentially affected species.	15.4, Appendix 18.II
	3) Considering that the NICO Project is on a regionally distinctive plateau landform, describe:	
	 Fortune's efforts to determine whether the plateau landform and surrounding cliffs supports regionally limited wildlife habitat; 	15.2.3
	 b. how the NICO Project is expected to affect any specialized species using this distinctive habitat; and 	15.4
	c. how Fortune proposes to mitigate those impacts identified.	15.3.2
	4) Describe how NICO Project planning has considered potential impacts on wildlife and wildlife habitat, best management practices to minimize impacts on wildlife, and what mitigation commitments have been made, with specific consideration of:	15.3.2
	a. rules for road use by employees and contractors;	15.3.2
	 minimizing wildlife access to project components (e.g. by reducing attractants); and 	15.3.2
	 spill avoidance techniques and spill response plans for the transportation routes. 	15.3.2





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix F (continued)	5) Describe Fortune's draft wildlife management plan, including discussion of:	
	which other interested parties have been involved in the development of the plan;	N/A (see 4.3.1)
	 efforts to be undertaken to monitor wildlife in the area and report the presence of species to the appropriate authorities when necessary; 	Appendix 18.II
	c. identification of measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts to wildlife;	Appendix 18.II
	 d. wildlife monitoring, evaluation and adaptive management of the project activities; 	Appendix 18.II
	 how monitoring will be compatible with other current programs undertaken by responsible agencies; and 	Appendix 18.II
	 f. how monitoring results will be reported to regulators, responsible authorities and potentially-affected communities. 	Appendix 18.II
Appendix G	Terrain and Soil	
	When assessing impacts and risks related to terrain:	
	 Describe the existing geotechnical stability of the areas proposed for the mine rock management and tailings management areas, including: 	
	a. soil and hydrological conditions;	11.0, 13.2.2, Annex G
	b. permafrost, ground thermal conditions and ground ice conditions;	13.2.2
	 description of the physical and chemical characteristics of mine rock and tailings; and 	13.3.2
	d. topography and slope stability.	13.2.2
	2) Describe potential impacts of NICO Project operations on terrain stability and vice versa, in light of Fortune's analyses of accidents and malfunctions (see section 3.5). Consider:	
	 geotechnical instability, especially of the mine rock management area, the tailings management area and the system of dykes and dams on site; 	19.2.6 Appendix 3.II
	 changes to ground thermal conditions and permafrost failure at the mine site; and 	13.3.2, 19.2.1
	 impacts to permafrost and ground thermal conditions from vegetation removal. 	13.3.2
	 Describe how the geotechnical stability of all engineered structures at the NICO mine site will be ensured against a range of climate, seismic and precipitation scenarios. 	19.2
	4) Identify any plans to mitigate and monitor against impacts on terrain, including:	
	a. erosion control measures;	13.3.2, 13.4.2.2.4
	 b. prevention of permafrost degradation at all mine site locations where it is found to be present; 	13.3.2.2





