

From: [Todd Slack](#)
To: david.alexander@cannor.gc.ca; [Rachel Crapeau](#); [Simon Toogood](#)
Cc: [Michael Cheeks](#); Matthew.Spence@cannor.gc.ca
Subject: RE: Communications
Date: May-27-15 2:52:22 PM

Hi David.

I thought I'd follow up on the message and phone call this morning, put something in writing. As you know, I was calling because the Board had suggested that CANNOR was trying to arrange a meeting with YKDFN. I was unaware as no one had tried to contact me - from our conversation and in talking with Michael this morning, I understand that Matt had left a phone message with Michael. Frankly, it's not clear to me why that was the route selected to try and arrange this meeting...when it's my name at the bottom of the letter and it's clearly a Land and Environment issue (in the IR cover letter it's much the same). So first off - Is there a reason that CANNOR went to the CEO and not to me or the Director of Lands?

* I have cc'd both Michael and Matt to ensure that the circle is closed here...but this a L&E file. The appropriate contact is our office.

Following our conversation, it seems that CANNOR has questions that relate to the Information Requests that were submitted. We are, of course, happy to clarify the questions.

* As you'll see below, we attempted to do just such a thing already - seeking to have a meeting (both in this email string and one between Mr. Spence and myself) and then hoping to see something beyond just the initial response (this most recent email). Again, we expressed this sentiment in the cover letter of the IRs as well, stating that if there were any concerns we would be happy to help add focus where necessary.

However, given the time constraints, this meeting cannot, and will not, be a meeting for meetings sake. We are not lonely and do not need to go over to Javaroma to help the day go by. Thus, if you can provide, in writing, additional information on what concerns or uncertainties persist with the IRs that would be great. Perhaps this is something that we can resolve by email?

If not, we need to understand the purpose and objective of this meeting - it feels as though we have laid out the rationale and context of the questions at length. So I want to be clear on what we are aiming to achieve with this - as I said on the phone, a meeting for meetings sake isn't of much value given the parameters we're working in at the moment. Secondly, who do you intend to have in the room - let's make sure that the folks who are doing the work, who still have questions on what the IR is, let's make sure that they're there so we don't have an issue of telephone translation (e.g. they tell you, you tell us something slightly different, the matter doesn't get resolved). Lastly, I think we should establish how we intend to relay the outcome of this meeting to the Board - I would prefer something like the joint record we submitted with GNWT, but Canada has always seemed to resist such a thing.

In terms of timing, we discussed Friday. I'm gone all next week and in the Caribou CE steering group all day tomorrow, heading to Behchoko for the Diavik water license in the evening. So it's a pretty narrow window, but we tried to sort this out before - so I figure it's on you guys to figure it out.

Regards

From: Todd Slack
Sent: May 20, 2015 2:17 PM
To: Matthew Spence; Michael Cheeks; Rachel Crapeau
Cc: Altaf Lakhani; Catherine Krakowiak
Subject: RE: Communications

Hi Matt.

Does Canada intend to submit anything additional to the IR response on the Board Husky registry. I'd add a date for reference, but it doesn't seem to have anything here. It's addressed to Simon, cc'd to Rachel and others.

Cheers.

From: Matthew Spence [mailto:Matthew.Spence@cannor.gc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 11:06 AM
To: Michael Cheeks; Rachel Crapeau; Todd Slack
Cc: Altaf Lakhani; Catherine Krakowiak
Subject: RE: Communications

Thanks Michael.

Matt

>>> Michael Cheeks <mcheeks@ykdene.com> 5/12/2015 1:33 PM >>>

Hi Matthew,

I will not proceed with our scheduled meeting tomorrow, and would like to discuss additional high priority items in the Yellowknives Dene First Nation Community Readiness Implementation Plan, in the near future .

Regards,

Michael

From: Matthew Spence [<mailto:Matthew.Spence@cannor.gc.ca>]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 9:48 AM
To: Michael Cheeks; Rachel Crapeau; Todd Slack
Cc: Altaf Lakhani; Catherine Krakowiak
Subject: Re: Communications

Michael - as I have told Todd CanNor is prepared to meet regarding the Husky project but some of my team is traveling this week and unable to attend.

I am in town this week and available to meet but not on any specific project.

Please confirm if you want to meet this Wednesday and what you would like to discuss.

Matt

>>> Michael Cheeks 5/7/2015 3:33 PM >>>