

**GREGORY C. EMPSON PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**  
BARRISTER & SOLICITOR


#1750 10123 - 99 Street  
Edmonton, Alberta, T5J 3H1  
Phone: (780) 424-9558  
Fax: (780) 424-5852  
E Mail: galson@shaw.ca

OUR FILE: 11.1124

YOUR FILE:

September 21, 2011

VIA FAX AND ORIGINAL MAIL



Mackenzie Valley Environmental  
Impact Review Board  
P.O. Box 938  
YELLOWKNIFE, NT  
X1A 2N7

**ATTENTION: MR. RICHARD EDJERICON**

Dear Sirs:

**Re: Consolidated Goldwin Venture (Encore Renaissance Resources Corp.)**  
**File Number EA0506-005**

---

I am enclosing a table which is a comparison between the Akaitcho Treaty 8 Corporation position and that of the Yellowknives Dene First Nation. This document is provided to you in accordance with our undertaking given to the Board.

I trust this is satisfactory.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Greg C. Empson", written over a horizontal line.

**GREG C. EMPSON**

GCE/elc  
Enclosure

MEASURE	AT8 POSITION	YKDFN POSITION
1.	For this measure, AT8 does not have any objection to the Minister's requested reconsideration provided that it remains clear that YKDFN participation is mandatory.	Minor changes in the wording is satisfactory but the intent (direct Community involvement) must remain.
2.	The Minister's proposed changes are acceptable.	In general agreement but feel that 150 metre buffer represents best practice.
3. & 4.	<p>This is not about a "small scale project" - it is about the cumulative impacts of a host of projects across a limited landscape where mineral exploration activities have already directly resulted in real significant negative impacts (graveyard fire, sunken tanker, decreased traditional use).</p> <p>The substantive elements of Measures 3 and 4 must remain and it must remain in the form of Measures. Without binding direction from the Minister to the relevant Government agencies, the AT8 have no faith that any action will be taken.</p> <p>The current Measures should be fine tuned to clearly indicate AANDC as the lead Federal Department responsible for developing and implementing a "Plan of Action".</p> <p>We agree that references specific to the Territorial Government should be removed.</p> <p>The time lines in Measure 3 should be removed. They should be replaced with directives to AANDC until such time as a "Plan of Action" is implemented.</p> <p>AT8 proposes that an Order in Council be obtained to set apart the Drybones Bay area pursuant to Section 4 or Section 23(d)(ii) of the Territorial Lands Act which Order should also provide relief from fulfilling representation work to mineral claim holders in the area as per the Territorial and Nunavut Mining Regulations.</p> <p>The requirement for a Policy Directive to the MVLWB in Measure 3 must remain otherwise any completed "Plan of Action" will not be considered in the regulatory process.</p>	<p>There is general agreement between YKDFN and AT8 regarding the proposed Measures 3 and 4. YKDFN agree that the critical management measures proposed are necessary excepting for minor changes in wording.</p> <p>YKDFN agrees that AANDC must be involved with other responsible Departments (Prince of Wales).</p> <p>The YKDFN agree that there must be language and measures which offer effective protection of the shore line area until the "Plan of Action" is complete, otherwise the impacts will continue to grow.</p> <p>The YKDFN agree that a Policy Directive is essential to ensuring that the measures are respected by the regulatory process and believe that the Policy Directive is a sound option and should be built into the MVRMA process.</p> <p>In addition to the AT8 position, the YKDFN agree that the monitoring provisions as contained in Measure 4 are important to ensure long term adaptive management. It is not enough to propose mitigations without implementing a feedback cycle to ensure that the mitigations are effectively protecting the cultural landscape</p>

5. The substance of Measure 5 should remain, perhaps as an element of the "Plan of Action" contemplated in Measures 3 and 4.
  
6. The substance of Measure 6 should remain. It should, however be reworded to clarify that camps must be located on land as near as possible to ice based drill rigs.

Agree that this Measure must remain. The historical nature of very high use of the area means that there are many unknown heritage sites. Unless a thorough and systematic effort is undertaken, the level of Community concern that heritage values are unknown and being disturbed will be significant.

Minor changes for clarification purposes are acceptable however feel that the substance of "access control" should remain.

Broadly speaking the YKDFN are in disagreement with the Minister's letter as it refers to Measure 6 as the YKDFN believe that the letter constitutes a fettering of the discretion and mandate of the MVLWB.