Section in Terms of Reference		Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix G (continued)		 how the geotechnical stability of the mine rock management area, tailings management area and the system of dykes and dams will be monitored, and for what extent of time; 	19.2, Appendix 3.II
		 d. how monitoring results will be reported to regulators and potentially- affected communities; 	18.3.2
		e. how monitoring data will be used to determine if action is required including definitions of any methodologies used such as critical values, thresholds and decision trees; and	13.7, 19.0
		f. adaptive management measures and contingency plans that will be adopted if terrain stability is compromised.	13.7
Appendix H	Air	Quality	
	Wh	ile assessing impacts on air, describe:]
	1)	Pre-development conditions including:	
		 a. general climatology (typical temperatures, precipitation, air flows, etc.), terrain type and topography; and 	10.2.1
		 b. baseline ambient concentrations of criteria air contaminants (total suspended particulates, particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}) nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide and carbon monoxide). 	10.2.2
	2)	Potential impacts from project emissions during construction, operation and closure phases:	
		 estimate criteria air contaminant emissions from all project sources including fugitive dust; 	10.4.1,10.4.2
		 predict annual carbon emissions over the life of the mine and describe any offsets proposed to mitigate carbon emissions; 	10.4.2
		 predict local and regional dispersion of the project emissions and resulting ambient concentrations and deposition of pollutants using an established air quality model; 	10.4.2
		d. compare predicted ambient concentrations and deposition rates to relevant ambient air quality guidelines and standards;	10.4.2
		e. discuss potential sources and quantities of contaminants from the handling and transport of ore and concentrate, and their expected deposition range; and	10.4.2
		f. discuss and quantify any potential links between predicted air quality impacts and other valued components such as water quality, fish, wildlife and human health.	10.3.2
	3)	Monitoring, mitigation and adaptive management strategies:	
		use predicted ambient air quality concentrations to design an appropriate monitoring program and to develop mitigation and adaptive management strategies to minimize emissions of criteria air contaminants;	10.9.1, 18.5.2
		 describe specific mitigation, adaptive management strategies and monitoring methods, to minimize contamination by fugitive dust from the handling and transport of raw ore and concentrate and the processing operations; and 	10.9.1, 10.9.2, 10.9.3
		c. develop and describe an incineration management plan.	10.9.3





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix I	Vegetation	
	While assessing impacts on vegetation, the developer will provide the following:	
	 Estimate the total amount of land clearing required for the NICO Project, with estimates of losses of trees and other plants. Describe this relative to conditions before and after the recent fire. Include a description of how the soil materials will be removed, conserved or stored, and the likely impacts of loss of soil or compaction on long-term re-growth capacity. 	14.2, 14.4.2, 13.4.2
	2) Describe the potential for the NICO Project to impact on rare plants.	14.4.2
	 Describe the potential impacts of NICO Project operations on culturally or economically significant harvested plants. 	14.4.2
	Describe the potential impacts of vehicle, mine equipment and power plant emissions on vegetation around the mine site and roads.	14.3.2
	 Describe the potential impacts of dust generation on vegetation at the mine site, along roads, and downwind of the plateau. 	14.3.2
	 Describe the likelihood that invasive species will be introduced, by what means, and potential impacts. 	14.3.2
	 Describe best management practices for avoidance of impacts on vegetation, mitigation committed to, and where they differ, the rationale for not adopting best management practices. 	14.3.2, 14.4.2
	 Prepare a vegetation monitoring plan that will assist in achieving objectives described in a Closure and Reclamation Plan. 	14.10, 18.0
Appendix J	Biophysical Environmental Monitoring and Management Plans	
	The developer is encouraged to provide a summary section with:	
	Reports of all discussions and agreements with communities, federal and territorial governments related to collaborative monitoring and adaptive management of impacts of the project on the environment.	18.2, Appendices 4.I, 4.II
	2) A list all of its proposed monitoring and management plans, identifying:	
	 a. where they are being adopted as commitments for the NICO Project; 	18.5
	addressing previous comments expressed by interested parties about the adequacy of the plans; and	Not applicable, as the plans have not been previously circulated
	 where plans are being strengthened or otherwise altered in light of changing circumstances or advances in best practice of environmental management (the developer will cite any specific best management plan being adopted). 	18.5.2





		Section in
Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix J (continued)	3) If adopting an existing plan, policy or other commitment, the developer will provide a rationale for why that commitment is adequate in light of proposed changes to the development required for full-scale mining.	Not applicable, as there are no existing biophysical monitoring or management programs for the NICO Project
	All conceptual monitoring and management plans as identified in the appendices, including:	
	 An overall Waste Management Plan, including commitments for management of solid, liquid, hazardous and airborne wastes, and associated monitoring programs; and 	3.11, Appendix 3.IV
	 A conceptual framework for an integrated Aquatic Effects Monitoring Plan developed in discussions with Fisheries and Oceans and Environment Canada. 	Appendix 18.I
	 Plans for communicating results of mitigation, monitoring and adaptive management programs to regulators, responsible government authorities and the public. 	18.2, 18.3.1
	6) A summary table listing all biophysical environmental monitoring and management systems, where they are described in the Developer's Assessment Report, the length of time the monitoring is proposed for, and a rationale for each timeline.	18.5.2, Table 18.5-1
Appendix K	Human Environment	
K1	Employment and Business Opportunities	
	The developer will assess the potential impacts of the NICO Project on the economy of the Mackenzie Valley, with a focus on the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area generally and each potentially-affected community. In assessing access to employment and business opportunities, the developer will provide the following.	
	Employment	
	 An estimate of human resource requirements for the development that includes a listing of all direct and contract employment requirements by skills category for each phase of the life of the NICO Project. The developer will identify the skill-levels that each position requires, and shall include employment in all aspects of the operation of the mine, including for example transportation and monitoring activities. 	16.2.4, Appendix 16.II
	2) An assessment of the likely percentage of direct employment for northern and aboriginal residents at the NICO Project, in light of the current and likely future (extending for the expected 15 year life of the mine) labour pool context (i.e., likely available numbers of workers in light of total regional economic activity), and identification of any target goals for Northern and Aboriginal employment.	16.2.4, 16.2.11





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix K K1 (continued)	3) A qualitative description of any barriers to direct or contract employmer advancement and retention for Mackenzie Valley residents, with particular emphasis on Wek'eezhii Settlement Area residents, other Aboriginal ar Northern people and women where possible. This description must include employee availability and employability in light of minimum skill require and an investigation of current training opportunities for community me The developer will also discuss:	ular nd lude 16.2.4 ments
	 a. estimates of current skills gaps in the available labour pool that requadditional training programs; 	quire 16.2.4
	 b. hiring and retention policies related to minimum education levels, or records and drug and alcohol use; and 	riminal 16.2.4, 16.2.13
	c. any identified barriers to maximizing regional and Aboriginal emplo	oyment. 16.2.4
	4) The developer's plans, strategies and commitments for maximizing dire employment, advancement and retention of Wek'eezhii Settlement Are residents, other Aboriginal and Northern people.	
	 Employment policies for Aboriginal and other Northern women includin training initiatives, measures for security and safety at the mine site and harassment policies. 	
	6) A description of any plans, strategies or other commitments the develoto support increasing the mine-ready workforce, support career paths in and assist training programs in related support activities. The develope outline how these strategies will create or contribute to training opportu. Northern and Aboriginal persons in general, and its employees in partic over the life of the mine. The developer will also identify when any commitigations will be enacted, keeping in mind the lead time required for jutraining programs.	n mining, er will unities for cular, emitted-to
	 A discussion of whether and how the developer's strategies and comm for maximizing employment of Aboriginal and Northern residents will exits contractors. 	
	Business Opportunities	
	8) An estimate of all contractor and subcontractor goods and services tha NICO Project will require, by project phase, as well as an estimate of w percentage of required goods and services can feasibly be sourced fro and regional businesses.	/hat 16.2.4,
	9) The developer's policies, plans, and commitments associated with max contracting to Aboriginal and Northern-owned and operated businesses emphasis on assisting business development initiatives and joint ventu Wek'eezhii Settlement Area-based businesses.	s, with 16.2.4
	10) An assessment of any barriers to maximizing the utilization of Northern businesses.	16.2.4, 16.2.12
	11) The developer's prediction for any training, education or other improved necessary to maximize local and regional business capacity to benefit NICO Project.	





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix K K2	Distribution of Beneficial and Adverse Socio-economic Impacts	
	The developer will provide the following information and analysis:	
	Qualitative and quantitative estimates of all beneficial and adverse economic impacts from the NICO Project, including at minimum:	16.2.4, 16.2.5, Appendix 16.I
	 capital costs associated with placing the NICO Project in operation, broken down by major components (estimates should be in 2009 dollars Cdn. and may be in a +/- 20% range); 	16.2.5, Appendix 16.I
	 annual operating costs during the life of the NICO Project (estimates should be in 2009 dollars Cdn. and may be in a +/- 20% range); 	16.2.5
	 federal, territorial and municipal taxes that the developer may remit by year, as well as from linked economic development (a +/- 20% range is acceptable); 	16.2.5
	 d. total employment impact on the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area and Mackenzie Valley, including a prediction of employment multipliers from the development; and 	16.2.4,16.2.5
	 e. a prediction of any adverse impacts the development may have on public infrastructure maintenance and associated costs, depending upon availability (with emphasis on the potential realignment of the winter road through the Wek'eezhii Settlement area). 	16.2.6, 16.2.11
	2) Discussion of any plans, strategies or other commitments the developer has to help potentially-affected communities avoid over-exposure to cyclical economic fluctuations, with a focus on:	
	 a. potential social and economic effects of mine closure (including unforeseen early closure or project hiatus) on potentially-affected communities and the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area; and 	16.2.9
	b. any plans to assist post-closure transition for mine employees.	16.2.9, 16.2.11, 16.2.13
	3) Discussion potential role of the NICO Project on the following:	
	 a. socio-economic impacts potentially resulting from increased disposable income and larger reliance on the wage economy; 	16.2.7, 16.2.11
	 any impacts on social services provision, infrastructure and costs (e.g., emergency medical care or family social services); and 	16.2.6, 16.2.7, 16.2.11, 16.2.13
	c. whether and how the project may create or contribute to impacts on other organizations and businesses servicing the region through mobilization of local skilled labour away from smaller NICO Project communities and associated impacts on maintenance of infrastructure and basic service provision.	16.2.2, 16.2.6
	 The developer's policies, strategies, plans, and commitments, alone or in combination with other parties, for the mitigation of any adverse socio- economic impacts. 	16.2.4, 16.2.7, 16.2.13





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix K K3	Social Impacts	
	While conducting a social impact assessment, the developer will describe:	
	Potential impacts associated with the development on community wellness and population health issues such as:	16.2.7
	a. population in- and out-migration;	16.2.7
	b. alcohol and drug access and use;	16.2.7
	c. sexually-transmitted infections rates;	16.2.7
	d. crime rates;	16.2.7
	e. access to child care;	16.2.7
	f. language retention and other key indicators of cultural maintenance;	16.2.7
	g. education completion rates by level; and	16.2.7
	h. community cohesiveness and pride in cultural identity.	16.2.7
	The description of community wellness and population health issues may consist of a review of publicly available quantitative statistics and key informant interviews with community health providers and social service providers where possible.	16.2.2, 16.2.7, Annex K
	How each identified potential impact may affect individual potentially-affected communities.	16.2.4, 16.2.5, 16.2.6, 16.2.7, 16.2.8, 16.2.9
	3) The physical, mental, and cultural health of mine workers and mine workers' families, considering potential impacts of long-distance commuting and greater engagement in the wage economy based on a review of select and pertinent peer-reviewed studies and through key informant interviews with Wek'eezhii Settlement Area residents currently working at mines in the NWT. This discussion should identify any alternative shift rotations considered by the developer, with the rationale for the chosen rotation.	16.2.7, 16.2.13
	4) Human resources management plans and programs the developer will offer at the mine site to identify and mitigate potential social problems associated with the NICO Project, that will include but not be limited to discussion of:	16.2.13
	increased income and money management;	16.2.13
	 b. potential stressors associated with long-distance commuting and stress management programs; 	16.2.13
	c. substance abuse and treatment policies;	16.2.13
	 d. cross cultural training and avoidance of cross-cultural conflicts at the work site; and 	16.2.13
	e. "home" – community and family - support programs.	16.2.13
	5) Potential impacts on public safety, especially in regards to the use of the NICO access road and the potential realignment of the winter road through the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area and identification of mitigation to minimize the potential for vehicle accidents.	16.2.8





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix K K3 (continued)	6) Any lessons learned about short and long-term social and economic impacts of previous mine developments in the Mackenzie Valley and the Canadian North, and how the developer has incorporated such lessons into its impact assessment and mitigation commitments for the NICO Project.	16.2.9
Appendix K K4	Cultural Impacts	
	The analysis of heritage resources is inclusive of both sites and objects of cultural significance, and cultural impacts include both tangible and intangible aspects of culture.	
K4a	Physical Heritage Resources	
	The developer will report on:	
	 Consultation with traditional knowledge holders, archaeologists, anthropologists, and the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre, that the developer conducted during its cultural impact assessment, indicating how such interactions influenced: 	16.3.2
	a. heritage resource survey locations;	16.3.2
	 the identification of locations of known or high potential for heritage resources; or 	16.3.2
	c. heritage resource management plans.	16.3.7
	2) Identification of all known archaeological and heritage resources, sites or areas of cultural significance, and areas of high potential for unfound heritage resources in the environmental assessment study area.	16.3.3
	3) All recommended mitigation measures that consultation produced for the protection of local known and high potential areas of physical heritage resources and other sites of cultural significance, and associated developer's commitments or reasons for not adopting recommendations.	16.3.3, 16.3.5
	 Describe how the developer will involve the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area residents in heritage assessments and monitoring of impacts on culture. 	16.3.7
	5) Describe any potential impacts from the NICO Project on physical heritage on Hislop Lake and any other point on the Įdaà Trail.	16.3.3
K4b	Traditional Land Use and Wildlife Harvesting	
	The developer will:	
	 Describe any potential impacts of the NICO Project on traditional harvesting activities for Aboriginal residents of Wek'eezhii Settlement Area communities, including changes from impacts to wildlife, changes in all-season access from Wek'eezhii Settlement Area communities due to the NICO access road, and any changes in access by non-resident hunters. 	15.5, 16.4.2, 16.4.6
	 Provide a prediction of the total impact of the NICO Project on traditional activities, and on the potential for increased or reduced harvesting success. 	15.6.3, 15.7.2, 16.4.2, 16.4.6
	3) Identify all mitigation commitments by the developer, alone or in combination with other parties, to minimize adverse impacts on traditional land use and resource harvesting, or to compensate for losses that the developer cannot prevent. This should include discussion of:	16.4.2, 16.4.3, 5.4.3





Section in Terms of Reference		Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
K4b (continued)	í	 a. how access along the NICO access road will be monitored and, if feasible, managed; and, 	16.4.2
	ı	 any plans for any ongoing monitoring, adaptive management and harvester compensation. 	16.4.2, 5.4.3
	4)	For visual and audible changes perceptible from the Idaà Trail:	
	á	describe and illustrate any potential visual impacts to the viewshed as seen from Marian River and Hislop Lake;	16.4.3, Section 3 photos 3.2.1 to 3.2.4
	I	 describe any other points along remainder of the Įdaà Trail where the NICO Project will be visible or audible, illustrate and describe how it will look and sound; 	16.4.3, 16.4.4
	(c. describe any measures taken to minimize these sensory disturbances; and	16.4.3, 16.4.4
	(describe how any remaining sensory changes will affect the traditional authenticity of users' experiences along the Įdaà Trail. 	16.4.3, 16.4.4
		Describe potential impacts from the NICO Project on traditional activities at Hislop Lake.	16.4.5
Appendix K K5	Hum	nan Environment Monitoring and Management Plans	
		Describe any commitments, plans and strategies to engage with responsible authorities and potentially-affected communities in monitoring impacts on the human environment such as:	16.2.13
		 success of local and regional residents and Aboriginal people in gaining employment at the NICO Project, and the success of training initiatives; 	16.2.13
		 success of local and regional businesses in providing goods and services to the NICO Project, with identification of gaps to maximizing engagement; 	16.2.13
	·	c. employee retention;	16.2.13
	·	d. worker and family wellness;	16.2.13
		e. the contribution of the NICO Project to beneficial and adverse social impacts at the regional and local levels across a spectrum of appropriate indicators to be determined in collaboration with Wek'eezhii Settlement Area communities and government authorities; and	16.2.13
	1	f. impacts on wildlife harvesting and practice of traditional culture on the land.	16.2.13
	i	Identify relevant existing initiatives monitoring community wellness and investigate how it will engage with, contribute to, and consider results from these programs in its ongoing monitoring and adaptive management programs.	16.2.13
		Describe how results from monitoring the human environment will be evaluated and reported to regulators, responsible authorities and potentially-affected communities.	16.2.13
		Describe the adaptive management systems will be in place to deal with issues identified during monitoring.	16.2.13
	, i	Provide a summary table listing all human environment monitoring and management systems and where they are described in the <i>Developer's Assessment Report</i> .	16.2.13





Section in Terms of Reference	Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix L	Cumulative Effects	
	The following items are required for consideration of cumulative effects:	
	1) In terms of cumulative effects, predict:	
	 potential impacts of the NICO Project on the Bathurst caribou herd in combination with impacts of other developments in the range of the Bathurst caribou herd; 	8.5, 8.7, 8.8
	 b. potential socio-economic changes, cultural changes and changes to community well-being from the NICO Project in combination with the potential realignment of the winter road through the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area, using publicly available data; 	16.2.3
	 c. potential socio-economic changes, cultural changes and changes to community well-being from the NICO Project in combination with other with other industrial developments using publicly available data including: 	16.2.11
	i. existing and proposed diamond mines;	16.2.3, 16.2.10, 16.2.11
	ii. the proposed Yellowknife Gold Project; and	16.2.3, 16.2.10, 16.2.11
	iii. the proposed Mackenzie Gas Project.	16.2.3, 16.2.10, 16.2.11
	 d. potential impacts on fish and wildlife due to increased access from the NICO access road in combination with the potential realignment of the winter road through the Wek'eezhii Settlement Area; and 	12.3, 15.4
	 potential impacts of the NICO Project on fish and wildlife in combination with impacts from past or present pollution from contaminated sites in the area, including Rayrock and Colomac. 	8.5, 8.7, 8.8, 8.9, 12.6.4, 15.4
	 Determine any other past, present and reasonably foreseeable human activities or developments that may affect the same valued components as the NICO Project. 	15.4, 15.9, 16.2.11
	 Predict the combined impact of the NICO Project in combination with the impacts of the other developments identified above. 	15.4, 15.9, 16.2.11
	Identify means for Fortune, either on its own or cooperatively with others, to reduce or avoid the predicted cumulative effects.	15.3, 15.4, Appendix 18.II, 16.2.11
	5) Describe the residual cumulative effects following mitigation.	15.6, 16.2.11
	6) Provide the rationale for including the developments that are chosen for examination on specific valued components, as well as a description of and rationale behind the chosen geographic cumulative effects study area and temporal boundary.	15.3, 16.2.3





Section in Terms of Reference		Requirement	Section in Developer's Assessment Report
Appendix L (continued)	7)	Describe any plans for the monitoring and evaluation of cumulative effects and the adaptive management of the NICO Project's contribution to cumulative effects.	18.0, Appendices 18.I and 18.II
	8)	A description of how project-specific monitoring can contribute to and be compatible with regional monitoring programs such as the NWT Cumulative Impact Monitoring Program (see http://www.nwtcimp.ca for details).	Section 18.5.2





May 2011 1.II.35 Report No. 09-1373-1004